# THE BIERI-NEUMANN-STREBEL INVARIANT OF THE PURE SYMMETRIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF A RIGHT-ANGLED ARTIN GROUP

#### NIC KOBAN AND ADAM PIGGOTT

ABSTRACT. We compute the BNS-invariant for the pure symmetric automorphism groups of right-angled Artin groups. We use this calculation to show that the pure symmetric automorphism group of a right-angled Artin group is itself not a right-angled Artin group provided that its defining graph contains a separating intersection of links.

#### 1. Introduction

In 1987, the Bieri–Neumann–Strebel (BNS) geometric invariant  $\Sigma^1(G)$  was introduced for a discrete group G. The invariant is an open subset of the character sphere S(G) which carries considerable algebraic and geometric information. It determines whether or not a normal subgroup with Abelian quotient is finitely generated; in particular, the commutator subgroup of G is finitely generated if and only if  $\Sigma^1(G) = S(G)$ . If M is a smooth compact manifold and  $G = \pi_1(M)$ , then  $\Sigma^1(G)$  contains information on the existence of circle fibrations of M. Additionally, if M is a 3-manifold, then  $\Sigma^1(G)$  can be described in terms of the Thurston norm. Other aspects of the rich theory of BNS-invariant can be found in [BNS87].

Although  $\Sigma^1(G)$  has proven quite difficult to compute in general, it has been computed in the case that G is a right-angled Artin group [MV95], and in the case that G is the pure symmetric automorphism group of a free group [OK00]. In the present article, we generalize the result of [OK00] by computing  $\Sigma^1(G)$  when G is the pure symmetric automorphism group of a right-angled Artin group. The outcome of the computation is recorded in Theorem A, to be found in Section 4 below.

Received October 4, 2013; received in final form November 8, 2013. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F65.

We also provide an application of our computation. It was shown in [CRSV10] that if A is the right-angled Artin group determined by a graph  $\Gamma$  that has no separating intersection of links (no SILS), then the corresponding group of pure symmetric automorphisms  $P\Sigma(A)$  is itself a right-angled Artin group. We prove the converse by observing that when  $\Gamma$  has a SIL, the BNS-invariant of  $P\Sigma(A)$  does not have a certain distinctive property that the BNS-invariant of a right-angled Artin group must satisfy. Thus we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM B. The group  $P\Sigma(A)$  is isomorphic to a right-angled Artin group if and only if the defining graph  $\Gamma$  contains no SILs.

Theorem B is indicative of a dichotomy within the family of groups  $\{P\Sigma(A)\}$  determined by whether or not  $\Gamma$  has a SIL. Certain algebraic manifestations of this dichotomy were proved in [GPR12]. It would be interesting to understand more geometric manifestations. Since right-angled Artin groups are CAT(0) groups, we are lead to ask the following question:

QUESTION 1.1. If the defining graph  $\Gamma$  contains a SIL, is  $P\Sigma(A)$  a CAT(0) group?

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 and Section 3, we define the BNS-invariant  $\Sigma^1(G)$  and the pure symmetric automorphism group, respectively, and record some useful facts which inform the arguments to follow. We prove Theorem A in Section 4. This proof involves two cases with the first handled in Section 4.1 and the second in Section 4.2. In Section 5, we prove Theorem B.

#### 2. The BNS-invariant

Let G be a finitely generated group. A character  $\chi$  of G is a homomorphism from G to the additive reals. The set of all characters of G, denoted  $\operatorname{Hom}(G,\mathbb{R})$ , is an n-dimensional real vector space where n is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -rank of the Abelianization of G. Two non-zero characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are equivalent if there is a real number r>0 such that  $\chi_1=r\chi_2$ . The set of equivalence classes  $S(G)=\{[\chi]\mid \chi\in\operatorname{Hom}(G,\mathbb{R})-\{0\}\}$  is called the character sphere of G, and this is homeomorphic to an (n-1)-dimensional sphere. The BNS invariant  $\Sigma^1(G)$ , a subset of S(G), may be described in terms of either the geometry of Cayley graphs (see [BNS87]), or G-actions on  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees (see [Bro87]). For our purposes the latter is more convenient, and we now describe  $\Sigma^1(G)$  from that point of view.

Suppose G acts by isometries on an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree, T, and let  $\ell: G \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be the corresponding length function. For each  $g \in G$ , let  $C_g$  be the characteristic subtree of g. If  $\ell(g) = 0$ , then g is elliptic, and  $C_g$  is its fixed point set; if  $\ell(g) \neq 0$ , then g is hyperbolic, and  $C_g$  is the axis of g. The action is nontrivial if at least one element of G is hyperbolic, and Abelian if every element

of [G,G] is elliptic. A non-trivial Abelian action on an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree must fix either one or two ends of the tree, and is considered *exceptional* if it fixes only one end. To each non-trivial Abelian action, and each fixed end e, we associate the character  $\chi$  such that  $|\chi(g)| = \ell(g)$ , and  $\chi(g)$  is positive if and only if g is a hyperbolic isometry which translates its axis away from the fixed end e. We say g is  $\chi$ -elliptic if  $\chi(g) = 0$ , and  $\chi$ -hyperbolic otherwise.

We are now able to give Brown's formulation of  $\Sigma^1(G)$ : An equivalence class  $[\chi] \in S(G)$  is contained in  $\Sigma^1(G)$  unless there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree T equipped with an exceptional non-trivial Abelian G-action associated to  $\chi$ .

To demonstrate that  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)$ , it suffices to show that in any  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree T equipped with a non-trivial Abelian G-action associated to  $\chi$ , there exists a line X such that  $X \subseteq C_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . For this purpose, the following facts about characteristic subtrees are invaluable (see [OK00]):

FACT A. If [g,h] = 1 and h is hyperbolic, then  $C_h \subseteq C_g$ .

FACT B. If 
$$[g,h] = 1$$
, then  $C_g \cap C_h \subseteq C_{gh}$ .

Essentially, we work with a fixed finite generating set of G, we consider an arbitrary non-trivial Abelian G-action on an arbitrary  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree T, we let  $X \subseteq T$  denote the axis of one  $\chi$ -hyperbolic generator s, and we use Facts A and B to demonstrate that  $X \subseteq C_t$  for every other generator t. For this approach to be successful we typically need a sufficient number of commuting relations in G.

To demonstrate that  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c$ , it is often convenient to make use of the following well-known facts.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G,\mathbb{R}) - \{0\}$ . Suppose there is an epimorphism  $\phi: G \to H$  and a character  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(H,\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\chi = \psi \circ \phi$ . If  $[\psi] \in \Sigma^1(H)^c$ , then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c$ .

COROLLARY 2.2. If A and B are non-trivial finitely-generated groups, and  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G,\mathbb{R}) - \{0\}$  factors through an epimorphism  $G \to A * B$ , then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 2.1, and the fact that  $\Sigma^1(A*B) = \emptyset$ .

# 3. Right-angled Artin groups and their pure symmetric automorphisms

Throughout, we fix a simplicial graph  $\Gamma$ , with vertex set V and edge set E. For each vertex  $a \in V$ , the link of a is the set  $Lk(a) = \{b \in V \mid \{a,b\} \in E\}$ , and the star of a is the set  $St(a) = Lk(a) \cup \{a\}$ . For a set of vertices  $W \subseteq V$ , we write  $\Gamma \setminus W$  for the full subgraph spanned by the vertices in  $V \setminus W$ .

Let  $A = A(\Gamma)$  denote the right-angled Artin group determined by  $\Gamma$ . We shall not distinguish between the vertices of  $\Gamma$  and the generators of A, thus A is the group presented by

$$\langle V \mid ab = ba \text{ for all } a, b \in V \text{ such that } \{a, b\} \in E \rangle.$$

For each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ , and each connected component K of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(a)$ , the map

 $v \mapsto \begin{cases} a^{-1}va & \text{if } v \in K, \\ v & \text{if } v \in V \setminus K, \end{cases}$ 

extends to an automorphism  $\pi_K^a: A \to A$ . We say  $\pi_K^a$  is the partial conjugation (of A) with acting letter a and domain K. We write  $\mathcal{P}$  for the set comprising the partial conjugations.

The pure symmetric automorphism group,  $P\Sigma(A)$ , comprises those automorphisms  $\alpha: A \to A$  which map each vertex to a conjugate of itself. Laurence proved that  $P\Sigma(A)$  is generated by  $\mathcal{P}$  [Lau95].

We let  $Z = \{a \in V \mid \operatorname{St}(a) = V\}$ , and we may assume  $Z \neq \emptyset$  for the following reason: it follows immediately from Laurence's result, together with the observation that enriching  $\Gamma$  with a new vertex w adjacent to all other vertices does not introduce new partial conjugations, and does not change the domain of any existing partial conjugation. Let  $d: V \times V \to \{0,1,2\}$  denote the combinatorial metric on V.

We now record three results, paraphrased from existing literature, which make working with  $\mathcal{P}$  tractable. A proof of the first is included because it is so brief; the second follows immediately from the first.

LEMMA 3.1 ([GPR12, Lemma 4.3]). If  $\pi_K^a$ ,  $\pi_L^b \in \mathcal{P}$  and d(a,b) = 2 and  $b \notin K$ , then either  $K \cap L = \emptyset$  or  $K \subseteq L$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b \in \mathcal{P}$  and d(a,b) = 2 and  $b \notin K$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $\emptyset \neq K \cap L \neq K$ . Let  $u \in K \cap L$  and  $v \in K \setminus L$ . Since K is connected, there exists a path  $\alpha$  in K from u to v. Since  $u \in L$  and  $v \notin L$ ,  $\alpha$  passes through a vertex  $w \in \operatorname{St}(b)$ . Since  $d(b,w) \leq 1$  and  $w \in K$  and  $b \in \Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(a), \ b \in K$ —a contradiction.

LEMMA 3.2 ([GPR12, Corollary 4.4 and Lemma 4.7]). For each pair of partial conjugations  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ , exactly one of the following six cases holds:

- (1)  $d(a,b) \le 1$ ;
- (2)  $d(a,b) = 2, a \in L, and b \in K;$
- (3) d(a,b) = 2,  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ , and either  $a \in L$  or  $b \in K$ ;
- (4) d(a,b) = 2, and either  $\{a\} \cup K \subset L$  or  $\{b\} \cup L \subset K$ ;
- (5) d(a,b) = 2, and  $(\{a\} \cup K) \cap (\{b\} \cup L) = \emptyset$ ;
- (6) d(a,b) = 2, and K = L.

The relation  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b] = 1$  holds only in the cases (1), (4) and (5).

THEOREM 3.3 ([Toi12, Chapter 3]). Every relation between partial conjugations is a consequence of the following relations:

(1)  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b] = 1$  if  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b)$  falls into one of the cases (1), (4), (5) of Lemma 3.2;

(2) 
$$[\pi_K^a \pi_L^a, \pi_L^b] = 1 \text{ if } K \neq L \text{ and } b \in K.$$

It is convenient to introduce notation for certain products of partial conjugations with the same acting letter. We write  $\delta^a_{K,L}$  for the product  $\pi^a_K \pi^a_L$ , provided  $K \neq L$ . We write  $\iota^a$  for the inner automorphism  $w \mapsto a^{-1}wa$  for all  $w \in A$ , and we note  $\iota^a$  is simply the product of all partial conjugations with acting letter a.

Next, we record some useful facts about the behavior of partial conjugations.

LEMMA 3.4. If  $\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b \in \mathcal{P}$  are such that  $a \notin L$  and  $b \in K$  and  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ , then  $\pi_L^a \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $[\delta_{K,L}^a, \pi_L^b] = 1$ .

Proof. Assume  $\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b \in \mathcal{P}$  are such that  $a \notin L$  and  $b \in K$  and  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ . Let K' denote the connected component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(a)$  such that  $K' \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . Since d(a,b)=2 and  $a \notin L$  and  $b \notin K'$  and  $K' \cap L \neq \emptyset$ , the pair  $(\pi_{K'}^a, \pi_L^b)$  falls into case (6) of Lemma 3.2. Thus, K'=L. The relation  $[\delta_{K,L}^a, \pi_L^b]=1$  is (2) in Theorem 3.3.

COROLLARY 3.5. If  $a \in V \setminus Z$  and  $\pi_L^b \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $[\iota^a, \pi_L^b] = 1$  if and only if  $a \notin L$ .

#### 4. The BNS-invariant of $P\Sigma(A)$

Throughout this section, we consider an arbitrary non-trivial character  $\chi: P\Sigma(A) \to \mathbb{R}$ . We write  $\Sigma$  for  $\Sigma^1(P\Sigma(A))$ , and  $\Sigma^c$  for the complement of  $\Sigma$  in  $S(P\Sigma(A))$ .

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $\pi_K^a, \pi_L^a \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $K \neq L$ . If  $\pi_K^a, \pi_L^a$  and  $\delta_{K,L}^a$  are  $\chi$ -hyperbolic, then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\pi_K^a$ ,  $\pi_L^a$  and  $\delta_{K,L}^a$  are  $\chi$ -hyperbolic. Consider a  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action on an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree T that realizes  $\chi$ . Let  $X = C_{\pi_K^a} = C_{\pi_L^a} = C_{\delta_{K,L}^a}$ . Let  $\pi_M^c$  be an arbitrary partial conjugation. If  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c] = 1$  or  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c] = 1$ , then  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$  by Fact A; thus we may assume  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c] \neq 1$  and  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c] \neq 1$ . It follows that d(a, c) = 2. Since  $K \cap L = \emptyset$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $c \notin K$ . Since d(a, c) = 2 and  $c \notin K$  and  $[\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (3) or (6) of Lemma 3.2.

First, consider the case that  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (3). Then  $a \in M$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\pi_K^c \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $[\delta_{K,M}^c, \pi_K^a] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\delta_{K,M}^c}$ . If  $c \in L$ , then  $[\delta_{K,L}^a, \pi_K^c] = 1$  and  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_K^c}$  by Fact A. By Fact B,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$ . If  $c \notin L$ , then the pair  $(\pi_L^a, \pi_K^c)$  falls into case (5) of Lemma 3.2 which implies  $[\pi_L^a, \pi_K^c] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_K^c}$  which implies  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$  by Fact B.

Now consider the case that  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (6). Then  $a \notin M$ ,  $c \notin K$  and M = K. Since  $M \cap L = K \cap L = \emptyset$  and  $a \notin M$  and  $[\pi_L^a, \pi_M^c] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_L^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (3) of Lemma 3.2. Thus,  $c \in L$ . Since  $c \in L$  and M = K,  $[\delta_{K,L}^a, \pi_M^c] = 1$ , and by Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$ .

COROLLARY 4.2. If  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c$ , then the following properties hold for each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ :

- (1) There are at most two  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations with acting letter a.
- (2) The inner automorphism  $\iota^a$  is  $\chi$ -hyperbolic if and only if there is exactly one  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugation with acting letter a.
- (3) If  $\pi_K^a$  and  $\pi_L^a$  are distinct  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations, then  $\chi(\pi_K^a) = -\chi(\pi_L^a)$ .

LEMMA 4.3. Let  $\pi_K^a$ ,  $\pi_L^a \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $K \neq L$ , and let  $b \in V$ . If  $\pi_K^a$ ,  $\pi_L^a$  and  $\iota^b$  are  $\chi$ -hyperbolic, then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\pi_K^a$ ,  $\pi_L^a$  and  $\iota^b$  are  $\chi$ -hyperbolic. If a=b, then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma$  by Corollary 4.2(3). Thus we may assume  $b \neq a$ . Let T be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree equipped with a  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action that realizes  $\chi$ . Let  $X = C_{\pi_K^a} = C_{\pi_L^a}$ . Since  $\iota^b$  is  $\chi$ -hyperbolic, there exists a connected component M of  $\Gamma \setminus \mathrm{St}(b)$  such that  $\pi_M^b$  is  $\chi$ -hyperbolic. If  $b \notin K$ , then

$$\left[\pi_K^a, \iota^b\right] = \left[\iota^b, \pi_M^b\right] = 1;$$

if  $b \in K$ , then  $b \notin L$  and

$$\left[\pi_L^a, \iota^b\right] = \left[\iota^b, \pi_M^b\right] = 1;$$

in either case, Fact A yields

$$C_{\pi_L^a} = C_{\iota^b} = C_{\pi_M^b} = X.$$

Let  $\pi_N^c$  be an arbitrary partial conjugation. The lemma is proved if we show  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_N^c}$ , for then the  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action fixes X setwise and is therefore not exceptional. If  $\pi_N^c$  commutes with any of the automorphisms  $\pi_K^a$ ,  $\pi_L^a$ ,  $\pi_M^b$  or  $\iota^b$ , then  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_N^c}$  by Fact A. Thus, we may assume  $\pi_N^c$  commutes with none of these automorphisms. It follows that d(a,c)=d(b,c)=2 and  $b\in N$ . Since  $K\cap L=\emptyset$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $c\notin L$ . We now consider cases based on whether or not N contains a.

First, we consider the case  $a \in N$ . Since  $b \in N$  and  $c \notin L$  and  $[\pi_N^c, \pi_L^b] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_N^c, \pi_L^b)$  falls into case (3) of Lemma 3.2; thus  $N \cap L = \emptyset$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\pi_L^c$  is a partial conjugation, and  $[\pi_L^a, \delta_{L,N}^c] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\delta_{L,N}^c}$ . Since  $b \in N$ ,  $b \notin L$ , and by Corollary 3.5,  $[\iota^b, \pi_L^c] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_L^c}$ . By Fact B,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_N^c}$ .

Next, we consider the case  $a \notin N$ . Since  $a \notin N$  and  $c \notin L$  and  $[\pi_L^a, \pi_N^c] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_L^a, \pi_N^c)$  falls into case (6) of Lemma 3.2; thus N = L. Let N' be the component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(c)$  such that  $a \in N'$ . Therefore,  $[\pi_L^a, \delta_{N,N'}^c] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\delta_{N,N'}^c}$ . Since  $b \in N$ ,  $b \notin N'$ , and by Corollary 3.5,  $[\iota^b, \pi_{N'}^c] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_N^c}$ . By Fact B,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_N^c}$ .

COROLLARY 4.4. If  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c$ , then exactly one of the following holds:

- (I) For each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ , there is at most one  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugation with acting letter a.
- (II) For each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ ,  $\iota^a$  is  $\chi$ -elliptic and there are either zero or two  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations with acting letter a.

Motivated by the corollary above, we classify characters depending on which case, if any, they fall into.

DEFINITION 4.5. We say  $\chi$  is type I if for each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ , there is at most one  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugation with acting letter a. We say  $\chi$  is type II if for each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ ,  $\iota^a$  is  $\chi$ -elliptic and there are either zero or two  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations with acting letter a.

## 4.1. Characters of type I.

DEFINITION 4.6 (p-set). A set of partial conjugations  $Q \subseteq P$  is a *p-set* (or a *partionable* set) if Q satisfies the following properties:

- (1) For each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ , Q contains at most one partial conjugation with acting letter a.
- (2) The set  $\mathcal{Q}$  admits a non-trivial partition  $\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2\}$  with the property that  $a \in L$  and  $b \in K$  for each pair  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_2$ .

We say  $\{Q_1, Q_2\}$  is an admissible partition of Q.

REMARK 4.7. In the definition above, the first property is implied by the second. In this instance we have preferred transparency to brevity.

REMARK 4.8. An arbitrary maximal p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and an admissible partition  $\{\mathcal{Q}_1,\mathcal{Q}_2\}$  may be constructed as follows. Begin with a partial conjugation  $\pi_K^a$ . Let  $b_1,\ldots,b_n$  be the vertices of K. For  $j=1,\ldots,n$ , let  $L_j$  be the connected component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(b_j)$  such that  $a \in L_j$ . Let  $a=a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_m$  be the vertices of  $\bigcap_{j=1}^n L_j \neq \emptyset$ . For  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ , let  $K_i$  be the connected component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(a_i)$  such that  $b_1 \in K_i$ . Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \left\{ \pi_{K_1}^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_{K_m}^{a_m} \right\}, \qquad \mathcal{Q}_2 = \left\{ \pi_{L_1}^{b_1}, \dots, \pi_{L_n}^{b_n} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2.$$

PROPOSITION 4.9. Suppose  $\chi$  is type I and let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the set of  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations. Then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in some p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\chi$  is type I and  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in a p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2\}$  be an admissible partition of  $\mathcal{Q}$ , with

$$Q_1 = \{\pi_{K_1}^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_{K_m}^{a_m}\}$$
 and  $Q_2 = \{\pi_{L_1}^{b_1}, \dots, \pi_{L_n}^{b_n}\}.$ 

Let  $G_1$  be the free Abelian group with basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$ , let  $G_2$  be the free Abelian group with basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ , and let  $G = G_1 * G_2$ . Consider a map such that:  $\pi_{K_i}^{a_i} \mapsto u_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ ;  $\pi_{L_j}^{b_j} \mapsto v_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ ; and all other partial conjugations are mapped to the identity. It follows from Theorem 3.3

that this map determines an epimorphism  $\phi: P\Sigma(A) \to G$ . Since  $\chi$  factors through  $\phi$ , by Corollary 2.2,  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c$ .

Now suppose  $\chi$  is type I and there is no p-set containing  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let T be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree equipped with a  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action that realizes  $\chi$ . Let  $\pi_K^a \in \mathcal{H}$ , and let  $X = C_{\pi_K^a}$ . To prove the lemma it suffices to prove that  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$  for an arbitrary partial conjugation  $\pi_M^c$ , because then we have that the action fixes X setwise and hence is not exceptional. If  $\pi_M^c$  commutes with  $\pi_K^a$ , Fact A gives that  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$ . Thus we may assume that  $\pi_M^c$  does not commute with  $\pi_K^a$ .

Next we show that the elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  share the axis X. Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \pi_L^b \in \mathcal{H} \mid X \subseteq C_{\pi_L^b} \right\}.$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{I}$ , and let  $\pi_L^b \in \mathcal{H} \setminus I$ . Since  $X \nsubseteq C_{\pi_L^b}$ , we have that  $[\pi_L^b, \iota^a] \neq 1$ , and  $[\pi_K^a, \iota^b] \neq 1$ . By Corollary 3.5 we have  $a \in L$  and  $b \in K$ . It follows that  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{I})$  is an admissible partition, and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a p-set—a contradiction which proves  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{I}$ .

Now consider an arbitrary partial conjugation such that  $\pi_M^c$  does not commute with  $\pi_L^b$  or  $\iota^b$  whenever  $\pi_L^b \in \mathcal{H}$ . It follows that d(b,c)=2 for all  $\pi_K^b \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\pi_M^c$  does not commute with  $\iota^b$ ,  $b \in M$  for each  $\pi_L^b \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H} \cup \{\pi_M^c\}$  is not a p-set,  $\{\{\pi_M^c\}, \mathcal{H}\}$  is not an admissible partition. Thus there exists  $\pi_L^b \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $c \notin L$ . Since d(b,c)=2 and  $b \in M$  and  $c \notin L$  and  $[\pi_M^c, \pi_L^b] \neq 1$ , Lemma 3.4 gives that  $\pi_L^c$  is a partial conjugation. Since  $[\delta_{L,M}^c, \pi_L^b] = 1$ , Fact A gives  $X \subseteq C_{\delta_{L,M}^c}$ . By Corollary 3.5,  $[\pi_L^c, \iota^b] = 1$ . By Fact A,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_L^c}$ . By Fact B,  $X \subseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$ .

## 4.2. Characters of type II.

DEFINITION 4.10 ( $\delta$ -p-set). A set of partial conjugations  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  is a  $\delta$ -p-set if Q satisfies the following properties:

- (1) For each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ , Q contains either zero or two partial conjugations with acting letter a.
- (2) The set  $\mathcal{Q}$  admits a non-trivial partition  $\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2\}$  such that  $a \in L$  or  $b \in K$  or K = L for each pair  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_2$ .

We say  $\{Q_1, Q_2\}$  is an admissible  $\delta$ -partition of Q.

REMARK 4.11. It follows from the definitions that if  $\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_{-1}}^a \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $K_1 \neq K_{-1}$ , then either  $\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_{-1}}^a \in \mathcal{Q}_1$  or  $\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_{-1}}^a \in \mathcal{Q}_2$ . Further, for each quadruple

$$(\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_{-1}}^a, \pi_{L_1}^b, \pi_{L_{-1}}^b) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_2 \times \mathcal{Q}_2,$$

 $a \in L_i$  and  $b \in K_j$  and  $K_{-i} = L_{-j}$  for some  $i, j \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

LEMMA 4.12. Let  $\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_1}^b, \pi_{L_2}^b \in \mathcal{P}$  be distinct partial conjugations. Then  $[\pi_{K_i}^a, \pi_{L_j}^b] \neq 1$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  if and only if  $\{\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_1}^b, \pi_{L_2}^b\}$  is a  $\delta$ -p-set.

Proof. Assume  $[\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{L_j}^b] \neq 1$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $a \notin L_2$  and  $b \notin K_2$ . Since  $a \notin L_2$  and  $b \notin K_2$  and  $[\pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_2}^b] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_2}^b)$  falls into case (6) of Lemma 3.2; thus  $K_2 = L_2$ . Since  $b \notin K_2$  and  $K_2 \cap L_1 = L_2 \cap L_1 = \emptyset$  and  $[\pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_1}^b] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_1}^b)$  falls into case (3) of Lemma 3.2; thus  $a \in L_1$ . Since  $a \notin L_2$  and  $K_1 \cap L_2 = K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$  and  $[\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{L_2}^b] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{L_2}^b)$  falls into case (3) of Lemma 3.2; thus  $b \in K_1$ . Thus  $\{\{\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_2}^a\}, \{\pi_{L_1}^b, \pi_{L_2}^b\}\}$  is an admissible δ-partition of  $\{\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_{L_1}^b, \pi_{L_2}^b\}$ . The converse follows immediately from the definitions and Lemma 3.2. □

LEMMA 4.13. Let  $\pi_{K_1}^a$ ,  $\pi_{K_2}^a$ ,  $\pi_M^c$  be distinct partial conjugations, and let T be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree equipped with a  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action that realizes  $\chi$ . If  $\pi_{K_1}^a$  and  $\pi_{K_2}^a$  are  $\chi$ -hyperbolic,  $c \notin K_1$  and  $C_{\pi_{K_1}^a} \nsubseteq C_{\pi_M^a}$ , then  $c \in K_2$  and  $\pi_{K_1}^c \in \mathcal{P}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\pi_{K_1}^a$  and  $\pi_{K_2}^a$  are  $\chi$ -hyperbolic and  $c \notin K_1$ . Let T be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree equipped with a  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action that realizes  $\chi$ , and suppose  $C_{\pi_{K_1}^a} \nsubseteq C_{\pi_M^c}$ . It follows that d(a,c)=2.

Since  $c \notin K_1$  and  $[\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_M^c] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into either case (3) or case (6) of Lemma 3.2. If  $(\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (3),  $a \in M$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\pi_{K_1}^c \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $[\delta_{K_1,M}^c, \pi_{K_1}^a] = 1$ , but Fact B cannot be used, we must have that  $[\pi_{K_1}^c, \pi_{K_2}^a] \neq 1$ ; thus  $(\pi_{K_1}^c, \pi_{K_2}^a)$  falls into case (3) of Lemma 3.2, and  $c \in K_2$ . If  $(\pi_{K_1}^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (6), we have  $a \notin M$  and  $M = K_1$ . But then since  $a \notin M$  and  $M \cap K_2 = \emptyset$  and  $[\pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_M^c] \neq 1$ , the pair  $(\pi_{K_2}^a, \pi_M^c)$  falls into case (3) of Lemma 3.2. Thus  $c \in K_2$ .

PROPOSITION 4.14. Suppose  $\chi$  is type II and let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the set of  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations. Then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in some  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in some  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2\}$  be an admissible partition of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \left\{ \pi_{K_1}^{a_1}, \pi_{L_1}^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_{K_m}^{a_m}, \pi_{L_m}^{a_m} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 = \left\{ \pi_{M_1}^{b_1}, \pi_{N_1}^{b_1}, \dots, \pi_{M_n}^{b_n}, \pi_{N_n}^{b_n} \right\}.$$

Let  $G_1$  be the free Abelian group with basis  $\{u_1,\ldots,u_m\}$ ,  $G_2$  be the free Abelian group with basis  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$ , and  $G=G_1*G_2$ . Define  $\phi:P\Sigma(A)\to G$  by  $\pi_{K_i}^{a_i}\mapsto u_i$  and  $\pi_{L_i}^{a_i}\mapsto u_i^{-1}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,m,$   $\pi_{M_j}^{b_j}\mapsto v_j$  and  $\pi_{N_j}^{b_j}\mapsto v_j^{-1}$  for  $j=1,\ldots,n$ , and all other generators map to the identity. For  $\pi_{K_i}^{a_i}\in \mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\pi_{M_j}^{b_j}\in \mathcal{Q}_2$ , we have either  $a_i\in M_j$  or  $K_i=M_j$ , and in either case,  $[\pi_{K_i}^{a_i},\pi_{M_j}^{b_j}]\neq 1$ . Thus,  $\phi$  is a well-defined epimorphism. Since  $\chi$  factors through this map, by Corollary 2.2, we have  $[\chi]\in \Sigma^c$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  is not contained in some  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let T be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree equipped with an  $P\Sigma(A)$ -action that realizes  $\chi$ . Since  $\chi$  is type II, we have  $\pi_{a,K}, \pi_{a,L} \in \mathcal{H}$  for some vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ . Let  $X = C_{\pi_K^a} = C_{\pi_L^a}$ .

First, we will show  $X = C_{\pi_M^b}$  for each  $\pi_M^b \in \mathcal{H}$ . Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{\pi_M^b \in \mathcal{H} \mid X = C_{\pi_M^b}\}$ . Assume  $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{I}$ , and let  $\pi_M^b \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ . Since  $\pi_M^b \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $\pi_N^b \in \mathcal{H}$  where  $M \neq N$ , and clearly  $\pi_N^b \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\pi_Q^c \in \mathcal{I}$ . Again, there must be  $\pi_R^c \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $Q \neq R$ . By Lemma 4.12,  $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{I})$  is an admissible  $\delta$ -partition which is a contradiction, so  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{I}$ .

Now let  $\pi_M^b$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \pi_{K_1}^{a_1}, \pi_{L_1}^{a_1}, \dots, \pi_{K_m}^{a_m}, \pi_{L_m}^{a_m} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 4.13, either  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_M^b}$  or without loss of generality,  $b\in K_i$  and  $\pi_{L_i}^b\in \mathcal{P}$  for each  $i=1,\ldots,m$ . Assume the latter is true, so either  $a_i\notin M$  for some  $i\in \{1,\ldots,m\}$  or  $a_i\in M$  for each  $i\in \{1,\ldots,m\}$ . If  $a_i\notin M$ , then  $\pi_M^b$  commutes with  $\pi_{L_i}^{a_i}$  which implies by Fact A that  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_M^b}$ . Suppose for each  $i=1,\ldots,m,\ a_i\in M$ . If  $L_i\cap L_j=\emptyset$  for some  $i\neq j$ , then  $[\pi_{L_i}^b,\pi_{L_j}^{a_j}]=1$  which implies  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_{L_i}^b}$ . Since  $a\in M$  and  $b\notin L_i$  and  $L_i\cap M=\emptyset$ , we have  $[\delta_{L_i,M}^b,\pi_{L_i}^{a_i}]=1$ . By Fact A,  $X\subseteq C_{\delta_{L_i,M}^b}$ , and by Fact B,  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_M^b}$ . Suppose  $L_i\cap L_j\neq\emptyset$  for each pair (i,j). Then  $L_i=L_j$  for each pair (i,j) since these are connected components of  $\Gamma\setminus \mathrm{St}(b)$ . Denote by L this connected component. Then  $(\{\pi_M^b,\pi_L^b\},\mathcal{H})$  is an admissible partition of the  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{H}\cup \{\pi_M^b,\pi_L^b\}$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $X\subseteq C_{\pi_M^b}$ , and  $[\chi]\in \Sigma$ .

Proposition 4.9 and Proposition 4.14 prove our first main theorem.

THEOREM A. Let  $\chi: P\Sigma(A) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a character, and let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the set of  $\chi$ -hyperbolic partial conjugations. Then  $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c$  if and only if  $\mathcal{H}$  is contained in a set of partial conjugations  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that either:

- (1) The set Q admits a partition  $\{Q_1, Q_2\}$  with the property that  $a \in L$  and  $b \in K$  for each pair  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b) \in Q_1 \times Q_2$ ; or
- (2) For each vertex  $a \in V \setminus Z$ ,  $\iota^a$  is  $\chi$ -elliptic, and  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains either zero or two partial conjugations with acting letter a; and  $\mathcal{Q}$  admits a partition  $\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2\}$  with the property that  $a \in L$  or  $b \in K$  or K = L for each pair  $(\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \times \mathcal{Q}_2$ .

EXAMPLE 4.15. Let  $A = \langle a, b, c, d, e \mid [a, b], [b, c], [c, d], [c, e] \rangle$ . The pure symmetric automorphism group  $P\Sigma(A)$  is generated by the set

$$\big\{\pi^{a}_{\{c,d,e\}},\pi^{b}_{\{d\}},\pi^{b}_{\{e\}},\pi^{c}_{\{a\}},\pi^{d}_{\{a,b\}},\pi^{d}_{\{e\}},\pi^{e}_{\{a,b\}},\pi^{e}_{\{d\}}\big\},$$

so  $S(P\Sigma(A))$  is a 7-dimensional sphere. The maximal p-sets are:

- (1)  $Q_1 = \{\pi_{\{c,d,e\}}^a, \pi_{\{a\}}^c, \pi_{\{a,b\}}^d, \pi_{\{a,b\}}^e\}$  with admissible partition  $\{\pi_{\{c,d,e\}}^a\}$  and  $\{\pi_{\{a\}}^c, \pi_{\{a,b\}}^d, \pi_{\{a,b\}}^e\}$ ,
- (2)  $Q_2 = \{\pi^a_{\{c,d,e\}}, \pi^b_{\{d\}}, \pi^d_{\{a,b\}}\}$  with admissible partition  $\{\pi^a_{\{c,d,e\}}, \pi^b_{\{d\}}\}$  and  $\{\pi^d_{\{a,b\}}\}$ ,

- (3)  $Q_3 = \{\pi^a_{\{c,d,e\}}, \pi^b_{\{e\}}, \pi^e_{\{a,b\}}\}$  with admissible partition  $\{\pi^a_{\{c,d,e\}}, \pi^b_{\{e\}}\}$  and  $\{\pi^e_{\{a,b\}}\}$ , and
- (4)  $Q_4 = \{\pi_{\{e\}}^d, \pi_{\{d\}}^e\}.$

The only maximal  $\delta$ -p-set is  $\{\pi^b_{\{d\}}, \pi^b_{\{e\}}, \pi^d_{\{a,b\}}, \pi^d_{\{e\}}, \pi^e_{\{a,b\}}, \pi^e_{\{d\}}\}$  with admissible partition  $\{\pi^b_{\{d\}}, \pi^b_{\{e\}}\}$  and  $\{\pi^d_{\{a,b\}}, \pi^d_{\{e\}}, \pi^e_{\{a,b\}}, \pi^e_{\{d\}}\}$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma^c$  consists of the characters  $[\chi]$  such that:

- (1)  $\chi$  sends all generators to zero except maybe those generators in  $Q_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le 4$ , or
- (2)  $\chi(\pi^b_{\{d\}}) = -(\pi^b_{\{e\}}), \chi(\pi^d_{\{a,b\}}) = -\chi(\pi^d_{\{e\}}), \chi(\pi^e_{\{a,b\}}) = -\chi(\pi^e_{\{d\}}),$  and  $\chi$  sends all other generators to zero.

## 5. Right-angled Artin groups with separating intersecting links

A graph  $\Gamma$  has a separating intersection of links (SIL) if there exists a pair a,b of distinct non-adjacent vertices such that  $\Gamma \setminus (\mathrm{Lk}(a) \cap \mathrm{Lk}(b))$  has a connected component M containing neither a nor b. The following proposition was proven in [CRSV10], and we state the result in terms of our particular circumstance.

PROPOSITION 5.1 ([CRSV10, Theorem 3.6]). If the defining graph  $\Gamma$  contains no SILs, then  $P\Sigma(A)$  is isomorphic to a right-angled Artin group.

In this section we prove the converse to Proposition 5.1, which completes the proof of Theorem B. We continue to use the notation described above.

Given a non-trivial character  $\psi: A \to \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\Gamma_{\psi}$  for the full subgraph of  $\Gamma$  spanned by the set of  $\psi$ -hyperbolic vertices. The subgraph  $\Gamma_{\psi}$  is called dominating if every vertex in  $\Gamma$  is either in, or adjacent to a vertex in,  $\Gamma_{\psi}$ . It was shown in [MV95] that:

THEOREM 5.2 ([MV95, Theorem 4.1]). Suppose  $[\psi] \in S(A)$ . Then  $[\psi] \in \Sigma^1(A)$  if and only if  $\Gamma_{\psi}$  is connected and dominating.

For each set of vertices  $U \subseteq V$ , we write S(U) for the sub-sphere

$$\{ [\psi] \in S(A) \mid \psi(v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \setminus U \}.$$

We note that S(U) is a sub-sphere of dimension |U|-1 (we consider  $S(\emptyset)$  to be a sub-sphere of dimension -1). We say S(U) is a missing sub-sphere if  $S(U) \subseteq \Sigma(A)^c$ , and we note this holds exactly when the full subgraph spanned by U is disconnected or non-dominating. If U spans a subgraph of  $\Gamma$  which is non-dominating, then every subset of U spans a subset of  $\Gamma$  which is disconnected, then every subset of U spans a subset of  $\Gamma$  which is disconnected, then every subset of U spans a subset of  $\Gamma$  which is disconnected or non-dominating. It follows that if S(U) and S(W) are missing sub-spheres, then  $S(U \cap W)$  is a missing sub-sphere. It also follows that  $\Sigma^1(A)$  is constructed from S(A)

by removing the maximal missing sub-spheres. Viewing the construction of  $\Sigma^1(A)$  in this distinctive way, we observe the following:

LEMMA 5.3. If A is a right-angled Artin group, and  $S_1, \ldots, S_p \subseteq S(A)$  are the maximal missing sub-spheres, then

$$\operatorname{rk}(A/[A,A]) - \operatorname{rk}(Z(A))$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} \dim(S_{i}) - \sum_{i < j} \dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j})$$

$$+ \sum_{i < j < k} \dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j} \cap S_{k}) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \dim(S_{1} \cap \dots \cap S_{p}).$$

Proof. Since  $\operatorname{rk}(A/[A,A]) = |V|$ , and  $\operatorname{rk}(Z(A)) = |Z|$ , the lemma is proved if we show that the right-hand side of the equation sums to  $|V \setminus Z|$ . It follows from Theorem 5.2 that, for each i,  $S_i = S(U_i)$  for some maximal set of vertices  $U_i$  which spans a disconnected or non-dominating subgraph of  $\Gamma$ . For each vertex  $v \in V \setminus Z$ , the singleton set  $\{v\}$  spans a non-dominating subgraph of  $\Gamma$ , and hence v is contained in at least one set  $U_i$ . Any set of vertices containing an element of Z spans a connected and dominating subgraph of  $\Gamma$ . Thus we have  $V \setminus Z = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_p$ . Now the Principle of Inclusion–Exclusion, together with the identity  $\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^{i-1} {p \choose i} = 1$ , gives:

$$|U_{1} \cup U_{2} \cup \dots \cup U_{p}|$$

$$= \sum_{i} |U_{i}| - \sum_{i < j} |U_{i} \cap U_{j}|$$

$$+ \sum_{i < j < k} |U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap U_{k}| - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} |U_{1} \cap \dots \cap U_{p}|$$

$$= \sum_{i} (\dim(S_{i}) + 1) - \sum_{i < j} (\dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j}) + 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{i < j < k} (\dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j} \cap S_{k}) + 1) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} (\dim(S_{1} \cap \dots \cap S_{p}) + 1)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} \dim(S_{i}) - \sum_{i < j} \dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j})$$

$$+ \sum_{i < j < k} \dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j} \cap S_{k}) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \dim(S_{1} \cap \dots \cap S_{p}).$$

Next, we characterize the maximal missing sub-spheres in S(A) by a property which makes no reference to the canonical generating set of A, thereby allowing us to identify the only candidates for maximal missing sub-spheres in S(G) when we do not yet know whether or not G is a right-angled Artin group.

A normal subgroup K in a finitely-generated group G is a complement kernel if  $K = \ker(\psi)$  for some  $[\psi] \in \Sigma(G)^c$ . For such K, the set

$$\left\{ [\psi] \in \Sigma^1(G)^c \mid K \subseteq \ker(\psi) \right\}$$

is the complement subspace determined by K.

LEMMA 5.4. For each subset  $S \subseteq S(A)$ , S is a maximal missing sub-sphere if and only if S is the complement subspace determined by some minimal complement kernel K.

*Proof.* Suppose S = S(U) is a maximal missing sub-sphere in S(A), with  $U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_p\}$ . Let  $\psi_U : A \to \mathbb{R}$  denote the character such that

$$\psi_U(v) = 0$$
 for  $v \in V \setminus U$  and  $\psi_U(u_i) = \pi^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ .

Since  $\pi$  is transcendental,  $K_U = \ker(\psi_U)$  consists of those elements  $a \in A$  with zero exponent sums in each of the vertices  $u_1, \ldots, u_p$ . It follows that  $[\psi_U] \in S(U)$ , and  $K_U \subseteq \ker(\psi)$  for every  $[\psi] \in S(U)$ . Thus S(U) is the complement subspace determined by  $K_U$ . The maximality of U, together with Theorem 5.2, implies that  $K_U$  is minimal amongst the kernels of characters in  $\Sigma^1(A)^c$ . It also follows from Theorem 5.2 that every minimal complement kernel arises in this way.

We now have an approach for showing that a finitely-generated torsion-free group G is not a right-angled Artin group: we identify the minimal complement kernels  $K_1, \ldots, K_p$  in G; use these to identify the corresponding complement subspaces  $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  in S(G); then show that Lemma 5.3 fails. We carry out this plan for  $P\Sigma(A)$  when  $\Gamma$  contains a SIL.

Lemma 5.5. If S is the complement subspace corresponding to a minimal complement kernel K in  $P\Sigma(A)$ , then either:

$$S = \left\{ [\chi] \in S \left( P \Sigma(A) \right) \mid \chi \left( \pi_K^a \right) = 0 \text{ for all } \pi_K^a \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q} \right\}$$

for some maximal p-set Q, in which case  $\dim(S) = |Q| - 1$ ; or

$$S = \{ [\chi] \in S(A) \mid \chi(\pi_K^a) = 0 \text{ for all } \pi_K^a \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{Q}, \text{ and } \chi(\iota^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V \}$$
 for some maximal  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ , in which case  $\dim(S) = |\mathcal{Q}|/2 - 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose S is the complement subspace corresponding to a minimal complement kernel K in  $P\Sigma(A)$ , and let  $\chi: P\Sigma(A) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a character with kernel K. By Corollary 4.4,  $\chi$  is type I or type II.

Consider first the case that  $\chi$  is type I. By Proposition 4.9, the  $\chi$ -hyperbolic vertices comprise a p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The minimality of K implies that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is not contained in a larger p-set. That S is as described follows immediately.

Now consider the case that  $\chi$  is type II. By Proposition 4.14, the  $\chi$ -hyperbolic vertices comprise a  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The minimality of K implies that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is not contained in a larger  $\delta$ -p-set. That S is as described follows immediately.

LEMMA 5.6. If  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_p$  are the maximal p-sets in  $P\Sigma(A)$ , and  $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  the corresponding complement subspaces, then

$$\operatorname{rk}(P\Sigma(A)/[P\Sigma(A), P\Sigma(A)])$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{i} \dim(S_{i}) - \sum_{i < j} \dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j})$$

$$+ \sum_{i < j < k} \dim(S_{i} \cap S_{j} \cap S_{k}) - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \dim(S_{1} \cap \dots \cap S_{p}).$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that  $\operatorname{rk}(P\Sigma(A)/[P\Sigma(A),P\Sigma(A)]) = |\mathcal{P}|$ . Suppose  $\pi_K^a \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let b be a vertex in K, and let L be the connected component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(b)$  such that  $a \in L$ . Then  $\{\pi_K^a, \pi_L^b\}$  is a p-set. Thus every partial conjugation is contained in at least one p-set. Now, as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, the lemma follows from the Principle of Inclusion–Exclusion and the identity  $\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j-1} \binom{p}{j} = 1$ .

COROLLARY 5.7. If  $P\Sigma(A)$  is isomorphic to a right-angled Artin group, then  $\Sigma^1(P\Sigma(A))^c$  contains no characters of type II.

*Proof.* Assume the notation of Lemma 5.6. Suppose  $\Sigma^1(P\Sigma(A))^c$  contains a character of type II. Then there exists a maximal  $\delta$ -p-set  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and corresponding complement subspace S. By Lemma 5.5, since  $|\mathcal{Q}| \geq 4$ ,  $\dim(S) \geq 1$ . Since no character is both type I and type II,  $S \cap S_i = \emptyset$  for each i. It follows from Lemma 5.6 that the equation in Theorem 5.3 fails because the right-hand side exceeds the left-hand side.

PROPOSITION 5.8. If  $\Gamma$  contains a SIL, then  $P\Sigma(A)$  is not isomorphic to a right-angled Artin group.

Proof. Suppose Γ contains a SIL. Let a,b and M be as in the definition of a SIL, let K be the connected component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(a)$  that contains b, and let L be the connected component of  $\Gamma \setminus \operatorname{St}(b)$  that contains a. The set  $\{\pi_K^a, \pi_M^a, \pi_L^b, \pi_M^b\}$  is a δ-p-set. In particular,  $\Sigma^1(P\Sigma(A))$  contains at least one character of type II. By Corollary 5.7,  $P\Sigma(A)$  is not isomorphic to a right-angled Artin group.

Proposition 5.8 and [CRSV10, Theorem 3.6] prove Theorem B.

#### References

[BNS87] R. Bieri, W. D. Neumann and R. Strebel, A geometric invariant of discrete groups, Invent. Math. 90 (1987), no. 3, 451–477. MR 0914846

[Bro87] K. S. Brown, Trees, valuations, and the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant, Invent. Math. 90 (1987), no. 3, 479-504. MR 0914847

[CRSV10] R. Charney, K. Ruane, N. Stambaugh and A. Vijayan, The automorphism group of a graph product with no SIL, Illinois J. Math. 54 (2010), no. 1, 249–262. MR 2776995

- [GPR12] M. Gutierrez, A. Piggott and K. Ruane, On the automorphisms of a graph product of Abelian groups, Groups Geom. Dyn. 6 (2012), no. 1, 125–153. MR 2888948
- [Lau95] M. R. Laurence, A generating set for the automorphism group of a graph group,
   J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 52 (1995), no. 2, 318–334. MR 1356145
- [MV95] J. Meier and L. VanWyk, The Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariants for graph groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 3 (1995), no. 2, 263–280. MR 1337468
- [OK00] L. Orlandi-Korner, The Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant for basis-conjugating automorphisms of free groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 5, 1257– 1262. MR 1712889
- [Toi12] E. Toinet, Automorphismes des groupes d'Artin à angles droits, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Bourgogne, 2012.

NIC KOBAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MAINE FARMINGTON, FARMINGTON, ME 04938, USA

E-mail address: nicholas.koban@maine.edu

Adam Piggott, Department of Mathematics, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA 17837, USA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb"adam.piggott@bucknell.edu"$