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#### Abstract

We study the projective embeddings of complete Gorenstein toric del Pezzo surfaces by ample complete linear systems, especially of minimal degree and dimension. Complete Gorenstein toric del Pezzo surfaces are in one-to-one correspondence with the 2-dimensional reflexive integral convex polytopes, which are classified into 16 types up to isomorphisms of lattices. Our main result shows that the minimal dimension and the minimal degree of all the ample complete linear systems on such a surface are attained by the primitive anti-canonical class except one case. From this, we determine the projective embeddings of these surfaces which are global complete intersections. We also show that the minimal free resolution of the defining ideal of the image under the anti-canonical embedding of these surfaces is given by an Eagon-Northcott complex.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to study the embeddings of complete Gorenstein toric del Pezzo surfaces (abbreviated as GTDP surfaces in the following) into projective spaces by ample complete linear systems, especially of minimal dimension and minimal degree. The GTDP surfaces are in one-to-one correspondence with the 2-dimensional reflexive integral convex polytopes via toric geometry, which are classified into 16 types up to the isomorphisms of lattices ([4], [5]). They are labeled $R_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 16)$ in this note (Figure 1). Though these 16 polytopes contain all the geometrical information of these surfaces, we would like to describe them as a variety in projective spaces. Then the projective embeddings of minimal dimension and minimal degree are the most favorable and we will study them in this note. We also note


Figure 1. The 16 2-dimensional reflexive integral convex polytopes.
that these Gorenstein surfaces are actually locally complete intersection since they have at worst $A_{n}$-singularities. Thus, we have a natural question if these surfaces are global complete intersections in projective spaces or not.

Our three main results are summarized as follows. In Theorem 2.1, we show that the projective embedding of minimal dimension and minimal degree by ample complete linear systems on GTDP surfaces is given simultaneously by the primitive anti-canonical class except one case. We next determine when GTDP surfaces are complete intersections in projective spaces in Theorem 2.2. Finally, in Proposition 2.4, we show that the minimal free resolution of the defining ideal of the image under the anti-canonical embedding of GTDP surfaces is given by an Eagon-Northcott complex.

For toric varieties, we follow the notations and terminology of Fulton [2]. We also assume that all the varieties and morphisms are defined over a fixed algebraically closed field $k$ of characteristic 0 . We finally note that part of this note is based on the master thesis of the first author [3].

## 2. Main results

Let $R_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 16)$ be a 2 -dimensional reflexive integral convex polytope. Let $S_{i}$ be the GTDP surface corresponding to $R_{i}$ and $\mathrm{AC}\left(S_{i}\right)$ the set of all the ample line bundles on $S_{i}$ (the ample cone of $S_{i}$ ). We note that any ample line bundle on $S_{i}$ is generated by global sections and very ample ([2, Exercise on p. 70]).

Let $-K_{S}$ be the anti-canonical bundle of $S=S_{i}$ and $t$ the index of $S$ ( $t$ is the maximal natural number such that $\frac{K_{S}}{t} \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ ). Then we call $-K_{S}^{\prime}:=-\frac{1}{t} K_{S} \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ the primitive anti-canonical bundle of $S$ temporarily in this note.

Now, we state our first main result.
THEOREM 2.1. Let $S=S_{i}$ be a GTDP surface. Consider two functions $h^{0}, \operatorname{deg}: \mathrm{AC}(S) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots\}$ defined by $h^{0}(L):=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(S, L)$ and $\operatorname{deg} L:=$ $L^{2}$. Then
(i) If $S \neq S_{14}$, then the minimal values of $h^{0}$ and deg are taken simultaneously by and only by the primitive anti-canonical bundle of $S$.
(ii) If $S=S_{14}$ (the blowing-up of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at a point), then the minimal values of $h^{0}$ and deg are taken simultaneously by and only by $\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)\right)+\mathcal{O}_{S_{14}}(-E)$, where $\pi: S_{14} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is the blowing-up of a point of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $E \subset S_{14}$ is the exceptional divisor.

Proof. We consider the $S=S_{8}$ case as a typical case. Let $R_{8}$ be the 2dimensional reflexive integral convex polytope and $R_{8}^{\circ}$ the polar of $R_{8}$. The fan $\Delta_{8}:=\Delta_{R_{8}}$ of $S_{8}$ is given by the set of cones generated by the faces of $R_{8}^{\circ}$ and the origin (Figure 2).
(i) We first describe $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$ and $K_{S}$ explicitly. Let $D$ be a $T$-Cartier divisor on $S$. Then $D$ is given by (and identified with) a collection $\psi=\psi_{D}$ of 5 linear integral functions $\left\{\psi_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 5\right\}$, where $\psi_{i}=a_{i} x+b_{i} y\left(a_{i}, b_{i} \in\right.$


Figure 2. $R_{8}, R_{8}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta_{8}$.
$\mathbf{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq 5)$ is a linear integral form on $\sigma_{i}$. We think of $\psi$ as an element $\psi={ }^{t}\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, \ldots, a_{5}, b_{5}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{10}$.

By the compatibility of $\psi_{i}$ 's on the edges $\gamma_{i}$, we have $\psi_{1}\left(v_{2}\right)=\psi_{2}\left(v_{2}\right)$ etc. Thus, we have five equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{1}=b_{2}, \quad-a_{2}+b_{2}=-a_{3}+b_{3}, \quad-a_{3}-b_{3}=-a_{4}-b_{4}, \\
a_{4}-b_{4}=a_{5}-b_{5}, \quad a_{5}=a_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, by setting

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have $\mathrm{T}-\operatorname{CDiv}(S) \simeq \operatorname{ker}\left(A: \mathbf{Z}^{10} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{5}\right)$, where $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{CDiv}(S)$ is the group of T-Cartier divisors. By the elementary divisor theory, we have $B=P A Q$, where

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the Smith normal form (the elementary divisor matrix) of $A$,

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{G L}(5, \mathbf{Z})
$$

and

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{G L}(10, \mathbf{Z})
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{T}-\operatorname{CDiv}(S) \simeq \operatorname{ker} A=Q(\operatorname{ker} B)$ is a free submodule generated by the $i$ th columns $(6 \leq i \leq 10)$ of $Q$. Set $f_{i}:=(i+5)$ th column of $Q(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ so that $\operatorname{T}-\operatorname{CDiv}(S) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} \mathbf{Z} f_{i}$.

Furthermore, the principal T-Cartier divisors of $S$ are given by a global integral linear form $a x+b y$ on $\mathbf{R}^{2}(a, b \in \mathbf{Z})$. Thus, the subgroup of the principal T-Cartier divisors is generated by $r_{1}:={ }^{t}(1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0)$ and $r_{2}:={ }^{t}(0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,1) \in \mathbf{Z}^{10}$. Set $r_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{5} \alpha_{j} f_{j}$ and $r_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{5} \beta_{j} f_{j}$. Then we have $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{5}\right)=(0,0,0,1,0)$ and $\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{5}\right)=(1,1,1,0,1)$. Thus, if we set

$$
C:={ }^{t}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $\operatorname{Pic}(S) \simeq \operatorname{coker}\left(C: \mathbf{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{5}\right)$.
Let

$$
H={ }^{t}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the Smith normal form of $C$ so that $H=R C U$, where

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{G L}(5, \mathbf{Z}) \quad \text { and } \quad U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{G} \mathbf{L}(2, \mathbf{Z})
$$

Then $\operatorname{Pic}(S) \simeq \operatorname{coker} C=R^{-1}(\operatorname{coker} H)$. Since coker $H$ is generated by ${ }^{t}(0,0$, $1,0,0),{ }^{t}(0,0,0,1,0),{ }^{t}(0,0,0,0,1)$ and

$$
R^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

we know $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$ is generated by ${ }^{t}(0,0,1,0,0),{ }^{t}(1,0,0,0,0),{ }^{t}(0,0,0,0,1)$ in $\mathbf{Z}^{5}$. Thus, we conclude that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbf{Z} \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{3}\right) \oplus \mathbf{Z} \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right) \oplus \mathbf{Z} \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{5}\right) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{3}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{i}\right)$ is the line bundle corresponding to $f_{i}$.

The canonical bundle $K_{S} \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ is represented as

$$
\psi_{K_{S}}=\{(x+y),(y),(-x),(-y),(x)\}=^{t}(1,1,0,1,-1,0,0,-1,1,0) \in \mathbf{Z}^{10}
$$

as an integral piecewise linear function on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ since it is characterized by $\psi_{K_{S}}\left(v_{i}\right)=1(1 \leq i \leq 5)$. Since $K_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{2}-f_{3}\right)=-\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right)-2 \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{3}\right)-$ $\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{5}\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}(S), K_{S}$ is not divisible in Pic $S$ and the index of $S=S_{8}$ is 1 .
(ii) Let $D_{i}$ be the prime T-Weil divisor corresponding to the edge $\gamma_{i}=$ $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} v_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 5)$. Then the Weil divisor $E_{1}=\left[f_{3}\right]$ corresponding to the T-Cartier divisor $f_{3}$ is calculated as $E_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{5}-\left\langle f_{3}, v_{j}\right\rangle D_{j}=2 D_{1}+2 D_{5}$. Similarly, we have $E_{2}:=\left[f_{1}\right]=-2 D_{1}+2 D_{4}-2 D_{5}$ and $E_{3}:=\left[f_{5}\right]=-D_{1}$.

Now let us determine the intersection product on the Weil divisor class group over $\mathbf{Q}, A_{1}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}=A_{1}(S) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{Q}$. In the case $i \neq j$, the intersection
number $\left(D_{i}, D_{j}\right) \in \mathbf{Q}$ is calculated as

$$
\left(D_{i}, D_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \gamma_{\mathrm{i}} \text { and } \gamma_{\mathrm{j}} \text { does not generate a cone } \sigma \in \Delta \\ \frac{1}{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)\right|}, & \text { if } \gamma_{\mathrm{i}} \text { and } \gamma_{\mathrm{j}} \text { generate a cone } \sigma \in \Delta\end{cases}
$$

The principal T-Cartier divisor $\phi=\{-x\}$ defines a T-Weil divisor $[\phi]=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{5}-\phi\left(v_{j}\right) D_{j}=1 D_{1}+0 D_{2}+(-1) d_{3}+(-1) D_{4}+1 D_{5}=D_{1}-D_{3}-D_{4}+D_{5}$, and the corresponding line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{S}(\phi)=0$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$. Similarly, the principal T-Cartier divisor $\psi=\{-y\}$ defines a T-Weil divisor $[\psi]=\sum_{j=1}^{5}-\psi\left(v_{j}\right) \times$ $D_{j}=D_{2}+D_{3}-D_{4}-D_{5}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{S}(\psi)=0$ in $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$.

From these, we get the intersection numbers of $\left\{D_{j}\right\}$ as $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=\left(D_{2}\right.$, $\left.D_{3}\right)=\left(D_{1}, D_{5}\right)=1,\left(D_{3}, D_{4}\right)=\left(D_{4}, D_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{2},\left(D_{i}, D_{j}\right)=0$ for other $i \neq j$, and $D_{1}{ }^{2}=D_{2}{ }^{2}=-1, D_{3}{ }^{2}=D_{5}{ }^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}, D_{4}{ }^{2}=0$.

Thus, we get the intersection matrix

$$
I=\left(\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 & 4 & 0 \\
4 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For the calculation of $h^{0}(L)=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(S, L)$ and $\operatorname{deg} L=L^{2}$ for $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$, it is convenient to take an orthogonal basis of $\operatorname{Pic}(S)$. Set $F_{1}:=E_{1}+2 E_{3}, F_{2}:=$ $E_{2}, F_{3}:=-E_{1}-E_{3}$. Then we have the intersection matrix

$$
J=\left(\left(F_{i}, F_{j}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then noting that $K_{S}=F_{1}-F_{2}+3 F_{3}$, from Riemann-Roch and the vanishing theorem ([2, Corollary on p. 74$]$ ), we have for any $a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}$ in the ample cone $\mathrm{AC}(S)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right) \\
& \quad=1+\frac{1}{2}\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right)\left(-F_{1}+F_{2}-3 F_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=1+a-b-\frac{3}{2} c-a^{2}-b^{2}+\frac{c^{2}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right)=\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right)^{2}=-2 a^{2}-2 b^{2}+c^{2}
$$

(iii) We determine the ample cone $\mathrm{AC}(S)$. Note that $A_{1}(S)$ is generated by $D_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 5)$. Then by Kleiman's ampleness criterion, $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ is ample if and only if $\left(L, D_{i}\right)>0(1 \leq i \leq 5)$. Since $\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}, D_{1}\right)=2 a-c,\left(a F_{1}+\right.$ $\left.b F_{2}+c F_{3}, D_{2}\right)=-2 b-c,\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}, D_{3}\right)=b,\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}, D_{4}\right)=$ $a-b-c,\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}, D_{5}\right)=-a$, we have that $a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}$ is ample if and only if $2 a-c>0,-2 b-c>0, b>0,-a>0$ (note that $a-b-c>0$
is unnecessary). Hence, $\mathrm{AC}(S)=\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{Z}^{3} \mid 2 a-c>0,-2 b-c>0, b>\right.$ $0,-a>0\}$.

So our minimization problem reduces to an elementary problem of minimizing $h^{0}\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(a F_{1}+b F_{2}+c F_{3}\right)$ on $\mathrm{AC}(S)$. We use Mathematica [8] to solve this. If we type:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Minimize }\left[\left\{-a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2} / 2+a-b-(3 / 2) c+1,\right.\right. \\
& \quad 2 a-c \geqq 1,-2 b-c \geqq 1, b \geqq 1,-a \geqq 1\},\{a, b, c\}],
\end{aligned}
$$

then the answer is:

$$
\longrightarrow\{5,\{a=-1, b=1, c=-3\}\} .
$$

Similarly, if we type:
Minimize $\left[\left\{-2 a^{2}-2 b^{2}+c^{2}, 2 a-c \geqq 1,-2 b-c \geqq 1, b \geqq 1,-a \geqq 1\right\},\{a, b, c\}\right]$, then we have:

$$
\longrightarrow\{6,\{a=-1, b=1, c=-3\}\} .
$$

We note that Mathematica minimizes $h^{0}$ and deg in the closed continuous domain $\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \mid 2 a-c \geq 1,-2 b-c \geq 1, b \geq 1,-a \geq 1\right\}$, but this causes no problem. Thus, the minimum values of $h^{0}$ and $\operatorname{deg}$ on $\mathrm{AC}(S)$ are taken simultaneously at $-F_{1}+F_{2}-3 F_{3}=-K_{S}$.

The other cases are computed similarly. The key computational data of the other 15 surfaces can be seen in the Appendix, which is put in our web page (URL: http://www.r.dendai.ac.jp/~nakano/research.html). In this Appendix, the defining equations of the image under these minimal projective embeddings are also computed.

Next, we determine if $S_{i}$ is a complete intersection in a projective space or not.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f: S_{i} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N}$ be an embedding of $S_{i}$ by a (not necessarily complete) linear system $\delta$ and suppose that the image $f\left(S_{i}\right)$ is not contained in a hyperplane and is a global complete intersection in $\mathbf{P}^{N}$. Then there is at most 1 such $\delta$ on each $S_{i}$. More precisely:
(i) If $i=1,2,4,5,11,12,13$, then $\delta$ is the complete primitive anti-canonical system $\left|-K_{S_{i}}^{\prime}\right|$.
(ii) If $i=3,6,7,8,9,10,14,15,16$, then there is no such $\delta$.

Proof. Let $\delta^{\prime}$ be the complete linear system containing $\delta$ and $f^{\prime}: S=S_{i} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^{N+k}$ be the corresponding embedding, where $k=\operatorname{dim} \delta^{\prime}-\operatorname{dim} \delta$. We have a projection $h: \mathbf{P}^{N+k} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N}$ such that $h \circ f^{\prime}=f$. By assumption, $\operatorname{im} f$ is defined by $N-2$ homogeneous equations of degree $d_{i}\left(1 \leq i \leq N-2, d_{i} \geq 2\right)$ in $\mathbf{P}^{N}$. Hence, by adjunction formula for the canonical bundle, we have $K_{S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(-N-1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} d_{i}\right)$. Since $-K_{S}$ is ample, we have $0>-N-1+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} d_{i} \geq-N-1+2(N-2)=N-5$. Thus, we conclude $2 \leq N \leq 4$.

Proof of (i): We deal with $S=S_{4}$ case. The other cases ( $S_{i}$ for $i=1,2,5,11$, $12,13)$ can be dealt with similarly. We have $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbf{Z} \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right) \oplus \mathbf{Z} \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{4}\right)$, $K_{S}=2 \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right)+\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{4}\right)$, index $=1, h^{0}\left(a \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right)+b \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{4}\right)\right)=1-a+2 a b-2 b^{2}$, $\operatorname{deg}\left(a \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right)+b \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{4}\right)\right)=4 a b-4 b^{2}$, the ample cone $\mathrm{AC}(S)=\left\{a \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right)+\right.$ $\left.b \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{4}\right) \mid b>a, b<0\right\}$ (see the Appendix for the details). Now set $\delta^{\prime}=\mid x \times$ $\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{1}\right)+y \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(f_{4}\right) \mid$.
(a) Suppose $N=4$. Then $\operatorname{im}\left(f: S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}\right)$ is defined by 2 equations of degree $p, q(p, q \geq 2)$. Since $K_{S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S}(-5+p+q)$, we must have $p+q<5$. Hence, $p=q=2$ and we get $4=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f^{\prime}=4 x y-4 y^{2} \quad(x, y \in$ $\mathbf{Z}, y>x, y<0)$. Thus, we have $(x, y)=(-2,-1)$ and $\delta^{\prime}=\left|-K_{S}\right|$. Since $4=\operatorname{dim}\left|-K_{S}\right|=\operatorname{dim} \delta$, we have $\delta=\left|-K_{S}\right|=\left|-K_{S}^{\prime}\right|$ in this case. Conversely, it is easy to see that the image of the embedding associated to $\left|-K_{S}\right|$ is actually a complete intersection. Actually, this image is given by $\left\{x_{1} x_{3}-x_{0}^{2}=\right.$ $\left.0, x_{2} x_{4}-x_{0}^{2}=0\right\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{4}$ (see the Appendix).
(b) Suppose $N=3$. Then the $\operatorname{im} f$ is defined by 1 equation of degree $p<4$. Thus, $p=2,3$. But we have 2 (or 3 ) $=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f^{\prime}=4 x y-4 y^{2}$, a contradiction.
(c) Suppose $N=2$. Then $1=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f^{\prime}=4 x y-4 y^{2}$, a contradiction.

Proof of (ii): Suppose that $S=S_{i}(i=3,6,7,8,9,10,15,16)$. Then, we know that the minimum value of $\operatorname{deg} L$ for $L \in \mathrm{AC}(S)$ is greater than or equal to 5 (see the Appendix). On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f=\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{im} f^{\prime} \leq 4$ as in (i), a contradiction.

Suppose $S=S_{14}$. In this case, $S \simeq Q_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$, the blowing-up of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at a point $P$.
(a) Suppose $N=4$. Then $S$ is a complete intersection of (2,2)-type in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$. Then by the adjunction formula, $-K_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}(1)$ and $K_{S}^{2}=4$. On the other hand, $-K_{S}=3 L-E$, where $L=\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right), \pi: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is the blowing-up, and $E$ is the line bundle associated to the exceptional divisor. Thus $K_{S}^{2}=8$, a contradiction.
(b) Suppose $N=3$. Then $S$ is a smooth surface of degree 2 or 3 in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. Since $S \simeq Q_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$, this is a contradiction.
(c) Suppose $N=2$. Then $S \simeq \mathbf{P}^{2}$, a contradiction.

Thus, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.3. If $i=3,6,7,8,9,10,14,15,16$, then $S_{i}$ can never be realized as a complete intersection in a projective space.

We finally consider the defining equations and their syzygies of the image under the anti-canonical embedding (not necessarily minimal embedding as in Theorem 2.1) of our surfaces. The generators and syzygies of the defining ideal of toric varieties have been a subject of interest and studied by several authors ([6], [7, Chapter 13]). The following proposition is due to the referee.

Proposition 2.4. Let $S=S_{i}$ be a GTDP surface. Then the minimal free resolution of the ideal $I_{-K_{S}}$ of the image under the anti-canonical embedding is given by an Eagon-Northcott complex.

Proof. Let $r+2=h^{0}\left(-K_{S}\right)$. We use a hyperplane section argument as in [6]: Toric surfaces embedded by complete linear systems are projectively normal hence arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. So taking a hyperplane section yields a smooth, projectively normal curve (a generic section is smooth since the singular locus is in codimension 2). Since reflexive polygons have a single interior point, this curve has genus 1 [2, p. 91] and degree $K_{S}^{2}$. Thus, the minimal graded free resolution is that of an elliptic normal curve, which is an Eagon-Northcott complex ([1, Theorem 6.26]—our choice of $r$ above is made to coincide with the notation in [1]).
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