

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS OF FRACTIONAL POWERS OF INFINITESIMAL GENERATORS

BY

JAMES D. STAFNEY

Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to give a class of integral representations for the fractional powers $(-A)^\alpha$, where $0 < \alpha$ and A is the infinitesimal generator of a bounded strongly continuous semigroup T_t of bounded linear operators on a Banach space X . The definition of $(-A)^\alpha$ used in [3] is

$$(0.1) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-1} (I - T_t) f dt$$

where $0 < \alpha < r$, r is a positive integer and C is an appropriate constant. For the case $0 < \alpha < 1$, $r = 1$, the above definition of $(-A)^\alpha$ can be motivated by noting that if $a < 0$, then by a simple change of variable

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-1} (1 - e^{ta}) dt = (-a)^\alpha \int_0^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-1} (1 - e^{-t}) dt;$$

so $(-a)^\alpha$ is a constant times the integral on the left. Komatzu [2] has shown that the operator defined by (0.1) can also be represented in the form

$$(0.2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-1} (-tA(I - tA)^{-1}) f dt.$$

A similar motivation could be given for this integral representation.

In this paper we introduce "kernels"

$$S(\sigma_{(t)}) = \int_0^{\infty} T_u d\sigma_{(t)}(u)$$

where $d\sigma_{(t)}(u) = d\sigma(u/t)$ and show (see Theorem 1.4) that limits of the form

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha-1} S(\sigma_{(t)}) f dt$$

all define the same operator as σ ranges over a wide class of measures. In Section 2 we show that the "kernels" in (0.1) and (0.2) correspond to special choices of σ within the class. In Section 3 we show that the class cannot be enlarged by establishing a converse to Theorem 1.4 (see Theorem 3.1). In Section 4 we define Lipschitz spaces corresponding to the "kernels" $S(\sigma_{(t)})$ and prove a Lions-Peetre type theorem, Theorem 4.1, relating these Lipschitz spaces to

certain real interpolation spaces; special cases of this theorem were proved in [2], [3].

1. The integral representation

Throughout, T_t denotes a bounded strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on a Banach space X with norm $\| \cdot \|$. The infinitesimal generator is denoted A and its domain space is denoted $D(A)$. We let M denote the class of all complex Borel measures on $[0, \infty)$; we will often refer to these as measures. For each semigroup T_t and measure σ we define the operator $S(\sigma)$ by

$$S(\sigma)f = \int_0^\infty T_u f d\sigma(u), \quad f \in X,$$

where the integral converges in X . For σ, μ in M , $\sigma * \mu$ denotes the usual convolution, $|\sigma|$ the total variation measure, $\sigma^{(k)}$ denotes $\sigma * \dots * \sigma$ (k times), $\mathcal{L}\sigma$ denotes the usual Laplace transform of σ , and $\mathcal{L}M$ denotes the class of all Laplace transforms of measures in M . For $t > 0$, $\sigma_{(t)}(E) = \sigma(t^{-1}E)$ for each Borel set E in $[0, \infty)$. We let δ_t denote the measure which is the unit point mass at t , $t \geq 0$. By the extended measures, denoted M_e , we mean the class of set functions from the bounded Borel sets of $[0, \infty)$ to the complex numbers which are countably additive on the Borel sets of each bounded interval. The letter u usually denotes a point in $[0, \infty)$ and du the Lebesgue measure on $[0, \infty)$. For a locally integrable function h , $\sigma * h$ is the usual convolution of a measure and function and $\mathcal{L}h$ denotes the Laplace transform of h . We also write $\sigma * u^\alpha$ for $\sigma * h$, if $h(u) = u^\alpha$.

1.1. DEFINITION. For each measure σ and $\alpha > 0$ define the operator $B_\alpha(\sigma)$ on X by

$$B_\alpha(\sigma)f = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^\infty t^{-\alpha-1} S(\sigma_{(t)})f dt$$

where the domain of $B_\alpha(\sigma)$ is all f in X for which the above limit exists in X .

1.2. DEFINITION. A measure σ is an r -measure, where r is a positive integer, if

$$\int_0^\infty |u^{-1}(\sigma * u^\alpha)| du < \infty$$

for $0 < \alpha < r$.

1.3. LEMMA. If σ is an r -measure and $0 < \alpha < r$, then the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}\sigma(t)t^{-\alpha-1} dt$$

converges absolutely. (Denote the values of this integral by $C_\alpha(\sigma)$.)

Proof. It clearly suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}\sigma(s) = O(s^\alpha)$, as $s \rightarrow 0$, for each $0 < \alpha < r$. Clearly

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma * u^\alpha)(s) = C\mathcal{L}\sigma(s)s^{-\alpha-1}.$$

This, and the fact that $H = u^{-1}\sigma * u^\alpha$ is in L_1 , gives

$$|\mathcal{L}\sigma(s)| \leq Cs^{\alpha+1} \left[s^{-1} \int e^{-us} |H(u)| du \right].$$

And, the right-hand side of this last inequality is $O(s^\alpha)$.

We now state the main theorem.

1.4. THEOREM. *If $0 < \alpha < r$ and r is a positive integer, then the operators $C_\alpha(\sigma)^{-1}B_\alpha(\sigma)$ are equal as σ ranges over the r -measures for which $C_\alpha(\sigma) \neq 0$.*

Before we give the proof of the theorem we will obtain the following five needed lemmas.

The first lemma is well known.

1.5. LEMMA. (i) *The map $\mu \rightarrow S(\mu)$ is a homomorphism from the convolution algebra of complex measures into the bounded linear operators on X .*

(ii) *$S(\mu_{(\varepsilon)})f \rightarrow (\int d\mu)f$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for each f in X and complex measure μ .*

1.6. LEMMA. *If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M, \mu \in M_e$ and $\mu_1 * \mu, \mu_2 * \mu \in M$, then*

$$S(\mu_1 * \mu)S(\mu_2) = S(\mu_1)S(\mu * \mu_2).$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 1.5 and the fact that the extended measures form an associate algebra.

The following lemma is easily proved.

1.7. LEMMA. *Suppose that*

- (i) *F is a differentiable complex valued function on $(0, \infty)$,*
- (ii) *$\int_0^\infty |g(u)|u^{-1}e^{-tu} du < \infty$, each $t > 0$, and*
- (iii) *$\mathcal{L}g(s) = F'(s), s > 0$.*

Then for some C ,

$$\mathcal{L}(-u^{-1}g(u) + C \delta_0) = F(s), \quad s > 0.$$

1.8. LEMMA. *Given a measure σ , positive real numbers α, ε and a Borel subset E of $[0, \infty)$, let $v_\varepsilon(\sigma, E) = \int_\varepsilon^\infty \sigma_{(t)}(E)t^{-\alpha-1} dt$. Then v_ε is a measure such that*

- (i) *$\int_\varepsilon^\infty \mathcal{L}\sigma_{(t)}(s)t^{-\alpha-1} dt = \int e^{-us} dv_\varepsilon(u)$*
- (ii) *$\int_\varepsilon^\infty t^{-\alpha-1}S(\sigma_{(t)})f dt = \int T_u f dv_\varepsilon(u)$.*

Proof. From the definition of v_ε , we have

$$(1) \quad \int_\varepsilon^\infty \left(\int g(u) d\sigma_{(t)}(u) \right) t^{-\alpha-1} dt = \int g(u) dv_\varepsilon(u)$$

where g is the characteristic function of E . By using bounded pointwise con-

vergence we obtain (1) for bounded continuous complex and vector valued functions g , which includes (i) and (ii) of the lemma.

1.9. LEMMA. *Corresponding to $\alpha > 0$ and a measure σ , define the extended measure λ and the measure ρ as follows:*

$$d\lambda(u) = \Gamma(\alpha)^{-1}u^{\alpha-1} du,$$

$$d\rho(u) = \Gamma(\alpha - 1)^{-1}u^{-1}\sigma * u^\alpha du.$$

If σ is an r -measure, $r > \alpha$ and $C_\alpha(\sigma) = 1$, then

- (i) $\int d\rho = 1$
- (ii) $\lambda * \nu_\varepsilon = \rho_{(\varepsilon)}$.

Proof. We see from the definition of λ and Lemma 1.8 (i) that

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda * \nu_\varepsilon)(s) = s^{-\alpha} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \mathcal{L}\sigma_{(t)}(s)t^{-\alpha-1} dt = \int_{s\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}\sigma(t)t^{-\alpha-1} dt;$$

and, if we denote the latter as $F(s\varepsilon)$, then $F'(s) = -\mathcal{L}\sigma(s)s^{-\alpha-1}$. Thus,

$$F(s) = -\mathcal{L}(\sigma * \Gamma(\alpha + 1)^{-1}u^\alpha).$$

We have assumed that σ is an r -measure, which means that $\int |\sigma * u^\alpha|u^{-1} du$ is finite. Thus, we conclude from Lemma 1.7 that for some constant C ,

$$F(s) = \mathcal{L}(u^{-1}\sigma * \Gamma(\alpha - 1)^{-1}u^\alpha + C \delta_0), \quad s > 0.$$

However, $F(s) \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(u^{-1}\sigma * u^\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $C = 0$. This shows that $F(s) = \mathcal{L}\rho(s)$. Since $F(\varepsilon s) = \mathcal{L}\rho_{(\varepsilon)}(s)$, we have

$$\lambda * \nu_\varepsilon = \rho_{(\varepsilon)}.$$

Also, $\int d\rho = F(0) = \int \mathcal{L}\sigma(t)t^{-\alpha-1} dt = C_\alpha(\sigma)$, and we have assumed that $C_\alpha(\sigma) = 1$. The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let σ and σ' be two r -measures for which $C_\alpha \neq 0$. We may assume that $C_\alpha(\sigma) = C_\alpha(\sigma') = 1$. We must show that $B_\alpha(\sigma) = B_\alpha(\sigma')$. Suppose that f is in the domain of $B_\alpha(\sigma')$. Lemma 1.9 shows that the extended associativity, Lemma 1.6, holds in the following case:

$$S(\nu_\varepsilon(\sigma))S(\lambda * \nu_\eta(\sigma'))f = S(\lambda * \nu_\varepsilon(\sigma))S(\nu_\eta(\sigma'))f.$$

If we take the limit as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and apply Lemma 1.9 and Lemma 1.5, we conclude that

$$S(\nu_\varepsilon(\sigma))f = S(\lambda * \nu_\varepsilon(\sigma))B_\alpha(\sigma')f.$$

If we now let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that f is in the domain of $B_\alpha(\sigma)$ and from 1.9 (ii) that $B_\alpha(\sigma)f = B_\alpha(\sigma')f$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

2. Special cases

Komatzu [2] shows that for appropriately normalized constants C , the limits (0.1) and (0.2) define the same operators. The following two lemmas show that Komatzu's result is part of Theorem 1.4.

2.1. LEMMA. For $r = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(I - T_t)^r = \int T_{ut} d\sigma(u),$$

where $\sigma = (\delta_0 - \delta_1)^{(r)}$; furthermore, σ is an r -measure.

2.2. LEMMA. For $r = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(-tA(I - tA)^{-1})^r = \int T_{ut} d\sigma(u)$$

where $\sigma = (\delta_0 - e^{-u} du)^{(r)}$; furthermore, σ is an r -measure.

The first assertion in Lemma 2.1 is clear; the second assertion follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 below. The first assertion of Lemma 2.2 follows from the identity

$$-tA(I - tA)^{-1} = I - (I - tA)^{-1},$$

the well-known representation [1, p. 32],

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} = \int T_t e^{-\lambda t} dt, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0,$$

for the resolvent and Lemma 1.5, which is needed for $r = 2, 3, \dots$. The second assertion follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 below.

To complete the proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we will establish the following lemmas.

2.3. LEMMA. Suppose r is a positive integer and σ is a complex Borel measure on $[0, \infty)$ such that:

(i) there are positive real numbers a, C_0 such that $|\sigma| \leq C_0 u^{-r-1} du$ on $[a, \infty)$; and,

(ii) $\int_0^\infty u^k d\sigma(u) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$.

Then, σ is an r -measure.

2.4. LEMMA. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ be complex Borel measures on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\int d\sigma_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int u^k d|\sigma_j| < \infty, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

If $\sigma = \sigma_1 * \dots * \sigma_r$ and $0 \leq k < r$, then

$$\int u^k d|\sigma| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int u^k d\sigma = 0.$$

Proof of 2.3. We must show that $|x^{-1}\sigma * x^\alpha|$ has a finite integral on $[0, \infty)$. The integral over $[0, a)$ is clearly finite, so we will obtain a bound for $|x^{-1}\sigma * x^\alpha|$ when $x > a$. We will first establish

$$(1) \quad \sigma * x^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (-1)^k \left[x^{\alpha-k} \int_0^x u^k d\sigma(u) \right] \text{ for } x > a.$$

But, this follows if we show that

$$(2) \quad \lim \int_0^x R_n(u/x) d\sigma(u) = 0 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \quad x > a,$$

where $R_n(v)$ is the remainder in the Taylor formula for $(1 - v)^\alpha$. From the integral form of the remainder we deduce the bound

$$|R_n(v)| \leq (\text{const}) n^{-\alpha} x [1 + (1 - x)^{\alpha-1}], \quad 0 < v < 1;$$

and this shows that (2) holds provided that

$$(3) \quad \int_0^x (ux^{-1}[1 + (1 - u/x)^{\alpha-1}]) d|\sigma|(u) < \infty, \quad x > a.$$

However, (3) follows from the fact that the integrand is bounded on $[0, a)$ and $|\sigma| \leq c_0 u^{-r-1} du$ on $[a, \infty)$. We have now established (1). By considering the cases $k < r$, $k = r$, and $k > r$ and applying (ii) to the first case we obtain

$$\left| x^{\alpha-k} \int_0^x u^k d\sigma(u) \right| \leq x^{\alpha-r} [c_0 + a^k |\sigma|([0, a]) + c_0 \log(x/a)] \text{ for } x > a, 1.$$

This, the fact that

$$\left| \binom{\alpha}{k} \right| \leq \text{const } k^{-\alpha-1}$$

and (1), shows that $|x^{-1}\sigma * x^\alpha|$ is bounded by an integrable function on $[a + 1, \infty)$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. From known properties of the Laplace transform we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(u^k \sigma)(s) &= \int e^{-su} u^k d\sigma(u) \\ (1) \quad &= (-1)^k \frac{d^k}{ds^k} \int e^{-su} d\sigma(u) \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{d^k}{ds^k} (\mathcal{L}\sigma_1(s) \cdots \mathcal{L}\sigma_r(s)). \end{aligned}$$

The k th derivative of $\mathcal{L}\sigma$ is a sum of terms of the form

$$(2) \quad (\mathcal{L}\sigma_1)^{(k_1)} \cdots (\mathcal{L}\sigma_r)^{(k_r)}$$

where each $k_j \geq 0$ and $k_1 + \dots + k_r = k$. Since each factor in (2) is bounded, which follows from the assumption that each $\int u^k d|\sigma_j|$ is finite, and $k_j = 0$ for some j and $\mathcal{L}\sigma_j(0) = 0$, the conclusion of the lemma follows.

3. A converse theorem

Our purpose in this section is to prove Theorem 3.1, which is a converse to Theorem 1.4. Again, we let r denote a positive integer and let $0 < \alpha < r$. For convenience, let

$$C_{r,\alpha} = C_\alpha((\delta_0 - \delta_1)^{(r)}), \quad B = C_{r,\alpha}B_\alpha((\delta_0 - \delta_1)^{(r)}).$$

By the right shift semigroup on L_1 we mean the semigroup T_t defined by: $T_t f(u) = f(u - t)$ for $u \geq t$, $T_t f(u) = 0$ for $0 \leq u < t$, for each f in $L_1[0, \infty)$. Recall that M denotes the complex Borel measures on $[0, \infty)$.

3.1. THEOREM. *If σ is a measure such that $B_\alpha(\sigma) = B$ for the right shift semigroup on L_1 , then σ is an r -measure.*

To prove the theorem we need the following lemma.

3.2. LEMMA. *Suppose r is a positive integer, $0 < \alpha < r$ and T_t is the right shift semigroup on L_1 . For f in L_1 ,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_{r,\alpha} \int_\varepsilon^\infty t^{-\alpha} (I - T_t)^r f t^{-1} dt$$

exists in L_1 if and only if $s^\alpha \mathcal{L}f(s)$ is in $\mathcal{L}L_1$. If the limit exists, its Laplace transform is $s^\alpha \mathcal{L}f(s)$.

Proof. It is convenient to consider $\mathcal{L}L_1$ instead of L_1 . If g is in $\mathcal{L}L_1$, the norm of g is defined as the L_1 norm of f where $\mathcal{L}f = g$. We consider $\mathcal{L}M$ in the same manner. We first observe that

$$(1) \quad C_{r,\alpha} \int_\varepsilon^\infty t^{-\alpha} (1 - e^{-st})^r t^{-1} dt g(s) = F(\varepsilon s) s^\alpha g(s)$$

where

$$F(s) = C_{r,\alpha} \int_s^\infty t^{-\alpha} (1 - e^{-t})^r t^{-1} dt.$$

It follows from Lemma 1.7 that $F \in \mathcal{L}M$ and $F(0) = 1$ by choice of $C_{r,\alpha}$. If we assume that $g(s)$ and $s^\alpha g(s)$ are in $\mathcal{L}L_1$, then the limit on the left-hand side of (1) exists in L_1 because of the right-hand side of (1) and the limit is $s^\alpha g(s)$. Now suppose that g is in $\mathcal{L}L_1$ and the limit of the left-hand side of (1) exists. Then, $F(\varepsilon s) s^\alpha g(s)$ converges to some h in $\mathcal{L}L_1$; but, $F(\varepsilon s) s^\alpha g(s)$ converges pointwise to $s^\alpha g(s)$. Thus, $s^\alpha g(s)$ is in $\mathcal{L}L_1$ and is the limit of the left-hand side of (1). This proves the lemma.

We will now prove the theorem. From the hypothesis and the lemma we conclude that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}\sigma(ts) t^{-1} dt g(s) = s^{\alpha} g(s)$$

in $\mathcal{L}L_1$ if $g(s)$ and $s^{\alpha}g(s)$ are in $\mathcal{L}L_1$. It is easy to show that $(1 + s)^{-\alpha}$ and $(s/(1 + s))^{\alpha}$ are in $\mathcal{L}M$. This and (2) imply that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 + s)^{-\alpha} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}\sigma(ts) t^{-1} dt g(s) = (s/(1 + s))^{\alpha} g(s),$$

g in $\mathcal{L}L_1$.

The left-hand side of (3) is $(s/(1 + s))^{\alpha} F(\varepsilon s)$ where

$$F(s) = \int_s^{\infty} t^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}\sigma(t) t^{-1} dt.$$

Since the norm of $\mathcal{L}M$ is an operator norm, we conclude from (3) and the uniform boundedness principle, that

$$(4) \quad \|(s/(1 + s))^{\alpha} f(\varepsilon s)\|_{\mathcal{L}M} \leq \text{const.}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Since the norm in (4) is not changed if s is replaced by ns , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, if we let $\varepsilon = n^{-1}$, we have

$$\|(ns/(1 + ns))^{\alpha} f(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}M} \leq \text{const.}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

This shows that F is in $\mathcal{L}M$. Since F is in $\mathcal{L}M$ and

$$F'(s) = -\Gamma(\alpha + 1)^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\sigma * u^{\alpha}),$$

it is clear that $u^{-1}\sigma * u^2$ is in L_1 . This completes the proof.

4. A Lions-Peetre type theorem

For each measure σ , $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ we let $\text{lip}(\sigma, \alpha, q)$ denote the space of f in X for which the norm

$$\|f\| + \left(\int [t^{-\alpha} \|S(\sigma_{(t)})f\|]^q t^{-1} dt \right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. We will refer to these spaces as the lip spaces. The spaces $(X_0, X_1)_{\alpha q J}$ and $(X_0, X_1)_{\alpha q K}$ are defined in [1, p. 166]; we will refer to them as the J spaces and K spaces, respectively.

The object of this section is to prove the following theorem.

- 4.1. THEOREM. *Suppose that σ is a complex measure on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies:*
- (i) *there exist $a, C > 0$ such that $|\sigma| \leq Cu^{-3} du$ on $[a, \infty)$;*
 - (ii) $\int d\sigma = 0$.

Then, $\text{lip}(\sigma, \alpha, q) = (X, D(A))_{\alpha q J}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$; and, the norms of these spaces are equivalent.

Proof. For convenience, let S_t denote $S(\sigma_t)$. We will first show that the J space is continuously embedded in the lip space. Since the J space is equal to the K space [1, p. 173], it suffices to show that the K space is embedded in the lip space. By an argument similar to that of [1, p. 194] it suffices to show

$$(1) \quad \|S_t f\| \leq \left(M \int d|\sigma| \right) \|f\|, \quad f \in X;$$

$$(2) \quad \|S_t f\| \leq t \left(M \int u d|\sigma|(u) \right) \|A f\|, \quad f \in D(A);$$

where $M = \sup \|T_t\|$. It is clear that (1) holds. To prove (2) we first note that since

$$h^{-1}(S_{t+h}f - S_t f) = \int_0^\infty T_{ut} \left((uh)^{-1} \int_0^{uh} T_s A f ds \right) u d\sigma(u)$$

and (i) is assumed, we have

$$(3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} S_t f = \int_0^\infty T_{ut} A f u d\sigma(u)$$

where the derivative exists in X . The inequality (2) now follows from (3) and the identity

$$S_t f = \int_0^t \frac{d}{du} S_u f du.$$

We will now show that the lip space is embedded in the J space. As in [3], we first note that we can assume that A has a bounded inverse; because, if the semigroup T_t is replaced with the semigroup $e^{-t}T_t$, then the lip space and the J space are unchanged except that the norms are replaced by equivalent norms. This is clear for the J space since $e^{-t}T_t$ has infinitesimal generator $A - I$. We now show why the lip space is the same. Let

$$S'_t = \int e^{-tu} T_{tu} d\sigma(u).$$

It suffices to show that

$$\int [t^{-\alpha} \|(S_t - S'_t)f\|]^q t^{-1} dt < \infty, \quad f \in X.$$

This will hold if we show

$$(4) \quad \int (ut)^{-\alpha} (1 - e^{-ut}) u^\alpha d|\sigma|(u) = O(t^{1-\alpha}), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

But, the integral in (4) is dominated by

$$C \int_0^{1/t} (ut)^{1-\alpha} u^\alpha d|\sigma|(u) + 2 \int_{1/t}^\infty (tu)^{-\alpha} u^\alpha d|\sigma|(u)$$

and we can apply (iii) and (ii) to show that both terms in this sum are $O(t^{1-\alpha})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. We have now shown that we can assume that A has a bounded inverse, which we denote by A^{-1} .

By the definition of the J space, to show that f is in the J space and the J norm is dominated by a multiple of the lip norm, it suffices to show that there is a function $v(t)$ such that:

- (5) $v(t)$ is strongly measurable as a function with values in $D(A)$;
- (6) $\int [t^{-\theta} \|v(t)\|]^q t^{-1} dt < \infty$;
- (7) $\int [t^{-\theta+1} \|v(t)\|_{D(A)}]^q t^{-1} dt < \infty$;
- (8) $\int v(t) t^{-1} dt = f$.

Before we define $v(t)$ we first note that if τ is a measure such that (a) τ has finite support and (b) $\int d\tau = 0$, then

- (9) $\tau * \sigma$ is a 2-measure.

The assumptions we have made for σ and τ together with Lemma 2.4 show that the conditions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied by $\tau * \sigma$ for the case $r = 2$; thus, $\tau * \sigma$ is a 2-measure. Clearly, we can choose τ so that we also satisfy (c) $C_1(\tau * \sigma) = 1$ ($C_1(\sigma)$ is defined in 1.3). Now define $v(t)$ by

$$v(t) = t^{-1} S(\tau_{(t)}) S(\sigma_{(t)}) A^{-1} f.$$

First consider (8). Since $A^{-1} f \in D(A)$, to prove (8) it suffices to show that

$$(10) \quad \int S t^{-1} S(\tau_{(t)}) S(\sigma_{(t)}) g t^{-1} dt = A g, \quad g \in D(A).$$

Since $S(\tau_{(t)}) S(\sigma_{(t)}) = S((\tau * \sigma)_{(t)})$, $\tau * \sigma$ is a 2-measure, $(\delta_0 - \delta_1)^{(2)}$ is a 2-measure (see 2.1), it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.4 that the integral in (10) is equal to

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_1((\delta_0 - \delta_1)^{(2)})^{-1} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} t^{-1} (I - T_t)^2 g t^{-1} dt;$$

and, in [2, 2.3, p. 93] it is shown that this latter limit is $A g$.

We now turn to the other conditions, (5), (6), (7). Both (5) and (7) are obvious. To prove (6) it suffices to show that $\|t^{-1} S(\tau_{(t)}) A^{-1}\|$ is bounded; and, this follows from the fact that $\int u d|\tau|(u) < \infty$ and that, consequently, (2) holds with f replaced by $A^{-1} f$ and σ replaced by τ .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. PAUL L. BUTZER AND HUBERT BERENS, *Semigroups of operators and approximation*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
2. HIKOSABURO KOMATZU, *Fractional powers of operators II, interpolation spaces*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 21 (1967), pp. 89-111.
3. J. L. LIONS AND J. PEETRE, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., vol. 19 (1964), pp. 5-68.