# ON THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL REAL VARIABLES

BY

## C. P. CALDERÓN AND J. E. LEWIS

## Introduction

This paper is concerned with two problems. In the first place, we show that if a function f belongs to  $L_n^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see definition below), then f(x) possesses total differential of order n at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see definition below).

In the second place, if we restrict our attention to functions arising from Bessel Potentials of order n, that is

$$f(x) = \int_{B_n} G_n(x - y)g(y) \, dy$$

where  $\hat{G}_n = (1 + |x|^2)^{-n/2}$ ,  $\hat{G}_n$  is the Fourier Transform of  $G_n$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , our result in this case is that if  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1 \log^+ L^1$  then, f possesses total differential of order n at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This result is the best possible in the sense that given an Orlicz Class  $L_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  that contains a function g for which

$$\int_{R^n} |g| \log^+ |g| \ dx = \infty,$$

then there exists a function  $g_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $G_n * g_0$  fails to have total differential of any order at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

These two results complete the ones in [5], [6], [7]. We are indebted to the referee for a simplification of the proof of Theorem A.

#### 1. Notation and definitions

As usual  $\alpha$  will denote the *n*-tuple of integers  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$  and

$$D^{\alpha}f = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} f, \quad x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$
  
$$\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_n!, \quad D^0 f = f$$

The Taylor's expansion of order m will be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{\alpha!} (x - z)^{\alpha} + \sum_{|\alpha| = m+1} \frac{(m+1)}{\alpha!} (x - z)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - t)^{m} D^{\alpha} f(z + t(x - z)) dt$$

Received July 28, 1975.

(1.1)  $L_k^p(R^n)$ , k integer,  $k \ge 0$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , denotes the Sobolev space of functions such that

$$D^{\alpha}f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k.$$

Here, the derivatives are taken in the distribution sense and

$$||f||_{L_k^p} = \sum_{0 \le |\alpha| \le k} ||D^{\alpha} f||_p.$$

(1.2)  $\mathcal{L}_k^p(R^n)$  denotes the Bessel Potential Class of functions f represented by the convolution

$$f = G_k * g, \quad g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \ 1 \le p \le \infty,$$
 
$$\hat{G}_k = (1 + |x|^2)^{-k/2}, \quad |x| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right)^{1/2}, \ k \text{ integer, } k \ge 0.$$

 $G_k$  is called the Bessel kernel of order k. See [9 p. 130]. We define  $||f||_{\mathcal{Z}_k^p} = ||g||_p$ . Notice that if  $1 , then <math>\mathcal{L}_k^p \equiv L_k^p$ . (See [9, Chapter V].)

(1.3) Given a vector  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define  $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$  and

$$\Delta_h^{(k)} f(x) = \Delta_h (\Delta_h^{(k-1)} f)(x) \quad (\Delta_h^{(1)} \equiv \Delta_h)$$

(1.4) Following [5] we shall consider the maximal operator

$$M_k^* f(x) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\Delta_h^{(k)} f(x)}{|h|^k} \right|$$

where f is any real valued function defined on  $R^n$ . Likewise, we are going to consider also the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{|x-y| \le r} |f| \ dy = M(f)(x).$$

Here, f is measurable and locally integrable.

(1.5) Let f(x) be a real valued measurable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We say that f has total differential or order k at  $x_0$ , if there exists a homogeneous polynomial P(x) of degree k, P(x):  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$\lim_{|h| \to 0} \frac{1}{|h|^k} |\Delta_h^{(k)} f(x_0) - P(h)| = 0.$$

# 2. Statement of results

(2.1) THEOREM A. Let f belong to  $L_n^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then

(i) 
$$||M_n^* f||_p \le C_p \sum_{|\alpha|=n} ||D^{\alpha} f||_p$$
,  $1 .$ 

Here  $C_p$  depends on n and on p only.

(ii) If p = 1 we have

$$|E(M_n^* f > \lambda)| < \frac{C_0}{\lambda} \sum_{|\alpha| = n} ||D^{\alpha} f||_1$$

- (iii) If  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , f possesses total differential of order n at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (2.2) THEOREM B. (i) Let f be given by

$$f = G_n * g.$$

Then, if  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \log^+ L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , f possesses total differential of order n at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(ii) Let  $L_{\phi}(R^n)$  be an Orlicz class that contains a function g for which  $\int_{R^n} |g| \log^+ |g| dy = \infty$ . Then there exists a function  $g_0 \in L^1(R^n) \cap L_{\phi}(R^n)$  such that  $G_n * g_0$  fails to have total differential of any order at almost all the points of  $R^n$ .

# 3. Proof of Theorem A

We are going to show (i) and (ii) for functions in  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  since the general case follows from a standard density argument. C will denote a constant depending on n, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

Given x and h consider the points  $x_0 = x$ ,  $x_1 = x_0 + h$ , ...,  $x_n = x_0 + nh$ . We also consider a variable point z in the ball  $B = \{z \mid |z - x_0| \le 3n|h|\}$ . Let  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and consider the Taylor expansion of f about the point z, namely

(3.4) 
$$f(s) = \sum_{0 \le |\alpha| \le n-1} \frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{\alpha!} (s-z)^{\alpha} + n \sum_{|\alpha|=n} (s-z)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{n-1} D^{\alpha} f(s+t(z-s)) dt.$$

Observe that

$$\Delta_h^{(n)}\left\{\sum_{0\leq |\alpha|\leq n-1}\frac{D^{\alpha}f(z)}{\alpha!}(x-z)^{\alpha}\right\}(x)=0.$$

Consequently

$$|\Delta_h^{(n)}f(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_0^{|z-x_j|} \rho^{n-1} \left| D^{\alpha}f\left(x_j + \rho \frac{z-x_j}{|z-x_j|}\right) \right| d\rho.$$

Integrating over  $|z - x| \le 3n|h|$ , we have

 $|\Delta_h^{(n)}f(x)|$ 

$$\leq \frac{C}{|h|^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{|\alpha|=n}^{6n|h|} \int_0^{6n|h|} \rho^{n-1} \int_{|z-x| \leq 3n|h|} \left| D^{\alpha} f\left(x_j + \rho \frac{z-x_j}{|z-x_j|}\right) \right| dz d\rho.$$

Observe that

$$\int_{|z-x| \le 3n|h|} \left| D^{\alpha} f\left(x_j + \rho \frac{z - x_j}{|z - x_j|}\right) \right| dz \le \int_{|z| \le 6n|h|} \left| D^{\alpha} f\left(x_j + \rho \frac{z}{|z|}\right) \right| dz$$

$$\le C|h|^n \int_{\Sigma} |D^{\alpha} f(x_j + \rho \sigma)| d\sigma.$$

Hence

$$|\Delta_h^{(n)}f(x)| \le C \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_{|z| \le 6n|h|} |D^{\alpha}f(x_j+z)| dz$$

$$\le C \sum_{j=0} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_{|z-x| \le 12n|h|} |D^{\alpha}f(x+z)| dz.$$

We conclude that

$$(3.5) |M_n^* f(x)| \le CM \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=n} |D^{\alpha} f| \right) (x).$$

Parts (i) and (ii) of the thesis follow from (3.5) and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem.

The differentiability a.e. follows from the maximal inequalities and from the fact that the property holds for a dense subset  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; see argument in [5, p. 892, Corollary I].

# 4. Proof of Theorem B

In the first place, we are going to show that if f is given by the Bessel potential

$$(4.1.1) f(x) = G_n * g$$

where  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \log^+ L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then, f(x) possesses total differential of order n at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We shall prove first an auxiliary lemma.

(4.2) Lemma. Let K(f) be a singular integral operator, that is

$$K(f) = af + \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x - y)f(y) \, dy$$
, where  $K(x)$ 

is homogeneous of degree -n, has mean value 0 on the unit sphere and is  $C^{\infty}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ . Here, a stands for a fixed constant. Suppose that

$$f \in L^1(R^n) \cap L^1(R^n) \log^+ L^1(R^n).$$

Then f admits the decomposition

$$(4.2.1) f = f_1 + f_2$$

where  $f_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f_2$  satisfies

$$(4.2.2) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_2| \ dx < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(f_2)| \ dx < \infty.$$

*Proof.* There is no loss of generality if we assume that  $f \ge 0$ . Fix a real number  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and consider the corresponding Calderon-Zygmund decomposi-

tion for f. (See [9, Chapter 1, p. 17].) There exists a family of non overlapping cubes  $\{Q_k\}$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$  with edges parallel to coordinate axes, and such that

$$(4.2.3) \lambda_0 < \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} f \, dx \le 2^n \lambda_0.$$

Let  $G_0 = \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} Q_k$ ; then  $f(x) \leq \lambda_0$  a.e. in  $R^n - G_0$ . Call  $m_k$  the mean value  $(1/|Q_k|) \int_{Q_k} f dx$ ,  $\Psi_k$  the characteristic function of the cube  $Q_k$ .  $\Psi_0$  will denote the characteristic function of  $R^n - G_0$ .

Now define

(4.2.4) 
$$f_1 = \Psi_0(x)f(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k \Psi_k(x).$$

Consequently

(4.2.5) 
$$f_2 = \sum_{1}^{\infty} (f(x) - m_k) \Psi_k(x).$$

Clearly,  $f_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let now  $5G_0$  be  $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} 5Q_k$ , where  $5Q_k$  is the dilation of  $Q_k$  5 times about its center. By using the smoothness of K(x) outside the origin, its homogeneity and the fact that  $f_2(x)$  has mean value 0 over  $Q_k$ , we have

(4.2.6) 
$$\int_{R^{n-5}Q_k} |K[(f-m_k)\Psi_k]| \ dx \le C_0 \int_{Q_k} |f| \ dx.$$

Consequently

(4.2.7) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-5}G_0} |K(f_2)| \ dx \le C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \ dx.$$

Now, using the fact that K(f) is of weak type 1-1 we have

$$(4.2.8) \qquad \int_{5G_0} |K(f_2)| \ dx \le C_1 + C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|f_2| + 1) \log^+ |f_2| \ dx$$

where, the constant  $C_1$  depends on the measure of  $5G_0$  which does not exceed  $(5^n/\lambda_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, dx$  and  $C_2$  depends on the operator K only. This finishes the proof of lemma (4.2). Let us now return to the proof of Theorem B and consider  $f = G_n * g$ . Consider now the decomposition of g as  $g_1 + g_2$  as introduced in Lemma (4.2). From Theorem 3, p. 185 in [9], it follows that  $G_n * g_1 \in L^2_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and consequently, it possesses total differential of order n at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Our next step will be to show that  $G_n * g_2$  belongs to  $L_n^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Observe that  $G_n * g_2$  is an  $L^1$ -function, therefore  $D^{\beta}G_ng_2$  is a tempered distribution. Denoting by  $\widehat{T}$  the Fourier transform of T we have, for  $0 \le |\beta| \le n$ ,

$$(4.3.1) \quad (D^{\beta}G_{n}^{*}g_{2})^{\wedge} = \frac{x^{\beta}}{|x|^{\beta}} \cdot |x|^{|\beta|} \cdot (1 + |x|^{2})^{-|\beta|/2} \cdot (1 + |x|^{2})^{-(n-|\beta|)/2} \cdot \hat{g}_{2}.$$

Here  $x^{\beta}/|x|^{|\beta|}$  is the symbol of a singular integral operation  $K_{\beta}$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma (4.2) (see [2 Chapter 5], [3]),  $|x|^{|\beta|}(1+|x|^2)^{-|\beta|/2}$  is the Fourier transform of a finite measure  $\mu_{\beta}$  (see [9 pp. 133–134]) and finally,  $(1+|x|^2)^{-(n-|\beta|)/2}$  is the Fourier transform of  $G_{n-|\beta|}$  if  $|\beta| < n$  and that of  $\delta$  if  $|\beta| = n$ . Consequently, we have for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  (L. Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing  $C^{\infty}$  functions),

(4.3.2) 
$$\langle D^{\beta}G_{n} * g_{2}, \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{K}_{\beta} \cdot \hat{\mu}_{\beta} \cdot \hat{G}_{n-|\beta|} \cdot \hat{g}_{2}, \phi \rangle$$
$$= \langle \hat{\mu}_{\beta} \cdot \hat{G}_{n-|\beta|} \cdot \widehat{K_{\beta}(g_{2})}, \hat{\phi} \rangle$$

Taking anti-Fourier transforms we have

$$(4.3.3) D^{\beta}G_n * g_2 = \mu_{\beta} * G_{n-|\beta|} * K_{\beta}(g_2) if |\beta| < n,$$

(4.3.4) 
$$D^{\beta}G_{n} * g_{2} = \mu_{\beta} * K_{\beta}(g_{2}) \text{ if } |\beta| = n.$$

The identities (4.3.3) and (4.3.4) should be interpreted in the distributions sense. Finally, from lemma (2.4) we have

$$(4.3.5) ||K_{\beta}(g_2)||_1 < \infty \text{for } |\beta| \le n.$$

This concludes the proof of the first part of Theorem B.

(4.4) Proof of the second part of Theorem B. Let g be a function belonging to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$(4.4.1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g| \ dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(|g|) \ dx < \infty.$$

Here  $\phi$  is a non-negative convex function, such that  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(2t) < C\phi(t)$  t > 0. Assume also that

Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$(4.4.3) |E(|g| > \lambda_1)| \neq |E(|g| > \lambda_2)| \text{if} \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2.$$

Our next step will be to construct the function  $g_0$  of the thesis. Call  $g_1$  the radial non-increasing rearrangement of |g| and  $S_k$  the set  $\{g_1 > 2^k\}$ . Calling  $\Psi_k(x)$  the characteristic function of the sphere  $S_k$  we have

(4.4.4) 
$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k-1} \Psi_k(x) \le g_1(x) \le \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k \Psi_k(x).$$

That shows that  $\bar{g} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k \Psi_k(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . From (4.42) a summation by parts yields

$$(4.4.5) \qquad \qquad \sum_{1}^{\infty} k 2^{k} |S_{k}| = \infty.$$

Consider now  $(G_n * \bar{g})(0)$ . Notice that  $G_n(x) \ge -C(n) \log |x|$  if  $|x| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , C(n) > 0, and in general  $G_n(x) \ge 0$ . Consequently,

$$(4.4.6) (G_n * \bar{g})(0) \ge C(n) \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^i \int_{0}^{|S_k|^{1/n}} (-\log r) r^{n-1} dr.$$

Here  $|\Sigma|$  is the "area" of the unit sphere in  $R^n$ ;  $k_0$  has been chosen so that  $|S_{k_0}|^{1/n} < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|S_k| 2^k < 1$  for all  $k \ge k_0$ . The right hand member of (4.4.6) equals

(4.4.7) 
$$C_0C(n)\sum_{k_0}^{\infty} 2^k |S_k| \{-\log |S_k| \}.$$

Notice that

$$(4.4.8) \quad -\log |S_k| = -\log (|S_k| 2^k 2^{-k}) = -\log |S_k| 2^k + k \log 2 \ge k \log 2.$$

By using this last remark and (4.4.5) we see that the series (4.4.7) is divergent and moreover

$$\lim_{h\to 0} (G_n * \bar{g})(h) = \infty.$$

Let  $C_i$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

(4.4.10) 
$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} C_{j} = 1.$$

Select a denumerable family of points  $\{x_j\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that the set  $\{x_j\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; let

(4.4.11) 
$$g_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \bar{g}(x - x_i).$$

Clearly,  $g_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and on account of (4.49)  $g_n * g_0$  is essentially unbounded on each neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus,  $G_n * g_0$  fails to possess total differential of any order at almost all the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem B.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. A. P. CALDERÓN, On the differentiability of absolutely continuous functions, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, vol. 2 (1951), pp. 203-214.
- 2. ——, Integrales Singulares y sus aplicaciones a ecuaciones diferenciales hiperbolicas, Cursos y Seminarios de Matematicas, vol. 3, Univ. Buenos Aires, 1960.
- A. P. CALDERÓN AND A. ZYGMUND, Algebras of certain singular integrals, Amer. J. Math., vol. 78 (1955), pp. 310-320.
- 4. ——, Local properties of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations, Studia Math., vol. 20 (1961), pp. 171–225.
- C. P. CALDERÓN, E. B. FABES AND N. M. RIVIERE, Maximal smoothing operations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., vol. 23, 10 (1974), pp. 889–898.
- C. P. CALDERÓN AND J. E. LEWIS, Maximal smoothing operations and some Orlicz classes, Studia Math., vol. 58, to appear.

- 7. Ju. G. Resetnjak, Generalized derivatives and differentiability almost everywhere, Soviet Math. Dokl., vol. 7 (1966), pp. 1381-1383.
- 8. J. Serrin, On the differentiability of functions of several variables, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., vol. 7 (1961), pp. 359-372.
- 9. E. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

University of Illinois at Chicago Circle Chicago, Illinois