

Dimensions of the Derivation Algebras of Lie Algebras

Shigeaki Tôgô

(Received February 27, 1967)

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field of arbitrary characteristic. In the paper [3], J. Dozias has shown that if $L \neq [L, L]$ then the dimension of the derivation algebra $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ of L is not less than $\dim L$. In this paper, making use of the method of constructing outer derivations of L which has been shown in [6], we shall give some effective estimates of $\dim \mathfrak{D}(L)$.

In Section 1 we shall recall some results which have been already shown in [4] and [6]. In Section 2 we shall give several estimates of $\dim \mathfrak{D}(L)$. If $Z(L)$ is the center of L and $C([L, L])$ is the centralizer of $[L, L]$ in L , then one of the estimates is that if $L \neq [L, L]$

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \max \{ \dim Z(L) \dim L / [L, L] - \dim C([L, L]), 0 \}.$$

In Section 3 we shall give several examples which are connected with the results in Section 2.

1. Throughout the paper we denote by Φ a field of arbitrary characteristic unless otherwise stated and by L a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a field Φ . We denote by $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ the derivation algebra of L , that is, the Lie algebra of all the derivations of L and by $\mathfrak{S}(L)$ the ideal of $\mathfrak{D}(L)$ consisting of all the inner derivations of L . We denote by $Z(L)$ the center of L and, for a subalgebra H of L , by $C(H)$ the centralizer of H in L . As usual $[L, L]$ will be denoted by L^2 .

In the next section we need the following two results.

LEMMA 1. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ and let M be an ideal of L of codimension 1 containing $Z(L)$. Then:*

(i) $[L, Z(M)] \subset Z(M)$ and

$$\dim Z(M) = \dim Z(L) + \dim [L, Z(M)].$$

(ii) *If $L = (e) + M$ and $Z(L) \neq (0)$, every endomorphism of L sending e to any element of $Z(M) \setminus [L, Z(M)]$ and M into (0) is an outer derivation of L .*

LEMMA 2. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . If L is the direct sum of the ideals L_1 and L_2 , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) = & \dim \mathfrak{D}(L_1) + \dim \mathfrak{D}(L_2) + \dim Z(L_1) \dim L_2 / L_2^2 + \\ & + \dim Z(L_2) \dim L_1 / L_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

The statements (i) and (ii) in Lemma 1 have been shown respectively in the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 in [6] (see [5]). Lemma 2 is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 in [4].

2. We first prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ such that $L \neq L^2$ and $(0) \neq Z(L) \subset L^2$. Let $L = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) + L^2$ with $n = \dim L/L^2$ and let $M_i = (e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n) + L^2$.*

(i) *If we denote by G the space spanned by all $x \in L$ such that $[x, e_i] \in [e_i, Z(M_i)]$ for some i , then*

$$(1) \quad \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L)(\dim L/L^2 - 1) - \dim C(L^2) + \dim(C(L^2) \cap G).$$

(ii) *If we put $Z_i = (\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{F}_i} Z(M)) / [e_i, Z(M_i)]$ where \mathfrak{F}_i is the set of all the ideals M complementary to (e_i) and such that $[e_i, Z(M)] = [e_i, Z(M_i)]$, and if we denote by H the space spanned by all $x \in L$ such that $[x, L] \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n [e_i, Z(M_i)]$, then*

$$(2) \quad \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \max_i (\dim Z_i) + \dim Z(L)(\dim L/L^2 - 2) \\ - \dim C(L^2) + \dim(C(L^2) \cap H).$$

PROOF. (i): Put $m = \dim Z(L)$. Then by (i) of Lemma 1 we have $\dim(Z(M_i) / [e_i, Z(M_i)]) = m$ for each i . Choose the elements z_{ij} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, in such a way that

$$Z(M_i) = (z_{i1}, \dots, z_{im}) + [e_i, Z(M_i)].$$

By (ii) of Lemma 1 every endomorphism D_{ij} of L sending e_i to z_{ij} and M_i into (0) is an outer derivation of L . The set of D_{ij} with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ is linearly independent. If we denote by \mathfrak{M} the space spanned by all the D_{ij} , then $\dim \mathfrak{M} = \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2$. Let $x \in L$ be such that $\text{ad } x$ is a non-zero element of $\mathfrak{F}(L) \cap \mathfrak{M}$. Then $[x, L^2] = (0)$ and $[x, e_i] \notin [e_i, Z(M_i)]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It follows that $x \in C(L^2) \setminus (C(L^2) \cap G)$. Hence

$$\dim(\mathfrak{F}(L) \cap \mathfrak{M}) \leq \dim C(L^2) - \dim(C(L^2) \cap G).$$

Consequently we have

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{F}(L) + \mathfrak{M}) \\ = \dim \mathfrak{F}(L) + \dim \mathfrak{M} - \dim(\mathfrak{F}(L) \cap \mathfrak{M}) \\ \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L)(\dim L/L^2 - 1) - \dim C(L^2) + \dim(C(L^2) \cap G).$$

(ii): We may assume that $\dim Z_1 = \max(\dim Z_i)$. Put $h = \dim Z_1$. Then we can write

$$\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_1} Z(M) = (z_{11}, \dots, z_{1m}, z_{1,m+1}, \dots, z_{1m_1}, \dots, z_{1,m_{k-1}+1}, \dots, z_{1m_k}) + [e_1, Z(M_1)],$$

where $m_k = h$, $(z_{11}, \dots, z_{1m}) \subset Z(M_1)$, $(z_{1,m+1}, \dots, z_{1m_1}) \subset Z(M_{11})$, \dots , $(z_{1,m_{k-1}+1}, \dots, z_{1m_k}) \subset Z(M_{1k})$ and $M_{1j} \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, k$. Making a convention that $m = m_0$, for any j such that $m_{i-1} < j \leq m_i$ we denote by D_{1j} the endomorphism of L sending e_1 to z_{1j} and M_{1i} into (0) . Then by (ii) of Lemma 1 D_{1j} is an outer derivation of L . For $i=1, \dots, n$ and $j=1, 2, \dots, m$, we define D_{ij} as in the proof of (i). Then the set of all D_{ij} is linearly independent. In fact, if $\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} D_{ij} = 0$, then $\sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_{1j} D_{1j} e_1 = \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_{1j} z_{1j} = 0$. Hence $\lambda_{1j} = 0$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, h$. It is now immediate that $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for $i=2, 3, \dots, n$ and $j=1, 2, \dots, m$. Thus these D_{ij} are linearly independent. If we denote by \mathfrak{M} the space spanned by all of these D_{ij} , then

$$\dim \mathfrak{M} = h + \dim Z(L) (\dim L/L^2 - 1).$$

Let $x \in L$ be such that $\text{ad } x$ is a non-zero element of $\mathfrak{S}(L) \cap \mathfrak{M}$. Then $[x, L^2] = (0)$ and $[x, e_i]$ belongs to a subspace of L complementary to $[e_i, Z(M_i)]$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $[x, L] \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n [e_i, Z(M_i)]) = (0)$. It follows that $x \in C(L^2) \setminus (C(L^2) \cap H)$. Therefore

$$\dim(\mathfrak{S}(L) \cap \mathfrak{M}) \leq \dim C(L^2) - \dim(C(L^2) \cap H).$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) &\geq \dim(\mathfrak{S}(L) + \mathfrak{M}) \\ &\geq \dim L - \dim Z(L) + h + \dim Z(L) (\dim L/L^2 - 1) \\ &\quad - \dim C(L^2) + \dim(C(L^2) \cap H) \\ &= \dim L + h + \dim Z(L) (\dim L/L^2 - 2) - \dim C(L^2) + \dim(C(L^2) \cap H). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.

In order to obtain further estimates of $\dim \mathfrak{D}(L)$, we first consider the case where L has no non-zero abelian direct summands. If $L = L^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) &\geq \dim \mathfrak{S}(L) = \dim L - \dim Z(L) \\ &= \dim L + \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $L \neq L^2$ and $Z(L) = (0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) &\geq \dim \mathfrak{S}(L) = \dim L \\ &= \dim L + \max \{ \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2), 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $L \neq L^2$ and $Z(L) \neq (0)$. Then $Z(L) \subset L^2$. With the notations of Theorem 1, $Z(L) \subset C(L^2) \cap G$. Hence by (i) of Theorem 1 we have

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2).$$

Let D_{1j} and z_{1j} for $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ be the same ones as defined in the first part of the proof of Theorem 1. If we denote by \mathfrak{M}_1 the space spanned by the set of all D_{1j} , then $\dim \mathfrak{M}_1 = \dim Z(L)$. We assert that $\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{S}(L) = (0)$. In fact, suppose that $\text{ad } x \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{S}(L)$. Then $\text{ad } x = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j D_{1j}$. If $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j z_{1j} \neq 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j D_{1j}$ must be an outer derivation of L by (ii) of Lemma 1. Hence $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j z_{1j} = 0$. It follows that $\lambda_j = 0$ for all j . Thus $\text{ad } x = 0$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) &\geq \dim (\mathfrak{S}(L) + \mathfrak{M}_1) = \dim \mathfrak{S}(L) + \dim \mathfrak{M}_1 \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{S}(L) + \dim Z(L) = \dim L. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case where L has a non-zero abelian direct summand. If L is abelian, then

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) = (\dim L)^2 = \dim L + \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2).$$

Assume that L is not abelian. Then L is the direct sum of a non-zero abelian ideal L_1 and an ideal L_2 which has no non-zero abelian direct summands. Put $k = \dim L_1$. If $L_2 = L_2^2$, by Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) &= \dim \mathfrak{D}(L_1) + \dim \mathfrak{D}(L_2) + \dim L_1 \dim Z(L_2) \\ &\geq k^2 + \dim L_2 - \dim Z(L_2) + k \dim Z(L_2) \\ &= \dim L + \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2) \\ &= \dim L + (k-1) \dim Z(L), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(k-1) \dim Z(L) \geq 0.$$

If $L_2 \neq L_2^2$, then by using Lemma 2 and the first case above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) &= \dim \mathfrak{D}(L_1) + \dim \mathfrak{D}(L_2) + \dim L_1 \dim Z(L_2) + \dim L_1 \dim L_2/L_1^2 \\ &\geq k^2 + \dim L_2 + \max \{ \dim Z(L_2) \dim L_2/L_2^2 - \dim (C(L_2^2) \cap L_2), 0 \} \\ &\quad + k \dim Z(L_2) + k \dim L_2/L_2^2 \\ &= \dim L + \max \{ \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2), \\ &\quad k(\dim Z(L) - 1 + \dim L_2 - \dim L_2^2) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k(\dim Z(L) - 1 + \dim L_2 - \dim L_2^2) > 0.$$

Therefore, in this case we obtain

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \max \{ \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2), 0 \}.$$

Thus we have proved the following theorems.

THEOREM 2. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ which has a non-zero abelian direct summand. Let L_1 be such a direct summand of maximal dimension.*

(i) *If $L/L_1 = (L/L_1)^2$, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L) (\dim L_1 - 1).$$

(ii) *If $L/L_1 \neq (L/L_1)^2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \max \{ \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2), \\ \dim L_1 (\dim Z(L) - 1) + \dim L_1 (\dim L/L_1 - \dim (L/L_1)^2) \}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . Then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2).$$

In particular, if $L \neq L^2$, then

$$(3) \quad \dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \max \{ \dim Z(L) \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2), 0 \}.$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 we have the following

COROLLARY 1. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . If $\dim C(L^2) \leq k \dim Z(L)$ and $\dim L/L^2 > k$ for some integer $k > 0$, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L) (\dim L/L^2 - k).$$

COROLLARY 2. *Let L be a Lie algebra over a field Φ . If $C(L^2) = Z(L)$, or if L satisfies the condition that $(\text{ad } x)^2 = 0$ implies $\text{ad } x = 0$, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) \geq \dim L + \dim Z(L) (\dim L/L^2 - 1).$$

PROOF. If L satisfies the condition that $(\text{ad } x)^2 = 0$ implies $\text{ad } x = 0$, then for any $y \in C(L^2)$ we have $(\text{ad } y)^2 L \subset (\text{ad } y)L^2 = (0)$ and therefore $\text{ad } y = 0$, that is, $y \in Z(L)$. Hence $C(L^2) = Z(L)$. Therefore the statement follows from Corollary 1.

3. In this section we shall show several examples which are connected with the results obtained in Section 2.

Let L be the Lie algebra over a field Φ described in terms of a basis e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 by the table:

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_5, [e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_2, e_4] = e_4$$

([1], p. 126). Then with the notations in Theorem 1 we have $L^2 = (e_3, e_4, e_5)$, $Z(L) = (e_5)$, $L = (e_1, e_2) + L^2$, $G = L$, $C(L^2) = L^2$, and $\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) = 6$. Hence in this case equality holds in the inequality (1) of Theorem 1.

Let L be the Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ described in terms of a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_8 by the table:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = e_5, [e_1, e_5] = e_6, \\ [e_1, e_6] &= e_8, [e_1, e_7] = e_8, [e_2, e_3] = e_5, [e_2, e_4] = e_6, \\ [e_2, e_5] &= e_7, [e_2, e_6] = 2e_8, [e_3, e_4] = -e_7 + e_8, \\ [e_3, e_5] &= -e_8 \end{aligned}$$

([1], p. 123). Then with the notations of Theorem 1 we have $L^2 = (e_3, e_4, \dots, e_8)$, $Z(L) = (e_8)$, $L = (e_1, e_2) + L^2$, $[e_i, Z(M_i)] = (e_8)$, $\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{F}_L} Z(M) = (e_6, e_7, e_8)$, $\dim Z_i = 2$ ($i = 1, 2$), $H = C(L^2) = (e_6, e_7, e_8)$, and $\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) = 10$. Hence in this case equality holds in the inequality (2) of Theorem 1.

In the remainder of the paper, for simplicity we denote by $m \max\{\dim Z(L), \dim L/L^2 - \dim C(L^2), 0\}$.

For every non-zero abelian Lie algebra L over Φ , equality holds in the inequality (3) of Theorem 3 and m is > 0 if $\dim L > 1$.

Let L be the Lie algebra over a field of characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ described in terms of a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_8 by the table:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= e_5, [e_1, e_3] = e_6, [e_1, e_4] = e_7, [e_1, e_5] = -e_8, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= e_8, [e_2, e_4] = e_6, [e_2, e_6] = -e_7, \\ [e_3, e_4] &= -e_5, [e_3, e_5] = -e_7, [e_4, e_6] = -e_8 \end{aligned}$$

([2]). Then $L^2 = (e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8)$, $Z(L) = (e_7, e_8)$, $C(L^2) = L^2$ and $\dim \mathfrak{D}(L) = 12$. Hence in this case equality holds in the inequality (3) of Theorem 3 and $m = 4$.

Let L be the Lie algebra of $n \times n$ triangular matrices over a field of characteristic 0. Then $\dim Z(L) = 1$, $\dim C(L^2) = 2$ and $\dim L/L^2 = n$. Hence if $n \geq 3$ then L satisfies the condition in Corollary 1 to Theorem 3 with $k = 2$.

Let L be the Lie algebra over a field Φ described in terms of a basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_7 by the table:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_3] &= e_4, [e_1, e_4] = -e_3, [e_2, e_5] = e_6, \\ [e_2, e_6] &= -e_5, [e_3, e_4] = [e_5, e_6] = e_7. \end{aligned}$$

Then $L^2 = (e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7)$, $Z(L) = C(L^2) = (e_7)$ and $\dim L/L^2 = 2$. Hence L satisfies the first condition in Corollary 2 to Theorem 3 and $m = \dim Z(L)$.

Let \mathcal{O} be the field of real numbers and let L be the Lie algebra given above. Then we can show that if $(\text{ad } x)^2 = 0$ then $\text{ad } x = 0$. Thus L satisfies the second condition in Corollary to Theorem 3.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. I, Algèbres de Lie, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [2] J. Dixmier and W. G. Lister, *Derivations of nilpotent Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **8** (1957), pp. 155-158.
- [3] J. Dozias, *Sur les dérivations des algèbres de Lie*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **259** (1964), pp. 2748-1750.
- [4] S. Tôgô, *On the derivation algebras of Lie algebras*, Can. J. Math., **13** (1961), pp. 201-216.
- [5] S. Tôgô, *Derivations of Lie algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1966), pp. 690-692.
- [6] S. Tôgô, *Outer derivations of Lie algebras*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

