

## *On the Oscillation Problem of Nonlinear Equations*

Athanasios G. KARTSATOS

(Received November 6, 1975)

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider, among others, equations of the form

$$(I) \quad [p(t)x^{(n-1)}]' + H(t, x(g(t))) = Q(t), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Our main purpose here is to present a theorem which considerably improves a corresponding result of Singh [11, Theorem 1]. Our proof is also much simpler than the one given by Singh in a special case of (I). A corollary to our result is also given and improves the corresponding result of Singh. In Theorem 2 we consider a small forcing  $Q(t)$ , in Theorem 3 a homogeneous equation with damping, and Theorem 4 deals with the case of a damping treated as a small perturbation.

The reader is referred to a survey paper of the author [6] for several results concerning  $n$ -th order equations. Equations with damping have been considered also by Kartsatos and Onose [7], Naito [9] and Sficas [10]. Singh's main result in [11] is related to a result of Hammett [3], but the former does not contain the latter because of an integral condition on  $p(t)$ . For a natural extension of Hammett's result in the  $n$ -th order case, and for  $p(t) = 1$ , the reader is referred to Kartsatos [5]. For other extensions to Hammett's results, relative references are those of Atkinson [1] and Grimmer [2]. For oscillation results concerning forced functional equations the reader is also referred to, for example, Kusano and Onose [8], or Staikos and Sficas [12].

In what follows,  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $R_+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $R_+^0 = (0, \infty)$  and  $R_T = [T, \infty)$  for some fixed finite  $T$ . Moreover,  $n \geq 2$ , and the functions  $p: R_T \rightarrow R_+^0$ ,  $g: R_T \rightarrow R_+$ ,  $Q: R_T \rightarrow R$ ,  $H: R_T \times R \rightarrow R$  will be assumed continuous on their respective domains. Furthermore,  $H(t, u)$  will be assumed increasing in  $u$  and such that  $uH(t, u) > 0$  for every  $u \neq 0$ . For the function  $g(t)$  we merely assume that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = +\infty$ . By a solution of (I) we mean any real function which is  $n$  times continuously differentiable and satisfies (I) on an infinite subinterval of  $[T, \infty)$ . A solution of (I) is said to be "oscillatory" if it has an unbounded set of zeros in its domain of existence. A solution  $x(t)$  of (I) is "bounded" if  $|x(t)| \leq K$  for all  $t$  in the domain of  $x(t)$ , where  $K$  is a positive constant,

## 2. Main results

THEOREM 1. Consider (I) under the following assumptions:

$$\int_T^\infty [1/p(t)]dt < +\infty, \quad \left| \int_T^\infty Q(t)dt \right| < +\infty, \quad \int_T^\infty H(t, \pm k)dt = \pm\infty$$

for any constant  $k > 0$ . Then if  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (I),  $x^{(n-2)}(t)$  tends to a finite limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (I) and assume that  $x(t) > 0$  for all large  $t$ . Then there exists  $t_1 \geq T$  such that  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(g(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \geq t_1$ . Now integrating (I) from  $t_1$  to  $t \geq t_1$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad p(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) = C - \int_{t_1}^t H(s, x(g(s)))ds + \int_{t_1}^t Q(s)ds,$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

Since  $H(t, x(g(t))) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , we consider the following two possible cases:

Case 1. 
$$\int_{t_1}^\infty H(s, x(g(s)))ds = +\infty,$$

Case 2. 
$$\int_{t_1}^\infty H(s, x(g(s)))ds < +\infty.$$

Case 1 implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) = -\infty$ . Thus,  $x^{(n-1)}(t) < 0$  for all large  $t$ . Consequently,  $x^{(n-2)}(t)$  is monotonic and positive for all large  $t$ , otherwise we would obtain the contradiction  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = -\infty$ . It follows that the assertion of the theorem is true in Case 1. In Case 2 we must have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)x^{(n-1)}(t) = \mu$  exists and is finite. Let  $\mu > 0$ . Then  $x^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  eventually. Now there are two possibilities: either  $x^{(n-2)}(t) > 0$  or  $x^{(n-2)}(t) < 0$  for all large  $t$ . The second possibility proves our assertion. If the first one is true, then we must have  $x(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $x(g(t)) \geq \lambda > 0$  and  $H(t, x(g(t))) \geq H(t, \lambda) > 0$  for all  $t \geq (\text{some}) t_2 \geq t_1$ . The integral condition on  $H$  takes us back to Case 1, a contradiction. A completely analogous situation holds in the case  $\mu < 0$ . Now let  $\mu = 0$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad -\varepsilon < p(t')x^{(n-1)}(t') < \varepsilon, \quad \left| \int_{t'}^{t''} [1/p(t)]dt \right| < 1$$

for every  $t', t'' \geq \delta(\varepsilon)$ . Dividing the first of (2.2) by  $p(t')$  and integrating from  $t'$  to  $t''$  we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad |x^{(n-2)}(t') - x^{(n-2)}(t'')| < \varepsilon, \quad t', t'' > \delta(\varepsilon).$$

By the Cauchy criterion for functions, we get that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{(n-2)}(t)$  exists and is finite. This completes the proof for  $x(t)$  eventually positive. Similarly one can show the assertion for an eventually negative  $x(t)$ .

Singh considered in [11] the case  $H(t, u) = a(t)u$ ,  $g(t) = t - \tau(t)$ , where  $\tau(t)$  is bounded above,

$$\int_T^{\infty} |Q(t)| dt < +\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} a(t) dt = +\infty$$

for any sequences  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$ ,  $b_n \geq a_n \geq T$ , with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = +\infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n - a_n) = +\infty$ .

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $n=2$  in Theorem 1. Then all nonoscillatory solutions of (I) tend to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  if  $H$  satisfies the additional assumption*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [1/p(t)] \int_T^t H(s, \pm k) ds = \pm \infty,$$

and  $p(t) \geq \lambda > 0$  for  $t \geq T$ , where  $\lambda$  is constant.

PROOF. Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution such that  $x(t) > 0$  and  $x(g(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1 \geq T$ . From Theorem 1 we obtain that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = A$  exists and is finite. Let  $A > 0$ . Then given  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < A$  there exists  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that

$$-\varepsilon < x(g(t)) - A < \varepsilon, \quad t \geq t_2.$$

Consequently,  $H(t, x(g(t))) \geq H(t, A - \varepsilon) \geq 0$  for every  $t \geq t_2$ . Integrating now (I) from  $t_2$  to  $t \geq t_2$  and dividing by  $p(t)$  we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad x'(t) \leq -[1/p(t)] \int_{t_2}^t H(s, A - \varepsilon) ds + (1/\lambda) \int_{t_2}^t Q(s) ds + (1/\lambda)p(t_2)|x'(t_2)|.$$

Thus,  $x'(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , a contradiction to the positiveness of  $x(t)$ . It follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$  for  $x(t)$  eventually positive, and an analogous proof covers the case for  $x(t)$  eventually negative.

Singh obtained the conclusion of the above corollary in [11] from Theorem 1 there without any additional assumptions. Singh's Theorem 1 only ensures that  $p(t)x'(t)$  tends to  $-\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , but this fact is not enough to imply  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . Consequently, Singh needs additional assumptions to conclude Case 1 of Theorem 2 in [11].

THEOREM 2. *Consider (I) with the following assumptions:*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [1/p(t)] \int_T^t H(s, +k) ds = \pm \infty, \quad p(t) \geq \lambda > 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_T^t \int_{u_n}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_3}^{\infty} [1/p(u_2)] \int_{u_2}^{\infty} Q(u_1) du_1 du_2 du_3 \dots du_n$$

exists and is finite,

where  $k$  is an arbitrary positive constant. Then every nonoscillatory solution of (I) tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution of (I) and assume that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $x(g(t)) > K$  for every  $t \geq t_1 \geq T$ . Consequently,  $H(t, x(g(t))) \geq H(t, K) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , and, by integration of (I) from  $t_1$  to  $t \geq t_1$ , we get

$$(2.5) \quad x^{(n-1)}(t) \leq -[1/p(t)] \int_{t_1}^t H(s, K) ds + (1/\lambda) \left| \int_{t_1}^t Q(s) ds \right| + (1/\lambda) p(t_1) |x^{(n-1)}(t_1)|.$$

Thus, we obtain a contradiction by taking the limits of both sides as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . Now let

$$P(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \int_{u_n}^{\infty} \dots \int_{u_3}^{\infty} [1/p(u_2)] \int_{u_2}^{\infty} Q(u_1) du_1 du_2 du_3 \dots du_n$$

for all  $t \geq t_1$ , with  $t_1$  chosen so that  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(g(t)) > 0$ ,  $t \geq t_1$ . Then letting  $w(t) = x(t) - P(t)$  we get

$$(2.6) \quad [p(t)w^{(n-1)}(t)]' + H(t, w(g(t)) + P(g(t))) = 0.$$

Since  $x(g(t)) = w(g(t)) + P(g(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , it follows that  $p(t)w^{(n-1)}(t)$  is decreasing for  $t \geq t_1$ . This implies that  $w^{(n-1)}(t)$  is of fixed sign for all large  $t$ . Thus,  $w(t)$  is monotonic for all large  $t$ . Since  $x(t) = w(t) + P(t)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = 0$ , it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = L$  exists and must equal zero because  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ . A similar proof covers the case of an eventually negative  $x(t)$ .

It should be noted here that the integral condition on the function  $Q(t)$  can be replaced by the condition that  $P(t)$  be a solution of the equation

$$[p(t)u^{(n-1)}(t)]' = Q(t), \quad t \geq T$$

such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = 0$ , and  $\left| \int_T^t Q(s) ds \right| \leq K$  (constant). The above theorem does not contain, for  $n = 3$ , Theorem 3 in Singh's paper. However, it does contain a

special case of that theorem; namely, when the integral condition on the forcing term  $Q(t)$  as above holds. No integrability assumption was made here on the function  $1/p(t)$

In the following theorem we consider the equation

$$(II) \quad x^{(n)} + q(t)x^{(n-1)} + H(t, x(g(t))) = 0$$

with  $q(t) \leq 0$ . This equation was studied by Kartsatos and Onose [7] with  $g(t) = t$ , and by Naito [9] and Sficas [10]. None of the results of these papers contains the following because of the assumptions on  $q(t)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Consider (II) with  $q: R_T \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  and continuous. Then every bounded solution of (II) is oscillatory for  $n$  even, and oscillatory or tending monotonically to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for  $n$  odd, if*

$$q(t) \geq -M/t, \quad \int_T^\infty t^{n-1} H(t, \pm \lambda) dt = \pm \infty$$

for some constant  $M > 0$ , any constant  $\lambda > 0$ , and every  $t \geq T$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x(t)$  be such that  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $x(g(t)) > 0$  for all  $t \in T$ , and bounded. Then it follows from the Lemma in [7] (cf. also Naito [9]) that  $x^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Now let  $n$  be even. Then  $x'(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Let  $t_2 \geq t_1$  be such that  $x(g(t)) > K > 0$  for  $t \geq t_2$ , and some constant  $K$ . Now consider the function  $t^{n-1}x^{(n-1)}(t)$ ,  $t \geq t_2$ . Differentiation of this function, and then integration from  $t_2$  to  $t$ , taking into consideration (II), yields

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} t^{n-1}x^{(n-1)}(t) - (n-1) \int_{t_2}^t s^{n-2}x^{(n-1)}(s)ds \\ + \int_{t_2}^t s^{n-1}q(s)x^{(n-1)}(s)ds \\ \leq t_2^{n-1}x^{(n-1)}(t_2) - \int_{t_2}^t s^{n-1}H(s, K)ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first member of this equation is bounded below by

$$(2.8) \quad -(n-1+M) \int_{t_2}^t s^{n-2}x^{(n-1)}(s)ds.$$

Taking limits as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.7), we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_2}^t s^{n-2}x^{(n-1)}(s)ds = +\infty.$$

The rest of the proof for  $n$  even follows now as in Theorem 1 in [4]. Similar arguments cover the case  $n$  odd and negative solutions.

This theorem can be extended to cover larger classes of functions  $H$ ; for example, functions of the forms considered in [4]. It can be easily shown now that the conclusion of the above theorem holds for all solutions of (II), if  $q(t) \geq -k$  (for some positive constant  $k$ ),  $t \geq T$ , and

$$\int_{\Gamma}^{\infty} H(s, \pm \lambda) ds = \pm \infty$$

for every constant  $\lambda > 0$ .

In the following result, the damping  $q(t)x^{(n-1)}(t)$  is treated as a "small" perturbation.

**THEOREM 4.** *Assume that  $q: R_T \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  is continuous. Moreover, let*

$$-\int_T^{\infty} t^{n-1} q(t) dt < +\infty.$$

*Furthermore, assume that all solutions of*

$$(III) \quad x^{(n)} + H(t, x(g(t))) = 0, \quad n \text{ even},$$

*oscillate. Then for every nonoscillatory solution  $x(t)$  of (II) we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x(t), x(g(t)) > 0, t \geq t_1 \geq T$ . Let  $H(t, x(g(t))) = f(t), x^{(n-1)}(t) = y(t), t \geq t_1$ . Then we have

$$(2.9) \quad y' + q(t)y + f(t) = 0.$$

Solving this equation we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad y(t) = \exp \left[ - \int_{t_1}^t q(s) ds \right] \left[ y(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t f(u) \exp \left[ \int_{t_1}^u q(s) ds \right] du \right] \\ \leq y(t_1) \exp \left[ - \int_{t_1}^t q(s) ds \right] \leq y(t_1) \exp \left[ - \int_{t_1}^{\infty} q(t) dt \right].$$

Since, again by the Lemma in [7],  $x^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$ , it follows that  $x^{(n-1)}(t)$  is bounded. Thus, the equation

$$u^{(n)}(t) = -q(t)x^{(n-1)}(t)$$

has a solution  $u(t)$  with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$ . In fact, this solution is the function

$$u_0(t) \equiv \int_t^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} q(s)x^{(n-1)}(s) ds.$$

Now we can consider the transformation  $w(t) = x(t) - u_0(t)$ , which takes (II) into

$$(2.11) \quad w^{(n)} + H(t, w(g(t)) + u_0(g(t))) = 0$$

It is easy to show now (cf. Kartsatos [6]) that the existence of a positive solution of (2.11) implies the existence of a positive solution to (III) for all large  $t$ , a contradiction to our assumption. Similarly for a negative solution  $x(t)$ . Consequently, if  $x(t)$  is positive (negative),  $w(t) = x(t) - u_0(t)$  is negative (positive) for all large  $t$ . This implies in both cases:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ .

The above theorem remains true for all bounded solutions of (II), if we assume, in addition to the integral condition on  $q(t)$ , that all bounded solutions of (III) are oscillatory. This last result improves Theorem 1 in [7].

### References

- [1] F. V. Atkinson, On second-order differential inequalities, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 72 (1972/3), 109-127.
- [2] R. G. Grimmer, On nonoscillatory solutions of a nonlinear differential equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 34 (1972), 118-120.
- [3] M. E. Hammett, Nonoscillation properties of a nonlinear differential equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 30 (1971), 92-96.
- [4] A. G. Kartsatos, On oscillation of solutions of even order nonlinear differential equations, J. Differential Equations, 6 (1969), 232-237.
- [5] A. G. Kartsatos, Positive solutions of perturbed nonlinear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 47 (1974), 58-68.
- [6] A. G. Kartsatos, Recent results on oscillation of solutions of forced and perturbed nonlinear differential equations of even order, NSF-CBMS Reg. Conference, Mississippi State Univ., 1975, to appear.
- [7] A. G. Kartsatos and H. Onose, On the maintenance of oscillations under the effect of a small nonlinear damping, Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ., 4 (1972), 3-11.
- [8] T. Kusano and H. Onose, Oscillations of functional differential equations with retarded arguments, J. Differential Equations, 15 (1974), 269-277.
- [9] M. Naito, Oscillation theorems for a damped nonlinear differential equation, Proc. Japan Acad., 50 (1974), 104-108.
- [10] Y. G. Sficas, On the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of damped differential equations with retarded argument, Univ. of Ioannina, Greece, Tech. Report No. 41, 1975.
- [11] B. Singh, Asymptotic nature of nonoscillatory solutions of  $n$ -th order retarded differential equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 6 (1975), 384-395.
- [12] V. Staikos and Y. G. Sficas, Forced oscillations for differential equations of arbitrary order, J. Differential Equations, 17 (1975), 1-11.

*Department of Mathematics,  
University of South Florida,  
Tampa, Florida, USA*

