

On the q -dimension of a space of orderings and q -fans

Daiji KIJIMA, Mico NISHI and Hirohumi UDA

(Received May 19, 1983)

Let F be a formally real field, P a preordering and ρ a form over F . We shall say that a pair (ρ, P) is maximal if ρ is P -anisotropic and P is maximal among the preorderings over which ρ is anisotropic. For a given q -cone Q (cf. [7]) we shall define a preordering $P(Q)$ and show that, P being a preordering, (ρ, P) is a maximal pair for some form ρ if and only if P is of finite index and of the form $P = P(Q)$ for some q -cone Q ; such a preordering will be called a q -fan in this paper.

The main purpose of this paper is to characterize a q -fan in terms of the q -dimension which is defined in §3, and give a reduction formula on q -dimensions (Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.9).

§1. Definitions and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, a field always means a formally real field. We denote by \dot{F} the multiplicative group of F . For a multiplicative subgroup P of \dot{F} , P is said to be a preordering of F if P is additively closed and $\dot{F}^2 \subset P$. We denote by $X(F/P)$ the space of all orderings σ with $P(\sigma) \supset P$, where $P(\sigma)$ is the positive cone of σ . A valuation v of F is called a real valuation if its residue field is formally real. The objects: valuation ring, valuation ideal, group of units, residue field and group of values will be denoted by A , M , U , F_v and G respectively. A preordering P of F will be called compatible with a valuation v of F (or v is compatible with P) if $1 + M \subset P$. If a preordering P of F is compatible with a valuation v , then $P \cap U$ is a union of cosets of M and $\bar{P} = \varphi(P \cap U)$ is a preordering of F_v , where φ is the canonical surjection: $A \rightarrow F_v$.

We shall say that two orderings $\sigma, \tau \in X(F/P)$ are connected in $X(F/P)$ if $\sigma = \tau$ or there exists a fan of index 8 which contains σ and τ , and we denote the relation by $\sigma \sim \tau$. It is known that the relation \sim is an equivalence relation in $X(F/P)$ ([4], Theorem 4.7). Each equivalence class of this relation is called a connected component of $X(F/P)$. We say that a preordering P is connected if $X(F/P)$ is connected. We denote by $\text{gr}(X(F/P))$ the translation group of $X(F/P)$ in the terminology of [4], namely $\text{gr}(X(F/P)) = \{\alpha \in \chi(F/P) \mid \alpha \cdot X(F/P) = X(F/P)\}$ where $\chi(F/P) = \text{Hom}(\dot{F}/P, \{\pm 1\})$ is the character group of \dot{F}/P . For a preordering P of finite index, P is connected if and only if $\dim \dot{F}/P \geq 3$ and $\dim \text{gr}(X(F/P)) \geq 1$.

Let v be a valuation compatible with P . We shall define a group isomorphism:

$$\dot{F}/P \longrightarrow G/v(P) \times \dot{F}_v/\bar{P} \dots \dots \dots (*)$$

as a preliminary step to §3. Let $s: G \rightarrow \dot{F}$ be a q-section ([7], §7) with the property that $s(v(P)) \subset P$. We define the group homomorphism $f: \dot{F} \rightarrow G \times \dot{F}_v/\dot{F}_v^2$ by $f(x) = (v(x), xs(v(x))^{-1} \text{ mod } M)$. Then by easy calculation, we can see $f^{-1}(v(P) \times \bar{P}) = P$ and we get the group isomorphism $(*)$ ([5], Theorem, p. 186).

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let P be a preordering of finite index and v be a valuation compatible with P . Then we have*

$$\text{gr}(X(F/P)) \cong \text{Hom}(G/v(P), \{\pm 1\}) \times \text{gr}(X(F_v/\bar{P})).$$

In particular

$$\dim \text{gr}(X(F/P)) = \dim G/v(P) + \dim \text{gr}(X(F_v/\bar{P}))$$

as \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector spaces. Moreover if there exists a valuation v of F which is compatible with P and $v(P) \neq G$, then the index of P is 4 or $X(F/P)$ is connected.

PROOF. The group isomorphism $\dot{F}/P \cong G/v(P) \times \dot{F}_v/\bar{P}$ naturally induces a group isomorphism $\chi(\dot{F}/P) \cong \text{Hom}(G/v(P), \{\pm 1\}) \times \chi(\dot{F}_v/\bar{P})$. Considering $X(F/P)$ and $X(F_v/\bar{P})$ as subsets of $\chi(\dot{F}/P)$ and $\chi(\dot{F}_v/\bar{P})$ respectively, we get a natural bijection: $X(F/P) \cong \text{Hom}(G/v(P), \{\pm 1\}) \times X(F_v/\bar{P})$. Then it follows immediately that

$$\text{gr}(X(F/P)) \cong \text{Hom}(G/v(P), \{\pm 1\}) \times \text{gr}(X(F_v/\bar{P})).$$

If $v(P) \neq G$, then $\dim \text{gr}(X(F/P)) \geq 1$ and this implies that $X(F/P)$ is connected or the index of P is 4. Q. E. D.

For two forms f and g over F , we write $f \cong g \pmod{P}$ if $\dim f = \dim g$ and for any $\sigma \in X(F/P)$, $\text{sgn}_\sigma(f) = \text{sgn}_\sigma(g)$ where $\text{sgn}_\sigma(f)$ and $\text{sgn}_\sigma(g)$ are the signatures at σ of f and g respectively. If $f \cong xg \pmod{P}$ for some $x \in \dot{F}$, then we say that the forms f and g are P -similar. We now recall the definitions of the residue class forms of a form $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and the sets of valuations $\Omega(P)$, $\Omega(P, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ which were introduced in [1]. Let v be a valuation of F which is compatible with a preordering P . If $v(a_i) \equiv v(a_j) \pmod{v(P)}$ for any i, j , then it is clear that there exist $x \in \dot{F}$ and $t_i \in P$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $v(xt_i a_i) = 0$ for any i . Let $\bar{\rho} = \langle (xt_1 a_1)^-, \dots, (xt_n a_n)^- \rangle$ be the form over F_v , where the bar means the residue class modulo M . We shall show that $\bar{\rho}$ is unique up to \bar{P} -similarity. Assume that $x' \in \dot{F}$ and $t'_i \in P$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) satisfy the same conditions. We put $\bar{\rho}' = \langle (x't'_1 a_1)^-, \dots, (x't'_n a_n)^- \rangle$ and $\alpha = (xt_1 a_1) (x't'_1 a_1)^{-1}$. Then α is a unit of A

and we have $\alpha(x't'_i a_i) = (t_1 t_1^{-1} t'_i t_i^{-1})(x t_i a_i)$, $t_1 t_1^{-1} t'_i t_i^{-1} \in P \cap U$ for every i . These relations imply $\bar{\rho} \cong \bar{\alpha} \cdot \bar{\rho}' \pmod{\bar{P}}$ and the conclusion follows. For a form $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$, the equivalence relation in $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ defined by $v(a_i) \equiv v(a_j) \pmod{v(P)}$ gives rise to a partition of this set. Let $\rho = \rho_1 \perp \dots \perp \rho_t$ be the decomposition of ρ with respect to this partition; that is, t is the number of classes and each ρ_i satisfies the condition mentioned above. The forms $\bar{\rho}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) of F_v are called the residue class forms of ρ . As for $\Omega(P)$, it is the set of valuations which are compatible with at least one ordering $\sigma \in X(F/P)$, and $\Omega(P, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \{v \in \Omega(P) | v(a_i) \not\equiv v(a_j) \pmod{v(P)} \text{ for some } i \text{ and } j\}$. For $v \in \Omega(P)$, there exists the least preordering which is compatible with v and contains P . We denote it by P^v .

PROPOSITION 1.2. ([1], Theorem 3.3) *Let $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be a form such that ρ is P -anisotropic and σ -indefinite for any $\sigma \in X(F/P)$. Then there exists a valuation $v \in \Omega(P, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ such that ρ is P^v -anisotropic.*

§2. q-cones and q-fans

In [6], Prestel introduced the notion of q-cones and pre-q-cones which generalize that of orderings and preorderings respectively. A subset Q of \dot{F} , will be called a pre-q-cone if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $Q + Q \subset Q$ (2) $F^2 \cdot Q \subset Q$ (3) $Q \cap -Q = \phi$ (4) $1 \in Q$.

For a pre-q-cone Q , if $Q \cup -Q = \dot{F}$, then Q will be called a q-cone of F . (In [7], a pre-q-cone Q contains the element $0 \in F$ and does not necessarily contain $1 \in Q$. In this paper we assume $0 \notin Q$ and $1 \in Q$ for convenience.) It is easily shown that if Q is a pre-q-cone, then $S_F \cdot Q \subset Q$ where $S_F = D_F(\infty) = \Sigma \dot{F}^2$.

DEFINITION and PROPOSITION 2.1. *For a pre-q-cone Q of F , we define $P(Q) = \{x \in Q | xQ \subset Q\}$. Then $P(Q)$ is a preordering of F . For a preordering P of F , if there exists a q-cone Q such that $P(Q) = P$, then P will be called a q-fan.*

The proof is easy and omitted.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let ρ be a form and P be a preordering of F over which ρ is anisotropic. If ρ is P' -isotropic for any preordering $P' \supsetneq P$, then we say that the pair (ρ, P) is a maximal pair.

By [1], Corollary 3.4, if (ρ, P) is a maximal pair, then P has a finite index.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let P be a preordering and Q be a pre-q-cone of F . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) $P(Q) \supset P$ if and only if Q is a union of cosets of P .
- (2) If $P(Q) \supset P$, then there exists a q-cone $Q_1 \supset Q$ such that $P(Q_1) \supset P$.

PROOF. The assertion (1) follows immediately from the definition. As for the assertion (2), let $M = \{Q' | Q' \text{ is a pre-}q\text{-cone which contains } Q \text{ and is a union of cosets of } P\}$. Then M is an inductive set with respect to the inclusion relation, and by Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element Q_1 of M . It is easy to show that Q_1 is a required one. Q. E. D.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let P be a preordering of F which is of finite index. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) P is a q -fan.
- (2) There exists a form ρ such that (ρ, P) is a maximal pair.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let Q be a q -cone of F such that $P(Q) = P$. By Lemma 2.3, (1), there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \dot{F}$ such that $Q = a_1P \cup \dots \cup a_nP$. We put $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$; then it is clear that ρ is P -anisotropic. Let P' be a preordering of F which contains P properly and take an element $x \in P' - P$. Then we have $xQ \not\subseteq Q$ and so there exists $\alpha \in Q$ such that $x\alpha \notin Q$. This implies $-\alpha \in Q$ and ρ is P' -anisotropic.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): We put $Q' = D(\rho/P)$, where $D(\rho/P)$ is the set $\{b \in \dot{F} | \rho \text{ represents } b \text{ over } P\}$. Then it follows from the maximality of P that Q' is a pre- q -cone and $P(Q') = P$. By Lemma 2.3, (2), there exists a q -cone Q such that $P(Q) \supset P$. It is clear that the form ρ is $P(Q)$ -anisotropic and the maximality of P shows that $P(Q) = P$. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 2.5. *For a form ρ and a preordering P of F , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) ρ is P -anisotropic.
- (2) There exists a q -fan P' of finite index such that $P' \supset P$ and ρ is P' -anisotropic.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let P be a preordering of finite index. If P is an ordering, then clearly P is a q -fan. Moreover a non-trivial fan P is a q -fan. In fact let $\{1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a complete system of representatives of the positive cone of some ordering $\sigma \in X(F/P)$, i.e. $P(\sigma) = P \cup a_2P \cup \dots \cup a_nP$. We put $\rho = \langle 1 = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, -a_n \rangle$. Since P is a fan, ρ is P -anisotropic, and we can readily see that $Q = D(\rho/P)$ is a q -cone and $P(Q) \supset P$. Conversely take an element $x \in Q - P$. We have only to show $xQ \not\subseteq Q$. To do this, we may assume that $x = a_2$ or $x = -a_n$. Since $P \cup a_2P \cup \dots \cup a_nP$ is an ordering, we have $a_2a_n \in a_jP$ for some j ($j \neq n$). Then $a_2(-a_n)P = -a_jP \not\subseteq Q$, which implies $xQ \not\subseteq Q$.

The following proposition is shown implicitly in the proof of [1], Corollary 3.4.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let v be a valuation which is compatible with a pre-*

ordering P . Let $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be a form such that (ρ, P) is a maximal pair. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) The value group G is generated by $v(a_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, n$) and $v(P)$.
- (2) Let $\bar{\rho}_i$ ($i=1, \dots, t$) be the residue class forms of ρ and \bar{P}_i ($i=1, \dots, t$) be preorderings of F_v such that $\bar{P}_i \supset \bar{P}$ and $(\bar{\rho}_i, \bar{P}_i)$ are maximal pairs. (Since each $\bar{\rho}_i$ is \bar{P} -anisotropic by [1], Proposition 3.1, Zorn's Lemma guarantees the existence of \bar{P}_i .) Then we have $\bar{P} = \bigcap \bar{P}_i$ ($i=1, \dots, t$).

THEOREM 2.8. Let P be a preordering of finite index. If P is a q-fan, then P is connected. In particular, if ρ is P -anisotropic, then there exists a connected preordering P' ($P' \supset P$) of finite index such that ρ is P' -anisotropic.

PROOF. By Theorem 2.4, there exists a form $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ such that (ρ, P) is a maximal pair. When P is an ordering, the assertion is clear. Therefore we may assume that P is not an ordering. Then for any $\sigma \in X(F/P)$, ρ is σ -indefinite by the maximality of P . So it follows from Proposition 1.2 that there exists a valuation $v \in \Omega(P, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ such that ρ is P^v -anisotropic. Hence $P^v = P$ by the maximality of P and so P is compatible with v . There exist a_i and a_j such that $v(a_i) \not\equiv v(a_j) \pmod{v(P)}$, and we can see that $v(P) \neq G$. It follows from Proposition 1.1 that $\dim \text{gr}(X(F/P)) \geq 1$. Since P is a q-fan, P is not an intersection of two orderings, and so P is connected. Q. E. D.

DEFINITION 2.9. For a preordering P of F , we define $Y(F/P) = \{Q : \text{a q-cone of } F | P(Q) \supset P\}$. Naturally the set $X(F/P)$ can be identified with a subset of $Y(F/P)$.

Let P be a preordering of finite index and X_1, \dots, X_n be the connected components of $X(F/P)$. We put $P_i = X_i^\dagger$, i.e. P_i is the preordering of X_i . Then we have $P = \bigcap P_i$ and it is the decomposition of P into connected components (cf. [3], §2).

COROLLARY 2.10. Notation being as above, we have $Y(F/P) = \bigcup Y(F/P_i)$ (disjoint union).

PROOF. It is clear that $Y(F/P_i) \subset Y(F/P)$ for any i . Let Q be an element of $Y(F/P)$. By Theorem 2.8, $P(Q)$ is connected and this implies $P(Q) \supset P_i$ for some i , and $Q \in Y(F/P_i)$. Thus $Y(F/P) = \bigcup Y(F/P_i)$. Next we shall show that $Y(F/P_i) \cap Y(F/P_j) = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. Assume on the contrary that there exists a q-cone $Q \in Y(F/P_i) \cap Y(F/P_j)$. Then $P(Q)$ contains P_i and P_j ; since $P(Q)$ is a preordering, this implies that $X_i = X(F/P_i)$ and $X_j = X(F/P_j)$ have a common ordering, a contradiction. Q. E. D.

§3. Valuations and q -fans

For a preordering P , there exists a finest valuation v compatible with P . Its valuation ring A is generated by A_Q^σ , $\sigma \in X(F/P)$, where A_Q^σ is the finest valuation ring compatible with $\sigma \in X(F/P)$, i.e. $A_Q^\sigma = \{a \in F \mid b - a \in P(\sigma) \text{ and } b + a \in P(\sigma) \text{ for some } b \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ and \mathcal{Q} is the field of rational numbers. We shall call v the finest valuation of P and A the finest valuation ring of P . The set of valuations compatible with P forms a chain.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let v_1, v_2 be valuations compatible with a preordering P , and A_1, A_2 be the valuation rings of v_1, v_2 respectively. If $A_1 \subset A_2$, then $\dim G_1/v_1(P) \geq \dim G_2/v_2(P)$.*

PROOF. It is easy to see that $v_1^{-1}(v_1(P)) = P \cdot U_1$ and $v_2^{-1}(v_2(P)) = P \cdot U_2$, where U_1 and U_2 are the groups of units of A_1 and A_2 respectively. Then, since $U_1 \subset U_2$ and $\hat{F}/PU_i \cong G_i/v_i(P)$ ($i=1, 2$), we have $\dim G_1/v_1(P) \geq \dim G_2/v_2(P)$. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let P be a preordering of finite index and v be the finest valuation compatible with P . If P is connected, then $\dim G/v(P) \geq 1$.*

PROOF. First we note $\dim \text{gr}(X(F/P)) \geq 1$ and $\dim \hat{F}/P \neq 2$ since P is connected. We take $\tau \in \text{gr}(X(F/P))$, $\tau \neq 1$. We write $X(F/P) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \tau\sigma_1, \dots, \tau\sigma_k\}$. Then we have $k \geq 2$ since $\dim \hat{F}/P \neq 2$. We let P_i be the preordering of a 4 fan $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_i, \tau\sigma_1, \tau\sigma_i\}$ ($i=2, \dots, k$). By [2], Theorem 2.7, there exists a valuation v_i such that v_i is compatible with P_i and \bar{P}_i is trivial (i.e. the index of \bar{P}_i equals 2 or 4), for any $i=2, \dots, k$. For the value group G_i of v_i , we have $v_i(P_i) \neq G_i$ by Proposition 1.1. The valuation ring A_i of the valuation v_i contains the finest valuation ring $A_Q^{\sigma_1}$ compatible with σ_1 ; hence the set $\{A_i\}$ forms a chain. We may assume that A_2 is the maximal one. Then the valuation v_2 is compatible for any ordering of $X(F/P)$, so v_2 is compatible with P . Then the valuation ring A of v is contained in A_2 and hence $\dim G/v(P) \geq \dim G_2/v_2(P) \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.1.

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let v be the finest valuation of a preordering P . Then any valuation of F_v compatible with \bar{P} is trivial.*

PROOF. Let \bar{v} be a valuation of F_v compatible with \bar{P} , A and \bar{A} be the valuation rings of v and \bar{v} respectively and $\varphi: A \rightarrow F_v$ be the canonical surjection. Then $A' = \varphi^{-1}(\bar{A})$ is a valuation ring of F , and it is clear that the valuation v' corresponding to A' is compatible with P . Since v is the finest valuation of P and $A' \subset A$, it follows that $A' = A$ and \bar{v} is trivial. Q. E. D.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let P be a preordering of finite index and v be the finest*

valuation of P . If P is connected and is not a fan, then $\dim G/v(P) = \dim \text{gr}(X(F/P))$. In particular, the induced preordering \bar{P} of F_v is not connected.

PROOF. Assume on the contrary that $\dim G/v(P) \neq \dim \text{gr}(X(F/P))$. Then we have $\dim G/v(P) < \dim \text{gr}(X(F/P))$ and $\dim \text{gr}(X(F_v/\bar{P})) \geq 1$ by Proposition 1.1. From [2], Example 2.6, \bar{P} is not a fan, so $\dim \hat{F}_v/\bar{P} \neq 2$ and \bar{P} is connected. Then it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\bar{v}(\bar{P}) \neq \bar{G}$, where \bar{v} is the finest valuation of \bar{P} and \bar{G} is its value group. This contradicts Lemma 3.3. Q. E. D.

DEFINITION 3.5. Let P be a preordering of finite index. Then P can be written as $P = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$, where P_i is a q-fan for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. We call the least number of n the q-dimension of P and denote it by $\text{q-dim}(P)$.

THEOREM 3.6. Let P be a connected preordering of finite index and v be a valuation which is compatible with P . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) P is a q-fan.
- (2) $\text{q-dim}(\bar{P}) \leq 2r$, $r = \dim G/v(P)$.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let (ρ, P) be a maximal pair, and $\bar{\rho}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) be residue class forms of ρ . Then it follows from $t \leq 2r$ that $\text{q-dim}(\bar{P}) \leq 2r$ by Proposition 2.7, (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): If \bar{P} is an ordering of F_v , then P is a fan ([2], Example 2.6) and the assertion follows from Example 2.6. If $r = 0$, then \bar{P} is a q-fan and there exists a q-cone \bar{Q} of F_v such that $P(\bar{Q}) = \bar{P}$. We write $\bar{Q} = \bar{a}_1\bar{P} \cup \dots \cup \bar{a}_m\bar{P}$, $a_i \in U$, $\bar{a}_i = a_i \text{ mod } M$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). It is clear that the form $\rho = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ is P -anisotropic. Since $\hat{F}/P \cong \hat{F}_v/\bar{P}$, we see that $Q = D(\rho/P) = a_1P \cup \dots \cup a_mP$ and Q is a q-cone of F . Then it follows immediately that $P(Q) = P$, and so P is a q-fan. Next we consider the case $r \geq 1$ and \bar{P} is not an ordering. We can write $\bar{P} = \bar{P}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{P}_s$, $2 \leq s \leq 2r$, such that $\bar{P}_i \neq \bar{P}_j$ for any $i \neq j$ and each \bar{P}_i is a q-fan. (If \bar{P} is a q-fan, then we write $\bar{P} = \bar{P} \cap P(\tau)$, where $P(\tau)$ is the positive cone of some ordering $\tau \in X(F_v/\bar{P})$.) Let \bar{Q}_i ($i = 1, \dots, s$) be q-cones of F_v such that $P(\bar{Q}_i) = \bar{P}_i$. We write $\bar{Q}_i = \bar{a}_{i1}\bar{P} \cup \dots \cup \bar{a}_{im}\bar{P}$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$), where $a_{ij} \in U$, $\bar{a}_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{ mod } M$ and $2m$ is the index of \bar{P} . Let $s: G \rightarrow \hat{F}$ be a q-section with $s(v(P)) \subset P$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be elements of G such that the set $\{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_r\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $G/v(P)$. Let A be the set $\{\varepsilon_1\alpha_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r\alpha_r; \varepsilon_i = 0, 1\}$ consisting of 2^r elements of G . Let y_i ($i = 1, \dots, 2^r$) be elements of F such that $y_1 = 1$ and $A = \{v(y_i); i = 1, \dots, 2^r\}$. We put $\rho = \sum y_i \langle a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im} \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, 2^r$, where $a_{ij} = a_{sj}$ for $i \geq s$). Since the residue class forms of ρ are \bar{P} -anisotropic, ρ is P -anisotropic ([1], Proposition 3.1). Also $2 \cdot \dim \rho$ equals the index of P by the group isomorphism (*) in §1. Since $\{y_i a_{ij}; i = 1, \dots, 2^r, j = 1, \dots, m\}$ are the complete system of representatives of $Q = D(\rho/P)$ over P , Q is a q-cone. It is clear that $P(Q) \supset P$ and we shall show the reverse

inclusion. It suffices to show that $f(P(Q)) \subset v(P) \times \bar{P}$, where f is the group homomorphism defined in §1.

Step 1. First we shall show that $v(P(Q)) \subset v(P)$. Assume on the contrary that there exists $\alpha \in P(Q)$ such that $v(\alpha) \notin v(P)$. Then we can write $v(\alpha) = v(y_k) + b$ for some $y_k \neq 1$ and $b \in v(P)$. It follows from the facts $v(\alpha a_{1i}) = v(\alpha) \in v(y_k) + v(P)$ and $\alpha a_{1i} \in Q$ that $\alpha a_{1i} \in y_k a_{k1} P \cup \dots \cup y_k a_{km} P$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). It is now easy to show that by a suitable renumbering of $\{a_{ki}\}$, we may assume that $\alpha a_{1i} \in y_k a_{ki} P$ for any $i = 1, \dots, m$. So we can write $\alpha a_{1i} = y_k a_{ki} p_i$, $p_i \in P$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). The residue class forms of $\langle \alpha a_{11}, \dots, \alpha a_{1m} \rangle$ and $\langle y_k a_{k1}, \dots, y_k a_{km} \rangle$ are $\langle \bar{a}_{11}, \dots, \bar{a}_{1m} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{a}_{k1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{km} \rangle$ respectively and they are \bar{P} -similar by the argument in §1. This shows that $\bar{Q}_1 = \beta \bar{Q}_k$ for some $\beta \in \bar{F}_v$ and so $\bar{P}_1 = \bar{P}_k$. This is a contradiction.

Step 2. Next we shall show that $\alpha s(v(\alpha))^{-1} \bmod M \in \bar{P}$ for any $\alpha \in P(Q)$. Let k be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq s$. Since $v(\alpha) \in v(P)$, we have $\alpha y_k a_{ki} \in y_k a_{k1} P \cup \dots \cup y_k a_{km} P$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Similarly to Step 1, we can find a bijection $\sigma: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\alpha a_{ki} \in a_{k\sigma(i)} P$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). So we can write $\alpha a_{ki} = a_{k\sigma(i)} p_i$ for some $p_i \in P$. Then since $s(v(\alpha))^{-1} \in P$ and $v(p_i) = v(\alpha)$, we have $p_i s(v(\alpha))^{-1} \in P \cap U$; therefore $\beta \bar{a}_{ki} = \bar{a}_{k\sigma(i)} p_i$, where $\beta = \alpha s(v(\alpha))^{-1} \bmod M$ and $p_i = p_i s(v(\alpha))^{-1} \bmod M \in \bar{P}$. This shows that $\beta \bar{Q}_k = \bar{Q}_k$, and so $\beta \in \bar{P}_k = P(\bar{Q}_k)$. Thus we have $\beta = \alpha s(v(\alpha))^{-1} \in \bar{P}_k = \bar{P}$. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let P be a connected preordering of finite index and v be the finest valuation of P . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) P is a q -fan.
- (2) $q\text{-dim}(\bar{P}) \leq 2r$, where $r = \dim \text{gr}(X(F/P))$.

PROOF. The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.6. Q. E. D.

Let v be a valuation of F compatible with a preordering P of F . Let T and S be preorderings of F and F_v respectively such that $T \supset P$ and $S \supset \bar{P}$. We say that T is the lifting of S if $X(F/T)$ consists of all orderings which lift the orderings of $X(F_v/S)$, i.e. $X(F/T) = \{\sigma \in X(F/P) \mid \bar{\sigma} \in X(F_v/S)\}$. If T is the lifting of S then it is clear that $\bar{T} = S$.

LEMMA 3.8. *Notation being as above, we have $|X(F/T)| = 2^r \times |X(F_v/S)|$ and $\dim G/v(T) = r$ ($r = \dim G/v(P)$), namely $v(T) = v(P)$.*

PROOF. It is clear that for an ordering $\tau \in X(F_v/\bar{P})$, there exists exactly 2^r orderings $\sigma_i \in X(F/P)$ which lift the ordering τ . So we have $|X(F/T)| = 2^r \times |X(F_v/S)|$. This shows that $\dim G/v(T) = r$ since $X(F/T) \cong \text{Hom}(G/v(T), \{\pm 1\}) \times X(F_v/S)$. Q. E. D.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let P be a connected preordering of finite index and v be the*

finest valuation of P. Then $\text{q-dim}(P)$ is the least integer s satisfying $s \geq \text{q-dim}(\bar{P})/2^r$, where $r = \dim \text{gr}(X/P)$.

PROOF. We put $m = \text{q-dim}(\bar{P})$ and write $\bar{P} = \bar{P}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{P}_m$ where each \bar{P}_i is a q-fan. By Corollary 3.7, we may assume $2^r < m$. We put $S_i = \bar{P}_{2^r(i-1)+1} \cap \dots \cap \bar{P}_{2^r i}$ ($i=1, \dots, s-1$) and $S_s = \bar{P}_{2^r(s-1)+1} \cap \dots \cap \bar{P}_m$. We let T_i be the liftings of S_i ($i=1, \dots, s$) and $T = \bigcap T_i$ ($i=1, \dots, s$). By Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.8, each T_i is a q-fan. Since we have the isomorphisms $\dot{F}/P \cong G/v(P) \times \dot{F}_v/\bar{P}$ and $\dot{F}/T \cong G/v(T) \times \dot{F}_v/\bar{T}$, it follows from $\bar{T} = \bigcap \bar{T}_i = \bar{P}$ and $v(T) = v(P)$ that $T = P$. Hence $\text{q-dim}(P) \leq s$. Conversely we write $P = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ where each P_i is a q-fan. Then by Theorem 3.6, $\text{q-dim}(\bar{P}_i) \leq 2^t \leq 2^r$ ($t = \dim G/v(P_i)$); therefore $\text{q-dim}(\bar{P}) \leq 2^r \text{q-dim}(P)$ since $\bar{P} = \bar{P}_1 \cap \dots \cap \bar{P}_n$. Q. E. D.

REMARK 3.9. (1) The converse of Theorem 2.8 is not valid. In fact let K be a field with exactly three orderings and $F = K((x))$ and $P = S_F = \Sigma \dot{F}^2$. Then $X(F/P)$ is connected and $\dim \text{gr}(X(F/P)) = 1$. Since $F_v \cong K$ has exactly three orderings, we have $\text{q-dim}(\bar{P}) = 3$ and by Corollary 3.7, P is not a q-fan.

(2) In Example 2.6, we showed that a non-trivial fan is a q-fan. The converse is false. In fact we put $L = K((x))((y))$ where K is the field given in (1) and $P = S_L = \Sigma \dot{L}^2$. Then $X(L/P)$ is not a fan. However since $\dim \text{gr}(X(L/P)) = 2$, we can see that P is a q-fan by Corollary 3.7.

References

- [1] E. Becker and L. Bröcker, On the description of the reduced Witt ring, *J. Algebra* **52** (1978), 328–346.
- [2] L. Bröcker, Characterization of fans and hereditarily pythagorean fields, *Math. Z.* **151** (1976), 149–163.
- [3] D. Kijima and M. Nishi, On the space of orderings and the group H, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **13** (1983), 283–293.
- [4] M. Marshall, Classification of finite space of orderings, *Can. J. Math.* **31** (1979), 320–330.
- [5] J. Merzel, Quadratic forms over fields with finitely many orderings, *Contemporary Math.* **8** (1982), 185–229.
- [6] A. Prestel, Quadratische Semi-Ordnungen und quadratische Formen, *Math. Z.* **133** (1973), 319–342.
- [7] A. Prestel, Lectures on formally real fields, Lecture notes IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 1976.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,*

*Hiroshima University
and
Faculty of Education,
Miyazaki University*