

Codimension of Jacobian ideals and (R_n) conditions for complete intersections

Yuji YOSHINO

(Received January 17, 1985)

1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested in studying an estimation of the codimension of Jacobian ideals in a formal power series ring over a field of characteristic 0, and as an application we shall obtain a criterion on the (R_n) conditions for complete intersections.

Let R be an excellent regular local ring containing \mathcal{O} and let A be a factor ring R/I of R where I is generated by a regular sequence $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r\}$. Recall that A satisfies Serre's condition (R_n) if and only if $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq n$, or A is non-singular in codimension n . In particular, A is reduced if and only if A satisfies (R_0) , and normal if and only if A satisfies (R_1) , for A is automatically Cohen-Macaulay and so satisfies (S_1) and (S_2) . It is clear that after a field extension a generic choice of generators of I , say g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r , have the property that $R/(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r-i})$ satisfies (R_{n+i}) if A satisfies (R_n) . We will prove in Theorem (3.1) that such generators can be chosen without any field extension. More precisely, we can take $g_i = f_i + n_{i,i+1}f_{i+1} + \dots + n_{i,r}f_r$ where $n_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq r$) are integers.

The important ground for proving this theorem exists in the evaluation of the height of Jacobian ideals, which will be discussed in section 2 and the main result will be stated in Theorem (2.1).

Our method depends crucially on the good behavior of derivations and on the Jacobian criterion for regularity, the validity of which is admitted only in case of characteristic 0. Therefore we naturally assume in this paper that every ring contains the field \mathcal{O} of rational numbers.

2. Codimension of Jacobian ideals

In this section we always denote $R = k[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]]$ where k is a field of characteristic 0. If f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r are non-units in R and $1 \leq s \leq r$, then we denote by $J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ the ideal generated by all the $s \times s$ minors of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial f_i / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq m}$.

For the present we concentrate upon the subject — how to estimate the height of $J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$. The main fact is the following

THEOREM (2.1) $\text{ht}(J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \geq \text{ht}((J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r), f_1, \dots, f_s)) - s + 1$.
 Here it should be noted that the unit ideal R has infinite height.

REMARK. By Krull's principal ideal theorem it is obvious that $\text{ht}(J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \geq \text{ht}((J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r), f_1, \dots, f_s)) - s$.

PROOF. For simplicity we denote $J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ by J . We may consider only the case $s < \text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s) < \infty$. Suppose that $\text{ht}(J) = \text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s) - s$. Then there would exist a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} which contains J such that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s) - s$. Since $\text{ht}((J, f_1, \dots, f_s) + \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}) \leq s \leq \dim(R) - \text{ht}(J, f_1, \dots, f_s) + s = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p})$, there would be another prime ideal \mathfrak{P} which contains $(J, f_1, \dots, f_s) + \mathfrak{p}$ and $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) = s$. Now let S be the $\mathfrak{P}R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ -adic completion of $R_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and let K be a coefficient field of S . Notice that $\dim(S/\mathfrak{p}S) = s$ and $S/\mathfrak{p}S$ is reduced because of the excellence of R . If we denote the total quotient ring of $S/\mathfrak{p}S$ by L , then there is an S -module homomorphism ψ of $D_k(S)$ to $D_K(S/\mathfrak{p}S) \otimes_S L$ so that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \longrightarrow & S/\mathfrak{p}S \\ d_k \downarrow & & \downarrow d_K \otimes 1 \\ D_k(S) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & D_K(S/\mathfrak{p}S) \otimes_S L, \end{array}$$

where $D_k(S)$ (resp. $D_K(S/\mathfrak{p}S)$) denotes the universally finite module of differentials of S (resp. $S/\mathfrak{p}S$) over k (resp. K) and d_k (resp. d_K) is the universal derivation of S (resp. $S/\mathfrak{p}S$) over k (resp. K). (For the definition see [3].) Thus we obtain a mapping $A^s\psi: A^sD_k(S) \rightarrow A^s(D_K(S/\mathfrak{p}S) \otimes_S L)$.

Now we shall prove that $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s\}$ never forms a system of parameters of $S/\mathfrak{p}S$. (Recall that $\dim(S/\mathfrak{p}S) = s$.) In fact, if it was a system of parameters, then $S/\mathfrak{p}S$ would be a finite extension of the formal power series ring $T := K[[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s]]$ and hence $D_K(S/\mathfrak{p}S) \otimes_S L = \sum_{i=1}^s Ld_Kf_i$. Note that the K -derivation $\partial/\partial f_i$ on T can be extended to the K -derivation on L . Denote it by δ_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Then ψ could be given by the following equality:

$$\psi(d_kx) = \sum_{i=1}^s (\delta_i x) d_Kf_i \quad (x \in S).$$

In particular, $(A^s\psi)(d_kf_1 Ad_kf_2 A \cdots Ad_kf_s)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \det((\delta_i f_j)_{i,j=1,2,\dots,s}) (d_Kf_1 Ad_Kf_2 A \cdots Ad_Kf_s) \\ &= d_Kf_1 Ad_Kf_2 A \cdots Ad_Kf_s \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand since $\mathfrak{p} \supset J$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &d_kf_1 Ad_kf_2 A \cdots Ad_kf_s \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_s \leq m} (\partial f_1 / \partial X_{i_1}) \cdots (\partial f_s / \partial X_{i_s}) d_kX_{i_1} A \cdots Ad_kX_{i_s} \in \mathfrak{p}(A^sD_k(S)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $(A^s\psi)(d_k f_1 A d_k f_2 A \cdots A d_k f_s) = 0$. This contradiction shows that $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s\}$ does not generate a parameter ideal of $S/\mathfrak{p}S$.

Hence we have $s > \text{ht}(((f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s) + \mathfrak{p})S/\mathfrak{p}S) = \text{ht}((f_1, \dots, f_s) + \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{ht}((J, f_1, \dots, f_s) + \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p})$ and finally we get the inequality: $\text{ht}((J, f_1, \dots, f_s) + \mathfrak{p}) < s + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. This contradicts the choice of \mathfrak{p} .

REMARK (2.2) Let $s = r = 1$ in Theorem (2.1). Then the theorem shows that $\text{ht}(f, \partial f/\partial X_1, \partial f/\partial X_2, \dots, \partial f/\partial X_m) = \text{ht}(\partial f/\partial X_1, \dots, \partial f/\partial X_m)$ for any non-unit f of R . This is rather well known since f is always integral over $(\partial f/\partial X_1, \partial f/\partial X_2, \dots, \partial f/\partial X_m)$ by [4; Satz (5.2)]. Thus Theorem (2.1) can be considered as a kind of generalization of [4; Satz (5.2)].

LEMMA (2.3) Let R as above and let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be non-units of R such that $\text{ht}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r) = s$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ satisfies (R_n) .
- (b) $\text{ht}((J_s(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r), f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \geq n + 1$.

PROOF. This is clear from the Jacobian criterion for regularity: For a prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of R , $(R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r))_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is regular if and only if $\text{rank}((\partial f_i/\partial X_j \text{ mod } (\mathfrak{P}))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq m}) = s$. (See [2; (29.A)].)

Combining Theorem (2.1) with this lemma we have the following

COROLLARY (2.4) Let R as above and let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be a regular sequence of R . If $R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ satisfies (R_n) for some $n \geq 0$, then $\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \geq n + 2$.

PROOF. By the previous lemma the inequality:

$$\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r), f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r) \geq n + r + 1$$

holds and the consequence is obvious from Theorem (2.1).

Corollary (2.5) gives some additional information as a special case of corollary (2.4).

COROLLARY (2.5) Let R and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be as in Corollary (2.4). If $R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ is an isolated singularity, then

$$\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) = m - r + 1.$$

PROOF. Applying Corollary (2.4) in case $n = \dim(R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) - 1 = m - r - 1$, we obtain $\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \geq m - r + 1$. On the other hand since $(\partial f_i/\partial X_j)_{i,j}$ is a matrix of size $r \times m$, [1; §6 Theorem 3] shows $\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \leq m - r + 1$.

3. (R_n) conditions for complete intersections

The purpose of this section is to prove the following

THEOREM (3.1) *Let R be an excellent regular local ring containing \mathcal{Q} and let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r be a regular sequence of R . Assume that $R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$ satisfies (R_n) for some $n \geq 0$. Then there exist integers n_2, n_3, \dots, n_r such that $R/(f_1 + n_2 f_2, f_2 + n_3 f_3, \dots, f_{r-1} + n_r f_r)$ satisfies (R_{n+1}) .*

COROLLARY (3.2) *Let R be as above and let I be an ideal of R generated by a regular sequence. If R/I satisfies (R_n) for some $n \geq 0$, then there exists a minimal generating set f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r of I such that each $A_i := R/(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{r-i})$ satisfies (R_{n+i}) . In particular if A_0 is reduced, then A_1 is normal. If A_0 is an isolated singularity, then so is any A_i .*

REMARK (3.3) In order to improve (R_n) conditions it is necessary to replace f_i with $f_i + n_{i+1} f_{i+1}$ as in Theorem (3.1). For instance let $I = (XY, ZW) \subset R = k[[X, Y, Z, W]]$. Then R/I is reduced, hence satisfies (R_0) . Although $R/(XY)$ does not satisfy (R_1) , $R/(XY+ZW)$ is certainly normal. Also remark that the same example shows that Theorem (3.1) fails provided $\text{char}(k) > 0$. (Take $\text{char}(k) = 2, f_1 = XY$ and $f_2 = XY + ZW$.)

The following lemma will be necessary to prove Theorem (3.1).

LEMMA (3.4) *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring which contains \mathcal{Q} and let J be an ideal of R and $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \in R$. Suppose that $\text{ht}(J) \geq a$ and $\text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r) \geq a + r - 1$ for some non-negative integer a . Then there exist integers n_2, n_3, \dots, n_r such that*

$$\text{ht}(J, f_1 + n_2 f_2, f_2 + n_3 f_3, \dots, f_{r-1} + n_r f_r) \geq a + r - 1.$$

PROOF. Take a regular sequence g_1, g_2, \dots, g_a in J . Considering $R/(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_a)$ instead of R , we may assume $a = 0$. We proceed the proof by induction on r . If $r = 1$, then there is nothing to prove.

Assume $r = 2$, hence $\text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2) \geq 1$. It is sufficient to prove that for any large n , $f_1 + n f_2$ does not belong to any minimal prime ideal of R which contains J . However it is clear from the following fact: If $f_1 + n f_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some integer n and a minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R containing J , then $f_1 + (n+m)f_2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for any $m > 0$, since $f_2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Next we assume $r \geq 3$. Since $\text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r) \geq r - 1$, Krull's principal ideal theorem shows that $\text{ht}(J, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{r-1}) \geq r - 2$. By the induction hypothesis there are integers n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{r-1} satisfying $\text{ht}(J, f_1 + n_2 f_2, \dots, f_{r-2} + n_{r-1} f_{r-1}) \geq r - 2$. If we denote $J' = (J, f_1 + n_2 f_2, \dots, f_{r-2} + n_{r-1} f_{r-1})$, then we have

$\text{ht}(J') \geq r-2$ and $\text{ht}(J', f_{r-1}, f_r) \geq r-1$. Hence by the case $r=2$, there exists an integer n_r such that $\text{ht}(J', f_{r-1} + n_r f_r) \geq r-1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM (3.1) We should first notice that R/I satisfies (R_n) if and only if $\hat{R}/I\hat{R}$ satisfies (R_n) for any ideal I , for R is excellent. Therefore we may assume that R is a complete regular local ring, thus we may denote $R = k[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]]$ where k is a field. By Corollary (2.4) the condition (R_n) requires the inequality: $\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)) \geq n+2$. And Lemma (2.3) shows that $\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r), f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r) \geq n+r+1$. Now applying the previous lemma, we know the existence of integers n_2, n_3, \dots, n_r such that

$$\text{ht}(J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r), f_1 + n_2 f_2, \dots, f_{r-1} + n_r f_r) \geq n + r + 1.$$

If we denote $g_i = f_i + n_{i+1} f_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r-1$) and $g_r = f_r$, then it is clear that $J_{r-1}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r-1}) \supset J_r(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) = J_r(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r)$. Hence we have

$$\text{ht}(J_{r-1}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r-1}), g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r-1}) \geq n + r + 1.$$

This shows that $R/(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{r-1})$ satisfies (R_{n+1}) by Lemma (2.3).

References

- [1] Eagon, J. A., Northcott, D. G.: Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them. Proc. Royal Soc. A. **269**, 188-204 (1962).
- [2] Matsumura, H.: Commutative Algebra. W. A. Benjamin, New York, (1970).
- [3] Scheja, G., Storch, U.: Differentielle Eigenschaften der Lokalisierungen analytischer Algebren. Math. Ann. **197**, 137-170 (1972).
- [4] Scheja, G., Storch, U.: Über differentielle Abhängigkeit bei Idealen analytischer Algebren. Math. Z. **114**, 101-112 (1970).

*Department of Mathematics,
Nagoya University*

