# Oscillation theorems for nonlinear differential systems with general deviating arguments

## P. MARUŠIAK

(Received December 20, 1985)

### 1. Introduction

The oscillation theory of nonlinear differential systems with deviating argements has been developed by many authors. Most of them have studied two-dimensional differential systems; see, for example, Kitamura and Kusano [2-4], Shevelo, Varech and Gritsai [8], and Varech and Shevelo [9, 10]. The oscillation results for *n*-dimensional systems with deviating arguments have been given by Foltynska and Werbowski [1], the present author [5, 6] and Šeda [7].

The purpose of this paper is to obtain oscillation criteria for the nonlinear differential system with general deviating arguments of the form:

$$(S_r) y_i'(t) = p_i(t)f_i(y_{i+1}(h_{i+1}(t))), i = 1, 2, ..., n-1,$$
  
$$y_n'(t) = (-1)^r p_n(t)f_n(y_1(h_1(t))), r = 1, 2,$$

where the following conditions are assumed to hold:

(1) a)  $p_i: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , i=1, 2, ..., n, are continuous and not identically zero on any infinite subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$ , and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{i}(t)dt = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-1;$$

- b)  $h_i: [0, \infty) \to R$  are continuous and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} h_i(t) = \infty$ , i = 1, ..., n;
- c)  $f_i: R \to R$  are continuous and  $uf_i(u) > 0$  for  $u \neq 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

Denote by W the set of all solutions  $y(t) = (y_1(t), ..., y_n(t))$  of the system  $(S_r)$  which exist on some ray  $[T_y, \infty) \subset [0, \infty)$  and satisfy sup  $\{\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i(t)|; t \ge T\} > 0$  for all  $T \ge T_y$ .

DEFINITION 1. A solution  $y \in W$  is called oscillatory if each component has arbitrarily large zeros.

A solution  $y \in W$  is called nonoscillatory (resp. weakly nonoscillatory) if each component (resp. at least one component) is eventually of constant sign.

DEFINITION 2. We shall say that the system  $(S_1)$  has the property A if for n even every solution  $y \in W$  is oscillatory and for n odd it is either oscillatory or

 $(P_1)$   $y_i$  (i=1, 2,..., n) tend monotonically to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

We shall say that the system  $(S_2)$  has the property B if for n even every solution  $y \in W$  is either oscillatory or  $(P_1)$  holds or

 $(P_2)$   $|y_i|$  (i=1, 2,..., n) tend monotonically to  $\infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ , and for n odd it is either oscillatory or  $(P_2)$  holds.

We introduce the following notations:

i) Let  $\tau: [0, \infty) \to R$  be a continuous function such that  $\tau(t) \le t$  and  $\tau(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ . We define

$$\gamma_{\tau}(t) = \sup \{s \ge 0; \tau(s) < t\} \text{ for all } t > 0;$$

- ii) Let  $i_k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}, k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}, t, s \in [0, \infty)$ . We define:
- (2)  $I_0 = 1$ ,

$$I_k(t, s; p_{i_k}, ..., p_{i_1}) = \int_s^t p_{i_k}(x) I_{k-1}(x, s; p_{i_{k-1}}, ..., p_{i_1}) dx.$$
It is easy to prove that the following identities hold:

(3) 
$$I_k(t, s; p_{i_k}, ..., p_{i_1}) = \int_s^t p_{i_1}(x) I_{k-1}(t, x; p_{i_k}, ..., p_{i_2}) dx,$$

(4) 
$$I_k(t, s; p_{i_k}, ..., p_{i_1}) = (-1)^k I_k(s, t; p_{i_1}, ..., p_{i_k}).$$

To obtain main results we need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Suppose that the conditions (1a)-(1c) are satisfied. Let  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in W$  be a nonoscillatory solution of  $(S_r)$  on the interval  $[a, \infty)$ ,  $a \ge 0$ .

I) Then there exist an integer  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , with n+r+l odd or l=n, and  $t_0 \ge a$  such that for  $t \ge t_0$ 

$$(5_l) y_i(t)y_1(t) > 0, i = 1, 2, ..., l,$$

(6<sub>l</sub>) 
$$(-1)^{l+i}y_i(t)y_1(t) > 0, \quad i = l, l+1,..., n.$$

II) In addition let  $\lim_{t\to\infty} |y_l(t)| = L_l$ ,  $0 \le L_l \le \infty$ . Then

(7) 
$$l > 1, L_l > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} |y_i(t)| = \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., l - 1,$$
$$l < n, L_l < \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} y_i(t) = 0, \quad i = l + 1, ..., n.$$

PROOF. a) Let r=1. From Lemma 1 of [5] we get the assertions of Lemma 1 in the case I). b) Let r=2. Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $y_1(t)>0$ ,  $y_1(h_1(t))>0$  for  $t\ge t_1\ge a$ . Because of (1a), (1c), the *n*-th equation of  $(S_2)$  implies that  $y_n(t)$  is nondecreasing on  $[t_1, \infty)$ . Then either  $y_n(t)>0$  or  $y_n(t)<0$  for  $t\ge t_2\ge t_1$ . i) If  $y_n(t)>0$  for  $t\ge t_2$ , it is easy to prove that  $y_i(t)>0$  for  $t\ge t_3\ge t_2$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,n-1$ . ii) Let  $y_n(t)<0$  for  $t\ge t_2$ . Then in view of the

(n-1)-st equation of  $(S_2)$  we get  $y'_{n-1}(t)y_1(t) \le 0$  for  $t \ge t_2$ . Then by the case a) with n replaced by n-1, there exist an integer  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$  with n+l odd and a  $t_0 \ge t_2$  such that  $(S_l)$ ,  $(S_l)$  hold.

The assertions in the case II) follow from  $(5_1)$ ,  $(6_1)$ .

LEMMA 2. ([5, Lemma 1]) Let  $y \in W$  be a weakly nonoscillatory solution of  $(S_r)$  on  $[a, \infty)$ . Then there exists a  $T \ge a$  such that y is nonoscillatory on  $[T, \infty)$ .

Furthermore we shall consider the system  $(\bar{S}_r)$  or the form

$$y'_{i}(t) = p_{i}(t)y_{i+1}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n-2,$$

$$y'_{n-1}(t) = p_{n-1}(t)f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(t))),$$

$$y'_{n}(t) = (-1)^{r}p_{n}(t)f_{n}(y_{1}(h_{1}(t))), \quad r = 1, 2,$$

where the conditions (1a)-(1c) hold and

(1d)  $f_{n-1}(u), f_n(u)$  are nondecreasing functions of u.

LEMMA 3. ([5, Lemma 4]) Suppose that (1a)-(1d) are satisfied. Let  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in W$  be a solution of  $(\overline{S}_r)$  on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Then the following relations hold:

(8) 
$$y_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} y_{i+j}(s) I_{j}(s, t; p_{i+j-1}, ..., p_{i})$$

$$+ (-1)^{m+1} \int_{s}^{t} y_{i+m+1}(x) p_{i+m}(x) I_{m}(x, t; p_{i+m-1}, ..., p_{i}) dx$$

$$for \quad m = 0, 1, ..., n - i - 2, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 2, t, s \in [t_{0}, \infty);$$

$$(9) \quad y_{i}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} (-1)^{j} y_{i+j}(t) I_{j}(t, s; p_{i+j-1}, ..., p_{i})$$

$$+ (-1)^{n-i} \int_{s}^{t} p_{n-1}(x) I_{n-i-1}(x, s; p_{n-2}, ..., p_{i}) f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(x))) dx,$$

$$for \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, \quad t, s \in [t_{0}, \infty).$$

LEMMA 4. Suppose that (1a)-(1d) are satisfied Let  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in W$  be a nonoscillatory solution of  $(\bar{S}_r)$  on  $[a, \infty)$  with  $y_1(t) > 0$  on  $[a, \infty)$ . Then there exist a  $t_0 \ge a$  and an integer  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with n+r+l odd or l=n such that  $(5_l)$ -(7) hold. Moreover

$$(10_{i}) y_{i}(t) \ge (-1)^{n+l} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p_{n-1}(s) \bar{I}_{n-i-1}(s, t_{0}) f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(s))) ds$$

$$for l = 2, 3, ..., n-1, i = 1, 2, ..., l-1, t \ge t_{0};$$

$$(10_{n}) y_{i}(t) \ge \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p_{n-1}(s) I_{n-i-1}(t, s; p_{i}, ..., p_{n-2}) f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(s))) ds$$

for 
$$i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, t \ge t_0$$

where

$$\bar{I}_{n-i-1}(s, t_0) = \begin{cases} I_{n-i-1}(s, t; p_{n-2}, ..., p_l, p_i, ..., p_{l-1}), & 2 \le l \le n-2 \\ I_{n-i-1}(s, t_0; p_i, ..., p_{n-2}), & l = n-1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $l \in \{2, 3, ..., n-1\}$ , i = 1, 2, ..., l-1. Putting m = l-i-1,  $s = t_0$ , x = u in (8) and then using (4) and (5<sub>l</sub>), we have

(11) 
$$y_i(t) \ge \int_{t_0}^t y_i(u) p_{i-1}(u) I_{i-i-1}(t, u; p_i, ..., p_{i-2}) du, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

On the other hand, we put i = l, s = u in (9) and then use (6) to get

(12) 
$$y_{l}(u) \ge (-1)^{n+l} \int_{u}^{t} p_{n-1}(x) I_{n-l-1}(x, u; p_{n-2}, ..., p_{l}).$$
$$f_{n-1}(u_{n}(h_{n}(x))) dx \quad \text{for } t \ge u.$$

Substituting (12) in (11), we obtain

$$(13) y_{i}(t) \ge (-1)^{n+l} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \left( \int_{u}^{t} p_{n-1}(x) I_{n-l-1}(x, u; p_{n-2}, ..., p_{l}) \right).$$

$$f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(x))) dx \right) p_{l-1}(u) I_{l-i-1}(t, u; p_{i}, ..., p_{l-2}) du$$

$$\ge (-1)^{n+l} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} p_{n-1}(x) H_{l}(x, t_{0}) f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(x))) dx$$

for  $t \ge t_1 = \gamma_{h_n}(t_0)$ , where

(14) 
$$H_{l}(x, t_{0}) = \int_{t_{0}}^{x} I_{n-l-1}(x, u; p_{n-2}, ..., p_{l}) p_{l-1}(u).$$

$$I_{l-l-1}(x, u; p_{l}, ..., p_{l-2}) du, \quad \text{for } x \ge t_{1}.$$

i) Let  $2 \le l \le n-2$ . In view of (3),  $H_l$  can be written in the form

(15) 
$$H_{l}(x, t_{0}) = I_{n-l}(x, t_{0}; p_{n-2}, ..., p_{l}, p_{l-1}I_{l-i-1}(x, \cdot; p_{i}, ..., p_{l-2})).$$

Using the following relation for  $t_0 \le s \le x$ :

$$\int_{t_0}^{s} P_{l-1}(u) I_{l-i-1}(x, u; p_i, ..., p_{l-2}) du$$

$$\geq \int_{t_0}^{s} p_{l-1}(u) I_{l-i-1}(s, u; p_i, ..., p_{l-2}) du = I_{l-i}(s, t_0; p_i, ..., p_{l-1})$$

and then using (2), (3) n-l times in (14), we obtain

(16) 
$$H_l(x, t_0) \ge I_{n-i-1}(x, t_0; p_{n-2}, ..., p_l, p_i, ..., p_{l-1}).$$

ii) Let l=n-1. Then with regard to  $I_0=1$ , (14) implies

$$H_{n-1}(x, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{x} p_{n-2}(u) I_{n-i-2}(x, u; p_i, ..., p_{n-3}) du$$
  
=  $I_{n-i-1}(x, t_0; p_i, ..., p_{n-2})$ .

If we put (16) and the last equality in (13) we get  $(10_l)$ .

Let l=n. Putting  $t=t_0$ , s=t in (9) and then using (4), (5<sub>n</sub>), we obtain (10<sub>n</sub>). The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

## 2. Main results

DEFINITION 3. System  $(\bar{S}_r)$  is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -superlinear, if there exist positive numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha\beta > 1$  and

$$\frac{|f_i(u)|}{|u|^{\gamma_i}} \ge \frac{|f_i(v)|}{|v|^{\gamma_i}} \quad \text{for } |u| > |v|, \ uv > 0,$$

$$i = n - 1$$
,  $n$ ,  $\gamma_{n-1} = \alpha$ ,  $\gamma_n = \beta$ .

Let  $m \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . We denote

(17) 
$$J_{n-2}^{m}(t, T) = \begin{cases} I_{n-2}(t, T; p_{n-2}, ..., p_1) & \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq 2, \\ I_{n-2}(t, T; p_{n-1}, ..., p_m, p_2, ..., p_{m-1}) & \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq n-1, \\ I_{n-2}(t, T; p_2, ..., p_{n-1}) & \text{for } 2 \leq m = n; \end{cases}$$

$$P(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} p_n(s) ds.$$

THEOREM 1. Let the system  $(\bar{S}_r)$  be  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -suplerlinear. Suppose that there exist continuous increasing functions  $g, h: [0, \infty) \to R$  such that

(18) 
$$g(t) \leq h_1(t), \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = \infty$$

(19)  $h(t) \ge \max\{h_n(t), g^{-1}(t)\}, \text{ where } g^{-1} \text{ indicates the inverse function of } g.$ If

(20) 
$$\int_{\gamma_h(T)}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(t) J_{n-2}^{l}(t, T) f_{n-1}(LP(h(t))) dt = \infty \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1, 2,$$
$$\int_{\gamma_h(T)}^{\infty} p_1(t) J_{n-2}^{l}(t, T) f_{n-1}(LP(h(t))) dt = \infty \quad \text{for} \quad l = 3, 4, ..., n$$

for every constant L>0, then the system  $(\bar{S}_1)$  has the property A and the system  $(\bar{S}_2)$  has the property B.

PROOF. Suppose that  $(\bar{S}_r)$  has a weakly nonoscillatory solution  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in W$ . Then, by Lemma 2, y is nonoscillatory. Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $y_1(t) > 0$ ,  $y_1(h_1(t)) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1 > 0$ . Then the n-th equation of  $(\bar{S}_r)$  implies  $(-1)^r y_n'(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \ge t_1$  and it is not identically zero on any infinite interval of  $[t_1, \infty)$ . Then, by Lemma 4, there exist a  $t_2 \ge t_1$  and an integer  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with n+r+l odd or l=n such that  $(5_l)$ –(8), (11) hold for  $t \ge t_2$ .

A) Consider the system  $(\bar{S}_1)$ , i.e. r=1 and n+l is even. Integrating the n-th equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$  from  $t (\geq t_2)$  to  $\infty$ , we have

(21) 
$$y_n(t) \ge y_n(t) - y_n(\infty) = \int_t^\infty p_n(s) f_n(y_1(h_1(s))) ds, \quad t \ge t_2.$$

I) Let  $l \ge 2$ . Then,  $y_1$  is an increasing function and therefore there exist C > 0 and  $t_3 \ge t_2$  such that  $y_1(h_1(t)) \ge C$  for  $t \ge t_3$ . Using the last inequality, (21) implies

(22) 
$$y_n(t) \ge f_n(C) \int_t^\infty p_n(s) ds = LP(t)$$

where  $L = f_n(C)$ . Because the system  $(\bar{S}_1)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -superlinear, in view of (22) and  $y_1(h_1(t)) \ge C$ , we have

(23) 
$$f_{n-1}(y_n(h(t))) \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(h(t)))}{(LP(h(t)))^{\alpha}} (y_n(h(t)))^{\alpha}, \quad t \ge t_3,$$

(24) 
$$f_n(y_1(h_1(t))) \ge M(y_1(h_1(t)))^{\beta}, \quad t \ge t_3, \quad M = C^{-\beta}L.$$

If we put (24) in (21), then using (18), (19) and the monotonicity of  $y_1$ , we get

$$y_n(t) \ge M \int_t^{\infty} p_n(s) (y_1(g(s)))^{\beta} ds \ge M(y_1(g(t)))^{\beta} P(t), \quad t \ge t_3,$$

or

(25) 
$$y_n(h(t)) \ge M y_1(g(h(t)))^{\beta} P(h(t)) \ge M(y_1(t))^{\beta} P(h(t)),$$

for  $t \ge \gamma_h(t_3) = T_1$ .

i) Let  $2 < l \le n (n+l)$  is odd). Putting i = 2,  $t_0 = T_1$  in  $(10_l)$ ,  $(10_n)$ , and using (19), the monotonicity of h,  $y_n$ ,  $f_{n-1}$ , (4), (17) and (23), we obtain

$$(26_{l}) y_{2}(t) \ge \int_{T_{1}}^{t} p_{n-1}(s) \bar{I}_{n-3}(s, T_{1}) f_{n-1}(y_{n}(h_{n}(s))) ds$$

$$\ge (y_{n}(h(t)))^{\alpha} \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(h(t)))}{(LP(h(t)))^{\alpha}} J_{n-2}^{l}(t, T_{1}), \quad t \ge T_{1},$$

$$l = 3, 4, ..., n - 2,$$

$$(26_n) y_2(t) \ge f_{n-1}(y_n(h(t))) \int_{T_1}^t p_{n-1}(s) I_{n-3}(t, s; p_2, ..., p_{n-2}) ds$$

$$\ge (y_n(h(t)))^{\alpha} \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(h(t)))}{(LP(h(t)))^{\alpha}} J_{n-2}^n(t, T_1), \quad t \ge T_1,$$

respectively. Combining (25) with (26), we get

(27) 
$$y_2(t) \ge C^{-\gamma}(y_1(t))^{\gamma} f_{n-1}(LP(h(t))) J_{n-2}^{l}(t, T_1), \quad t \ge T_1, \quad \gamma = \alpha \beta.$$

Multiplying (27) by  $p_1(t)(y_1(t))^{-\gamma}$  and then using the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$ , we get

(28) 
$$y_1'(t)(y_1(t))^{-\gamma} \ge C^{-\gamma} p_1(t) f_{n-1}(LP(h(t))) J_{n-2}^l(t, T_1), \quad t \ge T_1.$$

Integrating (28) from  $T_2 = \gamma_h(T_1)$  to  $\tau$ , and then letting  $\tau \to \infty$ , we have

$$\int_{T_2}^{\infty} p_1(t) J_{n-2}^l(t, T_1) f_{n-1}(LP(h(t))) dt \leq \frac{C^{\gamma} y_1(T_2)}{\gamma - 1} < \infty,$$

which contradicts (20,) for  $l \ge 3$ .

ii) Let l=2=n. If we put the second equation in the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$  and then use (19), (23) and (25), we get

$$y_1'(t)(y_1(t))^{-\gamma} \ge C^{-\gamma}p_1(t)f_1(LP(h(t))).$$

Integrating the last inequality from  $T_1$  to  $\tau$  and letting  $\tau \to \infty$ , we get a contradiction to (20<sub>l</sub>) for l=2=n.

iii) Let l=2 < n. Putting i=2 in (9) and using (6), (19), the monotonicity of h,  $y_n$ ,  $f_{n-1}$ , we obtain

(29) 
$$y_2(s) \ge \int_s^t p_{n-1}(x) f_{n-1}(y_n(h(x))) I_{n-3}(x, s; p_{n-2}, ..., p_2) dx.$$

Combining (25) with (23) and using the monotonicity of  $y_1$ , from (29) we get

(30) 
$$y_2(s) \ge C^{-\gamma}(y_1(s))^{\gamma} \int_s^t p_{n-1}(x) f_{n-1}(LP(h(x))) I_{n-3}(x, s; p_{n-2}, ..., p_2) dx.$$

Multiplying (30) by  $p_1(s)(y_1(s))^{-\gamma}$  and using the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$ , we have

$$y_1'(s)(y_1(s))^{-\gamma} \ge C^{-\gamma}p_1(s)\int_s^t p_{n-1}(x)I_{n-3}(x, s; p_{n-2},..., p_2).$$

$$f_{n-1}(LP(h(x)))dx$$
.

Integrating the last inequality from  $T_1$  to t, we get

$$\frac{C^{\gamma}(y_{1}(T_{1}))^{1-\gamma}}{\gamma-1} \int_{T_{1}}^{t} p_{1}(s) \int_{s}^{t} p_{n-1}(x) I_{n-3}(x, s; p_{n-2}, ..., p_{2}).$$

$$f_{n-1}(LP(h(x))) dx ds \ge \int_{T_{1}}^{t} p_{n-1}(LP(h(x))) J_{n-2}^{2}(x, T_{1}) dx,$$

which contradicts (20<sub>2</sub>) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

II) Let l=1 (n is odd). Then  $y_1(t) \downarrow K$  as  $t \uparrow \infty$ , where  $K \ge 0$ . Assume that K > 0. If we put i=1,  $s=T_1$  in (9), and use (6), (19) and the monotonicity of h,  $y_n$ ,  $f_{n-1}$ , we obtain

$$y_1(T_1) \ge \int_{T_2}^t p_{n-1}(x) f_{n-1}(y_n(h(x))) I_{n-2}(x, T_1; p_{n-2}, ..., p_1) dx$$

for  $t \ge T_2 = \gamma_n(T_1)$ . Further using (22), we have

$$y_1(T_1) \ge \int_{T_1}^t p_{n-1}(x)J_{n-2}^1(x, T_1)f_{n-1}(LP(h(x)))dx,$$

which contradicts (20<sub>1</sub>) as  $t\to\infty$ . Therefore K=0,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_1(t)=0$ . Then by (7)  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_i(t)=0$  for i=1, 2, ..., n.

- B) Consider the system  $(\bar{S}_2)$ , i.e. r=2 and n+l is odd.
- I) By virtue of Lemma 3,  $(5_n)$  holds. Then the *n*-th equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$  implies, in view of  $y_1(h_1(t)) > 0$ , (1a) and (1c), that  $y_n(t)$  is a nondecreasing function and therefore  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y_n(t) = L_n \le \infty$ . Then it follows from (7) that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y_i(t) = \infty$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. We shall prove that  $L_n = \infty$ . Suppose that  $L_n < \infty$ . In view of the monotonicity of  $y_n$  and  $y_1$ , there exist  $T_2 \ge t_0$ ,  $K_1 > 0$  and C > 0 such that

$$(31) K_1 \leq y_n(h_n(t)) \leq L_n,$$

(32) 
$$C \leq y_n(g(t)) \quad \text{for } t \geq T_2.$$

Integrating the *n*-th equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$  and using (18), (31) and the monotonicity of  $f_n$ ,  $y_1$ , we get

(33) 
$$L_n \ge \int_t^\infty p_n(s) f_n(y_1(h_1(s))) ds \ge f_n(y_1(g(t))) P(t), \quad t \ge T_2.$$

In view of (32), the inequality (33) implies

(34) 
$$L_n \ge f_n(C)P(h(t)) = LP(h(t)), \quad L = f_n(C), \quad t \ge T_3 = \gamma_h(T_2).$$

Because the system  $(\bar{S}_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -superlinear, in view of (32)–(34) and (19) we have

(35) 
$$L_n \ge M(y_1(g(h(t)))^{\beta}P(h(t)) \ge M(y_1(t))^{\beta}P(h(t)), \quad M = LC^{-\beta}$$

(36) 
$$f_{n-1}(L_n) \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(h(t)))}{(LP(h(t)))^{\alpha}} (L_n)^{\alpha}, \quad t \ge T_3.$$

a) Let n > 2. From  $(10_n)$  for i = 2,  $t_0 = T_4$ , in view of (31) and (17), we get

(37) 
$$y_2(t) \ge f_{n-1}(K_1)J_{n-2}^n(t, T_3), \quad t \ge T_3.$$

Multiplying (37) by  $f_{n-1}(L_n)p_1(t)(y_1(t))^{-\gamma}$  and then using (35), (36) and the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$ , we have

(38) 
$$y_1'(t)(y_1(t))^{-\gamma} \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(K_1)}{f_{n-1}(L_n)} C^{-\alpha} p_1(t) f_{n-1}(LP(h(t))) J_{n-2}^n(t, T_3).$$

- b) Let n=2. From the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$  and in view of (31) we obtain  $y_1'(t) \ge p_1(t) f_1(K_1)$ ,  $t \ge T_2$ . Multiplying the last inequality by  $L_n^2(y_1(t))^{-\gamma}$  and then using (35) and (36), we get (38) for n=2 ( $J_0=1$ ). Integrating (38) from  $T_3$  to  $\infty$ , we get a contradiction to  $(20_n)$ . Therefore  $L_n=\infty$  and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_i(t)=\infty$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ .
- II) Let  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . Then (6) implies that  $y_n(t) < 0$  for  $t \ge t_2$  and it is an increasing function. Integrating the *n*-th equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$  from  $t \in [t_2]$  to  $\infty$ , we have

$$-y_n(t) \ge \int_t^\infty p_n(s) f_n(y_1(h_1(s))) ds, \quad t \ge t_2.$$

Further proceeding in the same way as in the cases A-I), A-II) of this proof except that  $y_n(t)$  is replaced by  $-y_n(t)$  (>0), we get a contradiction to  $(20_l)$  for l=1, 2,..., n-1. In the case n is even and l=1 we obtain  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_i(t)=0$  for i=1, 2,..., n.

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Theorem 1 represents a certain generalization of Theorem 5 in [4].

THEOREM 2. Let the system  $(\bar{S}_r)$  be  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -superlinear. Suppose that

(39) 
$$h_n(t) \leq t, \ g_1(t) \leq \min \{h_1(t), t\} \quad on \quad [0, \infty),$$

$$where \ g_1'(t) \geq 0 \quad on \quad [0, \infty), \lim_{t \to \infty} g_1(t) = \infty.$$

If

$$(40_{l}) \quad \int_{\gamma_{g_{1}}(T)}^{\infty} p_{n-1}(g_{1}(t))g_{1}'(t)J_{n-2}^{l}(g_{1}(t),T) \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_{1}(t)))}{(P(g_{1}(t)))^{\alpha}} (P(t))^{\alpha}dt = \infty$$

$$for \quad l = 1, 2,$$

$$\int_{\gamma_{g_{1}}(T)}^{\infty} p_{1}(g_{1}(g_{1}(t))g_{1}'(t)J_{n-2}^{l}(g_{1}(t),T) \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_{1}(t)))}{(P(g_{1}(t)))^{\alpha}} (P(t))^{\alpha}dt = \infty$$

$$for \quad l = 3, 4, ..., n,$$

then the system  $(\bar{S}_1)$  has the property A, and the system  $(\bar{S}_2)$  has the property B.

PROOF. Let  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in W$  be a weakly nonoscillatory solution of  $(\bar{S}_r)$ . Then by Lemma 2 it is nonoscillatory. Let  $y_1(t) > 0$ ,  $y_1(h_1(t)) > 0$  for  $t \ge t_1 > 0$ .

Proceeding in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that  $(5_1)$ –(8), (11) hold for  $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$ . Let  $T_2 \ge t_2$  be so large that  $g_1(t) \ge t_2$ ,  $h_n(t) \ge t_2$  for  $t \ge T_2$ .

- A) Consider the system  $(\bar{S}_1)$ , i.e. r=1 and n+l is even. From the *n*-th equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$  we get (21).
- I) Let  $l \ge 2$ . Proceeding in the same way as in the case A-I) in the proof of Theorem 1, we get (22)-(24). Combining (24) and (21) and using (39) and the monotonicity of  $y_n$ ,  $y_1$ , we have

(41) 
$$y_{n}(g_{1}(t)) \geq y_{n}(t) \geq M \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{n}(s) (y_{1}(h_{1}(s)))^{\beta} ds$$
$$\geq M(y_{1}(g_{1}(t)))^{\beta} P(t), \quad t \geq T_{2},$$

and (22) implies

(42) 
$$y_n(g_1(t)) \ge LP(t)$$
 for  $t \ge T_2$ .

i) Let l=n or  $2 < l \le n-2$ . Putting i=2,  $t_0=T_2$  in  $(10_l)$ ,  $(10_n)$  and using (39), the monotonicity of  $g_1$ ,  $y_n$ ,  $f_{n-1}$ ,  $(5_l)$ , (17) and the superlinearity of  $(\overline{S}_1)$ , we obtain

$$(43_{l}) y_{2}(t) \ge f_{n-1}(y_{n}(t)) \int_{T_{2}}^{t} p_{n-1}(s) \overline{I}_{n-3}(s, T_{2}) ds$$

$$\ge f_{n-1}(y_{n}(t)) J_{n-2}^{l}(t, T_{2})$$

$$\ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(t))}{(LP(t))^{\alpha}} (y_{n}(t))^{\alpha} J_{n-2}^{l}(t, T_{2}), \quad l = 3, 4, ..., n-2,$$

or

$$(43_n) y_2(t) \ge f_{n-1}(y_n(t)) \int_{T_2}^t p_{n-1}(s) I_{n-3}(t, s; p_2, ..., p_{n-2}) ds$$

$$\ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(t))}{(LP(t))^{\alpha}} (y_n(t))^{\alpha} J_{n-2}^n(t, T_2), \quad t \ge T_2,$$

respectively.

From (43) and in view of (39) we get

(44) 
$$y_2(g_1(t)) \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(t)))}{(LP((g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} J_{n-2}^l(g_1(t), T_2)(y_n(t))^{\alpha},$$

for  $t \ge T_3 = \gamma_{a_1}(T_2)$ . Combining (44) with (41), we have

(45) 
$$y_2(g_1(t)) \ge C^{-\gamma} \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(t)))}{(P(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} J_{n-2}^l(g_1(t), T_2).$$

$$(y_1(g_1(t)))^{\gamma}(P(t))^{\beta}, \quad t \geq T_3.$$

Multiplying (45) by  $p_1(g_1(t))g_1'(t)(y_1(g_1(t)))^{-\gamma}$  and using the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$ , we get

$$(46) \qquad \frac{y_1'(g_1(t))g_1'(t)}{(y_1(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} \ge C^{-\gamma}p_1(g_1(t))g_1'(t)\frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(t)))}{(P(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} \cdot J_{n-2}(g_1(t), T_2)(P_1(t))^{\alpha}, t \ge T_3.$$

Integrating (46) from  $T_3$  to  $\infty$ , we obtain a contradiction to (40<sub>l</sub>) for  $l \ge 3$ .

ii) Let l=2=n. If we put the second equation in the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$  and use (39), (23) and (41), then we get

$$\begin{split} y_1'(g_1(t)) &\geq p_1(g_1(t))f_1(y_2(g_1(t))) \\ &\geq C^{-\gamma}p_1(g_1(t))(y_1(g_1(t)))^{\gamma} \frac{f_1(LP(g_1(t)))(P(t))^{\alpha}}{(L(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}}, \quad t \geq T_2. \end{split}$$

Integrating the last inequality from  $T_3$  to  $\infty$ , we have a contradiction to  $(40_l)$  for l=2=n.

iii) Let l=2 < n. If we put i=2 in (9) and use (7), (39), the monotonicity of  $y_n, f_{n-1}$ , we obtain

(47) 
$$y_2(s) \ge \int_s^t p_{n-1}(x) I_{n-3}(x, s; p_{n-2}, ..., p_2) f_{n-1}(y_n(x)) dx.$$

Combining (23) with (25) and then using the monotonicity of  $y_1$ ,  $g_1$ , we obtain from (47)

(48) 
$$y_2(g_1(s)) \ge C^{-\gamma}(y_1(g_1(s)))^{\gamma} \int_{g_1(s)}^t p_{n-1}(x).$$

$$I_{n-3}(x, g_1(s); p_{n-2}, ..., p_2) f_{n-1}(LP(x)) dx,$$

because  $g_1(g_1(s)) \le g_1(s)$ . Multiplying (48) by  $p_1(g_1(s))g_1'(s)(y_1(g_1(s)))^{-\gamma}$  and using the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_1)$ , we get

$$\frac{y_1'(g_1(s))g_1'(s)}{(y_1(g_1(s)))^{\gamma}} \ge C^{-\gamma}p_1(g_1(s))g_1'(s) \int_{g_1(s)}^t p_{n-1}(x).$$

$$I_{n-3}(x, g_1(s); p_{n-2}, \dots, p_2) f_{n-1}(L(P(x)))dx.$$

Integration of the above from  $T_2$  to t yields

which contradicts (40<sub>2</sub>)

II) Let l=1 (n is odd). Then  $y_1(t) \downarrow K$  as  $t \uparrow \infty$ , where  $K \ge 0$ . Assume that K>0. If we put i=1,  $s=T_1$  in (9) and use (7), (39), the monotonicity of  $y_n, f_{n-1}$ , and (22), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(T_1) & \ge \int_{T_1}^t p_{n-1}(x) f_{n-1}(y_n(x)) I_{n-2}(x, T_1; P_{n-2}, ..., p_1) dx \\ & \ge \int_{g_1^{-1}(T_1)}^{g_1^{-1}(t)} p_{n-1}(g_1(s)) g_1'(s) J_{n-2}^1(g_1(t), T_1) \,. \\ & \qquad \qquad \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(s)))}{(P(g_1(s)))^{\alpha}} \, (P_1(s))^{\alpha} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g_1^{-1}(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ , the last inequality gives a contradiction to  $(40_1)$ . Therefore K = 0, i.e.  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y_1(t) = 0$ . Then it follows from (7) that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y_i(t) = 0$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

- B) Consider the system  $(\bar{S}_2)$ , i.e. r=2 and n+l is odd.
- I) By virtue of Lemma 3,  $(5_n)$  holds. Exactly as in the case B-I) of the proof of Theorem 1 we get  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_i(t) = \infty$  for i=1, 2, ..., n-1. We shall prove that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_n(t) = L_n = \infty$ . Suppose that  $0 < L_n < \infty$ . Proceeding as in the case B-I), we get (31)-(33), in which we replace g(t) by  $g_1(t)$ . Combining (33) with (32) gives

(49) 
$$L_n \ge LP(g_1(t)), \quad L = f_n(C), \quad t \ge T_3.$$

Because the system  $(\bar{S}_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -superlinear, in view of (32) and (49) we have

(50) 
$$L_n \ge M(y_1(g_1(t)))^{\beta} P(t), \quad M = LC^{-\beta}$$

(51) 
$$f_{n-1}(L_n) \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(t)))}{(LP(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} (L_n)^{\alpha}, \quad t \ge T_3.$$

a) Let n>2. From  $(10_n)$  for i=2,  $t_0=T_3$ , in view of (31) and (17) we obtain (37). From (37) we get

$$y_2(g_1(t)) \ge f_{n-1}(K_1)J_{n-2}^n(g_1(t), T_3), \quad t \ge T_4 = \gamma_n(T_3).$$

Multiplying the last inequality by  $f_{n-1}(L_n)$  and using (51) and (50), we have

(52) 
$$f_{n-1}(L_n)y_2(g_1(t)) \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(t)))}{(P(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} C^{-\gamma}(y_1(g_1(t)))^{\gamma}.$$

$$(P(t))^{\alpha} f_{n-1}(K_1)J_{n-2}^{\alpha}(g_1(t), T_3), \quad t \ge T_4.$$

If we use the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$ , (52) implies

(53) 
$$\frac{y_1'(g_1(t))g_1'(t)}{(y_1(g_1(t)))^{\gamma}} \ge \frac{f_{n-1}(K_1)}{f_{n-1}(L_n)} C^{-\gamma} p_1(g_1(t))g_1'(t).$$

$$\frac{f_{n-1}(LP(g_1(t)))}{(P(g_1(t)))^{\alpha}} J_{n-2}^n(g_1(t), T_3)(P(t))^{\alpha} \text{ for } t \ge T_4.$$

- b) Let n=2. From the first equation of  $(\bar{S}_2)$ , in view of (31) we obtain  $y_1'(g_1(t)) \ge p_1(g_1(t)) f_1(K_1)$  for  $t \ge T_2$ . Multiplying the last inequality by  $f_1(L_1)g_1'(t)(y_1(g_1(t)))^{-\gamma}$  and using (50), (51), we get (53) for n=2 ( $J_0=1$ ). Integrating (53) from  $T_4$  to  $\infty$ , we have a contradiction to (40<sub>n</sub>). Therefore  $L_n = \infty$ , i.e.  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_i(t) = \infty$  for i=1, 2, ..., n
- II) Let  $l \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ . If we proceed as in the cases A-I), A-II) of this proof by replacing  $y_n(t)$  by  $-y_n(t)$ , we obtain a contradiction to  $(40_l)$  for l=1, 2, ..., n-1. In the case where n is even and l=1 we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y_i(t)=0$  for i=1, 2, ..., n. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

#### References

- I. Foltynska and J. Werbowski, On the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of system of differential equations with deviating arguments. In Qual. Theory Diff. Equat. Amsterdam (1981) 1, 243-256.
- [2] Y. Kitamura and T. Kusano, On the oscillation of a class of nonlinear differential systems with deviating argument, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 66 (1978), 20-36.
- [3] Y. Kitamura and T. Kusano, Asymptotic properties of solutions of two-dimensional differential systems with deviating argument, Hiroshima Math. J. 8 (1978), 305-326.
- [4] Y. Kitamura and T. Kusano, Oscillation and a class of nonlinear differential systems with general deviating arguments, Nonlinear Anal. 2 (1978), 537-551.
- [5] P. Marušiak, On the oscillation of nonlinear differential systems with retarded arguments, Math. Slov. 34 (1984), 73–88.
- [6] P. Marušiak, Oscillatory properties of solutions of nonlinear differential systems with deviating arguments, Czech. Math. J. 36 (1986), 223-231.
- [7] V. Šeda, On nonlinear differential systems with deviating arguments, Czech. Math. J. (to appear).
- [8] V. N. Shevelo, N. V. Varech and A. G. Gritsai, Oscillatory properties of solutions of systems of differential equations with deviating arguments (in Russian). Ins. of Math. Ukrainian Acad. of Sciences, Kijev Reprint 85.10 (1985), 3-46.
- [9] N. V. Varech and Shevelo V. N, On the conditions of the oscillation of the solutions of differential system with retarded arguments (in Russian). Kačestv. metody teori dif. uravnenij s otklonijajuščimsia argumentom, Kijev (1977), 26-44.
- [11] N. V. Varech and V. N. Shevelo, On some properties of solutions of differential systems with retarded arguments, Ukrain. Math. J. 34 (1982), 1-8.

Katedra matematiky, VŠDS

(Marxa-Engelsa 15
010 88 Žilina, Czechoslovakia)