

Boundary behavior of p -precise functions on a half space of R^n

Yoshihiro MIZUTA

(Received May 14, 1987)

1. Introduction

Let u be a function which is locally p -precise in $D = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n); x_n > 0\}$, $n \geq 2$, and satisfies

$$(1) \quad \int_D |\text{grad } u(x)|^p x_n^\alpha dx < \infty, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad -1 < \alpha < p - 1$$

(see Ohtsuka [12] for (locally) p -precise functions). Many authors have tried to find a set $F \subset D$ such that $u(x)$ has a finite limit as x tends to the boundary ∂D along F (see Aikawa [1], Carleson [2], Mizuta [5], [7], [8], [9], Wallin [13]). They were mainly concerned with the nontangential case, that is, the case where $F = \ell_\xi \equiv \{\xi + (0, t); t > 0\}$ or $F = \Gamma(\xi, a) \equiv \{x = (x', x_n) \in R^{n-1} \times R^1; |x' - \xi'| < ax_n\}$; if $u(x)$ has a finite limit as $x_n \downarrow 0$ along ℓ_ξ , then u is said to have a perpendicular limit at ξ , and if $u(x)$ has a finite limit as $x \rightarrow \xi$ along $\Gamma(\xi, a)$ for any $a > 0$, then u is said to have a nontangential limit at ξ . The existence of tangential limits of u at ξ was discussed by Aikawa [1] and Mizuta [9]. The proof of the existence of these limits can be carried out by local arguments; in fact it requires to find conditions near ξ which assure the existence of limits.

In this paper we investigate a global behavior of u near the boundary ∂D . More precisely, we aim to find a function $A(x)$ such that $A(x)u(x)$ tends to zero as x tends to ∂D along a set $F \subset D$. In order to evaluate the size of F , we use the capacity:

$$C_p(E; G) = \inf \|f\|_p^p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative measurable functions f on R^n such that $f=0$ outside G and $\int_G |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \geq 1$ for every $x \in E$; $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the L^p -norm in R^n . As in Aikawa [1], we introduce a notion of thinness of a set in D , near the boundary ∂D ; we say that a set E is C_p -thin near ∂D if there exists a positive integer j_0 such that

$$\text{in case } p < n, \quad \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-p)} C_p(E_j; D) < \infty,$$

$$\text{in case } p = n, \quad \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} C_p(E_j \cap G_1; G_2) < \infty$$

for any bounded open sets G_1, G_2 such that \bar{G}_1 (the closure of G_1) is included in G_2 , and

$$\text{in case } p > n, \quad \bigcup_{j=j_0}^{\infty} E_j \text{ is empty,}$$

where $E_j = \{x = (x', x_n) \in E; 2^{-j} \leq x_n < 2^{-j+1}\}$.

First we shall establish the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$. If u is a function which is locally p -precise in D and satisfies (1), then there exists a set $E \subset D$ such that E is C_p -thin near ∂D and*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) &= 0, & \text{in case } n-p+\alpha > 0, \\ \lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} [\log(x_n^{-1}(|x|+1))]^{1/p-1} u(x) &= 0, & \text{in case } n-p+\alpha = 0, \\ \limsup_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} (|x|+1)^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} |u(x)| &< \infty, & \text{in case } n-p+\alpha < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next we study the boundary behavior of functions u satisfying the additional condition that $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0} u(x', x_n) = 0$ for almost every $x' \in R^{n-1}$; for such a function u we can prove later the existence of a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ of functions in $C_0^\infty(D)$ such that $\int_D |\text{grad}(u - \varphi_j)|^p x_n^\alpha dx \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ (see Proposition 3). It will be expected naturally that such functions behave better than those in Theorem 1, near the boundary ∂D . In fact, we can prove the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let α and p be as in Theorem 1. Let u be a function which is locally p -precise in D and satisfies (1). If $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = 0$ for almost every $x' \in R^{n-1}$, then there exists a set E which is C_p -thin near ∂D and satisfies*

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = 0.$$

As applications of Theorems 1 and 2, we shall discuss the existence of radial and perpendicular limits of u multiplied by a suitable weight function. If in addition u is assumed to be harmonic in D , then it will be shown that u multiplied by a weight has a limit as the variable tends to the boundary of D . Naturally, if we apply the same methods, then we can prove the existence of nontangential and parabolic limits in the usual sense; for related results, see Cruzeiro [3], Mizuta [10], Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro [11] and Wallin [13].

2. Lemmas

In order to prove Theorem 1, we prepare several lemmas. First we establish an integral representation of functions satisfying (1), which is a main

tool in our discussions. For this purpose, we consider the functions $k_j(x, y) = (x_j - y_j)|x - y|^{-n} - (-y_j)|y|^{-n}$ if $|y| > 1$ and $k_j(x, y) = (x_j - y_j)|x - y|^{-n}$ if $|y| \leq 1$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(2) \quad |k_j(x, y)| \leq M|x||y|^{-n} \quad \text{whenever} \quad |y| \geq 2|x| > 2$$

with a positive constant M .

LEMMA 1 (cf. [5; Lemma 6]). *Let $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$ and f be a nonnegative function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and define*

$$u(x) = \int k_j(x, y)f(y)|y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy.$$

Then u is locally p -precise in D and locally q -precise in \mathbb{R}^n for q such that $1 < q < \min\{p, p/(\alpha + 1)\}$. Further, u satisfies

$$\int |\text{grad } u(x)|^p |x_n|^\alpha dx \leq M \|f\|_p^p$$

with a positive constant M independent of f .

PROOF. With the aid of (2), it follows from Hölder's inequality that $\int (1 + |y|)^{-n} |f(y)| |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy < \infty$ for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $R > 1$, letting $B(0, R)$ denote the open ball with center at the origin and radius R , we write

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \int_{B(0, R)} k_j(x, y)f(y)|y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, R)} k_j(x, y)f(y)|y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \\ &= u'(x) + u''(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then u' is locally p -precise in $D \cap B(0, R)$ in view of Lemma 3.3 in [4] and u'' is continuously differentiable in $B(0, R)$. Hence it is seen that u is locally p -precise in D . If $1 < q < \min\{p, p/(\alpha + 1)\}$, then we have by Hölder's inequality

$$\int_G (f(y)|y_n|^{-\alpha/p})^q dy \leq \left(\int_G f(y)^p dy \right)^{q/p} \left(\int_G |y_n|^{-(\alpha q/p)/(1 - q/p)} dy \right)^{1 - q/p} < \infty$$

for any bounded open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Consequently we see as above that u is locally q -precise in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $c_n = (2 - n)^{-1}$ if $n \geq 3$ and $c_n = 2^{-1}$ if $n = 2$. Define $k_\varepsilon(x) = c_n(|x|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(2-n)/2}$ in case $n \geq 3$ and $k_\varepsilon(x) = c_2 \log(|x - y|^2 + \varepsilon^2)$ in case $n = 2$, and set $k_{\varepsilon, j}(x, y) = ((\partial/\partial x_j)k_\varepsilon)(x - y)$ if $|y| \leq 1$ and $k_{\varepsilon, j}(x, y) = ((\partial/\partial x_j)k_\varepsilon)(x - y) - ((\partial/\partial x_j)k_\varepsilon)(-y)$ if $|y| > 1$. We further define

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \int k_{\varepsilon, j}(x, y)f(y)|y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy.$$

In view of Lemma 3.3 in [4], we see that $(\partial/\partial x_i)u_\varepsilon(x)$ tends to $(\partial/\partial x_i)u(x)$ in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n - \partial D)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have only to prove

$$(3) \quad \int |\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(x)|^p |x_n|^\alpha dx \leq M_2 \|f\|_p^p$$

with a positive constant M_2 independent of ε and f . For this, we first note that $(\partial/\partial x_i)u_\varepsilon(x) = \int (\partial/\partial x_i)(\partial/\partial x_j)k_\varepsilon(x-y)f(y)|y_n|^{-\alpha/p}dy$. Setting $v_\varepsilon(x) = \int (\partial/\partial x_j)k_\varepsilon(x-y)f(y)dy$, we have

$$(4) \quad \int |\text{grad } v_\varepsilon(x)|^p dx \leq M_3 \|f\|_p^p$$

by the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [4], and further

$$||x_n|^{\alpha/p}(\partial/\partial x_i)u_\varepsilon(x) - (\partial/\partial x_i)v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq M_4 \int \frac{|1 - (|x_n|/|y_n|)^{\alpha/p}|}{|x-y|^n} f(y)dy,$$

where M_3 and M_4 are positive constants independent of ε and f . By the proof of Lemma 6 in [5], the L^p -norm in R^n of the right hand side is dominated by $M_5 \|f\|_p$ as long as $\int_0^\infty |1 - y_n^{-\alpha/p}| |1 - y_n|^{-1} y_n^{-1/p} dy_n < \infty$, or $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, with a positive constant M_5 . Thus, with the aid of (4), we can establish (3), and the proof of Lemma 1 is completed.

LEMMA 2 (cf. Ohtsuka [12; Lemma 9.16]). *If h is a function which is harmonic in R^n and satisfies (1) with D replaced by R^n and with α such that $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, then h is constant.*

PROOF. By the mean value property of harmonic functions and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial/\partial x_i)h(x)| &= |M_1 r^{-n} \int_{B(x,r)} (\partial/\partial y_i)h(y)dy| \\ &\leq M_1 r^{-n} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |y_n|^{-\alpha p'/p} dy \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\text{grad } h(y)|^p |y_n|^\alpha dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq M_2 \left(\frac{r+|x_n|}{r} \right)^n (r+|x_n|)^{-(n+\alpha)/p} \left(\int_{B(x,r)} |\text{grad } h(y)|^p |y_n|^\alpha dy \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where M_1, M_2 are positive constants independent of x, r and $1/p+1/p'=1$. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we establish

$$(\partial/\partial x_i)h(x) = 0,$$

from which it follows that h is constant.

By Lemmas 1 and 2, we establish an integral representation of functions satisfying (1).

LEMMA 3. *Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$. For functions u, v which are locally p -precise in D and satisfy (1), set $w(x', x_n) = u(x', x_n)$ when $x_n > 0$ and $w(x', x_n) = v(x', -x_n)$ when $x_n < 0$. If $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} v(x', t)$ for almost every x' , then w*

is extended to a function w^* which is locally q -precise in R^n for any q such that $1 < q < \min \{p, p/(\alpha+1)\}$. Further there exist a number A and a set E such that $C_p(E \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$ and

$$w(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int k_j(x, y) (\partial/\partial y_j) w^*(y) dy + A$$

for every $x \in D - E$, where c is a constant depending only on the dimension n .

REMARK. If $p > n$, then any locally p -precise function on D is continuous there, and the above integrals converge absolutely at any $x \in D$ and are continuous on D . Moreover, if $p > n$ and $C_p(E \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$, then E is empty.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. If $1 < q < \min \{p, p/(\alpha+1)\}$, then, as in the proof of Lemma 1, Hölder's inequality yields $\int_G |\text{grad } u|^q dx < \infty$ for any bounded open set $G \subset D$. In view of Ohtsuka [12; Theorem 5.6], w is extended to a function w^* which is locally q -precise in R^n ; here we remark that w^* is an ACL function on R^n if we define $w^*(x', 0) = \liminf_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t)$, and hence $\text{grad } w^*$ is well-defined almost everywhere and measurable on R^n .

Set $W(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int k_j(x, y) (\partial/\partial y_j) w^*(y) dy$. Then, in view of Lemma 1, W is locally q -precise in R^n and satisfies (1) with D replaced by the whole space R^n . We shall prove that $\Delta(w^* - cW) = 0$ for some constant c . For this purpose, let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ and note by Fubini's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \int W(x) \Delta \varphi(x) dx &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int \left(\int k_j(x, y) \Delta \varphi(x) dx \right) (\partial/\partial y_j) w^*(y) dy \\ &= -c' \sum_{j=1}^n \int (\partial/\partial y_j) \varphi(y) (\partial/\partial y_j) w^*(y) dy \\ &= c' \int w^*(y) \Delta \varphi(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

with a positive constant c' depending only on n . By Lemma 2, by letting $c = c'^{-1}$, we see that $w^* - cW$ is equal to a constant A a.e. on R^n . Since w and W are locally p -precise in D , $E = \{x \in D; w(x) \neq cW(x) + A\}$ satisfies the required conditions.

COROLLARY. Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, $n-p+\alpha > 0$ and u be a function which is locally p -precise in D and satisfies (1). Then the function $u(x', |x_n|)$ on $R^n - \partial D$ is extended to a function \bar{u} which is locally q -precise in R^n for q such that $1 < q < \min \{p, p/(\alpha+1)\}$. Moreover, there exist a number A and a set E such that $C_p(E \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$ and

$$u(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} (\partial/\partial y_j) \bar{u}(y) dy + A$$

for every $x \in D - E$, where c is the same constant as above.

This is an easy consequence of Lemma 3, since, in case $n - p + \alpha > 0$, $\int (1 + |y|)^{1-n} |f(y)| dy < \infty$ for any measurable function f on R^n such that $\int |\dot{f}(y)|^p \cdot |y_n|^\alpha dy < \infty$.

We here give a technical lemma for later use.

LEMMA 4. Let $\beta < n$, $\gamma > -1$ and $r_1 > 2r_2 > 0$. If $x = (x', x_n) \in D$ and $x_n \leq 2r_2$, then

$$\int_{B(0, r_1) - B(x, r_2)} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy \leq M \begin{cases} (r_1^{\beta+\gamma} + r_2^{\beta+\gamma}) & \text{in case } \beta + \gamma \neq 0, \\ \log(r_1/r_2) & \text{in case } \beta + \gamma = 0, \end{cases}$$

where M is a positive constant independent of x , r_1 and r_2 .

PROOF. Let $x = (x', x_n)$ satisfy $0 < x_n \leq 2r_2$. First we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(0, r_1) - B(x, r_2)} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy \\ & \leq \int_{B(0, r_1) - B(x, r_1)} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy + \int_{B(x, r_1) - B(x, r_2)} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy \\ & \leq r_1^{\beta-n} \int_{B(0, r_1)} |y_n|^\gamma dy + \int_{\{y \in B(x, r_1) - B(x, r_2); y_n \geq x_n/2\}} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\{y \in B(x, r_1) - B(x, r_2); y_n < x_n/2\}} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma > -1$, $I_1 = M_1 r_1^{\beta+\gamma}$ with a positive constant M_1 . Letting $z = (x', 0)$, since $|x - y| > |z - y|$ if $y_n < x_n/2$, we see that $\{y \in B(x, r_1); y_n < x_n/2\} \subset B(z, r_1)$, so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 & \leq \int_{B(z, r_1) - B(z, r_2)} |z - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy + \int_{B(z, r_2) - B(x, r_2)} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy \\ & \leq \int_{B(0, r_1) - B(0, r_2)} |y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy + M_1 r_2^{\beta+\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq \int_{B(x, r_1) - B(x, r_2)} |x - y|^{\beta-n} |x_n - y_n|^\gamma dy \\ & = \int_{B(0, r_1) - B(0, r_2)} |y|^{\beta-n} |y_n|^\gamma dy. \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma \geq 0$, then $|y_n|/|x - y| \leq 1 + x_n/|x - y| \leq 3$ if $|x - y| > x_n/2$, so that

$$I_2 \leq 3^\gamma \int_{B(x, r_1) - B(x, r_2)} |x - y|^{\beta+\gamma-n} dy = 3^\gamma \int_{B(0, r_1) - B(0, r_2)} |y|^{\beta+\gamma-n} dy.$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 5. Let p and α be as in Theorem 1. Let f be a nonnegative function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, 2|x|)} k_j(x, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy$. Then there exists a positive constant $M > 0$ independent of f such that

$$|u(x)| \leq M|x|^{-(n-p+\alpha)/p} \|f\|_p$$

for any $x \in D - B(0, 1/2)$.

PROOF. Since there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that $|k_j(x, y)| \leq M_1|x||y|^{-n}$ whenever $|y| > 1$ and $|y| \geq 2|x|$, we have by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, 2|x|)} k_j(x, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \right| \\ & \leq M_1|x| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, 2|x|)} |y|^{-np'} |y_n|^{-\alpha p'/p} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|f\|_p \\ & = M_2|x|^{-(n-p+\alpha)/p} \|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - B(0, 1/2)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let u be a function which is locally p -precise in D and satisfies condition (1). Then, in view of the corollary to Lemma 3, there exist a number A and a set $F \subset D$ such that $C_p(F \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$u(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int k_j(x, y) (\partial/\partial y_j) \bar{u}(y) dy + A$$

holds for any $x \in D - F$, where \bar{u} is defined as in the corollary to Lemma 3. It is easy to see that F is C_p -thin near ∂D . Therefore, letting f be a nonnegative function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have only to prove Theorem 1 for the function

$$U(x) = \int k_j(x, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, 2|x|)} k_j(x, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy, \\ U_2(x) &= \int_{B(0, 2|x|) - B(x, x_n/2)} k_j(x, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U_3(x) = \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} k_j(x, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy.$$

Then we see that $U_1(x)$ and $U_2(x)$ are finite for $x \in D$ but $U_3(x)$ is finite for $x \in D$

except those in a set F' satisfying $C_p(F' \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$.

First we treat the function U_1 .

LEMMA 6. *If $n - p + \alpha > 0$, then $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_1(x) = 0$.*

PROOF. If $x \in D - B(0, 1/2)$, then Lemma 5 implies

$$x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} |U_1(x)| \leq M_1 (2x_n)^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} \|f\|_p$$

for some positive constant M_1 independent of x . Hence we have

$$\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in D - B(0, 1/2)} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_1(x) = 0.$$

We next assume that $x \in B(0, 1/2)$. If $0 < 2x_n < \varepsilon$, then it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |U_1(x)| &\leq M_2 \left(|x| \int_{R^n - B(0, 1)} |y|^{-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{\{y \in B(0, 1) - B(0, 2|x|); |y_n| \geq \varepsilon\}} |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\{y \in B(0, 1) - B(0, 2|x|); |y_n| < \varepsilon\}} |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \right) \\ &\leq M_3 \left\{ \|f\|_p + \varepsilon^{1-n} \int_{B(0, 1)} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |x|^{-(n-p+\alpha)/p} \left(\int_{\{y; |y_n| < \varepsilon\}} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants M_2 and M_3 independent of x and ε . Consequently, we obtain

$$\limsup_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in B(0, 1/2)} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} |U_1(x)| \leq M_3 \left(\int_{\{y; |y_n| < \varepsilon\}} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

which implies by arbitrariness of ε that the left hand side is equal to zero. Thus the required statement is established.

In the same manner as Lemma 6 we can derive the following two results.

LEMMA 7. *If $n - p + \alpha < 0$, then $(|x| + 1)^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_1(x)$ is bounded on D .*

LEMMA 8. *If $n - p + \alpha = 0$, then $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0} [\log(1/x_n)]^{-1/p'} U_1(x) = 0$.*

Next we treat the function U_2 in the case $n - p + \alpha = 0$, that would be the most difficult case.

LEMMA 9. *If $n - p + \alpha = 0$, then $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0} [\log((|x| + 1)/x_n)]^{-1/p'} U_2(x) = 0$.*

PROOF. For $x \in D - B(0, 1/2)$, we have

$$|U_2(x)| \leq M_1 \left(\int_{B(0, 2|x|) - B(x, x_n/2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \right. \\ \left. + \int_{B(0, 2|x|) - B(0, 1)} |y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \right)$$

with a positive constant M_1 independent of x . If $0 < 4x_n < 2\delta_2 < 2 < \delta_1$, then we have by Lemma 4

$$\int_{B(0, \delta_1) - B(x, \delta_2)} |x-y|^{p'(1-n)} |y_n|^{-\alpha p'/p} dy \leq M_2 \log(\delta_1/\delta_2)$$

with a positive constant M_2 independent of δ_1 , δ_2 and x . Hence it follows that

$$\int_{B(0, 2|x|) - B(0, \delta_1) - B(x, x_n/2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \\ \leq M_3 [\log((|x|+1)/x_n)]^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, \delta_1)} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

$$\int_{B(0, \delta_1) - B(x, \delta_2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \leq M_3 [\log(\delta_1/\delta_2)]^{1/p'} \|f\|_p$$

and

$$\int_{B(x, \delta_2) - B(x, x_n/2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \\ \leq M_3 [\log(\delta_2/x_n)]^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\{y: |y_n| \leq \delta_2 + x_n\}} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p}$$

with a positive constant M_3 . In the same manner we have

$$\int_{B(0, 2|x|) - B(0, 1)} |y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \\ \leq M_4 \left\{ [\log(4|x|)]^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, \delta_1)} f(x)^p dy \right)^{1/p} + (\log \delta_1)^{1/p'} \|f\|_p \right\},$$

where $\delta_1 > 2$ and M_4 is a positive constant independent of δ_1 and x . From these facts we obtain

$$\limsup_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D - B(0, 1/2)} [\log((|x|+1)/x_n)]^{-1/p'} U_2(x) \\ \leq (M_3 + M_4) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n - B(0, \delta_1)} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} + M_3 \left(\int_{\{y: |y_n| \leq \delta_2\}} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p},$$

which implies that the left hand side is equal to zero. If $x \in D \cap B(0, 1/2)$, then

$$|U_2(x)| \leq M_5 \int_{B(0, 2|x|) - B(x, x_n/2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy$$

with a positive constant M_5 . Hence, by the same considerations as above, we deduce

$$\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in D \cap B(0, 1/2)} [\log(1/x_n)]^{-1/p'} U_2(x) = 0,$$

and Lemma 9 is established.

In the same manner we can prove the following results.

LEMMA 10. *If $n - p + \alpha > 0$, then $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_2(x) = 0$.*

LEMMA 11. *If $n - p + \alpha < 0$, then $|x|^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_2(x)$ is bounded on D .*

REMARK. If $n - p + \alpha < 0$ and $\xi \in \partial D$, then we can show that $\int |k_j(\xi, y)| f(y) \cdot |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy < \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in \Gamma(\xi, a)} (U_1(x) + U_2(x)) = \int k_j(\xi, y) f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy = U(\xi)$ for any $a > 0$.

LEMMA 12. *If $p \leq n$, then there exists a set $E \subset D$ which is C_p -thin near ∂D such that*

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D - E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_3(x) = 0.$$

PROOF. First we note that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{D_j} f(y)^p dy < \infty$, where $D_j = \{y = (y', y_n); 2^{-j-1} < y_n < 2^{-j+2}\}$. Hence we find a sequence $\{a_j\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j = \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \int_{D_j} f(y)^p dy < \infty$. Consider the sets

$$E_j = \{x = (x', x_n) \in D; 2^{-j} \leq x_n < 2^{-j+1}, |U_3(x)| > 2^j (n-p+\alpha)/p a_j^{-1/p}\}$$

and $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$. Since $B(x, x_n/2) \subset D_j$ if $2^{-j} \leq x_n < 2^{-j+1}$, we can find a positive constant M_1 independent of j, x such that

$$(5) \quad |U_3(x)| \leq M_1 2^{j\alpha/p} \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) dy$$

whenever $2^{-j} \leq x_n < 2^{-j+1}$. Let G_1 and G_2 be open sets for which there exists a number c such that $0 < c < 1/2$ and $B(x, cx_n) \subset G_2$ for any $x \in G_1$. Then easy calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x, x_n/2) - B(x, cx_n)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) dy &\leq M_2 2^{j(n-p)/p} \left(\int_{D_j} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &= M_2 [2^{j(n-p)/p} a_j^{-1/p}] \left(a_j \int_{D_j} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

for x such that $2^{-j} \leq x_n < 2^{-j+1}$, where M_2 is a positive constant independent of x and j . Consequently, if j is large enough, say $j \geq j_0$, then we see from (5) that

$$\int_{B(x, cx_n)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \geq (2M_1)^{-1} 2^{j(n-p)/p} a_j^{-1/p},$$

whenever $x \in E_j$. Hence we have by the definition of C_p

$$C_p(E_j \cap G_1; D_j \cap G_2) \leq (2M_1)^p 2^{-j(n-p)} a_j \int_{D_j} f(y)^p dy$$

for $j \geq j_0$, from which it follows that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-p)} C_p(E_j \cap G_1; D_j \cap G_2) < \infty.$$

If $p < n$, then (6) with $G_1 = G_2 = D$ means the C_p -thinness of E near ∂D . If $p = n$, then (6) implies the C_p -thinness of E near ∂D . Clearly,

$$\limsup_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} |U_3(x)| \leq 2^{|n-p+\alpha|/p} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j^{-1/p} = 0.$$

Hence E satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 12, and the proof of Lemma 12 is completed.

LEMMA 13. *If $p > n$, then $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_3(x) = 0$.*

PROOF. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U_3(x)| &\leq M_1 x_n^{-\alpha/p} \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} |x-y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \\ &\leq M_2 x_n^{-\alpha/p} x_n^{(p-n)/p} \left(\int_{B(x, x_n/2)} f(y)^p dy \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants M_1 and M_2 . Hence the required equality follows readily.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Lemmas 6~13, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

For simplicity, we define $A(x) = x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p}$ if $n-p+\alpha > 0$, $A(x) = [\log(|x|+1)/x_n]^{-1/p'}$ if $n-p+\alpha = 0$ and $A(x) = (|x|+1)^{(n-p+\alpha)/p}$ if $n-p+\alpha < 0$. Further we set $a_j = 2^{j(n-p)}$ if $n-p+\alpha > 0$, $a_j = j^{p-1} 2^{j(n-p)}$ if $n-p+\alpha = 0$ and $a_j = 2^{-\alpha j}$ if $n-p+\alpha < 0$ for each positive integer j . In view of the proof of Theorem 1 we can establish the following result.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$, $p \leq n$ and u be a function which is locally p -precise in D and satisfies $\int_D |\text{grad } u|^p x_n^\alpha dx < \infty$. Then there exists a set $E \subset D$ satisfying*

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j C_p(E_j \cap G_1; D_j \cap G_2) < \infty$$

for any open sets G_1 and G_2 for which there exists a number $c > 0$ such that $B(x, cx_n) \subset G_2$ whenever $x \in G_1$, and

$$\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in D-E} A(x)u(x) = 0 \quad \text{in case } n-p+\alpha \geq 0,$$

$$\limsup_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in D-E} A(x)u(x) < \infty \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha < 0.$$

REMARK. If $n - p + \alpha > 0$, then (7) is equivalent to the C_p -thinness of E near ∂D .

We shall show below that Proposition 1 is best possible as to the size of the exceptional sets.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$, $p \leq n$ and E be a bounded subset of D satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j C_p(E_j; G \cap D_j) < \infty$, where G is a bounded open set including the closure of E . Then there exists a nonnegative function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u(x) = \int |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \neq \infty$ and $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in E} A(x)u(x) = \infty$.

PROOF. By the definition of C_p , for each j we can find a nonnegative measurable function f_j such that $f_j = 0$ outside $G \cap D_j$, $\|f_j\|_p^p < C_p(E_j; G \cap D_j) + \varepsilon_j$ and $\int_{G \cap D_j} |x - y|^{1-n} f_j(y) dy \geq 1$ for every $x \in E_j$, where $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \varepsilon_j < \infty$. Further we can find a sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j = \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j a_j \{C_p(E_j; G \cap D_j) + \varepsilon_j\} < \infty$. We now consider the function $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^{1/p} a_j^{1/p} f_j$. Then

$$\int f(y)^p dy \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j a_j \int f_j(y)^p dy \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j a_j \{C_p(E_j; G \cap D_j) + \varepsilon_j\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, if $x \in E_j$, then we have

$$u(x) \geq b_j^{1/p} a_j^{1/p} \int |x - y|^{1-n} f_j(y) |y_n|^{-\alpha/p} dy \geq M b_j^{1/p} A(x)^{-1},$$

where M is a positive constant. Since f vanishes outside G , $u(x) \neq \infty$. Hence f has the required properties in the proposition.

REMARK. In view of the proof of Lemma 1, the above function u satisfies $\int |\text{grad } u|^p |x_n|^\alpha dx < \infty$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with the following result.

LEMMA 14. Let $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$ and let u be a locally p -precise function on D satisfying (1). If $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = 0$ for almost every $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, then there exists a set $E \subset D$ such that $C_p(E \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset D$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_D (x_j - y_j) (|x - y|^{-n} - |\bar{x} - y|^{-n}) (\partial u / \partial y_j)(y) dy \\ &\quad + 2c x_n \int_D |\bar{x} - y|^{-n} (\partial u / \partial y_n)(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in D - E$, where $\bar{x} = (x', -x_n)$ for $x = (x', x_n)$ and c is the absolute constant given in Lemma 3.

PROOF. Setting $u^*(x', x_n) = u(x', x_n)$ if $x_n > 0$ and $u^*(x) = 0$ otherwise, we note that u^* is locally q -precise in R^n for $q, 1 < q < \min\{p, p/(\alpha + 1)\}$. Hence we can apply Lemma 3 and obtain

$$u^*(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int k_j(x, y) (\partial u^* / \partial y_j) dy + A$$

for $x \in R^n - E$, where A is a constant depending on u and $C_p(E \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$. If $x \in D - E$ and $\bar{x} \notin E$, then

$$u(x) = u^*(x) - u^*(\bar{x}) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int (k_j(x, y) - k_j(\bar{x}, y)) (\partial u^* / \partial y_j) dy,$$

which implies that u satisfies the required equality.

By this lemma we can establish the following result.

PROPOSITION 3. If u is as in Lemma 14, then there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_j\} \subset C_0^\infty(D)$ such that $\int_D |\text{grad}(\varphi_j - u)|^p x_n^\alpha dx$ tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. For $N > 0$, set

$$u_N(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{D \cap B(0, N)} (k_j(x, y) - k_j(\bar{x}, y)) (\partial u / \partial y_j) dy$$

with the constant c given above. In view of Lemma 1, we find a positive number M_1 (independent of N) such that

$$\int_D |\text{grad}(u_N - u)|^p x_n^\alpha dx \leq M_1 \int_{D - B(0, N)} |\text{grad} u|^p x_n^\alpha dx,$$

from which the left hand side tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$u_{N, \varepsilon}(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\{y=(y', y_n); y_n > \varepsilon\} \cap B(0, N)} \{k_j(x, y) - k_j(\bar{x}, y)\} (\partial u / \partial y_j) dy.$$

Then $u_{N, \varepsilon}$ is continuous on ∂D and vanishes there. Moreover, $u_{N, \varepsilon}(x)$ tends to zero as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, and, again by Lemma 1,

$$\int_D |\text{grad}(u_{N, \varepsilon} - u_N)|^p x_n^\alpha dx \leq M_1 \int_{\{y \in B(0, N); 0 < y_n < \varepsilon\}} |\text{grad} u|^p y_n^\alpha dy.$$

Finally, we set $u_{N, \varepsilon, \delta}(x) = \max\{u_{N, \varepsilon}(x) - \delta, 0\} + \min\{u_{N, \varepsilon}(x) + \delta, 0\}$ for $\delta > 0$. Then $u_{N, \varepsilon, \delta}$ vanishes outside some compact set in D and

$$\int_D |\text{grad}(u_{N, \varepsilon, \delta} - u_{N, \varepsilon})|^p x_n^\alpha dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \downarrow 0.$$

Thus we can find a sequence $\{v_j\}$ such that each v_j is a p -precise function on D with compact support in D and

$$\int_D |\text{grad}(v_j - u)|^p x_n^\alpha dx \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \longrightarrow \infty.$$

By a routine method of regularization of functions v_j , we obtain a sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ with the required properties.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let u be as in Theorem 2. In view of Lemma 14, the equality

$$u(x) = c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_D (k_j(x, y) - k_j(\bar{x}, y)) (\partial u / \partial y_j) dy$$

holds for $x \in D - E$, where $C_p(E \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set G . We note here that E is C_p -thin near ∂D .

We see from elementary calculation that $|k_j(x, y) - k_j(\bar{x}, y)| \leq M_1 x_n (y_n |x - y|^{1-n} |\bar{x} - y|^{-2} + |\bar{x} - y|^{-n})$ for any x and y in D , with a positive constant M_1 . Hence we can find a positive constant M_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq M_2 \left(x_n \int_{D - B(x, x_n/2)} |x - y|^{-n} |\text{grad } u| dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} |x - y|^{1-n} |\text{grad } u| dy \right) = M_2 (U_1(x) + U_2(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in D - E$. For $\delta > x_n/2$ we have by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x) &\leq M_3 x_n^{1-(n+\alpha)/p} \left(\int_{D \cap B(x, \delta) - B(x, x_n/2)} |\text{grad } u|^p y_n^\alpha dy \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + M_3 \delta^{-(n+\alpha)/p} x_n \left(\int_{D - B(x, \delta)} |\text{grad } u|^p y_n^\alpha dy \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

with a positive constant M_3 . Therefore it follows that

$$\limsup_{x_n \downarrow 0} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_1(x) \leq M_3 \left(\int_{\{y \in D; y_n < \delta\}} |\text{grad } u|^p x_n^\alpha dx \right)^{1/p},$$

which implies that the left hand side is equal to zero. As in the proofs of Lemmas 12 and 13, we can find a set $E' \subset D$ which is C_p -thin near ∂D and satisfies

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0, x \in D - E'} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} U_2(x) = 0.$$

Now the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Set $G_1(x, y) = |x - y|^{1-n} - |\bar{x} - y|^{1-n}$. Then by elementary calculation we find $M > 0$ such that

$$M^{-1}x_n y_n |x-y|^{1-n} |\bar{x}-y|^{-2} < G_1(x, y) < Mx_n y_n |x-y|^{1-n} |\bar{x}-y|^{-2}$$

whenever x any y are in D . Hence we can find a positive number M' such that $|x-y|^{1-n} \leq M'G_1(x, y)$ whenever $y \in B(x, x_n/2)$. Thus we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2'. *If u is as in Theorem 2, then there exists a set $E \subset D$ such that*

$$\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in D-E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = 0$$

and

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-p)} C_{G_1}(E_j; D_j) < \infty,$$

where $C_{G_1}(F; G) = \inf \|g\|_p^p$, the infimum being taken over all nonnegative measurable functions g on R^n such that $g=0$ outside an open set G and $\int_G G_1(x, y)g(y)dy \geq 1$ for any x in a set F .

REMARK. If E satisfies (8), then E is C_p -thin near ∂D ; in case $p < n$, (8) is equivalent to the C_p -thinness near ∂D .

We shall show below that Theorem 2' is best possible as to the size of the exceptional sets.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$ and $p \leq n$. If $E \subset D$ satisfies (8), then there exists a function u such that $\int |\text{grad } u|^p x_n^\alpha dx < \infty$, $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = 0$ for almost every $x' \in R^{n-1}$ and $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = \infty$.*

PROOF. By the definition of C_{G_1} , we can find a nonnegative measurable function f_j such that $f_j=0$ outside D_j , $\int_{D_j} G_1(x, y)f_j(y)dy \geq 1$ and $\|f_j\|_p^p < C_{G_1}(E_j; D_j) + \varepsilon_j$, where $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-p)}\varepsilon_j < \infty$. Letting $\{b_j\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j = \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j 2^{j(n-p)}\{C_{G_1}(E_j; D_j) + \varepsilon_j\} < \infty$, we consider the function $u(x) = \int_D G_1(x, y)f(y)dy$, where $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^{1/p} 2^{j(n-p+\alpha)/p} f_j$. Then f vanishes outside D and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D f(y)^p y_n^\alpha dy &\leq M_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j 2^{j(n-p+\alpha)} \int_D f_j(y)^p y_n^\alpha dy \\ &\leq M_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j 2^{j(n-p)} \{C_{G_1}(E_j; D_j) + \varepsilon_j\} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

with a positive constant M_1 . Thus, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 1, we can prove that $\int_D |\text{grad } u|^p x_n^\alpha dx < \infty$. On the other hand, we have for $x \in E_j$

$$x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) \geq M_2 b_j^{1/p} \int_D G_1(x, y)f_j(y)dy \geq M_2 b_j^{1/p},$$

where M_2 is a positive constant. This implies that $\lim_{x_n \downarrow 0, x \in E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = \infty$.

What remains is to show that $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = 0$ for almost every $x' \in R^{n-1}$. For this, it suffices to note that if $N > 0$, then $\int_{B(0, N)} |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy$ is q -precise in R^n for q with $1 < q < \min\{p, p/(\alpha + 1)\}$, and hence it is absolutely continuous on the line $\ell_{x'} = \{(x', t); t \in R^1\}$ for almost every $x' \in R^{n-1}$.

5. Boundary behavior near the origin

We say that a set E is C_p -thin at the origin 0 if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-p)} C_p(E \cap B(0, 2^{-j+1}) - B(0, 2^{-j}); B(0, 2^{-j+2})) < \infty.$$

For $a > 0$, we set $\Gamma(a) = \{x = (x', x_n); |x'| < ax_n\}$.

LEMMA 15. For any $a > 0$, $\Gamma(a)$ is not C_p -thin at 0.

PROOF. For each nonnegative integer j , set

$$\Gamma_j(a) = \Gamma(a) \cap B(0, 2^{-j+1}) - B(0, 2^{-j}).$$

Then $C_p(\Gamma_j(a); B(0, 2^{-j+2})) = 2^{-j(n-p)} C_p(\Gamma_0(a); B(0, 4))$ and $C_p(\Gamma_0(a); B(0, 4)) > 0$, so that $\Gamma(a)$ is not C_p -thin at 0.

LEMMA 16. Let $E \subset \Gamma(a)$, $a > 0$. If $p \leq n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j C_p(E \cap \Gamma_j(a); B(0, 2)) < \infty$, then E is C_p -thin at 0, where $a_j = 2^{j(n-p)}$ if $p < n$ and $a_j = j^{n-1}$ if $p = n$.

PROOF. We shall give a proof only in the case $p = n$. For simplicity, set $E_j = E \cap \Gamma_j(a)$. Assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{n-1} C_n(E_j; B(0, 2)) < \infty$. Let f_j be a non-negative measurable function on R^n such that $\int_{B(0, 2)} |x - y|^{1-n} f_j(y) dy \geq 1$ for any $x \in E_j$, $f_j = 0$ outside $B(0, 2)$ and $\|f_j\|_n^n < C_n(E_j; B(0, 2)) + j^{-n}$. Then, by Lemma 4, we have for $x \in E_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0, 2) - B(x, x_n/2)} |x - y|^{1-n} f_j(y) dy &\leq M_1 (\log(4/x_n))^{1-1/n} \|f_j\|_n \\ &\leq M_2 (j^{n-1} C_n(E_j; B(0, 2)) + j^{-1})^{1/n} \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants M_1 and M_2 . Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{n-1} C_n(E_j; B(0, 2)) < \infty$ by our assumption, if j is large enough, then

$$\int_{B(x, x_n/2)} |x - y|^{1-n} f_j(y) dy > 2^{-1}$$

for any $x \in E_j$. If $x \in E_j$, then $B(x, x_n/2) \subset B(0, 2^{-j+2})$, so that

$$C_n(E_j; B(0, 2^{-j+2})) \leq 2^n \|f_j\|_n^n < 2^n [C_n(E_j; B(0, 2)) + j^{-n}]$$

for large j , which implies easily that E is C_n -thin at 0.

The above proof shows that if $p < n$ and $E \subset B(0, 1) \cap D$, then the C_p -thinness of E near ∂D is equivalent to $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(n-p)} C_p(E_j; B(0, 2) \cap D_j) < \infty$. For $a > 0$, if we take k_0 such that $2^{k_0} > (a^2 + 1)^{1/2}$, then $E \cap \Gamma_j(a) \subset \cup_{k=0}^{k_0} E_{j+k}$, so that $a_j C_p(E \cap \Gamma_j(a); B(0, 2^{-j+2})) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} a_{j+k} C_p(E_{j+k} \cap \Gamma(a); B(0, 2))$. Hence we obtain

COROLLARY. *If $p < n$ and $E \cap \Gamma(a)$, $a > 0$, is C_p -thin near ∂D , then $E \cap \Gamma(a)$ is C_p -thin at 0.*

PROPOSITION 5. *If u is as in Theorem 1, then there exists a set $E \subset D$ such that $E \cap \Gamma(a)$ is C_p -thin at 0 for any $a > 0$ and*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha > 0,$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} [\log(1/x_n)]^{-1/p'} u(x) = 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha = 0,$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} u(x) \text{ exists and is finite,} \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha < 0.$$

PROOF. The case where $p \leq n$ and $n - p + \alpha \geq 0$ is proved by Proposition 1 together with Lemma 16. The case $p > n$ and $n - p + \alpha \geq 0$ is a consequence of Theorem 1. In case $n - p + \alpha < 0$, with the notation in the proof of Theorem 1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in D} \int_{R^{n-B(x, |x|/2)}} k_j(x, y) (\partial/\partial y_j) \bar{u}(y) dy \\ &= \int k_j(0, y) (\partial/\partial y_j) \bar{u}(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

for $j=1, \dots, n$, where the integrals converge absolutely. Moreover, as in the proof of Lemma 12, we see that $\int_{B(x, |x|/2)} k_j(x, y) (\partial/\partial y_j) \bar{u}(y) dy$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow 0$ outside an exceptional set E such that $E \cap \Gamma(a)$ is C_p -thin at 0 for any $a > 0$.

In the same manner we can establish the following result.

PROPOSITION 6. *If u is as in Theorem 2, then there exists a set $E \subset D$ such that $E \cap \Gamma(a)$ is C_p -thin at 0 for any $a > 0$ and*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in D-E} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = 0.$$

The next two propositions show the best possibility of Propositions 5 and 6 as to the order of convergence.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $-1 < \alpha < p-1$ and $n-p+\alpha \geq 0$. If h is a nonincreasing positive function on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} h(t) = \infty$, then there exists a function $u \in C^\infty(D)$ satisfying (1) such that $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = 0$ for $x' \in R^{n-1} - \{0\}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in A} h(x_n) x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = \infty$ for some A which is not C_p -thin at 0.*

PROOF. Take a sequence $\{i_j\}$ of positive integers such that $i_j+2 < i_{j+1}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^{-p} < \infty$, where $a_j = h(2^{-i_j+1})$. Further take a sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j b_j = \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^p < \infty$. Let φ be a function in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on $B(0, 1/4)$ and $\varphi = 0$ outside $B(0, 1/2)$. Setting $e^{(j)} = (0, 2^{-j}) \in D$, we define

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j 2^{i_j(n-p+\alpha)/p} \varphi(2^{i_j}(x - e^{(j)})).$$

Then it is easy to see that $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x', t) = 0$ for $x' \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\text{grad } u|^p |x_n|^\alpha dx &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^p 2^{i_j(n-p+\alpha)} \int |\text{grad } \varphi(2^{i_j}(x - e^{(j)}))|^p |x_n|^\alpha dx \\ &\leq \text{const.} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If we set $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(e^{(j)}, 2^{-i_j-2})$, then $A \subset \Gamma(1/2)$. Since $C_p(B(e^{(j)}, 2^{-i_j-2}); B(0, 2^{-i_j+2})) = 2^{-i_j(n-p)} C_p(B(e^{(0)}, 1/4); B(0, 4))$, A is not C_p -thin at 0. Further, if $x \in B(e^{(j)}, 2^{-i_j-2})$, then $h(x_n) x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) \geq 2^{-(n-p+\alpha)/p} a_j b_j$, so that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in A} h(x_n) x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = \infty$.

PROPOSITION 8. Let $\alpha = p - n > -1$. If h is as above, then there exists a nonnegative measurable function f such that $f = 0$ outside $D \cap B(0, 1)$, $\int_D f(y)^p y_n^\alpha dy < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in A} h(x_n) (\log(1/x_n))^{-1/p'} u(x) = \infty$$

for some A which is not C_p -thin at 0, where $u(x) = \int |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy$.

REMARK. Since f has compact support, u satisfies (1).

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8. As in the proof of Proposition 7 take a sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j h(2^{-2i_j+1}) = \infty$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^p < \infty$; here we assume that $2i_j < i_{j+1}$. Define $f_j(y) = b_j |y|^{-1} (\log |y|^{-1})^{-1/p}$ if $y \in \Gamma(1) \cap B(0, 2^{-i_j}) - B(0, 2^{-2i_j})$ and $f_j = 0$ otherwise. Set $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j(y)$. Then

$$\int_D f(y)^p y_n^\alpha dy = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_D f_j(y)^p y_n^\alpha dy \leq M_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^p < \infty,$$

where M_1 is a positive constant. Consider the sets $A_j = \{x \in \Gamma(1); 2^{-2i_j} < |x| < 2^{-2i_j+1}\}$ and $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 15, we see that A is not C_p -thin at 0. Further, if $x \in A_j$, then

$$u(x) = \int |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \geq 3^{1-n} \int |y|^{1-n} f_j(y) dy \geq M_2 b_j (\log(1/x_n))^{1/p'}$$

with a positive constant M_2 , so that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in A} h(x_n) (\log(1/x_n))^{-1/p'} u(x) = \infty.$$

6. Radial and perpendicular limits

In this section, as applications of Theorems 1 and 2, we study the existence of radial and perpendicular limits of functions satisfying (1).

THEOREM 3. *Let u be a function which is locally p -precise in D and satisfies (1) with α such that $-1 < \alpha < p-1$. Then there exists a set $E' \subset \partial D$ such that $C_p(E' \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$ and*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} t^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x', t) = 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha > 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} (\log(1/t))^{-1/p'} u(x', t) = 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha = 0,$$

$$u(x', t) \text{ has a finite limit as } t \downarrow 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha < 0,$$

for any x' such that $(x', 0) \notin E'$.

REMARK. In case $\alpha \geq 0$, we can find a set $E'' \subset \partial D$ such that $B_{1-\alpha/p, p}(E'') = 0$ and $u(x', t)$ has a finite limit as $t \downarrow 0$ for any x' with $(x', 0) \in \partial D - E''$, where $B_{\beta, p}$ denotes the Bessel capacity of index (β, p) (see [8; Theorem 3] for details). In case $\alpha < 0$, from this fact we can find $E'' \subset \partial D$ such that $B_{1, p}(E'') = 0$ and $u(x', t)$ has a finite limit as $t \downarrow 0$ for any x' with $(x', 0) \in \partial D - E''$. We note here that $B_{1, p}(F) = 0$ if and only if $C_p(F \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$. Hence we see that in case $\alpha \leq 0$, Theorem 3 follows readily from [8; Theorem 3].

For a proof of Theorem 3, we need the following fact.

LEMMA 17. *Let $E \subset D$ satisfy*

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_p(E_j \cap B(0, r); B(0, 2r)) < \infty \quad \text{for any } r > 0.$$

Then there exists a set $E' \subset \partial D$ having the following properties:

- (i) $C_p(E' \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset R^n$.
- (ii) For each $\xi \in \partial D - E'$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\xi + (0, t) \notin E$ whenever $0 < t < \delta$.

PROOF. In view of Lemma 1 and its proof in [6], we first note that $C_p(E_j \cap B(0, r); B(0, 2r)) \geq C_p(E_j^* \cap B(0, r); B(0, 2r))$, where E_j^* denotes the projection of E_j to the hyperplane ∂D . Set $E' = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j^*)$. Then $C_p(E' \cap B(0, r); B(0, 2r)) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} C_p(E_j^* \cap B(0, r); B(0, 2r))$ for any k . Hence it follows that $C_p(E' \cap B(0, r); B(0, 2r)) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\xi \in \partial D \cap B(0, r) - E'$, then there exists k such that $\xi \notin \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j^*$. This implies that $\xi + (0, t) \notin E$ whenever $0 < t < 2^{-k+1}$. Thus the lemma is proved.

If E is C_p -thin near ∂D , then it satisfies (9). Hence, by the aid of Theorem 1,

we obtain Theorem 3; in case $n - p + \alpha < 0$, we need to notice the Remark after Lemma 11.

Theorem 2 together with Lemma 17 gives the following result.

THEOREM 4. *If u is as in Theorem 2, then there exists $E' \subset \partial D$ such that $C_p(E' \cap G; G) = 0$ for any bounded open set $G \subset D$ and*

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} r^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x', t) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } (x', 0) \in \partial D - E'.$$

Next we give radial limit theorems for functions satisfying (1).

THEOREM 5. *Let u be as in Theorem 1. Then, for each $\xi \in \partial D$, there exist a set $E_\xi \subset \partial B(\xi, 1) \cap D$ and a number c_ξ such that $C_p(E_\xi; B(\xi, 2)) = 0$ and*

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} A(r) u(\xi + r(\eta - \xi)) = c_\xi \quad \text{if } \eta \in D \cap \partial B(\xi, 1) - E_\xi,$$

where $A(r) = r^{(n-p+\alpha)/p}$ if $n - p + \alpha > 0$, $A(r) = (\log(1/r))^{-1/p'}$ if $n - p + \alpha = 0$ and $A(r) = 1$ if $n - p + \alpha < 0$.

Theorem 5 is a consequence of Proposition 5; instead of Lemma 17, we have only to note the following

LEMMA 18. *Let $E \subset D$. If E is C_p -thin at 0, then there exists a set $E^\sim \subset D \cap \partial B(0, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $C_p(E^\sim; B(0, 2)) = 0$.
- (ii) For each $\eta \in D \cap \partial B(0, 1) - E^\sim$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $r\eta \notin E$ whenever $0 < r < \delta$.

By Proposition 6 and Lemma 18 we can establish the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. *If u is as in Theorem 2, then, for each $\xi \in \partial D$ there exists a set $E_\xi \subset \partial B(\xi, 1) \cap D$ such that $C_p(E_\xi; B(\xi, 2)) = 0$ and*

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} r^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(\xi + r(\eta - \xi)) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \eta \in D \cap \partial B(\xi, 1) - E_\xi.$$

7. Boundary behavior of harmonic functions

If u is harmonic in D , then, by Green's formula,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} (\partial u / \partial y_j) dy = 0$$

for $x \in D$. Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1 gives the following result.

THEOREM 7. *Let u be a function which is harmonic in D and satisfies (1) with α such that $-1 < \alpha < p - 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0} x_n^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) = 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha > 0,$$

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 0} [\log(x_n^{-1}(|x|+1))]^{-1/p} u(x) = 0, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{x_n \rightarrow 0} (|x|+1)^{(n-p+\alpha)/p} u(x) < \infty, \quad \text{in case } n - p + \alpha < 0.$$

We can also prove the existence of tangential boundary limits of harmonic functions in D .

THEOREM 8. *Let u be a function which is harmonic in D and satisfies (1) with α such that $n - p + \alpha \geq 0$ and $\alpha > -1$. Letting h be a positive nondecreasing function on the interval $(0, \infty)$ such that $h(2r) < Mh(r)$ for $r > 0$ with a positive constant M , we set*

$$E_1 = \left\{ \xi \in \partial D; \int_{B(\xi, 1) \cap D} |\xi - y|^{1-n} |\text{grad } u(y)| dy < \infty \right\},$$

$$E_2 = \left\{ \xi \in \partial D; \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} h(r)^{-1} \int_{B(\xi, r)} |\text{grad } u(y)|^p |y_n|^\alpha dy = 0 \right\}.$$

If $\xi \in \partial D - E_1 \cup E_2$, then $u(x)$ has a finite limit as $x \rightarrow \xi$, $x \in T_h(\xi, a) \equiv \{x \in D; h(|x - \xi|) \leq a\tilde{A}(x - \xi)\}$, for any $a > 0$, where $\tilde{A}(x) = x_n^{n-p+\alpha}$ if $n - p + \alpha > 0$ and $\tilde{A}(x) = [\log(2|x|/x_n)]^{1-p}$ if $n - p + \alpha = 0$.

REMARK 1. In view of [8; Lemma 4], $B_{1-\alpha/p, p}(E_1) = 0$. On the other hand we can prove that $H_h(E_2) = 0$ in the same way as Lemma 2 in [9], where H_h denotes the Hausdorff measure with the measure function h . If $h(r) = r^\gamma (n-p+\alpha)$ in case $n - p + \alpha > 0$ and $h(r) = [\log(2+r^{-1})]^{1-p}$ in case $n - p + \alpha = 0$, then $T_\gamma(\xi, a)$ is included in some $T_h(\xi, b)$, where $T_\gamma(\xi, a) = \{x = (x', x_n); |(x', 0) - \xi|^\gamma < ax_n\}$. Hence Theorem 8 implies the existence of limits of u along the sets $T_\gamma(\xi, a)$ (cf. Cruzeiro [3], Mizuta [10], Nagel, Rudin and Shapiro [11]).

REMARK 2. If u is a function on D which is harmonic in D and satisfies (1) with α such that $-1 < \alpha < p - n$, then u has a finite limit at any boundary point.

In fact, the sets E_1 and E_2 with $h \equiv 1$ in the theorem are shown to be empty, and, moreover, the proof below will show that u has a finite limit at any $\xi \in \partial D - E_1 \cup E_2$; see also [10; Theorem (iii)].

PROOF OF THEOREM 8. To prove Theorem 8, we use the integral representation of u given in Lemma 3 and write u as

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= c \sum_{j=1}^n \int k_j(x, y) (\partial \bar{u} / \partial y_j) dy + C \\ &= c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{R^n - B(\xi, 2|x-\xi|)} k_j(x, y) (\partial \bar{u} / \partial y_j) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(\xi, 2|x-\xi|)} k_j(x, y) (\partial \bar{u} / \partial y_j) dy + C \\
& = u_1(x) + u_2(x) + C.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark here that since $\partial \bar{u} / \partial y_j$ are continuous on D , the integrals are continuous on D and the equalities hold everywhere on D . If $\xi \in \partial D - E_1$, then $\int |k_j(\xi, y)| \cdot |\text{grad } u(y)| dy < \infty$ for each j and u_1 has a finite limit as $x \rightarrow \xi$, $x \in D$. Since, as in the proof of Lemma 9, $|u_2(x)| \leq M' \left(\tilde{A}(x - \xi)^{-1} \int_{B(\xi, 2|x-\xi|)} |\text{grad } u(y)|^p |y_n|^\alpha dy \right)^{1/p}$ with a positive constant M' , $u_2(x)$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow \xi$, $x \in T_h(\xi, a)$, if $\xi \in \partial D - E_2$. Thus the theorem is obtained.

References

- [1] H. Aikawa, Tangential behavior of Green potentials and contractive properties of L^p -potentials, *Tokyo J. Math.* **9** (1986), 221–245.
- [2] L. Carleson, Selected problems on exceptional sets, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [3] A. B. Cruzeiro, Convergence au bord pour les fonctions harmoniques dans R^d de la classe de Sobolev W_1^d , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **294** (1982), 71–74.
- [4] Y. Mizuta, Integral representations of Beppo Levi functions of higher order, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **4** (1974), 375–396.
- [5] Y. Mizuta, On the existence of boundary values of Beppo Levi functions defined in the upper half space of R^n , *Hiroshima Math. J.* **6** (1976), 61–72.
- [6] Y. Mizuta, On the limits of p -precise functions along lines parallel to the coordinate axes of R^n , *Hiroshima Math. J.* **6** (1976), 353–357.
- [7] Y. Mizuta, On the radial limits of potentials and angular limits of harmonic functions, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **8** (1978), 415–437.
- [8] Y. Mizuta, Existence of various boundary limits of Beppo Levi functions of higher order, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **9** (1979), 717–745.
- [9] Y. Mizuta, On the behavior of potentials near a hyperplane, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **13** (1983), 529–542.
- [10] Y. Mizuta, On the boundary limits of harmonic functions with gradient in L^p , *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **34** (1984), 99–109.
- [11] A. Nagel, W. Rudin and J. H. Shapiro, Tangential boundary behavior of functions in Dirichlet-type spaces, *Ann. of Math.* **116** (1982), 331–360.
- [12] M. Ohtsuka, Extremal length and precise functions in 3-space, *Lecture Notes*, Hiroshima University, 1973.
- [13] H. Wallin, On the existence of boundary values of a class of Beppo Levi functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1965), 510–525.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences,
Hiroshima University