

On weighted extremal length and p -capacity

Hiromichi YAMAMOTO

(Received November 17, 1989)

1. Introduction and statement of results

For $1 < p < \infty$, a weight w (a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function in the euclidean space R^d) is said to satisfy the Muckenhoupt A_p condition ([4]) if

$$(A_p) \quad \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1/(1-p)} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where Q is a cube with sides parallel to the axes and $|Q|$ stands for the volume of Q . By A_p we denote the class of weights w satisfying (A_p) . In this note we always assume that $w \in A_p$.

Let Γ be a family of locally rectifiable curves in R^d . A nonnegative Borel measurable function ρ in R^d is called Γ -admissible if $\int_\gamma \rho ds \geq 1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We define the weighted module of order p of Γ by

$$M_p(\Gamma; w) = \inf \left\{ \int_{R^d} \rho^p w dx; \rho \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-admissible} \right\}$$

and the weighted extremal length by the reciprocal of the weighted module.

Let E be a compact set in R^d and let G be a domain containing E . The weighted p -capacity of the pair (E, G) is defined to be

$$C_p^w(E; G) = \inf \int_G |\text{grad } u|^p w dx,$$

where the infimum is taken over all functions $u \in C_0^\infty(G)$ for which $u \geq 1$ on E . If $G = R^d$, then we shall write $C_p^w(E)$ for $C_p^w(E; R^d)$.

Zierner [7] gave a relation between extremal length and p -capacity, in case $w \equiv 1$. In this note we shall consider a similar relation between weighted extremal length and weighted p -capacity for $w \in A_p$. We shall first establish

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $R^d - E$ is a domain. Let G be a bounded domain containing E and let Γ be the family of curves connecting E and ∂G in $G - E$. Then $M_p(\Gamma; w) = C_p^w(E; G)$.*

Ohtsuka [5, §6] proved Theorem 1 in a more general form in case w is a positive continuous weight. The proof of Theorem 1 can be carried out along

the same lines as in Ziemer [7]. Since the continuity of extremal distance with respect to $w \in A_p$ holds (Lemma 6), Theorem 1 implies

THEOREM 2. *Let Γ_∞ be the family of curves in $R^d - E$ connecting E and the point at infinity. Then $M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w) = C_p^w(E)$.*

We denote by $A_{p,1}$ (cf. [1]) the class of $w \in A_p$ satisfying the condition

$$(*) \quad \int_{R^d} (1 + |x|)^{(1-d)p'} w(x)^{1/(1-p)} dx < \infty,$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Using the above two theorems, we shall prove

THEOREM 3. *Let $w \in A_{p,1}$ and let $\wedge(E)$ be the family of curves in $R^d - E$ terminating at points of E . Then $M_p(\wedge(E); w) = 0$ if and only if $C_p^w(E) = 0$.*

In case $w \equiv 1$, the condition $(*)$ implies $p < d$. Therefore Theorem 3 is a generalization of Ziemer's result [7, Theorem 4.3]. Remark that $M_p(\wedge(E); w) = 0$ implies $C_p^w(E) = 0$ under the assumption $w \in A_p$. We shall give an example in which $M_p(\wedge(E); w) \neq C_p^w(E)$ for some $w \in A_p - A_{p,1}$.

2. Lemmas

Let G be a domain in R^d . We write

$$L^{p,w}(G) = \left\{ f; \int_G |f|^p w dx < \infty \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{p,w} = \left(\int_G |f|^p w dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Since $w^{1/(1-p)}$ is locally integrable, $f \in L^{p,w}(G)$ implies that f is locally integrable.

For a locally integrable function f in G , we define mollified functions $(f)_n$ of f in G by

$$(f)_n(x) = \int f \left(x + \alpha(x) \frac{\xi}{n} \right) \psi(|\xi|) d\xi \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $\alpha(x)$ is a function in $C^\infty(G)$ such that $0 < \alpha < 1$, $|\text{grad } \alpha| < 1/2$ and $2\alpha(x) < \text{dist}(x, \partial G)$, and $\psi(r)$ is a nonnegative function on $0 \leq r < \infty$ such that $\psi = 0$ on $1 \leq r < \infty$, $\psi(|x|) \in C^\infty(R^d)$ and $\int_{R^d} \psi(|x|) dx = 1$. They are of class $C^\infty(G)$.

LEMMA 1 (cf. [6, Lemma 6]). *If f belongs to $L^{p,w}(G)$, then $\|(f)_n - f\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. Let $f = 0$ on $R^d - G$. The maximal function of f is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f)_n(x)| &\leq \left(\frac{n}{\alpha(x)}\right)^d \int |f(y)| \psi\left(\frac{n|x-y|}{\alpha(x)}\right) dy \\ &\leq \max|\psi| \left(\frac{n}{\alpha(x)}\right)^d \int_{|x-y| < \alpha(x)/n} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \text{const. } Mf(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(f)_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a.e. x and $\|Mf\|_{p,w} \leq \text{const. } \|f\|_{p,w}$ (cf. [4, Theorem 9]), the dominated convergence theorem yields that $\|(f)_n - f\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We shall say that a function f in G is *ACL* when f is absolutely continuous on each component of the part in G of almost every line parallel to each coordinate axis. If f is *ACL* in G , then $\text{grad } f$ exists a.e. in G .

LEMMA 2 (cf. [5, Theorem 4.5]). *Let f be ACL in G and assume that $|\text{grad } f|$ belongs to $L^{p,w}(G)$. Then $\|\text{grad}((f)_n - f)\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. For the ordinary partial derivatives $\partial(f)_n/\partial x_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$), we have

$$\frac{\partial(f)_n}{\partial x_i}(x) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)_n(x) + \int \left(\frac{\xi}{n} \cdot \text{grad } f(y)\right) \frac{\partial \alpha(x)}{\partial x_i} \psi(|\xi|) d\xi,$$

where $y = x + \alpha(x)\xi/n$. Set

$$A_i(x) = \int \left(\frac{\xi}{n} \cdot \text{grad } f(y)\right) \frac{\partial \alpha(x)}{\partial x_i} \psi(|\xi|) d\xi.$$

By Minkowski's inequality

$$\left\| \frac{\partial(f)_n}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p,w} \leq \left\| \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\right)_n - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{p,w} + \|A_i\|_{p,w}.$$

Lemma 1 implies that $\|(\partial f/\partial x_i)_n - \partial f/\partial x_i\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $|\text{grad } \alpha| \leq 1/2$, as in the proof of Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \int |\text{grad } f(y)| \psi(|\xi|) d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{\text{const.}}{n} M(|\text{grad } f|)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|M(|\text{grad } f|)\|_{p,w} \leq \text{const. } \|\text{grad } f\|_{p,w}$ (cf. [4, Theorem 9]), we see

$$\|A_i\|_{p,w} \leq \frac{\text{const.}}{n} \|\text{grad } f\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence $\|\text{grad}((f)_n - f)\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 3 (cf. [5, Theorem 2.8]). *Let G be a domain and let F_0, F_1 be mutually disjoint closed sets in ∂G (the boundary of G in the one-point compactification of R^d), and denote by Γ the family of curves in G connecting F_0 and F_1 . If $M_p(\Gamma; w) < \infty$, then we may restrict Γ -admissible ρ to be continuous in G and bounded away from zero on $G \cap K$ for any compact set K in R^d in defining $M_p(\Gamma; w)$.*

PROOF. Let ρ be a Γ -admissible function. Consider the mollified functions $(\rho)_n$ of ρ in G . Considering the image γ_ξ of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by the transformation $x \mapsto x + (\alpha(x)/n)\xi$ for $\xi \in R^d$ with $|\xi| < 1$ and noting that $|\text{grad } \alpha| \leq 1/2$, we see that $(1 + 1/(2n))(\rho)_n$ is Γ -admissible. By Lemma 1, $\|(\rho)_n\|_{p,w} \rightarrow \|\rho\|_{p,w}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we may restrict Γ -admissible function ρ to be continuous in G in defining $M_p(\Gamma; w)$. Now, let ρ be a Γ -admissible function which is continuous in G . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose a sequence $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $\delta_k > 0$ and

$$\delta_k^p \int_{\{k-1 \leq |x| < k\}} w \, dx < 2^{-k}\varepsilon.$$

Set $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \max(\rho(x), \delta_k)$ if $k - 1 \leq |x| < k$, for each positive integer k . Then ρ_ε is Γ -admissible and

$$\int_G (\rho_\varepsilon)^p w \, dx \rightarrow \int_G \rho^p w \, dx \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

This establishes the lemma.

A sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ of curves is said to converge to a curve γ in Fréchet's sense if they are represented by $x^{(n)}(t)$ and $x(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, such that $x^{(n)}(t)$ converges uniformly to $x(t)$. The following two lemmas are known.

LEMMA 4 ([7, Lemma 3.3]; also cf. [5, Lemma 2.5]). *Let ρ be nonnegative lower semicontinuous in R^d and $\{\gamma_n\}$ be an infinite sequence of curves such that all γ_n are contained in a closed ball B , each γ_n connects x_n and y_n , $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, $y_n \rightarrow y_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the lengths of γ_n are bounded. Then there exists a subsequence $\{\gamma_{n_k}\}$ and a curve γ in B connecting x_0 with y_0 such that $\{\gamma_{n_k}\}$ converges to γ in Fréchet's sense and*

$$\int_\gamma \rho \, ds \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_n} \rho \, ds.$$

LEMMA 5 ([2, chap. I]). *A family Γ of curves satisfies $M_p(\Gamma; w) = 0$ if and only if there exists a nonnegative Borel measurable function $\rho \in L^{p,w}$ such that $\int_\gamma \rho ds = \infty$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1 (cf. [7, §3])

Take any $u \in C_0^\infty(G)$ such that $u \geq 1$ on E . Obviously, $|\text{grad } u|$ is Γ -admissible. Hence we have $M_p(\Gamma; w) \leq \int_G |\text{grad } u|^p w dx$ and derive $M_p(\Gamma; w) \leq C_p^w(E; G)$.

To prove the inverse inequality $C_p^w(E; G) \leq M_p(\Gamma; w)$, we may assume that $M_p(\Gamma; w) < \infty$. Set $D = G - E$. By assumption, D is a domain. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(D)$ the family of all ACL functions u in D such that $|\text{grad } u| \in L^{p,w}(D)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial E} u(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial G} u(x) = 0$. First we shall show

$$(1) \quad \inf \left\{ \int_D |\text{grad } u|^p w dx; u \in \mathcal{D}(D) \right\} \leq M_p(\Gamma; w).$$

Take a Γ -admissible function ρ which is continuous in D and satisfies $\inf \{ \rho(x); x \in D \} \geq \delta > 0$. Set $\rho_k(x) = \min \{ \rho(x), k \}$ for each positive integer k and extend it by δ to $R^d - D$. Given $x \in G$, denote by Γ_0^x the family of curves in G each of which starts from x and tends to a point in ∂G . We set

$$u_k(x) = \inf \left\{ \int_\gamma \rho_k ds; \gamma \in \Gamma_0^x \right\}.$$

Suppose $\{ \gamma_n \}$ is a minimizing sequence in the definition of $u_k(x)$. Since $\rho_k \geq \delta > 0$ in G , we may assume the lengths of γ_n are bounded. By applying Lemma 4, we can take a curve $\gamma_k^x \in \Gamma_0^x$ such that

$$u_k(x) = \int_{\gamma_k^x} \rho_k ds.$$

This implies that

$$|u_k(x) - u_k(x')| \leq \int_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}'} \rho_k ds$$

for any points x, x' in G , where $\tilde{x}\tilde{x}'$ is a curve connecting x and x' in G . It follows that u_k is continuous ACL in G and that $|\text{grad } u_k| \leq \rho_k$ a.e. in G (see, [7, Lemma 3.6]). Set

$$m_k = \min \{ u_k(x); x \in E \}$$

and

$$u_k^*(x) = \min \{ u_k(x), m_k \}.$$

The restriction of u_k^*/m_k to D belongs to $\mathcal{D}(D)$. By the same method as in the proof of [7, Lemma 3.7], we see that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_k \geq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}(D)} \int_D |\text{grad } u|^p w \, dx &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_D (|\text{grad } u_k^*/m_k|^p w) \, dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{m_k}\right)^p \int_D \rho_k^p w \, dx \leq \int_D \rho^p w \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3, (1) follows.

Next, set

$$h(x) = \min \left\{ 1, \max \left(0, \frac{u - \varepsilon}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \right) \right\}$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ and sufficiently small number $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $(h)_n$ be the mollified functions of h in D and set $(h)_n = 1$ on E . Then each $(h)_n$ belongs to $C_0^\infty(G)$. Hence $C_p^w(E; G) \leq \int_G |\text{grad } (h)_n|^p w \, dx$. By Lemma 2,

$$\|\text{grad } (h)_n\|_{p,w} \leq \|\text{grad } ((h)_n - h)\|_{p,w} + \|\text{grad } h\|_{p,w} \rightarrow \|\text{grad } h\|_{p,w}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$C_p^w(E; G) \leq \int_D |\text{grad } h|^p w \, dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon}\right)^p \int_D |\text{grad } u|^p w \, dx.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by (1) we conclude that $C_p^w(E; G) \leq M_p(\Gamma; w)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2, we prepare the following lemma which gives the continuity property of extremal distance in a special case. Denote by E_0 the union of E and all bounded components of $R^d - E$. In case $R^d - E$ is a domain, $E_0 = E$. Set $G_n = \{x; |x| < n\}$. We may assume that $G_n \supset E_0$ for all n . Let Γ_n (resp. Γ_∞^*) be the family of curves in $G_n - E_0$ (resp. $R^d - E_0$) connecting ∂E_0 and ∂G_n (resp. the point at infinity). Note that $M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w) = M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w)$.

LEMMA 6. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_p(\Gamma_n; w) = M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w)$.

PROOF. First note that $M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w) \leq M_p(\Gamma_n; w) < \infty$ for all n . For any $\varepsilon > 0$, by Lemma 3 we can take a Γ_∞^* -admissible function ρ which satisfies (i) ρ is continuous in $R^d - E_0$, (ii) $\int \rho^p w \, dx < M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w) + \varepsilon$ and (iii) $\inf \{\rho(x); x \in (R^d - E_0) \cap K\} > 0$ for any compact set K . Set $\rho = 0$ on E_0 . Then ρ is nonnegative lower semicontinuous in R^d . We infer that there is n

such that $\int_\gamma \rho \, ds \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ (cf. the proof of [5, Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.7]). In fact, otherwise there would exist $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_n$; $n = n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots$, such that

$$\int_{\gamma_n} \rho \, ds < 1 - \varepsilon$$

for each $n \geq n_0$. Let $\{\gamma_{1j}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=n_0}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{1j} = x_0 \in \partial E_0$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} y_{1j} = y_0 \in \partial G_{n_0}$, where x_{1j} is the starting point of γ_{1j} and y_{1j} is the first point of intersection of γ_{1j} with ∂G_{n_0} . Let γ_{1j}^* be the subcurve of γ_{1j} connecting x_{1j} and y_{1j} in G_{n_0} . Since $\inf \{\rho(x); x \in (R^d - E_0) \cap G_{n_0}\} > 0$, the lengths of γ_{1j}^* are bounded. By applying Lemma 4, we can find a curve γ_1 connecting x_0 and y_0 in $\overline{G_{n_0}}$ such that a subsequence of $\{\gamma_{1j}^*\}$ converges to γ_1 in Fréchet's sense and

$$\int_{\gamma_1} \rho \, ds \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{1j}^*} \rho \, ds.$$

We may assume that $\{\gamma_{1j}^*\}$ itself converges to γ_1 . Next, let $\{\gamma_{2j}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{\gamma_{1j}\}$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} y_{2j} = y_1 \in \partial G_{n_0+1}$, where y_{2j} is the first point of intersection of γ_{2j} with ∂G_{n_0+1} . Note that the sequence of the starting point (which we denote by x_{2j}) of γ_{2j} converges to x_0 in ∂E_0 . Let γ_{2j}^* be the subcurve of γ_{2j} connecting x_{2j} and y_{2j} in G_{n_0+1} . Using Lemma 4 again we may assume that $\{\gamma_{2j}^*\}$ converges to a curve γ_2 connecting x_0 and y_1 in $\overline{G_{n_0+1}}$ in Fréchet's sense and

$$\int_{\gamma_2} \rho \, ds \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{2j}^*} \rho \, ds.$$

Since $\{\gamma_{2j}\}$ is the subsequence of $\{\gamma_{1j}\}$ and $\{\gamma_{2j}^*\}$ (resp. $\{\gamma_{1j}^*\}$) converges to γ_2 (resp. γ_1) in Fréchet's sense, we see that γ_2 contains γ_1 . We continue this process and obtain a curve γ which contains all γ_k . We have

$$\int_\gamma \rho \, ds = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_k} \rho \, ds \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{kj}^*} \rho \, ds \leq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Since γ contains some γ^* in Γ_∞^* and ρ is Γ_∞^* -admissible, this is a contradiction. Thus $\rho/(1 - \varepsilon)$ is Γ_n -admissible and hence

$$M_p(\Gamma_n; w) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^p \int \rho^p w \, dx < \left(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^p (M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w) + \varepsilon).$$

By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that $M_p(\Gamma_n; w) \rightarrow M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. If $u \in C_0^\infty$ and $u \geq 1$ on E , then $|\text{grad } u|$ is Γ_∞ -admissible. Hence the inequality $M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w) \leq C_p^w(E)$ follows.

To prove the inverse inequality, we may assume that $M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w) < \infty$. By Theorem 1, $C_p^w(E_0; G_n) = M_p(\Gamma_n; w)$. Obviously, $C_p^w(E) \leq C_p^w(E_0) \leq C_p^w(E_0; G_n)$. Hence $C_p^w(E) \leq M_p(\Gamma_n; w)$. By Lemma 6 we have, $C_p^w(E) \leq M_p(\Gamma_\infty^*; w) = M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w)$.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

To prove Theorem 3 we prepare two lemmas, the first of which follows from [1, Theorem 2] and [3, Theorems 3 and 4].

LEMMA 7. *Let $w \in A_{p,1}$ and $\{g_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\|g_n\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\int |x - y|^{1-d} g_n(y) dy \rightarrow 0$ in measure in any bounded domain.*

LEMMA 8. *Let $w \in A_{p,1}$. If $C_p^w(E) = 0$, then $C_p^w(E; G) = 0$ for any bounded domain G containing E .*

PROOF. Take a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of C_0^∞ functions such that $u_n \geq 1$ on E and $\|\text{grad } u_n\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$. We may assume that $0 \leq u_n \leq 1$ for all n . Take any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(G)$ with $\varphi = 1$ on E . Then φu_n is admissible in the definition of $C_p^w(E; G)$, and satisfies

$$\|\text{grad}(\varphi u_n)\|_{p,w} \leq \|\varphi(\text{grad } u_n)\|_{p,w} + \|u_n(\text{grad } \varphi)\|_{p,w} .$$

Since $u_n \in C_0^\infty$, it is well known that

$$|u_n(x)| \leq \text{const.} \int |x - y|^{1-d} |\text{grad } u_n(y)| dy .$$

By Lemma 7, there is a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ of $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\int |x - y|^{1-d} |\text{grad } u_{n_j}(y)| dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \text{ in } G .$$

Hence we see that $u_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow 0$ for a.e. x in G . Since $0 \leq u_{n_j} \leq 1$ and w is integrable on G , the dominated convergence theorem yields that $\|u_{n_j}(\text{grad } \varphi)\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, $\|\varphi(\text{grad } u_{n_j})\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $\|\text{grad}(\varphi u_{n_j})\|_{p,w} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we conclude that $C_p^w(E; G) = 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Suppose that $M_p(\bigwedge(E); w) = 0$. Since $\Gamma_\infty \subset \bigwedge(E)$, $M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w) \leq M_p(\bigwedge(E); w)$, so that $M_p(\Gamma_\infty; w) = 0$. From Theorem 2, $C_p^w(E) = 0$ follows.

Conversely, suppose that $w \in A_{p,1}$ and $C_p^w(E) = 0$. First we shall show that $R^d - E$ is a domain. Assume that $R^d - E$ is not a domain. Let x^0 be a point in a bounded component of $R^d - E$. Take a ring domain

$R = \{x; a < |x - x^0| < b\}$ such that $R \supset E$. Set $G = \{x; |x - x^0| < b\}$. Take any $u \in C_0^\infty(G)$ such that $u \geq 1$ on E . For any ray $\gamma_\theta: x^0 + r\theta$ ($a < r < b$), $|\theta| = 1$, we have

$$1 \leq \int_{\gamma_\theta} |\text{grad } u| \, ds.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\theta|=1} d\theta &\leq \int_R |\text{grad } u| |x - x^0|^{1-d} \, dx \\ &\leq a^{1-d} \left(\int_R |\text{grad } u|^p w \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_R w^{1/(1-p)} \, dx \right)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w^{1/(1-p)}$ is locally integrable,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\text{grad } u|^p w \, dx &\geq \int_R |\text{grad } u|^p w \, dx \\ &\geq a^{(d-1)p} \left(\int_{|\theta|=1} d\theta \right)^p \left(\int_R w^{1/(1-p)} \, dx \right)^{-p/p'} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C_p^w(E; G) > 0$. On the other hand, $C_p^w(E; G) = 0$ by Lemma 8. Thus we obtain a contradiction. Therefore $R^d - E$ is a domain.

Let $\{G_n\}$ be a sequence of relatively compact open sets such that $\overline{G_{n+1}} \subset G_n$ for each n , $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n = E$ and every G_n consists of a finite number of components $G_{n,i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, i(n)$) each of which meets E . Set $E_{n,i} = G_{n,i} \cap E$. Denote by $\Gamma_{n,i}$ the family of curves connecting $E_{n,i}$ and $\partial G_{n,i}$ in $G_{n,i} - E$. By assumption, $C_p^w(E_{n,i}) = 0$. From Lemma 8 and Theorem 1, it follows that $M_p(\Gamma_{n,i}; w) = 0$. Let $\Gamma_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i(n)} \Gamma_{n,i}$. Then we see that $M_p(\Gamma_n; w) = 0$. By Lemma 5, there exists a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ of nonnegative Borel measurable functions such that $\|\rho_n\|_{p,w} < 2^{-n}$ and $\int_\gamma \rho_n \, ds = \infty$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ for each n . We set $\rho_0 = \sum \rho_n$. Then $\|\rho_0\|_{p,w} < \infty$. For each $\gamma \in \bigwedge(E)$, there exists a curve $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_n$ such that $\gamma_n \subset \gamma$. Hence

$$\int_\gamma \rho_0 \, ds \geq \int_{\gamma_n} \rho_0 \, ds \geq \int_{\gamma_n} \rho_n \, ds = \infty.$$

Using Lemma 5 again we conclude that $M_p(\bigwedge(E); w) = 0$.

REMARK. Let $E = \{0\}$. We show by example that $C_p^w(\{0\}) = 0 < M_p(\bigwedge\{0\}; w)$ for some $w \in A_p - A_{p,1}$.

Let $0 < \beta < p$ and let $w(x) = |x|^{\beta-d}$. Then $w \in A_p - A_{p,1}$. For some α with $\beta/p < \alpha < 1$, we set

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ |x|^{-\alpha} & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\rho \in L^{p,w}$ and $\int_{\gamma} \rho \, ds = \infty$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}$. From Lemma 5 and Theorem 2, $C_p^w(\{0\}) = 0$ follows. On the other hand, by Ohtsuka [6, Corollary], we see that $M_p(\bigwedge\{0\}; w) \neq 0$.

References

- [1] H. Aikawa, On weighted Beppo Levi functions, Potential theory, 1–6, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1988.
- [2] B. Fuglede, Extremal length and functional completion, Acta Math. **98**(1957), 171–219.
- [3] N. G. Meyers, A theory of capacities for potentials of functions in Lebesgue classes, Math. Scand. **26**(1970), 255–292.
- [4] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165**(1972), 207–226.
- [5] M. Ohtsuka, Extremal length and precise functions in 3-space, Lecture Notes, Hiroshima Univ., 1973.
- [6] M. Ohtsuka, On weighted extremal length of families of curves, Complex analysis, 191–200, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 1988.
- [7] W. P. Ziemer, Extremal length and p -capacity, Michigan Math. J. **16**(1969), 43–51.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Kochi University*