

## Removability of sets for sub-polyharmonic functions

Toshihide FUTAMURA, Kyoko KISHI and Yoshihiro MIZUTA

(Received January 22, 2002)

(Revised April 25, 2002)

**ABSTRACT.** Our first aim in this paper is to generalize Bôcher's theorem for functions  $u$  whose Riesz measure  $\mu = \Delta^m u$  is nonnegative in the punctured unit ball  $\mathbf{B}_0$ . In fact, if  $u$  satisfies a certain integral condition and  $\mu = \Delta^m u \geq 0$  in  $\mathbf{B}_0$ , then it is shown that  $u$  can be written as the sum of a generalized potential of  $\mu$  and a polyharmonic function on  $\mathbf{B}$ . This is nothing but the Laurent series expansion for  $u$ .

The next aim is to give a polyharmonic version of the recent results by Riihentausta [11] concerning removability of sets for subharmonic functions.

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

Let  $\mathbf{R}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with a point  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . For a multi-index  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , we set

$$|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n,$$

$$x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}$$

and

$$D^\lambda = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\lambda_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^{\lambda_2} \dots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\lambda_n}.$$

We denote by  $B(x, r)$  the open ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r > 0$ , whose boundary is written as  $S(x, r) = \partial B(x, r)$ . We also denote by  $\mathbf{B}$  the unit ball  $B(0, 1)$  and by  $\mathbf{B}_0$  the punctured unit ball  $\mathbf{B} - \{0\}$ .

A real-valued function  $u$  on an open set  $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is called polyharmonic of order  $m$  on  $G$  if  $u \in C^{2m}(G)$  and  $\Delta^m u = 0$  on  $G$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer,  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian and  $\Delta^m u = \Delta^{m-1}(\Delta u)$  (cf. [2], [10]). We denote by  $H^m(G)$  the space of polyharmonic functions of order  $m$  on  $G$ . In particular,  $u$  is harmonic on  $G$  if  $u \in H^1(G)$ .

The fundamental solution of  $\Delta^m$  is written as  $R_{2m}$ , that is,

$$R_{2m}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_m |x|^{2m-n} & \text{if } 2m - n \text{ is not an even nonnegative integer,} \\ \alpha_m |x|^{2m-n} \log(1/|x|) & \text{if } 2m - n \text{ is an even nonnegative integer,} \end{cases}$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 31B30

*Key words and phrases.* polyharmonic functions, isolated singularities, Bôcher's theorem, Laurent series expansion, Riesz decomposition, removability of sets

where the constant  $\alpha_m$  is chosen so that  $\Delta^m R_{2m}$  is the Dirac measure  $\delta$  at the origin. We denote by  $R_{2m,L}$  the remainder term of Taylor expansion of  $R_{2m}$ :

$$R_{2m,L}(\zeta, x) = R_{2m}(\zeta - x) - \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} \frac{\zeta^\lambda}{\lambda!} (D^\lambda R_{2m})(-x)$$

for a nonnegative integer  $L$ .

We say that a locally integrable function  $u$  on an open set  $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is subpolyharmonic of order  $m$  in  $G$  if  $\Delta^m u \geq 0$  in  $G$  in the weak sense, that is,

$$\int_G u(x) \Delta^m \varphi(x) dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all nonnegative } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(G).$$

Our first aim in this note is to establish Bôcher's theorem for subpolyharmonic functions  $u \in L_{loc}^1(2\mathbf{B}_0)$ , where  $2\mathbf{B}_0 = B(0, 2) - \{0\}$ ; for polyharmonic functions, we refer the reader to the previous paper [3] as a generalization of Armitage [1].

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $u \in L_{loc}^1(2\mathbf{B}_0)$  and  $\mu = \Delta^m u$  is a nonnegative measure on  $2\mathbf{B}_0$ . If  $u$  satisfies*

$$\int_{2\mathbf{B}_0} |u(x)| |x|^s dx < \infty \quad (1)$$

for some number  $s \geq \max\{-2m, -n\}$ , then

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_{2m,L}(\zeta, x) d\mu(\zeta) + h(x) + \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} C(\lambda) D^\lambda R_{2m}(x) \quad (2)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{B}_0$ , where  $L$  is the integer such that  $s + 2m - 1 < L \leq s + 2m$ ,  $h \in H^m(\mathbf{B})$  and  $C(\lambda)$  denote constants.

The above expression is called the Laurent series expansion for  $u$ .

To prove Theorem 1, we first show that the generalized potential  $\int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_{2m,L}(\zeta, x) d\mu(\zeta)$  satisfies condition (1) for  $s' > s$ , and then apply Bôcher's theorem for polyharmonic functions on  $\mathbf{B}_0$  given in [3].

Next we discuss removability of sets for sub-polyharmonic functions in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

We say that a continuous function  $h$  on  $[0, \infty)$  is a measure function if  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h$  is nondecreasing and

$$h(2r) \leq Mh(r) \quad \text{for all } r > 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , write

$$E_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : d(x, E) < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $d(x, E)$  denotes the distance of  $x$  from  $E$ , that is,  $d(x, E) = \inf\{|x - y| : y \in E\}$ . Then the upper Minkowski  $h$ -content of  $E$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_h(E) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E_\varepsilon|}{h(\varepsilon)},$$

where  $|F|$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of a set  $F$ . If  $h(r) = r^{n-\alpha}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < n$ , then we write  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  for  $\mathcal{M}_h$ .

We introduce the result by Riihentausta [11] (see also Gardiner [4]).

**THEOREM A (Riihentausta).** *Let  $\alpha \in [0, n-2]$  and let  $E$  be a closed set in  $\Omega$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha(E) = 0$ . If  $f$  is subharmonic in  $\Omega \setminus E$  and satisfies*

$$f(x) \leq d(x, E)^{\alpha+2-n} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \setminus E,$$

*then  $f$  has a subharmonic extension to  $\Omega$ .*

Now we state the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $h$  be a measure function. Suppose  $E$  is a closed set in  $\Omega$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_h(E) = 0$ . If  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus E)$  is sub-polyharmonic of order  $m$  in  $\Omega \setminus E$  and satisfies*

$$|u(x)| \leq d(x, E)^{2m} h(d(x, E))^{-1} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \setminus E, \quad (4)$$

*then  $u$  has a sub-polyharmonic extension to  $\Omega$  of order  $m$ .*

Let  $h$  and  $k$  be two measure functions on  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{k(r)}{h(r)} = 0.$$

In Theorem 2, if  $\mathcal{M}_k(E) < \infty$  and

$$|u(x)| \leq d(x, E)^{2m} h(d(x, E))^{-1} \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \setminus E, \quad (5)$$

then  $u$  is shown to have a sub-polyharmonic extension to  $\Omega$  (see also Riihentausta [11, Theorem 2]).

## 2. Lemmas

Throughout this paper, let  $M$  denote various constants, not necessarily the same on any two occurrences.

We need several lemmas to prove Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $u$  and  $\mu$  are as in Theorem 1, then*

$$\int_{A(r)} d\mu(\zeta) \leq Mr^{-2m} \int_{C(r)} |u(\zeta)| d\zeta$$

*whenever  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $A(r) = \{r \leq |x| < 2r\}$  and  $C(r) = \{r/2 < |x| < 4r\}$ .*

PROOF. Consider a function  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(C(1))$  such that  $\psi \geq 0$  and

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq |x| \leq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1/2 \text{ or } |x| \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

If we set  $\psi_r(x) = \psi(\frac{x}{r})$  for  $0 < r < 1/2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A(r)} d\mu(\zeta) &\leq \int_{C(r)} \psi_r d\mu(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{C(r)} (\Delta^m \psi_r) u d\zeta \\ &\leq \int_{C(r)} |\Delta^m \psi_r| |u| d\zeta \\ &\leq Mr^{-2m} \int_{C(r)} |u| d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. *If  $u$  and  $\mu$  are as above, then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^\ell d\mu(\zeta) < \infty \quad (6)$$

whenever  $\ell \geq s + 2m$ .

PROOF. Let  $A_j = A(2^{-j})$  and  $C_j = C(2^{-j})$ ; then we have by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^\ell d\mu(\zeta) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_j} |\zeta|^\ell d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\ell(-j+1)} \int_{A_j} d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{\ell(-j+1)+2mj} \int_{C_j} |u(\zeta)| d\zeta \\ &\leq M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{C_j} |u(\zeta)| |\zeta|^s d\zeta \\ &\leq M \int_{2\mathbf{B}_0} |u(\zeta)| |\zeta|^s d\zeta < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We put  $I(x) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |R_{2m,L}(\zeta, x)| d\mu(\zeta)$ , where  $L$  is the integer such that  $s + 2m - 1 < L \leq s + 2m$ ; note here that  $L \geq 0$  and  $L \geq 2m - n$  because  $s \geq \max\{-2m, -n\}$ . For  $x \in \mathbf{B}_0$ , consider the sets

$$E_1 = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbf{B}_0 : |\zeta| < \frac{|x|}{2} \right\},$$

$$E_2 = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbf{B}_0 : |\zeta - x| < \frac{|\zeta|}{2} \right\},$$

$$E_3 = \mathbf{B}_0 - (E_1 \cup E_2).$$

If  $2m \geq n$ , then we see from [6, Lemma 4.2] and [9, Lemmas 6, 8, 9] that

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) &\leq M \int_{E_1} |\zeta|^{L+1} |x|^{2m-n-L-1} d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\quad + M \int_{E_2} \left( |\zeta|^{2m-n} + |\zeta - x|^{2m-n} \log \frac{|\zeta|}{|\zeta - x|} \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\ &\quad + M \int_{E_3} |\zeta|^L |x|^{2m-n-L} \log \frac{4|\zeta|}{|x|} d\mu(\zeta) \\ &= M \{I_1(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x)\}; \end{aligned}$$

if  $2m < n$ , then  $I_2(x)$  is replaced by

$$I_2(x) = \int_{E_2} |\zeta - x|^{2m-n} d\mu(\zeta).$$

We prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *If  $\mu$  is a nonnegative measure on  $\mathbf{B}_0$  satisfying (6) and  $s' > s \geq \max\{-2m, -n\}$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} I(x) |x|^{s'} dx < \infty.$$

PROOF. We have only to treat  $s'$  satisfying  $s' > s$  and

$$s' - 1 < L - 2m < s'.$$

First, since  $(2m - n - L - 1 + s') + n = s' - (L - 2m + 1) < 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{B}} I_1(x) |x|^{s'} dx &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left( \int_{E_1} |\zeta|^{L+1} |x|^{2m-n-L-1} d\mu(\zeta) \right) |x|^{s'} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{L+1} \left( \int_{\{x: |x| \geq 2|\zeta\}} |x|^{2m-n-L-1+s'} dx \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\ &= M \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{2m+s'} d\mu(\zeta) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

with the aid of (6).

Next, noting that  $|\zeta|/2 < |x| < 2|\zeta|$  when  $\zeta \in E_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{B}} I_2(x)|x|^{s'} dx &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left\{ \int_{E_2} \left( |\zeta|^{2m-n} + |\zeta-x|^{2m-n} \log \frac{|\zeta|}{|\zeta-x|} \right) d\mu(\zeta) \right\} |x|^{s'} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{2m-n} \left( \int_{\{x: |\zeta|/2 < |x| < 2|\zeta|\}} |x|^{s'} dx \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} \left( \int_{\{x: |\zeta-x| \leq |\zeta|/2\}} |\zeta-x|^{2m-n} \log \frac{|\zeta|}{|\zeta-x|} |x|^{s'} dx \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\leq M \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{2m+s'} d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\quad + M \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{s'} \left( \int_{\{x: |\zeta-x| \leq |\zeta|/2\}} |\zeta-x|^{2m-n} \log \frac{|\zeta|}{|\zeta-x|} dx \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\leq M \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{2m+s'} d\mu(\zeta) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since  $(2m-n-L+s') + n = s' - (L-2m) > 0$ , we establish

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{B}} I_3(x)|x|^{s'} dx &= \int_{\mathbf{B}} \left( \int_{E_3} |\zeta|^L |x|^{2m-n-L} \log \frac{4|\zeta|}{|x|} d\mu(\zeta) \right) |x|^{s'} dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^L \left( \int_{\{x: |x| \leq 2|\zeta|\}} |x|^{2m-n-L+s'} \log \frac{4|\zeta|}{|x|} dx \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\
&\leq M \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |\zeta|^{2m+s'} d\mu(\zeta) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have obtained

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}} I(x)|x|^{s'} dx < \infty,$$

as required.

LEMMA 4. *If  $u$  and  $\mu$  are as above, then*

$$v(x) \equiv u(x) - \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_{2m,L}(\zeta, x) d\mu(\zeta) \in H^m(\mathbf{B}_0)$$

with  $L$  as before.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that  $\Delta^m v = 0$  in  $\mathbf{B}_0$  in the weak sense.

Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{B}_0)$ . In view of Lemma 3, we can apply Fubini's theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u - v, \Delta^m \varphi \rangle &= \left\langle \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} \left( R_{2m}(\zeta - x) - \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} \frac{\zeta^\lambda}{\lambda!} (D^\lambda R_{2m})(-x) \right) d\mu(\zeta), \Delta^m \varphi \right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} \left( R_{2m}(\zeta - x) - \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} \frac{\zeta^\lambda}{\lambda!} (D^\lambda R_{2m})(-x) \right) \Delta^m \varphi(x) dx \right\} d\mu(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} \left( \varphi(\zeta) - \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} \frac{\zeta^\lambda}{\lambda!} D^\lambda \varphi(0) \right) d\mu(\zeta) \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} \varphi(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta) \\
&= \langle u, \Delta^m \varphi \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\varphi$  vanishes in a neighborhood of the origin. This proves

$$\langle v, \Delta^m \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

as required.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

From Lemmas 3 and 4, we see that  $v \in H^m(\mathbf{B}_0)$  and

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_0} |v(x)| |x|^{s'} dx < \infty$$

for all  $s' > s$ . In view of [3], we can find  $h \in H^m(\mathbf{B})$  and constants  $C(\lambda)$  for which

$$v(x) = h(x) + \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} C(\lambda) D^\lambda R_{2m}(x)$$

holds a.e. on  $\mathbf{B}_0$ , where  $L$  is the integer such that  $s + 2m - 1 < L \leq s + 2m$ . This implies that  $u$  is of the form

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_{2m, L}(\zeta, x) d\mu(\zeta) + h(x) + \sum_{|\lambda| \leq L} C(\lambda) D^\lambda R_{2m}(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{B}_0$ , as required.

In case  $m = 1$ , our theorem gives the following simple result.

COROLLARY. *If  $u$  is a subharmonic function on  $2\mathbf{B}_0$  satisfying*

$$\int_{2\mathbf{B}_0} u^+(x)|x|^{-2}dx < \infty, \quad (7)$$

*then  $u$  can be extended to a subharmonic function on  $\mathbf{B}$ , where  $u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$ .*

PROOF. Since  $u^+$  is subharmonic on  $2\mathbf{B}_0$  and satisfies (1) with  $s = -2$ , we can take  $L = 0$  in Theorem 1, and show that  $u^+$  is of the form

$$u^+(x) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_2(\zeta - x)d\mu(\zeta) + h(x) + CR_2(x)$$

for  $x \in \mathbf{B}_0$ , where  $\mu = \Delta u^+ \geq 0$ ,  $\mu(\mathbf{B}_0) < \infty$ ,  $h$  is harmonic in  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $C$  is a constant. In view of (7),

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-1} \int_{S(0,r)} u^+(x)dS(x) = 0.$$

Moreover, by [8, Theorem 4.3.1] we see easily that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} [r\kappa(r)]^{-1} \int_{S(0,r)} \left( \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_2(\zeta - x)d\mu(\zeta) \right) dS(x) = 0,$$

where  $\kappa(r) = 1$  for  $n \geq 3$  and  $\kappa(r) = \log(1/r)$  for  $n = 2$ , which shows that  $C = 0$ . Thus  $u^+$  is extended to a subharmonic function on  $\mathbf{B}$ . Since  $u \leq u^+$ ,  $u$  is bounded above near the origin, so that  $u$  is extended to a subharmonic function on  $\mathbf{B}$  by [6, Theorem 5.18].

#### 4. Removability of sets

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following lemma, which is a version of partition of unity (cf. [7]).

LEMMA 5. *Let  $\{B_i : i = 1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $B_i = B(x_i, r_i)$ , be a finite collection of balls such that  $\{5^{-1}B_i\}$  is mutually disjoint. Then there is a family of nonnegative functions  $\varphi_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with support  $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subset 2B_i$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i(x) = 1$  for  $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i$ . Furthermore, for each multi-index  $\lambda$ , there is a constant  $C_\lambda$  such that*

$$|D^\lambda \varphi_i(x)| \leq C_\lambda r_i^{-|\lambda|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (8)$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By our assumption that  $\mathcal{M}_h(E) = 0$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $r_0$ ,  $0 < r_0 < 1$ , such that

$$|E_r| \leq \varepsilon h(r) \quad \text{whenever } 0 \leq r \leq r_0. \quad (9)$$

We first show that

$$\int_{E_r \setminus E} d(x, E)^{2m} h(d(x, E))^{-1} dx \leq Mr^{2m} \varepsilon. \quad (10)$$

If we put  $K_j = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid d(x, E) < r2^{-j}\}$ , then

$$E_r \setminus E = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} (K_j \setminus K_{j+1}).$$

Hence we have by (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_r \setminus E} d(x, E)^{2m} h(d(x, E))^{-1} dx &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{K_j \setminus K_{j+1}} d(x, E)^{2m} h(d(x, E))^{-1} dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (r2^{-j})^{2m} h(r2^{-(j+1)})^{-1} |K_j| \\ &\leq Mr^{2m} \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2mj} \\ &= Mr^{2m} \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From (4) and (11) it follows that

$$\int_{E_r \setminus E} |u| dx \leq Mr^{2m} \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

If we set  $u = 0$  on  $E$ , then we see that  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ .

Next we show that

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x) \Delta^m \varphi(x) dx \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

for nonnegative  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . We may assume that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  and  $|D^\lambda \varphi| \leq 1$  for every multi-index  $|\lambda| \leq 2m$ . We put  $K = \text{supp } \varphi$  and take  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $K_{r_0} \subset \Omega$ .

Let  $0 < 4r < r_0$ . By a covering lemma, we can find a finite collection of balls  $B_i = B(x_i, r)$  such that  $\{5^{-1}B_i\}$  is mutually disjoint and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i \supset K.$$

By re-indexing if necessary, we can find  $N^*$  such that

$$\begin{cases} 2B_i \cap E \neq \emptyset & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N^*; \\ 2B_i \cap E = \emptyset & \text{for } i = N^* + 1, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varphi_i$  be as in Lemma 5. Since  $u$  is sub-polyharmonic of order  $m$  in  $\Omega \setminus E$ , we see that

$$\int_{2B_i} u \Delta^m(\varphi \varphi_i) dx \geq 0$$

for  $i = N^* + 1, \dots, N$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u \Delta^m \varphi dx &= \int_{\Omega} u \Delta^m \left\{ \varphi \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i \right) \right\} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{2B_i} u \Delta^m(\varphi \varphi_i) dx \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{N^*} \int_{2B_i} u \Delta^m(\varphi \varphi_i) dx \\ &\geq -\frac{M}{r^{2m}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^*} \int_{2B_i} |u| dx \end{aligned}$$

with the aid of (8). Thus by (12) we have

$$\int u \Delta^m \varphi dx \geq -M\varepsilon,$$

which gives (13). Consequently,  $u$  is sub-polyharmonic of order  $m$  in  $\Omega$ .

For a measure function  $h$  and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ , define

$$A_{f,h}(x) = \sup_B r^{-2m} h(r)^{-1} \inf_v \int_B |f(y) - v(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls  $B = B(x, r) \subset \Omega$  and the infimum is taken over all  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  such that  $\Delta^m v \geq 0$  on  $B$ . Further consider the set  $S_f$  of all  $x \in \Omega$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{-n-2m} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - v(y)| dy > 0$$

for all functions  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\Delta^m v \geq 0$  on a neighborhood of  $x$ .

As in [7] we can prove the following theorem, which gives an extension of a theorem in Gardiner [4].

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $A_{u,h} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $H_h(S_u) = 0$ , then  $u$  has a sub-polyharmonic extension to  $\Omega$ , where  $H_h$  denotes the Hausdorff measure with a measure function  $h$ .*

### 5. Remarks on Theorem 1

Suppose that  $u \in L^1_{loc}(2\mathbf{B}_0)$  and  $\mu = \Delta^m u$  is a nonnegative measure on  $2\mathbf{B}_0$ . Then, as in the book of Hayman-Kennedy [6],  $u$  can be represented as

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{B}_0} R_{2m,L(|\zeta|)}(\zeta, x) d\mu(\zeta) + h(x) + \sum_{\lambda} C(\lambda) D^\lambda R_{2m}(x) \quad (14)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{B}_0$ , where  $L(r)$  is a nonincreasing positive function on  $(0, 1]$ ,  $h \in H^m(\mathbf{B})$  and  $C(\lambda)$  denote constants. To prove this, we use the estimate

$$|R_{2m,\ell}(\zeta, x)| \leq M^\ell |\zeta|^{\ell+1} |x|^{2m-n-\ell-1}$$

whenever  $2|\zeta| \leq |x|$  and  $2m - n < \ell + 1$ , where  $M$  is a positive constant depending only on  $m$  and  $n$ .

Thus our theorem gives a condition which assures that  $L$  is bounded and the above sum contains only finite terms.

**REMARK.** We do not know whether  $u$  has a similar Laurent expansion or not, if we replace condition (1) by

$$\int_{2\mathbf{B}_0} u^+(x) |x|^s dx < \infty.$$

### References

- [1] D. H. Armitage, On polyharmonic functions in  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ , J. London Math. Soc. (2) **8** (1974), 561–569.
- [2] N. Aronszajn, T. M. Creese and L. J. Lipkin, Polyharmonic functions, Clarendon Press, 1983.
- [3] T. Futamura, K. Kishi and Y. Mizuta, A generalization of Bôcher's theorem for polyharmonic functions, Hiroshima Math. J. **31** (2001), 59–70.
- [4] S. J. Gardiner, Removable singularities for subharmonic functions, Pacific J. Math. **147** (1991), 71–80.
- [5] R. Harvey and J. Polking, Removable singularities of solutions of linear partial differential equations, Acta Math. **125** (1970), 39–56.
- [6] W. K. Hayman and P. B. Kennedy, Subharmonic functions, Vol. 1, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [7] Y. Mizuta, On removability of sets for holomorphic and harmonic functions, J. Math. Soc. Japan **38** (1986), 509–513.
- [8] Y. Mizuta, Potential theory in Euclidean spaces, Gakkôtosyo, Tokyo, 1996.

- [9] Y. Mizuta, An integral representation and fine limits at infinity for functions whose Laplacians iterated  $m$  times are measures, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **27** (1997), 415–427.
- [10] M. Nicolesco, Recherches sur les fonctions polyharmoniques, *Ann. Sci. École Norm Sup.* **52** (1935), 183–220.
- [11] J. Riihenta, Removable sets for subharmonic functions, *Pacific J. Math.* **194** (2000), 199–208.

*Toshihide Futamura and Kyoko Kishi*

*Department of Mathematics*

*Graduate School of Science*

*Hiroshima University*

*Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan*

*e-mail: T. Futamura: toshi@mis.hiroshima-u.ac.jp*

*e-mail: K. Kishi: kyo@mis.hiroshima-u.ac.jp*

*Yoshihiro Mizuta*

*The Division of Mathematical and Information Sciences*

*Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences*

*Hiroshima University*

*Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan*

*e-mail: mizuta@mis.hiroshima-u.ac.jp*