

## DIVISION ON A COMPLEX SPACE WITH ARBITRARY SINGULARITIES

MICHAŁ JASICZAK

Dedicated to Professor Bogdan Bojarski  
on the occasion of his 75th birthday

**Abstract:** We study a division problem for holomorphic functions that vanish to sufficiently high order near the singularity of a singular complex space.

**Keywords:** Division problem, singular complex space.

### 1. Introduction

In [4] J. E. Fornaess, N. Øvrelid and S. Vassiliadou obtained existence result for  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on a complex space with arbitrary singularity. The aim of this note is to show that the method used in *ibid.* (however, not the result itself) can be applied to obtain a solution to the division problem for holomorphic functions vanishing to high order near the singularity.

Before we present the results, we need to recall the setting. Namely, let  $X$  be a pure  $n$ -dimensional reduced Stein space,  $A \supset X_{\text{sing}}$  a lower dimensional complex analytic subset with empty interior (we refer the Reader to [5] for background concerning Stein spaces). Let  $\Omega$  be an open relatively compact Stein domain in  $X$  and  $K = \widehat{\Omega}$  be the holomorphic convex hull of the closure of  $\Omega$  in  $X$ .  $K$  has a neighborhood basis of Oka-Weil domains in  $X$  and let  $X_0 \subset X$  be such a neighborhood of  $K$  in  $X$ . Importantly,  $X_0$  can be realized as a holomorphic subvariety of an open polydisk in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  for some  $N > 0$ . Set  $\Omega^* := \Omega \setminus A$ . Observe that since  $\Omega^*$  is embedded in the polydisk  $\mathbb{P}^N \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ , it can be equipped with the Hermitian metric, which is the restriction of the ambient space metric to  $\Omega^*$ . This induces a norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $\Lambda CT_z^* \Omega^*$  for  $z \in \Omega^*$  and implies the existence of the volume element  $dV$  on  $\Omega^*$ . Hence, for any  $\Omega' \subset \Omega$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  we may define the following

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 32B10, secondary: 32J25, 32W05, 14C30.

The author was supported in years 2007-2010 by The Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Poland, grant no. N N 201 2740 33 and in 2008 by the Foundation for Polish Science. The Author was supported in years 2008-2009 by the Foundation for Polish Science.

seminorms

$$\|u\|_{\Omega',N}^2 := \int_{\Omega'} |u|^2 d_A^{-N} dV,$$

$$\|u\|_{\Omega,N}^2 := \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 d_A^{-N} dV.$$

The symbol  $d_A$  stands for the distance to  $A$ . Our first result is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X, \Omega$  be as above and assume that for each  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  holomorphic functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in H(\Omega)$  satisfy the following condition*

$$\sup_{\Omega'} d_A^{\tilde{N}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |f_j|^2 \right)^{-1} < \infty \tag{1}$$

for some  $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

For every  $N_0 \geq 0$ , there exists  $N \geq 0$  such that if  $F$  is a holomorphic function in  $\Omega$  with  $\|F\|_{\Omega,N} < \infty$ , then there exist functions  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in H(\Omega^*)$  such that  $\|g_j\|_{\Omega',N_0} \leq C \|F\|_{\Omega,N}$  for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j = F \tag{2}$$

in  $\Omega^*$ . The constant  $C$  depends on  $\Omega', N, N_0$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ .

Theorem 1 is proved by adapting the Koszul complex technique (cf. [8]) to sheaf cohomology argument based on a generalization of the result proved by Y. T. Siu in [10]. The result, which generalizes to lower order sheaf cohomology groups Theorem obtained by Y. T. Siu was proved by J. E. Fornaess, N. Øvrelid and S. Vassiliadou in [4].

Theorem 1 implies immediately the following fact.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $X, \Omega$  be as above and assume that for each  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in H(\Omega)$  satisfy condition (1). Furthermore, assume that  $X$  is normal.*

For every  $N_0 \geq 0$ , there exists  $N \geq 0$  such that if  $F$  is a holomorphic function in  $\Omega$  with  $\|F\|_{\Omega,N} < \infty$ , then there exist functions  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in H(\Omega)$ , which satisfy the equation (2) and  $\|g_j\|_{\Omega',N_0} \leq C \|F\|_{\Omega,N}, j = 1, \dots, m$  for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ . The constant  $C$  depends on  $\Omega', N, N_0$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ .

Indeed, Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence of the first Riemann extension theorem, which holds on normal complex spaces (cf. [7]). Recall that a complex space  $X$  is normal at  $x \in X$  if  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is reduced and integrally closed in  $\mathcal{M}_x$  – the field of germs of meromorphic functions at  $x$ . A complex space  $X$  is normal provided it is normal at each of its point. In particular if  $X$  is smooth, then  $x$  is normal.

The Authors in [4] were able to strengthen their result in case of isolated singularities. Namely, they proved that if  $A \cap \Omega$  is a finite subset of  $\Omega$  with  $b\Omega \cap A = \emptyset$ , then a weighted  $L^2$  estimate on the whole  $\Omega$  holds for the solution to the equation  $\bar{\partial}u = f$ .

**Theorem 2 (Fornaess, Øvrelid, Vassiliadou).** *Let  $X, \Omega$  be as above and assume that  $A \cap \bar{\Omega}$  is a finite subset of  $\bar{\Omega}$  with  $b\Omega \cap A = \emptyset$ . Furthermore, assume that  $\Omega$  is Stein and  $\bar{\Omega}$  has a Stein neighbourhood.*

*For each  $N_0$  there exists  $N$  such that for every  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(p, q)$ -form  $f$  with  $\|f\|_{N, \Omega} < \infty$ , there is a solution to  $\bar{\partial}u = f$  such that  $\|u\|_{\Omega, N_0} \leq c\|f\|_{\Omega, N}$  with a constant  $c$  independent of  $f$ .*

This result can be used to obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $X, \Omega$  be as above. Assume additionally, that  $A \cap \bar{\Omega}$  is a finite subset of  $\Omega$  with  $b\Omega \cap A = \emptyset$ . Also, let  $\Omega$  be Stein and assume that  $\bar{\Omega}$  has a Stein neighbourhood.*

*If  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in H(\bar{\Omega})$  and there exist  $\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|f_j\|_{\tilde{N}_1, \Omega} < \infty$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and*

$$\sup_{\Omega'} d_A^{\tilde{N}_2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |f_j|^2 \right)^{-1} < \infty \tag{3}$$

*then for every  $N_0$  there exists  $N$  such that for each  $F$  with  $\|F\|_{\Omega, N} < \infty$  there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  such that (2) holds and*

$$\|g_j\|_{\Omega, N_0} \leq C\|F\|_{\Omega, N}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \tag{4}$$

*where  $C$  depends on  $N_0$  only.*

One comment is in order at this moment. Namely, in Theorem 3 we made the additional assumption that  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are holomorphic on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . The reason for this is, naturally, that we wanted to get rid of the impact of  $b\Omega$  on solvability of the equation (2). Once we prove Theorem 1, The Reader will notice that Theorem 3 is an almost immediate consequence of Theorem 2. This is why we intend to present the proof of Theorem 1 only.

The division problem for holomorphic functions was studied extensively by many Authors. Among the manuscripts, which influenced our approach most, apart from [8], are also [1] and [2].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

There exists a proper, holomorphic surjection  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\tilde{X}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold.
- (ii)  $\tilde{A} = \pi^{-1}(A)$  is a hypersurface in  $\tilde{\Omega}$  with only normal crossing singularities.
- (iii)  $\pi: \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{A} \rightarrow X \setminus A$  is a biholomorphism.

This follows from results proved in [3] and [6] – we refer the Reader to [4] for the corresponding argument.

Denote  $\tilde{\Omega} := \pi^{-1}(\Omega)$ . Following [4] we equip the complex manifold  $\tilde{X}$  with a real analytic metric  $\sigma$ . The symbol  $d\tilde{V}_{x,\sigma}$  (or  $d\tilde{V}_\sigma$ , or even  $d\tilde{V}$ ) stands for the volume form for the metric  $\sigma$  at  $x \in \tilde{X}$ , while  $d_{\tilde{A}}$  denotes the distance to the submanifold  $\tilde{A}$ , which corresponds to the metric  $\sigma$ . The choice of the metric  $\sigma$  induces also a norm on  $\Lambda CT_z^* \tilde{\Omega}$ ,  $z \in \tilde{\Omega}$ , which will be denoted by  $|\cdot|_{z,\sigma}$ , or simply  $|\cdot|_z, |\cdot|_\sigma$ .

We will use standard sheaf theoretical notation. Namely, let  $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}$  stand for the sheaf of locally square integrable measurable forms on  $\tilde{X}$ . Since, for each open set  $U \subset \tilde{X}$  it holds  $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}(U) \subset \mathcal{D}'_{p,q}$ , the operator  $\bar{\partial}$  is well-defined on  $\mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}(U)$  in the sense of currents. Hence, we may consider its (maximal) domain

$$\text{Dom}_{\bar{\partial}}(U) := \{u \in \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}(U) : \bar{\partial}u \in \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}(U)\}.$$

The symbol  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  stands for the sheaf  $(\text{Dom}_{\bar{\partial}}(U), r_V^U)$ , where for any open  $V \subset U$  the operator  $r_V^U : \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{p,q}^{\text{loc}}(V)$  is induced by restriction of forms defined on  $U$  to the set  $V$ . Let  $J$  stand for the ideal sheaf of  $\tilde{A}$  in  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}$  for the sheaf of holomorphic  $(p, 0)$ -forms. We will consider the sheaf  $J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}$ . Recall that a germ of a differential form  $u$  belongs to  $(J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q})_x$ , if it is locally of the form  $h^k u_0$ , where  $h$  generates  $J_x$  and  $u_0 \in (\mathcal{L}_{p,q})_x$ . The fact that  $\tilde{A} = \pi^{-1}(A)$  is a hypersurface with only normal crossing singularities means that around each point  $z \in \tilde{A}$  there are local holomorphic coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  in terms of which  $\tilde{A}$  is given by  $h(z) = z_1 \dots z_m = 0$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . This explains why  $J_x$  is a principal ideal.

We will repeatedly invoke the following fact, which was also used in [4] (cf. proof of Theorem 1.1 [4]). Namely, assume that  $u$  is a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed differential form in  $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{A}$ , which is locally square-integrable around each point  $z \in \tilde{A}$ . Then  $u$  extends to a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed differential form in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Naturally, the extension is also locally square-integrable, since  $\text{int } \tilde{A} = \emptyset$ . Also, the statement that  $u$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed means that  $\bar{\partial}u = 0$  in the sense of currents. When  $u$  is a holomorphic function, this is the first Riemann extension theorem.

The following Lemma was proved in [4].

**Lemma 1 (Lemma 3.1 in [4]).** *We have for  $x \in \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{A}$  and  $v \in \Lambda^r T_x(\tilde{\Omega})$*

$$\begin{aligned} c' d_{\tilde{A}}^t(x) &\leq d_A(\pi(x)) \leq C' d_{\tilde{A}}(x), \\ cd_{\tilde{A}}^M |v|_{x,\sigma} &\leq |\pi_* v|_{\pi(x)} \leq C |v|_{x,\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants  $c', c, C', C, t, M$ , where  $c, C, M$  may depend on  $r$ .

For an  $r$ -form  $a$  in  $\Omega^*$  set

$$|\pi^* a| := \max\{|\langle a_{\pi(x)}, \pi_* v \rangle| : |v|_{x,\sigma} \leq 1, v \in \Lambda^r T_x(\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{A})\},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  stands for the pairing between an  $r$ -forms and a  $r$ -tangent vectors.

This implies

$$cd_{\tilde{A}}^M(x)|a|_{\pi(x)} \leq |\pi^* a|_{x,\sigma} \leq C|a|_{\pi(x)}$$

on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , for some constant  $M$ .

The following estimates, or rather their versions for  $(0, q)$ -forms with  $q > 0$ , were used in [4].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\Omega, \tilde{\Omega}, A, \tilde{A}$  be as above.*

- (i) *Assume that  $F$  is a function in  $\Omega^*$ . There exist constants  $M_1, c > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{A}} |F \circ \pi|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{M_1 - N} d\tilde{V} \leq c \|F\|_{N, \Omega}^2.$$

- (ii) *Assume that  $g$  is a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(p, q)$ -form on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . There exists a natural number  $M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if for some  $N_1 \geq 0$*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |g|_{\sigma}^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-N_1} d\tilde{V}_{\sigma} < \infty,$$

*then  $g \in J^l \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\tilde{\Omega})$  provided  $l \leq \frac{N_1}{2M_2}$ .*

- (iii) *For any  $N_0$  there exists  $M_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any function  $h$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$*

$$\int_{\Omega'} |h \circ \pi^{-1}|^2 d_A^{-N_0} dV \leq c \int_{\tilde{\Omega}' \setminus \tilde{A}} |h|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-M_3} d\tilde{V}_{\sigma},$$

*where  $\tilde{\Omega}' := \pi^{-1}(\Omega')$ .*

- (iv) *If  $v \in J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\tilde{\Omega})$ , then for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$*

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |v|_{\sigma}^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2k} d\tilde{V}_{\sigma} < \infty.$$

**Proof.** In particular Lemma 1 implies that there exist  $c, C, M$  such that for  $x \in \Omega \setminus A$

$$cd_{\tilde{A}}^M d\tilde{V}_{x,\sigma} \leq (\pi^* dV)_x \leq C_1 d\tilde{V}_{x,\sigma}.$$

This is the key fact, which suffices to prove (i) and (iii). Property (iv) is obvious. We sketch part (ii), which is not proved in [4]. Recall first the Łojasiewicz inequalities (cf. [9]). Assume that  $\phi$  is a real valued, real analytic function defined in an open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $Z_{\phi} = \{x \in V : \phi(x) = 0\}$ . Then, for every compact set  $K \subset V$ , there exist positive constants  $c, m$  such that

$$|f(x)| \geq cd(x, Z_{\phi})^m, \tag{5}$$

where  $d(\cdot, Z_{\phi})$  stands for the distance to  $Z_{\phi}$ .

Recall that the fact that  $\tilde{A} = \pi^{-1}(A)$  is a hypersurface with only normal crossing singularities means that around each point  $z \in \tilde{A}$  there are local holomorphic coordinates  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  in terms of which  $\tilde{A}$  is given by  $h(z) = z_1 \dots z_m = 0$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . Choose a cover  $(V_\alpha)$  of  $\tilde{A}$  consisting of such charts and let  $h_\alpha$  be the corresponding functions, which locally define  $\tilde{A}$ . Since  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $\pi$  is proper, the set  $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{\Omega})$  is compact. Since an analytic set is closed, the intersection  $\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{\Omega}$  is compact as a closed subset of a compact set.

Therefore, among  $(V_\alpha)$  there exist charts  $V_{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_{\alpha_\nu}$  such that

$$\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{\Omega} \subset V_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{\alpha_\nu}.$$

Furthermore, we may assume that there exist sets  $K_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$  compactly contained in  $V_{\alpha_i}$  such that

$$\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{\Omega} \subset \text{int } K_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \text{int } K_{\alpha_\nu}.$$

In view of the Łojasiewicz inequality (5), there exist positive numbers  $m_i, c_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$  such that  $|h_{\alpha_i}(z)| \geq c_i d(z, Z_{h_{\alpha_i}})^{m_i}$  for  $z \in K_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ .

In order to complete the proof that  $g \in J^l \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\tilde{\Omega})$ , it suffices to show that around each point  $z \in \tilde{A}$  the form  $g$  may be represented as  $h^l u_0$ , where  $h$  generates  $J_z$  and  $u_0 \in (\mathcal{L}_{p,q})_z$ . This follows from the fact that in  $K_{\alpha_i} \setminus \tilde{A}$  we may simply write

$$g = h_{\alpha_i}^l \frac{g}{h_{\alpha_i}^l},$$

Indeed, if we set  $c := \max\{c_i^{-1} : i = 1, \dots, \nu\}$  and  $M_1 := \max\{m_i : i = 1, \dots, \nu\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_{\alpha_i}} \left| \frac{g}{h_{\alpha_i}^l} \right|_\sigma^2 d\tilde{V}_\sigma &\leq c_i^{-1} \int_{K_{\alpha_i}} |g|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2l m_i} d\tilde{V}_\sigma \\ &\lesssim c \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |g|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-N_1} d\tilde{V}_\sigma, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

provided  $2lM_1 \leq N_1$ . Hence,  $g/h_{\alpha_i}^l$  is well-defined as a square integrable form on  $\text{int } K_{\alpha_i}$ , not only on  $\text{int } K_{\alpha_i} \setminus \tilde{A}$ , since  $\text{int } \tilde{A}$  has empty interior. This argument implies also that  $g/h_{\alpha_i}^l$ , a priori defined on  $\text{int } K_{\alpha_i} \setminus \tilde{A}$ , extends to a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form in  $\text{int } K_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence, it belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\text{int } K_{\alpha_i})$  but this means precisely that  $g \in J^l \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\tilde{\Omega})$ . ■

Denote by  $\Lambda$  the exterior algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  and by  $\Lambda_l$  its subspace spanned by  $e^I := e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_l}$  with  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ . The assumption that  $e^I$  with  $I = \{e_{i_1} < \dots < e_{i_{|I|}}\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  are orthonormal turns  $\Lambda$  into  $2^m$ -dimensional Hilbert space. For any given  $\mathbf{e} \in \Lambda$  we use the symbol  $\mathbf{e} \vee \bullet : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  in order to denote the adjoint operator, in the Hilbert space sense, of right multiplication in  $\Lambda$  by  $\mathbf{e}$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we consider now the sheaf  $(J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ -modules and for each  $(p, q)$  and  $0 \leq l \leq m$  its subsheaf of linear spaces  $(J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_l$ .

The operator  $\bar{\partial}$  is extended in a canonical way to a  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ -sheaf homomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_l$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{p,q+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_l$ . The latter statement means that for each open set  $V \subset \tilde{X}$ , section  $u_V \in \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(V)$  and  $e \in \Lambda$

$$\bar{\partial}(u_V \otimes e) := (\bar{\partial}u_V) \otimes e.$$

Similarly, we extend the operation  $\vee$  to  $(J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ . Namely, let  $\mathfrak{s}$  be a global section of  $\mathfrak{L} \otimes \Lambda$ , i.e.

$$\mathfrak{s} = \sum_I s_I \otimes e^I,$$

with  $s^I \in \mathfrak{L}(\tilde{X})$ . Furthermore, assume that for any open set  $U \subset \tilde{X}$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{L}(U)$  it holds  $s_I \wedge s \in \mathfrak{L}(U)$ . Then the adjoint of  $s \wedge \bullet: \mathfrak{L}(U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(U)$  is well-defined. As a consequence, we obtain the sheaf morphism  $\mathfrak{s} \vee \bullet: \mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$  once we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s} \vee (s_U \otimes e) &= \left( \sum_I s_I \otimes e^I \right) \vee (s_U \otimes e) \\ &:= \sum_I (s_I \vee s_U) \otimes (e^I \vee e). \end{aligned}$$

For any such  $\mathfrak{s}$  the sheaf map  $(\mathfrak{s} \vee \bullet): \mathfrak{L} \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{L} \otimes \Lambda$  is a  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda$ -1-morphism of sheaves. Let  $\delta$  stand for sheaf morphism

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{f}_j \otimes e_j \right) \vee \bullet,$$

where  $\tilde{f}_j := f_j \circ \pi$ . It follows from the definition that  $\delta^2 = 0$  and the sheaf morphisms  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\delta$  commute. Also, it is a consequence of the assumption that

$$\delta: J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_l \rightarrow J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{l-1}$$

if  $l \geq 1$  and  $\delta|_{J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_0} = 0$ . Hence, we have for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the following commuting diagram of sheaf morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & J^k \Omega^p & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,n} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & J^k \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,0} \otimes \Lambda_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,n} \otimes \Lambda_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & J^k \Omega \otimes \Lambda_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,0} \otimes \Lambda_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,n} \otimes \Lambda_l & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \uparrow \delta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & J^k \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_m & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,0} \otimes \Lambda_m & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & J^k \mathcal{L}_{p,n} \otimes \Lambda_m & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. The latter statement is a consequence of the Poincaré lemma. If we denote this diagram by  $\mathfrak{J}^k$ , then the following inclusions hold

$$\mathfrak{J}^0 \hookleftarrow \mathfrak{J}^1 \hookleftarrow \dots \hookleftarrow \mathfrak{J}^k \hookleftarrow \dots$$

Furthermore, the corresponding row in the diagram is a fine resolution of  $J^k \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_l$ . Therefore,

$$H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^k \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_l) \cong \frac{\ker(\bar{\partial}: J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda_l \rightarrow J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q+1}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda_l)}{\bar{\partial}(J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q-1}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda_l)}. \quad (7)$$

The key fact proved in [4], which we shall refer to, is the next Proposition. The case  $q = n$  was proved by Y. T. Siu in [10].

**Proposition 1 (Proposition 1.3 [4]).** *For  $q > 0$  and  $k \geq 0$  given, there exists a natural number  $l, l \geq k$  such that the map*

$$i_*: H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^l \cdot \Omega^p) \rightarrow H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^k \cdot \Omega^p)$$

*induced by the inclusion  $i: J^l \cdot \Omega^p \rightarrow J^k \cdot \Omega^p$ , is the zero map.*

Since

$$H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^k \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_l) \cong H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^k \cdot \Omega^p) \otimes \Lambda_l$$

we have also that for each  $k$  and  $q$  there exists  $l$  such that the map

$$(i \otimes \text{id})_*: H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^l \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda) \rightarrow H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^k \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda), \quad (8)$$

induced on the sheaf cohomology by  $i \otimes \text{id}$ , is the zero map. Naturally, we will be concerned with the case  $p = 0$ , when  $\Omega^p$  is just equal to  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  – the sheaf of holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

Define

$$\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma_j e_j := \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\bar{f}_j}{\sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{f}_i|^2} e_j,$$

where as before  $\tilde{f}_j := f_j \circ \pi$ . Naturally, it follows from the assumptions that  $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{A}) \otimes \Lambda_1$ .

Naturally, the norm  $|\cdot|_{z,\sigma}$  on  $\Lambda CT_z^* \tilde{\Omega}$  can be extended in a canonical way to a norm on  $\Lambda CT_z^* \tilde{\Omega} \otimes \Lambda \cong (\Lambda CT^* \tilde{\Omega} \otimes \Lambda)_z$ . Namely, one sets

$$\left| \sum_I u_I e^I \right|_{z,\sigma}^2 := \sum_I |u_I|_{z,\sigma}^2.$$

**Lemma 3.** Denote  $\tilde{F} := F \circ \pi$ . Under assumption (1) for each  $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\tilde{k} \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $k$  such that if for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\tilde{F}|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-k} d\tilde{V}_\sigma < \infty,$$

then for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\tilde{k}} d\tilde{V}_\sigma < \infty. \tag{9}$$

Before we prove this fact notice that in (9) we may integrate over  $\tilde{\Omega}'$  since  $\tilde{A}$  has empty interior.

**Proof.** Functions  $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_m$  are holomorphic in  $\tilde{\Omega}$  as composition of holomorphic maps. A holomorphic function is continuous and, hence, locally bounded and locally square integrable. Also, it follows from (1) that there exists  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$  there exists  $C_{\tilde{\Omega}'}$  such that for  $z \in \tilde{\Omega}'$

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m |\tilde{f}_j(z)|^2 \right)^{-1} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m |f_j(\pi(z))|^2 \right)^{-1} \leq C_{\tilde{\Omega}'} d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\tilde{N}}(\pi(z)) \leq C' C_{\tilde{\Omega}'} d_{\tilde{A}}^{-t\tilde{N}}(z).$$

The last estimate is proved as Lemma 3.1 in [4] and is a consequence of the Łojasiewicz inequality (we recalled it above as Lemma 1). This implies that there exists  $n_M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$  there exists a constant  $C_{\tilde{\Omega}'}$  such that for each  $z \in \tilde{\Omega}' \setminus \tilde{A}$

$$|\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M|_{z,\sigma}^2 \leq C_{\tilde{\Omega}'} d_{\tilde{A}}^{-n_M}(z).$$

Observe that  $n_M \leq n_{M+1}$ . Naturally,

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\tilde{k}} d\tilde{V} \leq C_{\tilde{\Omega}'} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\tilde{F}|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\tilde{k}-n_M} d\tilde{V},$$

which completes the proof if we simply put  $k = n_M + \tilde{k}$ . ■

Fix  $N_0$  and define  $\tilde{F} := F \circ \pi$ , where  $F \in H(\Omega)$  is the function on the right-hand side of (2). There exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in  $\pi^{-1}(\Omega^*)$ . Notice that

$$\bar{\partial}\left(\sum_I u_I e^I\right) = 0 \iff \forall_I \bar{\partial}u_I = 0.$$

Hence, if for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)|_\sigma d\tilde{V} < \infty,$$

then we may treat  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)$  as  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in the sense of currents in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , not only in  $\pi^{-1}(\Omega^*)$ . Since  $F$  is a function it holds  $M \leq n$  and  $M + 1 \leq m$ . We recall the Reader at this moment that  $n$  is the dimension of the manifold and  $m$  is the number of functions in (2). The fact that  $M \leq n$  is obvious, while the second inequality follows from the fact  $\tilde{f}_1\gamma_1 + \dots + \tilde{f}_m\gamma_m = 1$  in  $\pi^{-1}(\Omega^*)$ . This means that in  $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \tilde{A}$

$$\tilde{f}_1\bar{\partial}\gamma_1 + \dots + \tilde{f}_m\bar{\partial}\gamma_m = 0$$

and, consequently, in this set  $\bar{\partial}\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\gamma_m = 0$ . This implies, under a suitable assumption concerning order of vanishing of  $F$ , that  $\bar{\partial}\gamma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\partial}\gamma_m = 0$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . We may, therefore, assume that  $M = \min\{n, m - 1\}$ .

Assume that we managed to solve the equation

$$\bar{\partial}v_M = \gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1). \tag{10}$$

Then, for a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-1} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_M|_\sigma d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2M_2k} \tilde{V}_\sigma \\ & \leq C_{\tilde{\Omega}'} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |F|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2M_2k-n_{M-1}} d\tilde{V}_\sigma + C_{\tilde{\Omega}'} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |v_M|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2M_2k} d\tilde{V}_\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

It is a consequence of Proposition 1 that for  $\tilde{k} := 2M_2k$  there exists  $l = l(n, \tilde{k})$  such that

$$(i \otimes \text{id})_* : H^n(\tilde{\Omega}, J^l \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_{n+1}) \rightarrow H^n(\tilde{\Omega}, J^{\tilde{k}} \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_{n+1}) \tag{12}$$

is the zero map. Furthermore, as we have already noticed

$$H^q(\tilde{\Omega}, J^k \cdot \Omega^p \otimes \Lambda_l) \cong \frac{\ker(\bar{\partial} : J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda_l \rightarrow J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q+1}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda_l)}{\bar{\partial}(J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}_{p,q-1}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda_l)}.$$

From Lemma 2 it follows that there exists  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_1(M, \tilde{k})$  such that if for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\tilde{F}|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\kappa_1} d\tilde{V} < \infty, \tag{13}$$

then for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2M_2l(n,\tilde{k})} d\tilde{V} < \infty.$$

Lemma 2 implies now that  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1)$  is a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed element of  $J^{l(n,\tilde{k})} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$  and, as a consequence in view of Proposition 1 in concert with (7) and (8), there exists  $v_M \in J^{\tilde{k}} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$  such that equation (10) is, indeed, satisfied in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

Consider now the expression  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-1} \wedge \tilde{F} - \delta v_M$  and observe that

$$\bar{\partial}[\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-1} \wedge \tilde{F} - \delta v_M] = (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge \tilde{F} - \delta \bar{\partial}v_M = 0, \tag{14}$$

since  $\delta[\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge F] = (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^M \wedge F$ .

In order to sum up the argument set

$$\tilde{\kappa}_2(M, k) := \max\{2M_2k, \kappa_1(M, 2M_2k)\}.$$

We have shown so far that if for any  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\tilde{F}|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\tilde{\kappa}_2(M,k)} d\tilde{V}_\sigma < \infty,$$

then for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset\subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-1} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_M|_\sigma^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-2M_2k} \tilde{V}_\sigma < \infty.$$

Lemma 2 implies now, in view of (14), that

$$\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-1} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_M \in J^{\tilde{k}} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda.$$

**Lemma 4.** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\kappa_2(k) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $F$  is a holomorphic function in  $\Omega$  with*

$$\int_{\Omega^*} |F|^2 d_A^{-\kappa_2} dV < \infty$$

*then, there exist  $v_M, \dots, v_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$  such that*

- (i)  $\gamma \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_1 \in J^{\tilde{k}} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$
- (ii)  $\bar{\partial}v_{M-j} = \gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-j} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_{M-j+1}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, M-1$ , where  $\tilde{F} = F \circ \pi$  and we put  $v_{M+1} = 0$ .

*Furthermore,*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta[\gamma \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_1] &= \tilde{F}, \\ \bar{\partial}[\gamma \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_1] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

**Proof.** Set  $v_{M+1} = 0$  and consider the following property:

For a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 0, \dots, M - 1$  there exists  $\kappa_3 = \kappa_3(k, i)$  such that if for each  $\tilde{\Omega}' \subset \subset \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}'} |\tilde{F}|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{-\kappa_3} d\tilde{V} < \infty,$$

then there exist  $v_M, \dots, v_{M-j} \in \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$  such that

- (i)  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-i-1} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_{M-i} \in J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda,$
- (ii)  $\bar{\partial}v_{M-j} = \gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-j} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_{M-j+1},$  where  $j = 0, \dots, i.$

Denote this property by  $\mathfrak{S}(k, i)$ . We have already proved that the property  $\mathfrak{S}(k, 0)$  holds for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Fix  $\hat{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-i} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_{M-i+1}$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Therefore, it is a consequence of Proposition 1, (7) and (8), that there exists  $l = l(\hat{k})$  such that a solution to the equation

$$\bar{\partial}v_{M-i} = \gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-i} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_{M-i+1}$$

exists in  $J^{\hat{k}} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$  if  $\gamma \wedge (\bar{\partial}\gamma)^{M-i} \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_{M-i+1} \in J^{l(\hat{k})} \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$ . Hence, for the fixed  $\hat{k} \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $\mathfrak{S}(k, i)$  holds for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the property  $\mathfrak{S}(\hat{k}, i + 1)$  holds true as well. This completes the induction argument, which allows us to infer that the property  $\mathfrak{S}(k, i)$  holds for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 0, \dots, M - 1$ .

In particular, property  $\mathfrak{S}(k, M - 1)$  holds true. According to Lemma 2, there exists  $M_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c > 0$  such that for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\tilde{F}|^2 d_{\tilde{A}}^{M_1-N} d\tilde{V} \leq c \int_{\Omega} |F|^2 d_A^{-N} dV.$$

Therefore, it suffices to define  $\kappa_2(k) := \kappa_3(k, M - 1) + M_1$ . One easily checks that equations (15) are also satisfied. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Fix  $N_0$  as in Theorem 1. We intend to show that there exists a natural number  $N$  and functions  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in H(\Omega^*)$  with  $\|g_j\|_{\Omega', N_0} \leq C\|F\|_{\Omega, N}$  for any  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j = F$$

in  $\Omega^*$ . First choose  $M_3$  for  $N_0$  according to (iii) of Lemma 2 and let  $k = \lceil \frac{M_3}{2} \rceil$ . It follows from Lemma 4 that if

$$\int_{\Omega^*} |F|^2 d_A^{-\kappa_2(k)} dV < \infty$$

then there exists  $v_1$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{g}_j e_j := \gamma \wedge (\tilde{F} \otimes 1) - \delta v_1$$

belongs to  $J^k \cdot \mathcal{L}(\tilde{\Omega}) \otimes \Lambda$  and satisfies (15). Set  $g_j := \tilde{g}_j \circ \pi^{-1}$  and notice that Lemma 2 implies that for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  it holds

$$\int_{\Omega'} |g_j|^2 d_A^{-N_0} dV < \infty \tag{16}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Obviously, functions  $g_j$  are holomorphic in  $\Omega^*$  and in  $\Omega^*$  satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^m g_j f_j = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{g}_j \tilde{f}_j \right) \circ \pi^{-1} = \tilde{F} \circ \pi^{-1} = F.$$

This completes the proof with  $N := \kappa_2(\lceil \frac{M_3(N_0)}{2} \rceil)$ . ■

**References**

[1] M. Andersson, *Estimates of solutions of the  $H^p$  and BMOA corona problem*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), 83–102.  
 [2] M. Andersson, *Integral representation with weights. II. Division and interpolation*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), 315–332.  
 [3] E. Bierstone, P. Milman, *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing-up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), 207–302.  
 [4] J. E. Forneaess, N. Øvrelid, S. Vasiliadou, *Semiglobal results for  $\bar{\partial}$  on a complex space with arbitrary singularities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 2377–2386.  
 [5] H. Grauert, R. Remmert, *Theory of Stein spaces*, Translated from the German by Alan Huckleberry. Reprint of the 1979 translation. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.  
 [6] H. Grauert, *Über Modificationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen*, Math. Ann. **146** (1962), 331–368.  
 [7] G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen, E. Shustin, *Introduction to singularities and deformations*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.  
 [8] L. Hörmander, *Generators for some rings of analytic functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 943–949.  
 [9] S. Łojasiewicz, *Sur le problème de la division*, Studia Math. **8** (1959), 87–136.  
 [10] Y. T. Siu, *Analytic sheaf cohomology groups of dimension  $n$  of  $n$ -dimensional non-compact complex manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **28** (1969), 407–411.

**Address:** M. Jasiczak: Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland;

**E-mail:** mjk@amu.edu.pl

**Received:** 11 January 2009; **revised:** 27 March 2009