

## Front propagation and quasi-stationary distributions for one-dimensional Lévy processes

Pablo Groisman\*      Matthieu Jonckheere†

### Abstract

We jointly investigate the existence of quasi-stationary distributions for one dimensional Lévy processes and the existence of traveling waves for the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov (F-KPP) equation associated with the same motion. Using probabilistic ideas developed by S. Harris [16], we show that the existence of a monotone traveling wave for the F-KPP equation associated with a centered Lévy processes that branches at rate  $r$  and travels at velocity  $c$  is equivalent to the existence of a quasi-stationary distribution for a Lévy process with the same movement but drifted by  $-c$  and killed at the first entry into the negative semi-axis, with mean absorption time  $1/r$ . This also extends the known existence conditions in both contexts. As it is discussed in [15], this is not just a coincidence but the consequence of a relation between these two phenomena.

**Keywords:** quasi-stationary distributions; traveling waves; branching random walk; branching Lévy processes.

**AMS MSC 2010:** 60J68; 60J80; 60G51.

Submitted to ECP on November 21, 2016, final version accepted on November 21, 2018.

Supersedes arXiv:1609.09338.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the generator of a centered one-dimensional Lévy process (precise definitions and assumptions are given below) and consider the (generalized) F-KPP equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}^* u + r(u^2 - u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{L}^*$  denotes the adjoint of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Both Fisher and Kolmogorov, Petrovskii and Piskunov considered this equation for  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  and proved independently that in this case this equation admits monotone traveling wave solutions connecting 0 and 1 of the form  $u(t, x) = w_c(x - ct)$  that travel at velocity  $c$  for every  $c \geq \sqrt{2r}$ , [14, 18].

It is well known [5, 21, 29, 6] that a large class of equations describing the propagation of a front into an unstable region have properties similar to (1.1). These equations

---

\*Departamento de Matemática, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, IMAS-CONICET and NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences at NYU Shanghai. E-mail: pgroisma@dm.uba.ar <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~pgroisma>

†Instituto de Cálculo, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires and IMAS-CONICET. E-mail: mjonckhe@dm.uba.ar <http://matthieujonckheere.blogspot.com>

admit monotone traveling-wave solutions for any velocity  $c$  larger than a minimal velocity  $c^*$  and the front moves with this minimal velocity  $c^*$  for any initial data with “light enough” tails.

For the Brownian case  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  we have  $c^* = \sqrt{2r}$  and for more general  $\mathcal{L}$  the minimal velocity can be computed in terms of the Legendre transform of the process (see Theorem 2.1 below). This was essentially done by Kyprianou [19] using the seminal McKean’s representation [23] for the solutions of (1.1). We partially complete this characterization in this note by looking at the critical case, which is missing from the description in [19] to arrive to our main theorem.

The theory of quasi-stationary distributions has its own counterpart. It is a typical situation that there is an infinite number of quasi-stationary distributions while the *Yaglom limit* (the limit of the conditioned evolution of the process started from a deterministic initial condition) selects the minimal one, i.e. the one with minimal expected time of absorption [12, 28, 7].

To be more precise, consider a Lévy process  $(X_t - ct)_{t \geq 0}$  with generator  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  killed at first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$  defined in certain filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$  with expectation denoted by  $\mathbb{E}$ . In Section 2 we state the precise assumptions we made on this process. The absorption time is defined by  $\tau = \inf\{t > 0: X_t - ct \in (-\infty, 0)\}$  and the conditioned evolution at time  $t$  is given by

$$\mu_t^\gamma(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}^\gamma(X_t - ct \in \cdot | \tau > t).$$

Here  $\gamma$  denotes the initial distribution of the process and  $\mathbb{P}^\gamma(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\cdot | X_0 \sim \gamma)$ . A probability measure  $\nu$  is said to be a quasi-stationary distribution (QSD) if  $\mu_t^\nu = \nu$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The *Yaglom limit* is a probability measure  $\nu$  defined by

$$\nu := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu_t^{\delta_x},$$

if the limit exists and does not depend on  $x$ . It is known that if the Yaglom limit exists, then it is a QSD. A general principle is that the Yaglom limit *selects* the minimal QSD, i.e. the Yaglom limit is the QSD with minimal mean absorption time. This fact has been proved for a wide class of processes that includes birth and death process, subcritical Galton-Watson processes, drifted random walks [28, 26, 17, 13] and Brownian motion [22] among others, but the conjecture is still open for a much wider class.

In the last decades, a great deal of attention has been given to establish on the one hand conditions for the existence of quasi-stationary measures of Lévy processes (see for instance [22, 20]) and on the other hand to the existence of traveling waves for (1.1), eg. see [19]. The purpose of this note is to show that given parameters  $r, c > 0$ , the existence of a traveling wave for (1.1) with velocity  $c$  is equivalent to the existence of a QSD  $\nu$  for  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  with expected absorption time  $\mathbb{E}_\nu(\tau) = 1/r$ . Moreover, minimal velocity TWs are in a one-to-one correspondence with minimal absorption time QSDs with the same parameters. Note that when dealing with traveling-waves the branching rate  $r$  is an input while the velocity  $c$  is chosen by the system, while when dealing with QSDs the velocity  $c$  is the input and  $r$  is chosen by the system. More precisely, when dealing with traveling waves of (1.1),  $r$  is a parameter and we ask for what values of  $c$  there is a traveling wave that travels at that velocity. Moreover, as  $t$  goes to infinity, the solutions will travel asymptotically at a velocity  $c$  that will depend on the initial datum. On the other hand, when considering QSDs of  $(X_t - ct)$ ,  $c$  is a parameter while the distribution of the process conditioned on not being absorbed will behave asymptotically as a QSD with some expected absorption time  $1/r$ . The value of  $r$  (and the involved QSD) will be determined by the initial distribution of the process. We remark here that the absorption

time  $\tau$  is a very important object in the study of QSDs since a lot of information can be extracted from it. For example, it can be easily seen from the invariance of the conditioned evolution under a QSD that  $\tau$  has the loss of memory property when the initial condition is a QSD and hence it is exponentially distributed with parameter  $r$ . This fact implies that an exponential moment for the absorption time (started from a deterministic initial condition) is a necessary condition to have a QSD (under some suitable assumptions like irreducibility). For a large class of processes this has been shown to be also sufficient [11]. For more on QSDs we refer the reader to [9].

Although our proof consists in showing that the conditions for the existence of TW and QSD coincide, in a companion paper [15] we show that this is not just a coincidence but that the two phenomena are essentially two faces of the same coin. In particular, the connection between  $r$  and  $c$  is clearly explained there by means of microscopic models that are natural microscopic versions of the models studied in this article. At the microscopic level it is easier to understand the relation between these two phenomena and that is the purpose of that article. We refer the reader to [15] for more on this.

## 2 Preliminaries and main result

Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ , defined on a filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$  and Laplace exponent  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\theta X_t}) = e^{\psi(\theta)t},$$

such that

$$\psi(\theta) = b\theta + \sigma^2 \frac{\theta^2}{2} + g(\theta),$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  (which ensures that  $X$  is non-lattice) and  $g$  is defined in terms of the jump measure  $\Pi$  supported in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$g(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{\theta x} - 1 - \theta x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \Pi(dx), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge x^2) \Pi(dx) < \infty.$$

Let  $\theta_+^* = \sup\{\theta : |\psi(\theta)| < \infty\}$ ,  $\theta_-^* = -\inf\{\theta : |\psi(\theta)| < \infty\}$ . We will assume that both are positive to avoid large jumps. This assumption is not technical as the picture is completely different without it. Recall that  $\psi$  is strictly convex in  $(\theta_-^*, \theta_+^*)$  and by monotonicity  $\psi(\theta_+^*) = \psi(\theta_+^* -)$ ,  $\psi(\theta_-^*) = \psi(\theta_-^* +)$ , and  $\psi'(\theta_+^*) = \psi'(\theta_+^* -)$ ,  $\psi'(\theta_-^*) = \psi'(\theta_-^* +)$  are well defined as well as the derivative at zero  $\psi'(0) = \mathbb{E}(X_1)$ , that we assume to be zero. That is, we consider centered processes. Of course, if the process is not centered, one can remove the drift and our theorem will apply to the centered process and hence to the original one with the adequate modifications. The generator of  $X$  applied to a function  $f \in C_0^2$ , the class of compactly supported functions with continuous second derivatives, gives

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f''(x) + bf'(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x+y) - f(x) - yf'(x)\mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}) \Pi(dy).$$

The adjoint of  $\mathcal{L}$  is also well defined in  $C_0^2$  and has the form

$$\mathcal{L}^*f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 f''(x) - bf'(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x-y) - f(x) + yf'(x)\mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}) \Pi(dy).$$

It is immediate to see that the Laplace exponent of  $(X_t - ct)_{t \geq 0}$  is given by

$$\psi_c(\theta) := \psi(\theta) - c\theta, \quad \theta \in [\theta_-^*, \theta_+^*],$$

and that  $C_0^2$  is contained in the domain of the generator  $\mathcal{L} - c\frac{d}{dx}$ . We denote by  $\Gamma$  the Legendre transform of  $\psi$ , i.e.,

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} [\alpha\theta - \psi(\theta)].$$

Similarly we will denote  $\bar{\Gamma}$  the Legendre transform of the Laplace exponent of the dual process  $(-X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,

$$\bar{\Gamma}(\alpha) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} [\alpha\theta - \psi(-\theta)].$$

Observe that since  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\Gamma$  as well as  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are defined in  $\mathbb{R}$ . At some point, we will need to assume that the Lévy process  $X$  is spectrally positive, i.e.  $\Pi(-\infty, 0) = 0$ . This means that  $X$  does not perform negative jumps and will allow us to identify the first entrance time to  $(-\infty, 0)$  with the first hitting time of zero. To simplify the exposition we will assume it through the whole article and indicate where it is really needed. To summarize, hereafter we assume

**(A)**  $\mathcal{L}$  is the generator of a spectrally positive Lévy process,  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\theta_{\pm}^* > 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}(X_1) = 0$ .

Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Under Assumption (A), the following are equivalent:*

1. *There exists a non trivial monotone traveling wave for (1.1) with velocity  $c$  connecting 0 and 1, i.e. a monotone solution to*

$$\mathcal{L}^*w + cw' + rw(w - 1) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad w(+\infty) = 1 - w(-\infty) = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

2. *There exists an (absolutely continuous) QSD for  $\mathcal{L} - c\frac{d}{dx}$  with expected absorption time  $1/r$ , i.e. a solution to,*

$$\mathcal{L}^*v + cv' + rv = 0 \text{ in } (0, +\infty), \text{ with } v \geq 0, \quad v(0) = 0, \quad \int_0^{\infty} v = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

3.  $r \leq \Gamma(c)$ .
4. *A branching Lévy process driven by  $\mathcal{L} - c\frac{d}{dx}$ , killed on first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$  gets almost surely extinct.*

Moreover,  $c$  is a minimal velocity for  $(\mathcal{L}^*, r)$  if and only if  $1/r$  is a minimal mean absorption time for  $\mathcal{L} - c\frac{d}{dx}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** The hypothesis that the Lévy process is spectrally positive is only used to prove the existence of a traveling wave when  $r = \Gamma(c)$ . Every other implication is still valid without this assumption.

**Remark 2.3.** When  $\mathcal{L}$  is the generator of one-dimensional Brownian Motion, this result is well known and is discussed in [15].

Recall that the backward Kolmogorov equation for  $X$  is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}^x(f(X_t))|_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}f(x),$$

while the forward Kolmogorov (or Fokker-Plank) equation for the density  $u$  (which exists since  $\sigma > 0$ ) is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}^*u(t, x).$$

We will consider on the one hand Lévy processes with generator  $\mathcal{L}$  (or  $\mathcal{L}^*$ ) that evolve in  $\mathbb{R}$  and on the other hand Lévy processes with generator  $\mathcal{L} - c\frac{d}{dx}$ , killed at first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$ . A probability measure in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with density  $v$  is a QSD for the process  $(X_t - ct)_{t \geq 0}$  killed at  $(-\infty, 0)$ , if and only if,  $v$  is a positive solution of (2.2).

We will need the following lemma (see for instance Theorem 9.1 and the remark thereafter in [25]).

**Lemma 2.4** (Girsanov theorem for Lévy processes). *For  $\theta \in (-\theta_-^*, \theta_+^*)$ , let  $M_t^\theta := \exp(\theta X_t - \psi_c(\theta)t)$  and the measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$  be defined by*

$$\frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = M_t^\theta, \quad t \in [0, +\infty). \tag{2.3}$$

*Then,  $(M_t^\theta)_{t \geq 0}$  is a martingale and under  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ ,  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Lévy process with drift  $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}(X_1) = \psi_c'(\theta) = \psi'(\theta) - c$ , variance  $\sigma^2$ , and jump measure  $e^{\theta x} d\Pi(x)$ .*

**2.1 Some useful results on branching Lévy processes**

Consider a continuous time branching process with binary branching at rate  $r > 0$ . Each individual performs independent Lévy processes with generator  $\mathcal{L}$  started at the position of his ancestor at his birth-time. Details on the construction of this process can be found in [19]. Call  $N_t$  the number of individuals in the process at time  $t$  and  $(\zeta_t^i, 1 \leq i \leq t)$  the positions of the individuals that are alive at time  $t$ . We call  $Z_t = (\zeta_t^1, \dots, \zeta_t^{N_t})$  and  $Z = (Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a branching Lévy process (BLP) driven by  $\mathcal{L}$ . For some results, we need to consider BLP killed above (or below) some barrier  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the extension of the definition to this situation is straightforward.

The following proposition is proved in [1, 2]. See also [4, Theorem 4.17] for an alternative proof with spines and a setting closer to ours.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $Z$  be a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $R_t$  the position of the maximum of  $Z_t$ . Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_t}{t} = \Gamma^{-1}(r).$$

By means of this proposition we obtain the following partial extension of Theorem 1 in [3].

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\mathring{Z}$  be a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L} - c\frac{d}{dx}$  started at  $x > 0$  and killed at first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$ .*

- (i) *If  $r \leq \Gamma(c)$ , then  $\mathring{Z}$  gets extinct with probability 1.*
- (ii) *If  $r > \Gamma(c)$ , then for any interval  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\zeta_t^i \in A\}} \rightarrow \infty) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\mathring{Z}$  can be constructed straightforwardly with the trajectories of a process without killing driven by the same generator. We just need to delete all the paths that touched the negative semi-axes at some time. In the case  $r < \Gamma(c)$ , we can directly use the previous proposition to see that the maximum of the branching process with no killing satisfies  $\frac{R_t}{t} \rightarrow \Gamma^{-1}(r) - c < 0$  which implies that  $R_t$  is almost surely negative after some finite time. This in turn implies extinction of  $\mathring{Z}$ . For the critical case  $r = \Gamma(c)$ , we need to slightly refine the arguments given in [4].

Consider the branching Lévy process  $Z$  driven by  $\mathcal{L}$  (without killing) defined in the same filtered space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$  and define the martingale

$$Z_t^\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \exp(\theta \zeta_t^i - (\psi_c(\theta) + r)t),$$

as well as the change of measure,

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t^\theta.$$

On some suitably augmented filtration  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t \supset \mathcal{F}_t$ , the new process can be seen as a branching process with a spine  $(S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  which branches at rate  $2r$  and follows a motion given by the change of measure (2.3), i.e., a Lévy process with drift  $\psi'_c(\theta) = \psi'(\theta) - c$ , variance  $\sigma^2$ , and jump measure  $e^{\theta x} d\Pi(x)$ . The other particles follow the usual process  $X$ . See [4] for details on this construction.

Since we assumed  $r = \Gamma(c)$ , we can define  $\theta_c$  such that  $\psi_c(\theta_c) = -\Gamma(c)$  and so  $\psi'_c(\theta_c) = 0$ . From now on we choose  $\theta = \theta_c$  in the change of measure and hence, the spine  $(S_t)$  is centered. As a consequence, it is recurrent (as a non trivial Lévy process). It follows that  $\limsup_t S_t = \infty$ . Now bounding  $Z_t^{\theta_c}$  by the contribution of the spine, we have

$$\limsup Z_t^{\theta_c} \geq \limsup \exp(\theta_c S_t - (\psi_c(\theta_c) + r)t) = \exp(\theta_c S_t).$$

Since  $1/Z_t^{\theta_c}$  is a positive super-martingale (under  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), it converges  $\mathbb{Q}$ -almost surely and so does  $Z_t^{\theta_c}$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t^{\theta_c} = \infty, \quad \mathbb{Q} - \text{a.s.}$$

Observe that if  $B \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$  we have

$$\mathbb{Q}(B) = \int_B \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t^{\theta_c} d\mathbb{P} + \mathbb{Q}(B \cap \{\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z_t^{\theta_c} = \infty\}).$$

It then follows that if  $\lim Z_t^{\theta_c} = \infty$ , under  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\lim Z_t^{\theta_c} = 0$ , under  $\mathbb{P}$ . Finally, let

$$R_t := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} \zeta_t^i - ct,$$

and observe that  $\exp(\theta_c R_t) \leq Z_t^{\theta_c}$ , which implies that  $\exp(\theta_c R_t)$  tends to 0  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. and hence  $R_t$  tends to  $-\infty$ . As before, this implies extinction of  $\check{Z}$ .

To prove (ii), denote  $\check{Z}_t(A) := \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\zeta_t^i \in A\}}$ . We use the many-to-one lemma to get

$$\mathbb{E}(\check{Z}_t(A)) = e^{rt} \mathbb{P}(X_t - ct \in A, \min_{0 \leq s \leq t} X_s - cs \geq 0). \tag{2.4}$$

To compute the last probability we can discretize the time variable and consider the random walk  $S_n^\delta = X_{n\delta} - c\delta n$ . Following [27, Theorem 4] and [17, Theorem 2.1] we obtain that the decay parameter for the process  $(X_t - ct)$  killed at first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$  is given by  $\Gamma(c)$  and hence for every  $r > \Gamma(c)$  the r.h.s of (2.4) grows to infinity. So, for any  $x > 0$  we can choose  $t^*$  large enough to guarantee  $\mathbb{E}^x(\check{Z}_{t^*}(A)) > 1$ . Let  $x = \inf A$ . We can assume  $x > 0$  without loss of generality. Consider the (discrete time) Galton-Watson process with offspring distribution  $\check{Z}_{t^*}(A)$ , started with one individual at  $x$ . This process at time  $n$  bounds from below  $\check{Z}_{nt^*}(A)$  and since it is supercritical we have that  $\check{Z}_{nt^*}(A)$  grows exponentially fast as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with positive probability. Now,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\check{Z}_s(A) \leq \frac{\check{Z}_{nt^*}(A)}{2} \text{ for some } nt^* \leq s \leq (n+1)t^* \mid \check{Z}_{nt^*}(A)\right) \leq \mathbb{P}^x(X_s - cs \leq 0 \text{ for some } 0 \leq s \leq t^*)^{\check{Z}_{nt^*}(A)/2}$$

and the conditional Borel-Cantelli lemma [10, p. 207] implies the result. □

### 3 Quasi-stationary distributions and traveling waves

In this section we prove the equivalence between existence of traveling waves and quasi-stationary distributions. The proof boils down to show that both are equivalent to the absorption of a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  and killed at  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

**3.1 Existence of quasi-stationary distributions**

**Proposition 3.1.** *The following are equivalent*

1. There exists a QSD for  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  killed at first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$  with mean absorption time  $1/r$ .
2.  $r \leq \Gamma(c)$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The existence of a QSD for  $r = \Gamma(c)$  has been established in [20] under stronger assumptions on the Lévy process.

*Proof.* 1)  $\implies$  2) (Non-existence). Assume there exists a non-trivial QSD  $\nu$  and suppose  $r > \Gamma(c)$ . Since  $\sigma > 0$ , there necessarily exists a density  $v$  being the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\nu$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Note that  $v(0) = 0$  and on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  we have

$$\mathcal{L}^* v + cv' + rv = 0.$$

Let  $\overset{\circ}{Z} = (\overset{\circ}{\zeta}_t^1, \dots, \overset{\circ}{\zeta}_t^{N_t})$  be a branching Lévy process driven by  $\mathcal{L}^* + c \frac{d}{dx}$  killed at first entry into  $(-\infty, 0)$  and started at  $x > 0$ . The process

$$M_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} v(\overset{\circ}{\zeta}_i(t)),$$

is a martingale. On the other hand, for every  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^x(M_t) \geq (\inf_A v) \mathbb{E}^x \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{1}_{\{\overset{\circ}{\zeta}_i \in A\}} = (\inf_A v) e^{rt} \mathbb{P}^x(-X_t + ct \in A, \min_{0 \leq s \leq t} -X_s + cs \geq 0). \quad (3.1)$$

We want to show that the r.h.s in (3.1) goes to infinity. Observe that if we take  $A = \mathbb{R}^+$  we know the asymptotic behavior of the probability on the r.h.s of (3.1), but since  $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^+} v = 0$  this is useless. So we need to choose a smaller  $A$ . Irreducibility implies that  $\inf_A v > 0$  for every  $A \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  bounded and at a positive distance from the origin. We are going to choose  $A = [\frac{1}{n}, n]$  for an adequate  $n > 0$ . Consider the process  $X^n = (X_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$  with generator  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  killed at  $(-\infty, \frac{1}{n}) \cup (n, \infty)$  and call  $p_n(x, t, B) = \mathbb{P}^x(X_t^n \in B)$  the transition semigroup and  $\lambda_n$  its decay parameter ([27, Theorem 6]) such that for every interval  $B$

$$-\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log p_n(x, t, B) = \lambda_n.$$

We use  $p_\infty, \lambda_\infty$ , etc. when we deal with the process in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  killed at  $(-\infty, 0)$ . We will show that  $\lambda_n \searrow \lambda_\infty = \Gamma(c)$  and hence, since  $r > \Gamma(c)$  we can choose  $n$  such that  $r - \lambda_n > 0$  and the r.h.s of (3.1) goes to infinity. A contradiction to the fact that  $M_t$  is a martingale. Here we are using the fact that the exit problem from  $[\frac{1}{n}, n]$  for a process with generator  $\mathcal{L}^* + c \frac{d}{dx}$  started at  $x$  is equivalent to the exit problem from the same interval for a process with generator  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  started at  $y = n - x + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Since  $(\lambda_n)$  is decreasing in  $n$ , we only need to show  $\lim \lambda_n \leq \lambda_\infty$ . By means of time-discretization, using the splitting technique (which allows us to assume that  $X_t^n$  has an atom) and the subadditive ergodic theorem [27, Section 4], it can be shown that there exists a sequence of times  $t_k \nearrow \infty, \varepsilon > 0$  and a constant  $\kappa > 0$ , both depending on  $x$  and  $\varepsilon$  but not on  $n$  such that

$$-\frac{1}{t_k} \log p_n(y, t_k, (y - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon)) + \frac{\kappa}{t_k} \geq \lambda_n.$$

For fixed  $t_k < \infty$  we can take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain

$$-(1/t_k) \log p_\infty(y, t_k, B) + \frac{\kappa}{t_k} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n.$$

Now we let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  to get  $\lambda_\infty \geq \lim_n \lambda_n$ . The fact that  $\lambda_\infty = \Gamma(c)$  was already shown in the course of the proof of Proposition 2.6.

2)  $\implies$  1) (Existence). As before, note that  $\nu$  is a QSD with density  $v$  if and only if  $v(0) = 0$  and

$$\int f(\mathcal{L}^*v + cv' + rv) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $f$  in a subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of the domain of the generator with killing, with the property that for every measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}_+^+$ , there exists a sequence  $f_n$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , uniformly bounded and converging pointwise to  $1_A$ . Let  $\theta > 0$  and denote by  $e_{-\theta}$  the function  $x \mapsto e^{-\theta x}$  and  $v(x) = e^{-\theta x}h(x)$ . The function  $h: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  will be determined later. Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Lévy process with generator  $\mathcal{L}$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathcal{L}^* + c \frac{d}{dx}\right)v(x) &= \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}^0 \left[ e^{-\theta(x-X_t+ct)} h(x - X_t + ct) \right]_{t=0}, \\ &= e^{-\theta x} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ e^{\psi(\theta)t} \mathbb{E}^0 \left( e^{\theta X_t - (c\theta + \psi(\theta))t} h(x - X_t + ct) \right) \right]_{t=0} \\ &= e^{-\theta x} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ e^{(\psi(\theta) - c\theta)t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^0 (h(x - X_t + ct)) \right]_{t=0} \\ &= e^{-\theta x} \left( (\psi(\theta) - c\theta)h(x) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}h(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

here  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$  denotes expectation under the measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined by (2.3) and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the generator of a Lévy process with drift  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}(\tilde{X}_1) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}(-X_1) = c - \psi'(\theta)$ , variance  $\sigma^2$  and jump measure  $e^{-\theta x}d\pi(-x)$  as in Lemma 2.4. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}^*v + cv' + rv = e^{-\theta x} \left( (\psi(\theta) - c\theta + r)h(x) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}h(x) \right).$$

We obtained that (3.2) is equivalent to the following equation

$$\int f e_{-\theta}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}h + (r + \psi_c(\theta))h) = 0,$$

Note that since  $\psi$  is a convex function and  $-\Gamma(c) \leq -r$ , it is possible to choose  $\theta$  such that  $\psi(\theta) - c\theta = -r$ . Hence (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\int f \tilde{\mathcal{L}}h = 0,$$

for all  $f \in e_{-\theta}\mathcal{D} = \{g = e_{-\theta}u, u \in \mathcal{D}\}$ . We then look for harmonic functions for the killed Lévy process  $\tilde{X}$  with generator  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ .

Define the renewal measure associated to  $\tilde{X}$

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{H}_t \geq x\}} dt,$$

where  $\tilde{H} = (\tilde{H}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the ladder process associated to  $-\tilde{X}$ .

Let  $\theta_c$  be defined by  $\Gamma(c) = c\theta_c - \psi(\theta_c)$ . For  $\theta \leq \theta_c$ , the process  $\tilde{X}$  does not drift to  $-\infty$ , since

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^0(\tilde{X}_1) = c - \psi'(\theta) = -\psi'_c(\theta) \geq 0.$$

This implies that the function  $h$  is harmonic (see Lemma 1 in [8]) and since moreover  $\tilde{X}_1$  has a finite mean, the renewal theorem implies that  $h$  is asymptotically equivalent to the identity and so

$$\int e_{-\theta}h < \infty.$$

Also  $h(0) = 0$  since 0 is regular for  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Then  $v$  is the density of a QSD with absorption rate  $r$ . □

### 3.2 Existence of traveling waves

We now present the corresponding equivalence for the case of traveling waves which was actually the inspiration for the equivalence presented previously. Let us underline that these results are already known for Lévy processes except in the critical case  $r = \Gamma(c)$ , [19]. At criticality, this result is known for Brownian Motion, [16]. Although the proof is similar in our context, we included it for completeness, but follows [16] who himself quote the results of Neveu [24].

**Proposition 3.3.** *The following are equivalent*

1. *There exists a monotone solution to (2.1).*
2.  $r \leq \Gamma(c)$ .

*Proof.* 1)  $\implies$  2) (Non-existence). Assume the existence of a non-trivial monotone traveling wave  $w$ . This allows us to define the multiplicative positive martingale

$$M_t = \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} w(\bar{\zeta}_t^i + ct).$$

Here  $\bar{Z}_t = (\bar{\zeta}_t^1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_t^{N_t})$  is a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L}^*$  (with no killing). This martingale being positive and bounded, it converges and its mean being  $w(x)$ , its limit is not 0. On the other hand, since  $w \leq 1$ ,

$$M_t \leq w(\bar{L}_t + ct),$$

where  $\bar{L}_t = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N_t} \bar{\zeta}_t^i$ . Remark that the minimum of a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L}^*$  has the same law as  $-\max_{1 \leq i \leq \bar{N}_t} \zeta_t^i$ , where  $Z = ((\zeta_t^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N_t})_{t \geq 0}$  is a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L}$ . Proposition 2.5 implies that if  $r > \Gamma(c)$ ,  $R_t - ct = \max_{1 \leq i \leq \bar{N}_t} \zeta_t^i - ct \rightarrow +\infty$ . So  $\bar{L}_t \rightarrow -\infty$  and hence  $M_t$  should have a null limit. A contradiction to the the assumption.

2)  $\implies$  1) (Existence). Recall that we suppose that the process does not have negative jumps so that killing at first entry to  $(-\infty, 0)$  and at 0 are equivalent. This fact will be crucial to use Neveu’s method for proving the existence of traveling waves, which consists in constructing a multiplicative martingale from a Galton-Watson process obtained as follows.

Consider  $\mathring{Z}$  a BLP driven by  $\mathcal{L} - c \frac{d}{dx}$  with killing at the origin and started with one individual at  $x > 0$  as in Proposition 2.6. Since  $r \leq \Gamma(c)$  the process is absorbed and then the total population size is finite a.s. We can construct this random number for every  $x > 0$  using a unique BLP  $\mathring{Z}$  with generator  $\mathcal{L}^* + c \frac{d}{dx}$  (with no positive jumps) started with one individual at the origin and killing at  $x$ . If we couple all the processes in this way and call  $G_x < \infty$  the number of individuals of  $\mathring{Z}$  that have reached height  $x$ , we get that  $(G_x)_{x \geq 0}$  is a continuous-time Galton-Watson process, [24, 16]. At this point, the assumption of no positive jumps is crucial to get the branching property. Define

$$f_x(s) = \mathbb{E}(s^{G_x}),$$

and for some fixed  $s \in (0, 1)$

$$w(x) = f_x^{-1}(s).$$

Note that both quantities are strictly positive since  $G_x < \infty$ . For  $y \geq 0$  define

$$M_y^x := w(x + y)^{G_y}.$$

It turns out that  $(M_y^x)_{y \geq 0}$  is a convergent martingale. To see that, observe that the branching property gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_{y'}^x | \mathcal{F}_y] &= \mathbb{E}[w(x + y')^{G_{y'}} | \mathcal{F}_y], \\ &= (f_{y'-y}(w(x + y')))^{G_y}, \\ &= (f_{y'-y}(f_{y'-y}^{-1}(w(x + y))))^{G_y} = M_y^x. \end{aligned}$$

In addition,  $(M_y^x)_{y \geq 0}$  is positive and bounded and hence, it does converge and is uniformly integrable. Following the arguments of [16], for fixed  $t$  and for all  $y$  large enough

$$G_y = \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} G_{y-\zeta_t^i}^i,$$

where the  $(G^i)_{i \geq 1}$  are independent copies of  $G = (G_x)_{x \geq 0}$ . Hence

$$M_y^x = \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} w(x+y)^{G_{y-\zeta_t^i}^i} = \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} M_{y-\zeta_t^i}^{x+\zeta_t^i, i}.$$

and the limit of the martingale satisfies

$$M^x = \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} M^{x+\zeta_t^i, i}.$$

Taking expectations leads to

$$w(x) = \mathbb{E} \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} w(x + \zeta_t^i),$$

which in turn implies (see Theorem 8 in [19]) that

$$\mathcal{L}^* w + cw' + r(w^2 - w) = 0. \quad \square$$

### 3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

*Proof.* Observe that Proposition 3.3 gives us 1)  $\iff$  3) while Proposition 3.1 proves 2)  $\iff$  3). The equivalence 3)  $\iff$  4) is the content of Proposition 2.6. Finally since  $\Gamma$  is strictly increasing, we have

$$\inf\{1/r : r \leq \Gamma(c)\} = 1/\Gamma(c), \quad \inf\{c : r \leq \Gamma(c)\} = \Gamma^{-1}(r).$$

Hence minimality of  $1/r$  (for a given  $c$ ) as well as minimality of  $c$ , for a given  $r$ , reduces to

$$r = \Gamma(c). \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] J. D. Biggins. The growth and spread of the general branching random walk. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 5(4):1008–1024, 1995. MR-1384364
- [2] J. D. Biggins. How fast does a general branching random walk spread? In *Classical and modern branching processes (Minneapolis, MN, 1994)*, volume 84 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pages 19–39. Springer, New York, 1997. MR-1601689
- [3] J. D. Biggins, Boris D. Lubachevsky, Adam Schwartz, and Alan Weiss. A branching random walk with a barrier. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 1(4):573–581, 1991. MR-1129775
- [4] S. Bocharov. *Branching Lévy Processes with inhomogeneous breeding potentials*. PhD thesis, University of Bath, 2012. MR-3389372
- [5] M. Bramson. Convergence of solutions of the Kolmogorov equation to travelling waves. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 44(285):iv+190, 1983. MR-0705746
- [6] É. Brunet and B. Derrida. Effect of microscopic noise on front propagation. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 103(1-2):269–282, 2001. MR-1828730
- [7] J. A. Cavender. Quasi-stationary distributions of birth-and-death processes. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 10(3):570–586, 1978. MR-0501388

- [8] L. Chaumont and R. A. Doney. On Lévy processes conditioned to stay positive. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 10:no. 28, 948–961, 2005. MR-2164035
- [9] Pierre Collet, Servet Martínez, and Jaime San Martín. *Quasi-stationary distributions. Markov chains, diffusions and dynamical systems*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. MR-2986807
- [10] Durrett R. *Probability. Theory and examples*. The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Statistics/Probability Series. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Pacific Grove, CA, 1991. MR-1068527
- [11] P. A. Ferrari, H. Kesten, S. Martinez, and P. Picco. Existence of quasi-stationary distributions. A renewal dynamical approach. *Ann. Probab.*, 23(2):501–521, 1995. MR-1334159
- [12] P. A. Ferrari, S. Martinez, and P. Picco. Some properties of quasi-stationary distributions in the birth and death chains: a dynamical approach. In *Instabilities and nonequilibrium structures, III (Valparaíso, 1989)*, volume 64 of *Math. Appl.*, pages 177–187. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1991. MR-1177850
- [13] P. A. Ferrari and L. T. Rolla. Yaglom limit via Holley inequality. *Braz. J. Probab. Stat.*, 29(2):413–426, 2015. MR-3336873
- [14] R.A. Fisher. The wave of advance of advantageous genes. *Ann. Eugenics*, 7:353–369, 1937.
- [15] P. Groisman and M. Jonckheere. Front propagation and quasi-stationary distributions: the same selection principle? *arXiv preprint arXiv:1304.4847*, 2013.
- [16] S.C. Harris. Travelling-waves for the FKPP equation via probabilistic arguments. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 129(3):503–517, 1999. MR-1693633
- [17] D. L. Iglehart. Random walks with negative drift conditioned to stay positive. *J. Appl. Probability*, 11:742–751, 1974. MR-0368168
- [18] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovsky, and N. Piscounov. Etude de l’équation de la diffusion avec croissance de la quantité de matière et son application à un problème biologique. *Bull. Univ. Etat Moscou, A* 1:1(25), 1937.
- [19] A. E. Kyprianou. A note on branching Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 82(1):1–14, 1999. MR-1695066
- [20] A. E. Kyprianou and Z. Palmowski. Quasi-stationary distributions for Lévy processes. *Bernoulli*, 12(4):571–581, 2006. MR-2248228
- [21] J. S. Langer. Models of pattern formation in first-order phase transitions. In *Directions in condensed matter physics*, volume 1 of *World Sci. Ser. Dir. Condensed Matter Phys.*, pages 165–186. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1986. MR-0873138
- [22] S. Martinez, P. Picco, and J. San Martin. Domain of attraction of quasi-stationary distributions for the Brownian motion with drift. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 30(2):385–408, 1998. MR-1642845
- [23] H. P. McKean. Application of Brownian motion to the equation of Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(3):323–331, 1975. MR-0400428
- [24] J. Neveu. Multiplicative martingales for spatial branching processes. *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, Birkhauser, in: E. Cinlar, K.L. Chung, R.K. Gettoor (Eds.)*, pages 223–241, 1987. MR-0896718
- [25] A. Papapantoleon. *An Introduction to Lévy Processes with Applications in Finance*. 2008.
- [26] E. Seneta and D. Vere-Jones. On quasi-stationary distributions in discrete-time Markov chains with a denumerable infinity of states. *J. Appl. Probability*, 3:403–434, 1966. MR-0207047
- [27] P. Tuominen and R. L. Tweedie. Exponential decay and ergodicity of general Markov processes and their discrete skeletons. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 11(4):784–803, 1979. MR-0544195
- [28] E.A. van Doorn. Quasi-stationary distributions and convergence to quasi-stationarity of birth-death processes. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 23(4):683–700, 1991. MR-1133722
- [29] W. van Saarloos. Three basic issues concerning interface dynamics in nonequilibrium pattern formation. *Physics reports*, 301(1):9–43, 1998.

---

# Electronic Journal of Probability

## Electronic Communications in Probability

---

### Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS<sup>1</sup>)
- Easy interface (EJMS<sup>2</sup>)

### Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS<sup>3</sup>, BS<sup>4</sup>, ProjectEuclid<sup>5</sup>
- Purely electronic

### Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund<sup>6</sup> (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

---

<sup>1</sup>LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe <http://www.lockss.org/>

<sup>2</sup>EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System <http://www.vtex.lt/en/ejms.html>

<sup>3</sup>IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics <http://www.imstat.org/>

<sup>4</sup>BS: Bernoulli Society <http://www.bernoulli-society.org/>

<sup>5</sup>Project Euclid: <https://projecteuclid.org/>

<sup>6</sup>IMS Open Access Fund: <http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm>