

Some Remarkable Degenerations of Quantum Groups

C. De Concini¹, V. G. Kac^{2, *}, and C. Procesi³

¹ Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy

² Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

³ Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Roma “La Sapienza”, Rome, Italy

Received November 12, 1992; in revised form April 2, 1993

To Armand Borel on his 70th birthday

Abstract. We show that an irreducible representation of a quantized enveloping algebra U_ε at a l^{th} root of 1 has maximal dimension ($= l^N$) if the corresponding symplectic leaf has maximal dimension ($= 2N$). The method of the proof consists of a construction of a sequence of degenerations of U_ε , the last one being a q -commutative algebra $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$. This allows us to reduce many problems concerning U_ε to that concerning $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$.

Introduction

In the papers [DC-K, DC-K-P] the quantized enveloping algebras introduced by Drinfeld and Jimbo have been studied in the case $q = \varepsilon$, a primitive l^{th} root of 1 with l odd (cf. Sect. 4 for the basic definitions and relevant theorems). Let us recall for the moment only that such algebras are canonically constructed starting from a symmetrizable Cartan matrix of finite type and in particular we can talk of the associated classical objects (the root system, the simply connected algebraic group G , etc.). For such an algebra the irreducible representations have dimension bounded by $d := l^N$, where N is the number of positive roots, and the set of irreducible representations has a canonical map, called the restricted central character, to the *big cell* of the group G . In the same papers it has been shown in a precise sense that the representations look alike over points lying in the same conjugacy classes, and thus it is natural to analyze the structure of the representations associated to a given conjugacy class. This seems to be a rather difficult task. It is clear, however, that the structure of an irreducible representation V is closely related to the geometry of the corresponding conjugacy class \mathcal{C}_V . In particular, we conjectured in [DC-K-P] that $\dim V$ is always divisible by $l^{\frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{C}_V}$ (cf. [W-K]).

* Supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-9103792

In this paper we succeed in treating the case of regular classes, i.e. conjugacy classes of maximal dimension. For these classes we prove our main theorem which we reformulate here as follows:

Theorem 5.1. *The irreducible representations having as restricted central character a regular element have maximal dimension d .*

The method used to prove this theorem may have an independent interest and consists of a degeneration argument (Lemma 1.5). In practice we degenerate our noncommutative algebra to a much simpler algebra (a twisted polynomial algebra) for which the representation theory is very simple. We prove that the representations we are analyzing degenerate in a suitable sense to representations of maximal dimension d , then an obvious semicontinuity of the dimension finishes the proof.

There are some interesting features in this degeneration argument which are connected to the combinatorics of the root system and the structure of the central characters of the fundamental representations of the algebraic group G , considered as functions on the big cell. These topics are explained in Sects. 3 and 4.

The structure of the paper is the following. In Sects. 1 and 2 we develop the necessary noncommutative algebra, i.e. a general theory of filtered algebras, their associated Rees and graded algebras and representations of twisted polynomial rings. Some of these results are well known but not easily traceable in the literature. In Sect. 3 we discuss the combinatorial aspects of root systems which are necessary for the study of the twisted polynomial algebra to which the quantum group degenerates. In Sect. 4 we recall the theory of quantum groups necessary for this paper, and finally in Sect. 5 we formulate the main theorems and give their proofs.

The variety of representations of the quantum group U_ϵ provides a large set of solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation. These solutions have been already used in the study of the generalized chiral Potts models. We feel that our degeneration argument may help in obtaining explicit construction of representations of U_ϵ and thereby finding new solutions to the Yang-Baxter equations on the one hand, and may clarify the structure of relevant statistical models on the other hand. We are grateful to the referee for suggesting to add comments about relevance to problems in physics.

1. Finite Dimensional Representations of Algebras and Filtrations

1.1. In this section we will collect some well known definitions and properties of finite dimensional representations of algebras. Let A be an associative algebra with a unit element 1 over a field \mathbb{F} and let us denote by $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} . For an algebra A we denote by $\text{Spec } A$ the set of all equivalence classes of finite dimensional irreducible representations over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ so that, if A is a finitely generated commutative algebra over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$ we are in fact thinking of the maximal spectrum. If Z is the center of A , then (by Schur's lemma) we have a canonical map (the central character map)

$$\text{Spec } A \xrightarrow{\chi} \text{Spec } Z. \quad (1.1.1)$$

A good theory of finite dimensional representations can be developed when the algebra A is finitely generated over \mathbb{F} , is a finite module over its center Z (this already implies that every irreducible module is finite dimensional) and has a suitable trace map (cf. [A] and [P1-2-3-4]). Let us first consider A to be an order in a finite dimensional central simple algebra D . This means that the center Z is a domain, A is torsion free over Z and, we have $D = A \otimes_Z Q(Z)$, where $Q(Z)$ is the field

of fractions of Z . A embeds naturally in D which is its *ring of fractions*. If $\overline{Q(Z)}$ denotes the algebraic closure of $Q(Z)$ we have that $A \otimes_Z \overline{Q(Z)}$ is the full ring $M_d(\overline{Q(Z)})$ of $d \times d$ matrices over $\overline{Q(Z)}$. Hence we have on D (and on A) the usual trace map $\text{tr} : D \rightarrow Q(Z)$. It is well-known that $\text{tr}(A) \subset Z$ if A is a maximal order [P1].

Definition 1.1. (a) *The number d is called the degree of A .*

(b) *A d -dimensional representation of A is a homomorphism $\varrho : A \rightarrow M_d(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$ compatible with the trace map.*

Given a finitely generated algebra $A = \mathbb{F}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$ and an integer d , a d -dimensional representation with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ is a homomorphism of A to $M_d(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$ and hence it is given by an n -tuple of $d \times d$ matrices satisfying some algebraic equations. Hence the set of such representations is an affine algebraic subvariety of the space of n -tuples of matrices, closed under the action by conjugation by the general linear group. It is known cf. ([A]) that the closed orbits of this action are the semisimple representations, and that given any representation the associated semisimple representation lies in the closure of its orbit. Thus one can apply invariant theory to this setting. In particular if we are in characteristic 0 we have that all invariants of n -tuples of matrices are generated by traces of monomials. This suggests ([P4]) to introduce a category of algebras with trace, of which maximal orders are a special case. The idea is that once we have a trace map in an algebra in characteristic 0 we can define formally the elementary symmetric functions in the eigenvalues of any element a by declaring that $\text{tr}(a^k)$ should be the sum of the k^{th} powers and using the formal identities between elementary symmetric functions and power sums. Then we can formally define for every integer d a *characteristic polynomial* $\chi_{d,a}[t]$ for any element a in A . This formal polynomial is useful in representation theory if we have the formal Cayley-Hamilton theorem, that is if $\chi_{d,a}[a] = 0$ for every a . In this case we have a canonical commutative algebra B with an action of the group $PGL(d, \mathbb{F})$ and an isomorphism of A with the subring of $M_d(B) = M_d(\mathbb{F}) \otimes B$ of invariants under the diagonal action of $PGL(d, \mathbb{F})$. The ring of invariants of B under the action of $PGL(d, \mathbb{F})$ equals the image C in A of the trace map, its spectrum can be identified with the equivalence classes of semisimple representations of A of dimension d and compatible with the trace map.

Some consequences of this picture are the following. Suppose A is as before. Fixing any positive integer k we can define a new trace $\text{tr}_k(a) := k\text{tr}(a)$, and it is easy to see that, if A satisfies the d^{th} characteristic polynomial under the original trace, it does satisfy the kd^{th} characteristic polynomial under the new trace. Then the same algebra C parametrizes equivalence classes of kd -dimensional semisimple representations and it is easy to see that these are just obtained from the previous d -dimensional representations by considering each such representation with multiplicity k .

A second consequence is following. Suppose that $Z \subset C$ is a subring and that C is a finite extension of Z of degree h . Consider the reduced trace $\text{tr}_{C/Z} : C \rightarrow Z$ and the composition $\text{tr}_{A/Z}(a) := \text{tr}_{C/Z}(\text{tr}(a))$. Then under the same hypotheses as before the algebra A equipped with this new trace satisfies the hd^{th} characteristic polynomial and $\text{Spec } Z$ parametrizes equivalence classes of hd -dimensional representations. The picture is the following. Call $\pi : \text{Spec } C \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z$ the finite map of spectra. Given a point $P \in \text{Spec } Z$ one defines $\pi^{-1}(P) = \sum h_i P_i$ as a positive 0 cycle. Each P_i corresponds to a semisimple representation ρ_i of A of dimension d and thus P corresponds to $\sum h_i p_i$.

We shall assume that A is a finitely generated algebra over a field \mathbb{F} and that it is an order closed under trace and let Z be its center. Then using the above arguments one obtains [A, P1]:

- Theorem 1.1.** (a) Z is finitely generated and A is a finite module over Z .
 (b) The points of $\text{Spec } Z$ parametrize equivalence classes of d -dimensional semisimple representations.
 (c) The canonical map $\text{Spec } A \xrightarrow{X} \text{Spec } Z$ is surjective and each fiber consists of all those irreducible representations of A which are irreducible components of the corresponding semisimple representation. In particular each irreducible representation of A has dimension at most d .
 (d) The set

$$\Omega_A = \{a \in \text{Spec } Z \mid \text{the corresponding semisimple representation is irreducible}\}$$

is a non-empty Zariski open set. \square

If Z is finitely generated module over a subalgebra Z_0 , we can consider the finite surjective morphism

$$\text{Spec } Z \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{Spec } Z_0.$$

Then by the properness of τ we get that the set $\Omega_A^0 := \{a \in \text{Spec } Z_0 \mid \tau^{-1}(a) \subset \Omega_A\}$ is a Zariski dense open subset of $\text{Spec } Z_0$.

For a given algebra A the problem of the study of its spectrum can be thus naturally divided in two steps. First one has to develop a geometric description of $\text{Spec } Z$, then for each point of $\text{Spec } Z$ we need a description of the corresponding semisimple representations, i.e. of its irreducible components and multiplicities. In Sect. 5 we will discuss this problem in the case of quantum groups using a degeneration method based on suitable filtrations. In the rest of Sect. 1 we shall develop the necessary formalism.

1.2. An algebra A is called (\mathbb{Z}_+) -filtered if $A = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_j$ is a union of \mathbb{F} -submodules A_j such that the following two properties hold:

$$1 \in A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots, \tag{1.2.1}$$

$$A_i A_j \subset A_{i+j}. \tag{1.2.2}$$

Let $\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (A_j/A_{j-1})$ be the associated graded algebra. Given $a \in A$, we let $\text{deg } a$ be the minimal j for which $a \in A_j$, and let \bar{a} be the image of a in A_j/A_{j-1} . For a subset S of A we let $\bar{S} = \{\bar{a} \in \bar{A} \mid a \in S\}$. For an ideal I we will, by abuse of notations, indicate by \bar{I} not just the previously defined set of homogeneous elements but also their (direct) sum.

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be a filtered algebra.*

(a) *If $a, b \in A$ and $\bar{a}\bar{b} \neq 0$, then $\overline{ab} = \bar{a}\bar{b}$; in particular if \bar{A} has no zero divisors then A has no zero divisors.*

(b) *Let B be a subalgebra of A with induced filtration. Let $\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots$ be homogeneous generators of the left \bar{B} -module \bar{A} . Let $a_1, a_2, \dots \in A$ be such that $\bar{a}_1 = \underline{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 = \underline{a}_2, \dots$. Then any element a of A can be written in the form*

$$a = \sum_i b_i a_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \text{deg } a_i + \text{deg } b_i \leq \text{deg } a.$$

Proof. (a) is standard. In order to prove (b) note that we may write $\bar{a} = \sum_i b_i a_i$, where b_i are some homogeneous elements of \bar{B} such that $\deg a_i + \deg b_i = \deg \bar{a}$. Taking $b_i \in B$ such that $\bar{b}_i = b_i$, we obtain $a = \sum_i b_i a_i + a'$, where $\deg a' < \deg a$, and we apply the inductive assumption to a' (in degree 0 it is clear). \square

1.3. Let A be a filtered algebra and let $A[t]$ (resp. $A[t, t^{-1}]$) denote the ring of polynomials (resp. Laurent polynomials) over A . The Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(A)$ of A is the following subalgebra of $A[t]$:

$$\mathcal{R}(A) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} A_j t^j.$$

The following properties of the algebra $\mathcal{R}(A)$ are obvious:

- Lemma 1.3.** (a) *If A has no zero divisors, then the same is true for $\mathcal{R}(A)$.*
 (b) *If \bar{A} is generated by homogeneous elements $\underline{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, \dots$ of degree r_1, r_2, \dots , then $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is generated by the elements $t, t^{r_1} a_1, t^{r_2} a_2, \dots$, where the a_i lift the \underline{a}_i .*
 (c) $A[t, t^{-1}] = \mathcal{R}(A)[t^{-1}]$.
 (d) $\mathcal{R}(A)/(t) \simeq \bar{A}$.
 (e) $B \subset A$ is a subalgebra with induced filtration, then $\mathcal{R}(B) \subset \mathcal{R}(A)$. \square

Remark 1.3. It follows from Lemma 1.3c that

$$\text{degree } \bar{A} \leq \text{degree } \mathcal{R}(A). \tag{1.3.1}$$

From part (d) of the same lemma we deduce

$$\text{degree } \bar{A} \leq \text{degree } A. \tag{1.3.2}$$

The following proposition follows from Lemma 1.2b.

Proposition 1.3. *Let A be a filtered algebra, and let B be a subalgebra of A . Let a_1, a_2, \dots be elements of A of degrees r_1, r_2, \dots such that \bar{A} is a left \bar{B} -module on generators $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2, \dots$. Then $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is a left $\mathcal{R}(B)$ -module on generators $t^{r_1} a_1, t^{r_2} a_2, \dots$. \square*

1.4. **Lemma 1.4.** *Let A be a filtered algebra and I its ideal, then \bar{I} is an ideal in \bar{A} , and if H is the ideal of $A[t, t^{-1}]$ generated by I , then in \bar{A} we have:*

$$(H \cap \mathcal{R}(A) + t\mathcal{R}(A))/t\mathcal{R}(A) = \bar{I}.$$

Proof. Clear. \square

In general it is difficult to determine generators for \bar{I} , therefore the next proposition is particularly useful when it can be applied.

Proposition 1.4. *Let A be a commutative filtered algebra and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ be such that $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$ is a regular sequence of \bar{A} . Let $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the ideal of A generated by a_1, \dots, a_n . Then*

- (a) a_1, \dots, a_n is a regular sequence in A .
 (b) The ideal \bar{I} of \bar{A} is generated by the elements $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n$.

Proof. (a) Suppose that a_1, \dots, a_n is not a regular sequence of A . Then there exist a $k \leq n$ and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in A$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j b_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_k \notin (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}). \tag{1.4.1}$$

We may assume that $d := \max_i \deg a_i b_i$ is minimal possible for all such relations and (reordering if necessary) that for some $m \geq 1$:

$$d = \deg a_1 b_1 = \dots = \deg a_m b_m \text{ and } d > \deg a_j b_j \text{ for } j > m. \tag{1.4.2}$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{a}_i \bar{b}_i = 0. \tag{1.4.3}$$

This reorders the a_i , but since \bar{A} is graded and the elements $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_m$ are homogeneous we have that $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_m$ is a regular sequence in \bar{A} and the corresponding first Koszul homology group $H_1(\bar{A}; \bar{a}_1; \dots, \bar{a}_m)$ vanishes [B]. Hence (1.4.3) implies that there exists a skew-symmetric $m \times m$ matrix \bar{B} with homogeneous entries over \bar{A} such that

$$(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m) = (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_m) \bar{B}.$$

Let B be a skew-symmetric matrix over A whose image in \bar{A} is \bar{B} . Let

$$(b'_1, \dots, b'_m) = (a_1, \dots, a_m) B. \tag{1.4.4}$$

Then $\bar{b}'_i = \bar{b}_i$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b'_i = 0 \quad (\text{since } B \text{ is antisymmetric}). \tag{1.4.5}$$

Let $b''_i = b_i - b'_i$ with $b'_i = 0$ for $i > m$. We have: $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b''_i = 0$ by (1.4.1) and (1.4.5), and $\max_i \deg a_i b''_i < d$. Since $b'_i \in (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots)$ by (1.4.4) (recall that the diagonal entries of B are zero) we obtain a contradiction with (1.4.1).

The proof of (b) is similar. Let $x \in I$, if we can find an expression $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$ such that, setting $d = \max_i \deg a_i b_i$, we have $d = \deg x$, we are clearly done. Suppose this is not the case and choose an expression for which $d > \deg x$ is minimal. As in (1.4.2) assume $\deg a_i b_i = d$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ while $\deg a_i b_i < d$ for $i > m$. Thus we have $\sum_{i=1}^m \bar{a}_i \bar{b}_i = 0$ and as before we can find b'_1, \dots, b'_m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b'_i = 0$ and $\deg a_i (b_i - b'_i) < d$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, reaching a contradiction. \square

1.5. Let A be an order closed under trace in a central simple algebra D , let Z be the center of A and $Q(Z)$ that of D as in Sect. 1.1.

Definition 1.5. A trace filtration for A is a filtration A_i such that:

- (a) $\text{tr}(A_i) \subset A_i$.
- (b) \bar{A} is finitely generated.

Proposition 1.5. *Let A be an order in D closed under trace and with a trace filtration, then $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is a finitely generated order in $D(t)$ closed under trace.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3c it follows immediately that $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is an order in $D(t)$, but by Lemma 1.3b both A and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ are finitely generated. The assumptions on the filtration imply that $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed under trace. \square

The following simple lemma is of crucial importance for this paper.

Lemma 1.5. *Let A be a filtered algebra such that \bar{A} is a finitely generated order of the same degree as A , and let Z_0 be a central subalgebra of A such that \bar{Z}_0 is finitely generated and \bar{A} is a finitely generated module over \bar{Z}_0 . Let I be an ideal of Z_0 and \bar{I} the associated graded ideal of \bar{Z}_0 . Let \mathcal{O} (resp. \mathcal{O}_1) be the set of zeros of I (resp. \bar{I}) in $\text{Spec } Z_0$ (resp. $\text{Spec } \bar{Z}_0$). Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \Omega_{\bar{A}}^0 \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{O} \cap \Omega_A^0 \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Consider the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(A)$ of A . Its subalgebra $\mathcal{R}(Z_0)$ is central and by Proposition 1.3, $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}(Z_0)$ -module. We have seen that

$$\text{degree } \mathcal{R}(A) = \text{degree } A \tag{1.5.1}$$

and by the hypothesis:

$$\text{degree } (\bar{A}) = \text{degree } A, \tag{1.5.2}$$

hence

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}(A)}^0 \cap \text{Spec } \bar{Z}_0 = \Omega_{\bar{A}}^0. \tag{1.5.3}$$

Clearly

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{R}(A)}^0 \supset \Omega_{A[t, t^{-1}]}^0 = \Omega_A^0 \times \mathbb{F}^\times, \tag{1.5.4}$$

and by Proposition 1.4 we have:

$$\overline{(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{F}^\times)} \cap \text{Spec } \bar{Z}_0 = \mathcal{O}_1.$$

Hence $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}(A)}^0 \cap \overline{(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{F}^\times)} \supset \Omega_A^0 \cap \overline{(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{F}^\times)} \neq \emptyset$ (where $\overline{(\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{F}^\times)}$ stands for Zariski closure of $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{F}^\times$) since $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \Omega_{\bar{A}}^0 \neq \emptyset$ by the hypothesis. It follows that $\Omega_{\mathcal{R}(A)}^0$ intersects with $\mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{F}^\times$ in a non-empty open subset. But, obviously, this intersection is $(\mathcal{O} \cap \Omega_A^0) \times \mathbb{F}^\times$. It follows that $\mathcal{O} \cap \Omega_A^0 \neq \emptyset$. \square

2. Representation Theory of Twisted Polynomial Algebras

2.1. Let A be an algebra and let σ be an automorphism of A . The twisted polynomial algebra $A_\sigma[x]$ in the indeterminate x is the \mathbb{F} -module $A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}[x]$ with multiplication

$$(a \otimes x^m)(b \otimes x^n) = a\sigma^m(b) \otimes x^{m+n}.$$

We may similarly consider the twisted Laurent polynomial algebra $A_\sigma[x, x^{-1}]$. It is clear that if A has no zero divisors, then the algebras $A_\sigma[x]$ and $A_\sigma[x, x^{-1}]$ also have no zero divisors.

Lemma 2.1. *If M is an irreducible module over $A_\sigma[x]$, then there are two possibilities:*

- (i) $x = 0$, hence M is actually an A -module,
- (ii) x is invertible, hence M is actually an $A_\sigma[x, x^{-1}]$ -module.

Proof. It is clear that $\text{Im}(x)$ and $\text{Ker}(x)$ are submodules of M . \square

2.2. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and $q \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ a given element. Given an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix $H = (h_{ij})$ over \mathbb{Z} , we construct the twisted polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. This is the algebra on generators x_1, \dots, x_n and the following defining relations:

$$x_i x_j = q^{h_{ij}} x_j x_i \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

It can be viewed as an iterated twisted polynomial algebra with respect to any ordering of the indeterminates x_i . Similarly, we can define the twisted Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$. Both algebras have no zero divisors.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1, we have

Lemma 2.2. *In any irreducible $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module each element x_i is either 0 or invertible. \square*

Given $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we shall write $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$. The torus $\mathbb{F}^{\times n}$ acts by automorphisms of the algebra $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ in the usual way, the monomial x^a being a weight vector of weight a . Consider the group G of inner automorphisms of the Laurent polynomials generated by conjugation by the variables x_i . Clearly G induces a group of automorphisms of the twisted polynomial algebra which are in this torus of automorphisms. In fact one can formalize this as follows: Let $\Gamma := \{\alpha x^a \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}^\times\}$ be the set of non-zero monomials. Then Γ is a group, \mathbb{F}^\times is a central subgroup and Γ/\mathbb{F}^\times is free abelian, the homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^\times)^n$ given by considering the associated inner automorphisms has as kernel the monomials in the center.

Let ε be a primitive ℓ^{th} root of 1 in \mathbb{F} and take now $q = \varepsilon$. We consider the matrix H as a matrix of a homomorphism $H : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n$, and we denote by K the kernel of H and by h the cardinality of the image of H .

Proposition 2.2. (a) *The elements x^a with $a \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ (resp. $a \in K$) form a basis of the center of $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$).*

(b) *Let $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(h)}$ be a set of representatives of $\mathbb{Z}^n \bmod K$. Then the monomials $x^{a^{(1)}}, \dots, x^{a^{(h)}}$ form a basis of the algebra $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ over its center.*

(c) *degree $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \text{degree } \mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] = \sqrt{h}$.*

Proof. Define a skewsymmetric bilinear form on \mathbb{Z}^n by letting for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \langle a|b \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij} a_i b_j$. Then we have

$$x^a x^b = \varepsilon^{\langle a|b \rangle} x^b x^a. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Since the center is invariant with respect to the action of $\mathbb{F}^{\times n}$, it must have a basis of elements of the form x^a . This together with (2.2.1) implies (a).

(b) follows from (a) and the fact that

$$x^a x^b = \varepsilon^{c(a,b)} x^{a+b}, \text{ where } c(a,b) = \sum_{i>j} h_{ij} a_i b_j. \tag{2.2.2}$$

(c) follows from (b). \square

It is quite easy to see that the twisted polynomial algebras we are considering are closed under trace [DC-K] and in fact from (2.2.1) one can easily deduce a formula for the trace

$$\text{tr}(x^a) = 0 \text{ if } x^a \text{ is not in the center.} \tag{2.2.3}$$

2.3. Recall that an algebra A over a commutative ring Z is called an Azumaya algebra of degree d if there exists a faithfully flat ring extension Z' of Z such that $A \otimes Z'$ is isomorphic to the full algebra of $d \times d$ matrices over Z' .

Suppose now that \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field and that A is a prime algebra over \mathbb{F} (i.e. $aAb = 0$, $a, b \in A$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$), which is finitely generated module over a finitely generated subalgebra Z_0 of the center Z . Then A is an Azumaya

algebra over Z if and only if all irreducible representations of A have the same dimension ($= d$) [A-P1].

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field. Then any Laurent quasipolynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ is an Azumaya algebra over its center. In particular, all irreducible representations of the algebra $\mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which all $x_i \neq 0$ have dimension \sqrt{h} .*

Proof. Let $Z_0 = \{x^a | a \in (\ell\mathbb{Z})^n\}$. This is a finitely generated central subalgebra over which the algebra $A := \mathbb{F}_H[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ is finitely generated. Recall that we have the surjective map $\chi_0 : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z_0$ and that the set $\Omega_A^0 = \{a \in \text{Spec } Z_0 | \chi_0^{-1}(a) \text{ consists of representations of maximal dimension}\}$ is a dense open subset of $\text{Spec } Z_0$ (see Sect. 1.2). But the group $\mathbb{F}^{\times n}$ of automorphisms of A acts transitively on $\text{Spec } Z_0$, hence $\Omega_A^0 = \text{Spec } Z_0$, proving the proposition. \square

2.4. Let $A := \mathbb{F}_H[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be as in Sect. 2.2 (of degree \sqrt{h}). Recall that the torus $T := \mathbb{F}^{\times n}$ acts by automorphisms of A and hence of its center, so that the representation picture looks like a non-commutative version of affine torus embeddings. First of all remark that, by Lemma 2.2, the vanishing of the central element x_i^l in an irreducible representation implies the vanishing of x_i . Thus it is natural to stratify the $\text{Spec } A$ according to the set S of indices i for which $x_i^l \neq 0$ and remark that this stratification is just the stratification by orbits under T . Let A_S denote the twisted Laurent polynomial algebra in the variables $x_i, i \in S$. From Sect. 2.3 we have that A_S is an Azumaya algebra whose degree d_S is computed as in Proposition 2.2 by restricting the homomorphism H to the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n formed by the vectors with zero coordinates in the indices not in S , i.e. by analyzing the skew submatrix H_S of H which defines A_S . The spectrum of its center is isomorphic to a quotient T_S of the torus T .

On the other hand we can pass from A to A_S as follows. First we can invert in A the elements $x_i, i \in S$, to get an algebra which we may call A'_S . In A'_S we have the ideal I_S generated by the variables $x_i, i \notin S$, and we clearly have that $A_S = A'_S/I_S$. The center of A'_S is the center of A localized at the elements x_i^l and its points parametrize equivalence classes of semisimple representations of degree \sqrt{h} , where the central character is non-zero in the $x_i^l, i \in S$. The algebra A_S inherits from A'_S a trace map tr with values in the quotient Z'_S of the center of A'_S by the ideal generated by the elements $x_i, i \in S$. It is not hard to see that the picture is the following. In A_S we have the center Z_S and its subring Z'_S over which Z_S is finite. The spectrum of Z'_S is also isomorphic to a quotient T'_S of the torus T and T'_S is a quotient T_S/Γ by a finite subgroup Γ . In particular each fiber of the map $\pi : \text{Spec } Z_S \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z'_S$ is reduced and consists of a coset of the finite group Γ . We have several trace maps: the reduced trace tr_{A_S/Z_S} to the center, the trace of the finite map tr_{Z_S/Z'_S} and the composition tr_{A_S/Z'_S} . From (2.2.3) and the torus description it follows that there exists a positive integer d such that $\text{tr} = d \text{tr}_{A_S/Z'_S}$. From this an Sect. 1.1 it follows that each point of the spectrum of Z'_S corresponds to a semisimple representation which is obtained counting with multiplicity d each irreducible representations of A_S appearing in the fiber of the map $\pi : \text{Spec } Z_S \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z'_S$. Of course we have: $d|\Gamma|d_S = \sqrt{h}$.

This program will be developed in Sect. 3 for a particular skew-symmetric matrix arising from root systems which, as we shall see in Sects. 4, 5 gives rise to the twisted polynomial ring to which the quantum group degenerates.

3. Some Properties of Finite Root Systems

3.1. Let (a_{ij}) be a $n \times n$ -matrix with integer entries such that $(i, j = 1, \dots, n)$:

$$a_{ii} = 2, a_{ij} \leq 0 \text{ if } i \neq j, \tag{3.1.1}$$

and there exists a vector (d_1, \dots, d_n) with relatively prime positive integral entries d_i such that

$$(d_i a_{ij}) \text{ is a symmetric positive definite matrix.} \tag{3.1.2}$$

Of course (a_{ij}) is a Cartan matrix, to which there is associated finite reduced root system R , its weight and root lattices P and Q , the Weyl group W , a set of positive roots R^+ , the set of simple roots Π , the fundamental weights $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$, etc. Let us recall for convenience the basic definitions.

Let P be a lattice over \mathbb{Z} with basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ and let $Q^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice with dual basis $\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee$, i.e. $\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Let $P_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_+ \omega_i$. Let

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i, \alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \omega_i \quad (j = 1, \dots, n),$$

and let $Q = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j \subset P$, and $Q_+ = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_+ \alpha_j$. Define the usual partial ordering on P by $\lambda \geq \mu$ if $\lambda - \mu \in Q_+$. For $\beta = \sum_i k_i \alpha_i \in Q$ let $ht \beta = \sum_i k_i$.

Define automorphisms s_i of P by $s_i(\omega_j) = \omega_j - \delta_{ij} \alpha_i$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$). Then $s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - a_{ij} \alpha_i$. Let W be the subgroup of $GL(P)$ generated by s_1, \dots, s_n . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}, \Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee\}, \\ R &= W\Pi, R^+ = R \cap Q_+, R^\vee = W\Pi^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

The map $\alpha_i \mapsto \alpha_i^\vee$ extends uniquely to a bijective W -equivariant map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee$ between R and R^\vee . The reflection s_α defined by $s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ lies in W for each $\alpha \in R$, so that $s_{\alpha_i} = s_i$.

Define a bilinear pairing $P \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $(\omega_i | \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij} d_j$. Then $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = d_i a_{ij}$, giving a symmetric \mathbb{Z} -valued W -invariant bilinear form on Q such that $(\alpha | \alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. We may identify Q^\vee with a sublattice of the \mathbb{Q} -span of P (containing Q) using this form. Then:

$$\alpha_i^\vee = d_i^{-1} \alpha_i, \alpha^\vee = 2\alpha / (\alpha | \alpha). \tag{3.1.3}$$

3.2. Let now ω_0 be the longest element of W so that $\omega_0(R^+) = -R^+$, $\omega_0(\Pi) = -\Pi$ and $\omega_0(P_+) = -P_+$. For $\alpha \in R^+$ (resp. $\lambda \in P_+$) we let ${}^t\alpha = -\omega_0(\alpha) \in R^+$ (resp. ${}^t\lambda = -\omega_0(\lambda)$). For a fundamental weight ω , the weight ${}^t\omega$ is also fundamental. Fix a reduced expression

$$\omega_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_N}, \text{ where } N = |R^+|. \tag{3.2.1}$$

and consider the corresponding *convex* ordering of R^+ :

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \beta_2 = s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}) \dots, \beta_N = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_{i_N}).$$

(The name ‘‘convex’’ refers to the property that if $i < j$ and $\beta_i + \beta_j \in R^+$, then $\beta_i + \beta_j = \beta_k$ for some k between i and j .)

Given a simple root $\alpha \in \Pi$, let

$$I_\alpha = \{t \in \mathbb{Z} | 1 \leq t \leq N, s_{i_t} = s_\alpha\}, \tag{3.2.2}$$

so that the set $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ is a disjoint union of the sets I_α .

Lemma 3.2. *Fix a simple root α and let ω be the corresponding fundamental weight. Let $k_1 < \dots < k_r$ be all elements of the set I_α . For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq t \leq r$, let*

$$\lambda_t = s_{\beta_{k_t}} \dots s_{\beta_{k_1}}(\omega), \mu_t = -s_{\beta_{k_{r-t+1}}} \dots s_{\beta_{k_r}}({}^t\omega).$$

Then:

(a) $\lambda_t = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{k_t}}(\omega)$; in particular $\lambda_r = -{}^t\omega$.

(b) If $k_t < j < k_{t+1}$, then $\langle \lambda_t, \beta_j^\vee \rangle = 0$.

(c) $\langle \lambda_t, \beta_{k_{t+1}}^\vee \rangle = 1$.

(d) $\lambda_t = \omega - \sum_{i=1}^t \beta_{k_i}$.

Similarly:

(a') $\mu_t = \lambda_{r-t}$; in particular, $\mu_r = \omega$.

(b') If $k_{r-t} < j < k_{r-t+1}$, then $\langle \mu_t, \beta_j^\vee \rangle = 0$.

(c') $\langle \mu_t, \beta_{k_{r-t}}^\vee \rangle = -1$.

(d') $-s_{\beta_{k_1}} \dots s_{\beta_{k_r}}({}^t\omega) = -{}^t\omega + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_{k_i} = \omega$.

Proof. If $k_t < j < k_{t+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_t &= s_{\beta_{k_t}} \dots s_{\beta_{k_1}}(\omega) = (s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_t-1}} s_{i_{k_t}} s_{i_{k_t-1}} \dots s_{i_1}) \\ &\quad \times (s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_{t-1}-1}} s_{i_{k_{t-1}}} s_{i_{k_{t-1}-1}} \dots s_{i_1}) \dots (s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_1-1}} s_{i_{k_1}} s_{i_{k_1-1}} \dots s_{i_1}) \\ &= s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_1}} \tilde{w}(\omega), \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{w} doesn't contain s_α . This proves (a).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_t, \beta_j^\vee \rangle &= \langle s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_t}}(\omega), s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{j-1}}(\alpha_j^\vee) \rangle = \langle s_{i_{j-1}} \dots s_{i_{k_t+1}}(\omega), \alpha_j^\vee \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

proving (b).

By (a) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_t, \beta_{k_{t+1}}^\vee \rangle &= \langle s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_t}}(\omega), s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_{t+1}-1}} \alpha^\vee \rangle = \langle s_{k_{t+1}-1} \dots s_{k_{t+1}}(\omega), \alpha^\vee \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 1, \end{aligned}$$

proving (c). (d) follows from (c).

Furthermore, we have:

$$\mu_t = s_{\beta_{k_{r-t+1}}} \dots s_{\beta_{k_r}} s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}(\omega).$$

As in the proof of (a), replacing in this equality each s_{β_k} by the corresponding conjugate of s_{i_k} , we obtain $\mu_t = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_{r-t}}} \tilde{w}(\omega)$, where \tilde{w} does not contain s_α .

Hence $\mu_t = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{k_{r-t}}}(\omega) = \lambda_{r-t}$ by (a).

Now (b') follows from (b) and (d') from (d). Finally, $\langle \mu_t, \beta_{k_{r-t}}^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda_{r-t}, \beta_{k_{r-t}}^\vee \rangle = \langle s_{\beta_{k_{r-t}}} \lambda_{r-t-1}, \beta_{k_{r-t}}^\vee \rangle = -\langle \lambda_{r-t-1}, \beta_{k_{r-t}}^\vee \rangle = -1$ by (b), proving (c'). \square

Corollary 3.2. (a) $\langle \beta_\ell^\vee, \omega \rangle = \sum_{k_i < \ell} \langle \beta_\ell^\vee, \beta_{k_i} \rangle$ if $\ell \notin I_\alpha$.

(b) $\langle \beta_{k_t}^\vee, \omega \rangle = 1 + \sum_{i < t} \langle \beta_{k_t}^\vee, \beta_{k_i} \rangle$ if $k_t \in I_\alpha$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2a,d we have:

$\langle \beta_\ell^\vee, \omega - \sum_{k_i < \ell} \beta_{k_i} \rangle = \langle s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{\ell-1}}(\alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee), s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{\ell-1}}(\omega) \rangle = \langle \alpha_{i_\ell}^\vee, \omega \rangle = 0$, proving (a).

Similarly, $\langle \beta_{k_t}^\vee, \omega - \sum_{i < t} \beta_{k_i} \rangle = \langle \alpha^\vee, \omega \rangle = 1$. \square

3.3. Consider the free $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -modules V_+ with basis $\varphi_{\beta_1}, \dots, \varphi_{\beta_n}$, V_- with basis $\varphi_{-\beta_1}, \dots, \varphi_{-\beta_n}$ and V_0 with basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$. On the $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ -module $V = V_+ \oplus V_- \oplus V_0$ define a skew symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ by the following formulas:

$$\begin{cases} \langle V_- | V_+ \rangle = 0, \langle V_0 | V_0 \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \varphi_{\beta_i} | \varphi_{\beta_j} \rangle = -\langle \varphi_{-\beta_i} | \varphi_{-\beta_j} \rangle = (\beta_i | \beta_j) \text{ if } i < j, \\ \langle \omega_i | \varphi_{\beta_j} \rangle = -\langle \omega_i | \varphi_{-\beta_j} \rangle = (\omega_i | \beta_j). \end{cases} \quad (3.3.1)$$

Introduce the matrices:

$$A = (\langle \varphi_{\beta_i} | \varphi_{\beta_j} \rangle)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}, \quad B = ((\omega_i | \beta_j))_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq N}.$$

Then the matrix S of the skew symmetric form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ in the above basis has the form:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & -{}^t B \\ 0 & -A & {}^t B \\ B & -B & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3.2)$$

Proposition 3.3. All non-zero elementary divisors of the matrix S over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ are $\frac{1}{2}(\beta_i | \beta_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, each repeated twice.

Proof. Consider the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} I_N & I_N & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}I_N & \frac{1}{2}I_N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_N \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2N + n, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]).$$

Let $S' = CS^tC$. We have:

$$S' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A & 0 \\ -A & 0 & {}^t B \\ 0 & -B & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $S' = S_1 - {}^t S_1$, where $S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -A & 0 & {}^t B \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we see that $S_1(V) \subset V_-$, $t_1^S(V) \subset V_+ \oplus V_0$. Hence it suffices to show that all non-zero elementary divisors

of the matrix S_1 over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ are $\frac{1}{2}(\beta_i|\beta_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Note that

$$S_1(\varphi_{\beta_1}) = \sum_j \text{sign}(j-i)(\beta_i|\beta_j)\varphi_{-\beta_j},$$

$$S_1(\beta_i) = -\sum_j (\beta_i|\beta_j)\varphi_{-\beta_j}.$$

Hence we have:

$$S_1(\varphi_{\beta_i} + \beta_i) = -(\beta_i|\beta_i)\varphi_{-\beta_i} - 2\sum_{j<i} (\beta_i|\beta_j)\varphi_{-\beta_j}.$$

Since $(\beta_i|\beta_j)$ is divisible by $\frac{1}{2}(\beta_i|\beta_i)$, this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. (a) *The vectors $v_\omega = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} (\varphi_{\beta_i} + \varphi_{-\beta_i}) + \omega - {}^t\omega$ form a basis of the kernel of the form $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ as ω runs over all fundamental weights.*

(b) *Suppose that ℓ is a positive odd integer relatively prime to all the d_i . Then the kernel of the map $S : \mathbb{Z}^{2N+n} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{2N+n}$ is spanned by vectors v_{ω_i} ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\ell\mathbb{Z}^{2N+n}$. Its image is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^{2N}$.*

(c) *Select in each set I_{α_i} a root β_{i_t} and consider the submodule V' of V of the vectors which have coordinate 0 for the corresponding vectors $\varphi_{\beta_{i_t}}$ or $\varphi_{-\beta_{i_t}}$, and call S' the restriction of S to V' . Then the kernel of S' coincides with $\ell V'$ and $S'(V') = S(V)$.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 3.3, to prove (a), it suffices to show that the elements v_ω lie in the kernel. We have (using Lemma 3.2d'):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_{\beta_j} | v_\omega \rangle &= -\sum_{k_t < j} (\beta_j|\beta_{k_t}) + \sum_{k_t > j} (\beta_j|\beta_{k_t}) + 2(\beta_j|\omega) - \sum_{k_t} (\beta_j|\beta_{k_t}) \\ &= 2(\beta_j|\omega - \sum_{k_t \leq j} \beta_{k_t}) - \begin{cases} (\beta_j|\beta_j) & \text{if } j \in I_\alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= 0 \text{ by Corollary 3.2 and (3.1.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\langle \varphi_{-\beta_j} | v_\omega \rangle = 0$ and trivially $\langle v | v_\omega \rangle = 0$ for $v \in V_0$. This proves part (a) of the proposition, (b) and (c) follow easily. \square

Remark 3.3. Suppose that (a_{i_j}) is an indecomposable Cartan matrix. Then all the d_i are equal 1 or 2 except for the case (a_{i_j}) is of type G_2 . In the latter case $d_1 = 3$, $d_2 = 1$ and if ℓ is divisible by 3, the kernel of the map S is spanned by vectors v_{ω_i} ($i = 1, 2$), $\frac{1}{3}\ell\varphi_\beta$ (β long root in R), and $\ell\mathbb{Z}^{14}$.

4. Some Properties of the Central Subalgebra Z_0 of U_ε .

4.1. Let (a_{i_j}) be an $n \times n$ Cartan matrix (as in Sect. 3), P its weight lattice and q an indeterminate. One defines (cf. [DC-K-P] to which we refer for all the necessary notations) the simply connected quantum group U associated to the matrix (a_{i_j}) as a Hopf algebra over $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}, (q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i})^{-1}]$ on generators E_i, F_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), K_α ($\alpha \in P$) subject to a certain set of q -analogues of Chevalley-Serre relations (this is a simple variation of the construction of Drinfeld and Jimbo).

Recall that the braid group \mathcal{B}_W , associated to the given Cartan matrix, whose canonical generators one denotes by T_i acts as a group of automorphisms of the algebra U ([L]).

Introduce a conjugate-linear anti-automorphism κ of U , viewed as an algebra over \mathbb{C} , by

$$\kappa E_i = F_i, \kappa F_i = E_i, \kappa K_i = K_i^{-1}, \kappa q = q^{-1}. \tag{4.1.1}$$

One knows that κ commutes with the action of the braid group.

4.2. Fix a reduced expression $\omega_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N}$ of the longest element of W , and let

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \beta_2 = s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}), \dots, \beta_N = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_{i_N})$$

be the corresponding convex ordering of R^+ . Introduce the corresponding root vectors ($m = 1, \dots, N$) ([L]):

$$E_{\beta_m} = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{m-1}} E_{i_m}, E_{-\beta_m} = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{m-1}} F_{i_m} = \kappa E_{\beta} \tag{4.2.1}$$

(they depend on the choice of the reduced expression).

For $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ we let

$$E^k = E_{\beta_1}^{k_1} \dots E_{\beta_N}^{k_N}, F^k = \kappa E^k. \tag{4.2.2}$$

Lemma 4.2. (a) [L] *The elements $F^k K_\alpha E^r$, where $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, $\alpha \in P$, form a basis of U over \mathcal{A} .*

(b) [L-S] *For $i < j$ one has:*

$$E_{\beta_j} E_{\beta_i} - q^{-(\beta_i|\beta_j)} E_{\beta_i} E_{\beta_j} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N} c_k E^k,$$

where $c_k \in \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ and $c_k \neq 0$ only when $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$ is such that $k_s = 0$ for $s \leq i$ and $s \geq j$. \square

Consider a monomial

$$M_{k,r,\alpha} := F^k K_\alpha E^r, \tag{4.2.3}$$

where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ and $\alpha \in P$. Define its total height by

$$d_0(M_{k,r,\alpha}) = \sum_i (k_i + r_i) \text{ht } \beta_i,$$

and its total degree by

$$d(M_{k,r,\alpha}) = (k_N, k_{N-1}, \dots, k_1, r_1, \dots, r_N, d_0(M_{k,r,\alpha})) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1}.$$

We shall view \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1} as a totally ordered semigroup with the lexicographical order $<$ such that $u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_{2N+1}$, where $u_i = (\delta_{i,1}, \dots, \delta_{i,2N+1})$.

Following [DC-K], introduce a \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1} -filtration of the algebra U by letting U_s ($s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1}$) be the span of the monomials $M_{k,r,\alpha}$ such that $d(M_{k,r,\alpha}) \leq s$. Lemma 4.2 implies

Proposition 4.2 [DC-K]. *The associated graded algebra $\text{Gr } U$ of the \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1} -filtered algebra U is an algebra over \mathcal{A} on generators $E_\alpha (\alpha \in R)$ and $K_\beta (\beta \in P)$ subject to the following relations:*

$$K_\alpha K_\beta = K_{\alpha+\beta}, K_0 = 1; \tag{4.2.4}$$

$$K_\alpha E_\beta = q^{(\alpha|\beta)} E_\beta K_\alpha; \tag{4.2.5}$$

$$E_\alpha E_{-\beta} = E_{-\beta} E_\alpha \text{ if } \alpha, \beta \in R^+; \tag{4.2.6}$$

$$E_\alpha E_\beta = q^{-(\alpha|\beta)} E_\beta E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha} E_{-\beta} = q^{-(\alpha|\beta)} E_{-\beta} E_{-\alpha} \tag{4.2.7}$$

if $\alpha, \beta \in R^+$ and $\alpha > \beta$ in our convex ordering of R^+ . \square

Remark 4.2. (a) Considering the degree by total height d_0 , we obtain a \mathbb{Z}_+ -filtration of U ; let $U^{(0)} = \bar{U}$ be the associated graded algebra. Letting $d_1(M_{k,r,\alpha}) = r_N$, we obtain a \mathbb{Z}_+ -filtration of $U^{(0)}$; let $U^{(1)} = \bar{U}^{(0)}$ be the associated graded algebra. Letting $d_2(M_{k,r,\alpha}) = r_{N-1}$, we similarly obtain $U^{(2)} = \bar{U}^{(1)}$, etc. It is clear that at the last step we get the algebra $\text{Gr } U$ defined by (4.2.4-7):

$$U^{(2N)} \simeq \text{Gr } U. \tag{4.2.8}$$

(b) The algebra $\text{Gr } U$ is a twisted polynomial algebra over \mathcal{A} on generators $E_{\beta_1}, \dots, E_{\beta_N}, E_{-\beta_N}, \dots, E_{-\beta_1}, K_{\omega_1}, \dots, K_{\omega_n}$, corresponding to the skew-symmetric matrix S defined by (3.3.1), with the elements $K_{\omega_1}, \dots, K_{\omega_n}$ inverted.

We now specialize the variable q to any non-zero complex number ε subject to the further restriction $\varepsilon^{2d_i} \neq 1$ and denote by U_ε the corresponding specialized Hopf algebra.

From Lemma 4.2a we have that the monomials $M_{k,r,\alpha}$ form a basis of U_ε over \mathbb{C} . Proposition 4.2 also holds for U_ε with \mathcal{A} replaced by \mathbb{C} and q replaced by ε . As in Remark 4.2, we have a sequence of \mathbb{Z}_+ -filtered algebras $U_\varepsilon^{(j)}$ over \mathbb{C} ($j = 0, 1, \dots, 2N$) such that $U_\varepsilon^{(j)} = \bar{U}_\varepsilon^{(j-1)}$ and $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$ is the algebra described by Remark 4.2b with \mathcal{A} replaced by \mathbb{C} .

4.3. Recall the following general construction (see, e.g. [DC-K-P]). Given $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $\varphi : U \rightarrow U_\varepsilon = U/(q - \varepsilon)$ be the specialization at $q = \varepsilon$. Let Z_ε be the center of U_ε and let $D_\varepsilon = \varphi^{-1}(Z_\varepsilon)$. Then for any element $a \in D_\varepsilon$ we can define the associated Poisson derivation P_a of U_ε by the formula

$$P_a(u) = c \frac{a\varphi^{-1}(u) - \varphi^{-1}(u)a}{q - \varepsilon} \pmod{q - \varepsilon}, \tag{4.3.1}$$

where c is a normalization factor. It is clear that P_a is a well-defined derivation of U_ε (hence it maps Z_ε into itself), satisfying

$$P_{ab}(u) = P_a(u)\varphi(b) + \varphi(a)P_b(u), \quad a, b \in D_\varepsilon. \tag{4.3.2}$$

In particular, we obtain a Poisson bracket on Z_ε :

$$\{a, b\} := P_{\varphi^{-1}(a)}(b) = -P_{\varphi^{-1}(b)}(a). \tag{4.3.3}$$

4.4. Let ℓ be an odd integer greater than 1 and relatively prime to all the d_i , and let ε be a primitive ℓ^{th} root of 1. Let as before Z_ε denote the center of the algebra U_ε . One knows [DC-K] that the elements $E_\alpha^\ell (\alpha \in R)$, and $K_\beta^\ell (\beta \in P)$ lie in Z_ε ; we denote

by Z_0 the subalgebra of Z_ε generated by all these elements. The subalgebras Z_ε and Z_0 are \mathcal{B}_W -invariant [DC-K] and possess a natural Poisson structure (cf. Sect. 4.3):

$$\{a, b\} = \frac{\varphi^{-1}(a)\varphi^{-1}(b) - \varphi^{-1}(b)\varphi^{-1}(a)}{2\ell^2(q - \varepsilon)} \pmod{(q - \varepsilon)}, \quad a, b \in Z_\varepsilon.$$

Moreover Z_0 is a Hopf subalgebra and in fact it is the coordinate ring of a Poisson algebraic group H described below [DC-K-P].

Let G be the simply connected algebraic group over \mathbb{C} with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} associated to our Cartan matrix. Let T be the maximal torus of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} := \sum_i \mathbb{C}h_i$. Let \mathfrak{n}_- (resp. \mathfrak{n}_+) be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by the f_i (resp. e_i) and let U_- and U_+ be the unipotent subgroups of G whose Lie algebras are \mathfrak{n}_- and \mathfrak{n}_+ . Let $B_- := TU_-$ and $B_+ := TU_+$ be the corresponding Borel subgroups. Recall that the braid group \mathcal{B}_W acts on \mathfrak{g} by letting [T]:

$$T_i = (\exp \operatorname{ad} f_i)(\exp \operatorname{ad} e_i)(\exp \operatorname{ad} f_i).$$

For each $\alpha \in R^+$ we define root vectors e_α and $e_{-\alpha}$ by formulas analogous to (4.2.1).

The group H is the subgroup of $B_- \times B_+$ formed by the elements $(x, y) = (tu_-, t^{-1}u_+)$. The restriction to H of the natural multiplication map $B_- \times B_+ \rightarrow G$ given by $(x, y) \rightarrow x^{-1}y$ is an étale covering of degree 2^n :

$$\pi : H \rightarrow U_-TU_+ := G^0 \subset G,$$

where G^0 is a Zariski open subset of G , called the *big cell*. We need to recall the explicit isomorphism between H and $\operatorname{Spec} Z_0$ [DC-K-P].

We let for $\alpha \in R^+$:

$$d_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha|\alpha), \quad c_\alpha = (\varepsilon^{d_\alpha} - \varepsilon^{-d_\alpha})^\ell,$$

and introduce the following elements of Z_0 :

$$z_\beta = K_\beta^\ell (\beta \in P); \quad x_{-\alpha} = c_\alpha E_{-\alpha}^\ell, \quad x_\alpha = -c_\alpha E_\alpha^\ell z_{-\alpha} \quad (\alpha \in R^+).$$

Let $Z_0^0 = \sum_{\beta \in P} \mathbb{C}z_\beta$ and let Z_0^+ (resp. Z_0^-) be the subalgebra of Z_0 generated by

the elements x_α (resp. $x_{-\alpha}$), $\alpha \in R^+$. Then [DC-K] the algebra Z_0^+ (resp. Z_0^-) is a polynomial algebra on indeterminants x_α (resp. $x_{-\alpha}$) and multiplication defines an algebra isomorphism

$$Z_0 \simeq Z_0^- \otimes Z_0^0 \otimes Z_0^+.$$

Since $\mathbb{C}[T] = P$, we have a canonical isomorphism of T with $\operatorname{Spec} Z_0^0$, and we shall identify them.

Following [DC-K-P] we construct maps

$$\pi^- : \operatorname{Spec} Z_0^- \rightarrow U_-, \quad \pi^+ : \operatorname{Spec} Z_0^+ \rightarrow U_+,$$

and then construct an isomorphism $\tilde{\pi} : \operatorname{Spec} Z_0 = \operatorname{Spec} Z_0^- \times T \times \operatorname{Spec} Z_0^+ \rightarrow H$ by letting

$$\tilde{\pi}(a, t, b) := (t^{-1}\pi^-(a), t\pi^+(b)).$$

Here the map π^- is given by the following element of the group $U_-(Z_0^-) \subset G(Z_0)$

$$(\exp x_{-\beta_N} e_{-\beta_N}) \dots (\exp x_{-\beta_1} e_{-\beta_1}), \tag{4.4.1}$$

and the map π^+ by the following element of the group $U_+(Z_0^+) \subset G(Z_0)$:

$$(\exp T_0(x_{-\beta_N})T_0(e_{-\beta_N})) \dots (\exp T_0(x_{-\beta_1})T_0(e_{-\beta_1})), \tag{4.4.2}$$

where $T_0 = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_N}$. We identify $\text{Spec } Z_0$ and H using the isomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$, hence we have an etale covering $\pi : \text{Spec } Z_0 \rightarrow G^0 \subset G$ of degree 2^n .

Remark 4.4. Since also $T_0 = T_{i_N} \dots T_{i_1}$, we see that $-T_0\beta_N = \alpha_{i_N}$, $-T_0\beta_{N-1} = T_{i_N}\alpha_{i_{N-1}}, \dots, -T_0\beta_1 = T_{i_N} \dots T_{i_2}\alpha_{i_1}$, which is the convex ordering of R^+ associated to the “reverse” reduced expression $\omega_0 = s_{i_N} \dots s_{i_1}$. As in (4.2.1) we have the corresponding root vectors, but they may be different from those in (4.2.1).

4.5. It is clear that U_ε is a finite module over Z_0 . In [DC-K] it is shown that it is a maximal order in a division algebra of degree $d = l^N$, $N = |R^+|$. Thus we can apply to this algebra the general theory described in Sect. 1.

Let $V := \text{Spec } Z_\varepsilon$. The points of V parametrize, according to Sect. 1, the semisimple d -dimensional representations of U_ε . In ([DC-K-P]) we have described V as a canonical ramified covering of H which has also a Poisson structure compatible with the covering map.

A basic symmetry of our picture is associated to the Poisson structure of H . In fact we have a canonical (infinite dimensional) group \tilde{G} of analytic automorphisms of the algebra U_ε generated by 1-parameter groups $\exp tP_{E_i^\ell}$ and $\exp tP_{F_i^\ell}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) which covers a group of Hamiltonian flows on H [DC-K]. In particular:

- (a) The group \tilde{G} acts on V and on H and its orbits coincide with the symplectic leaves.
- (b) The semisimple representations of U_ε parametrized by the points of a given symplectic leaf in V are all of the same “type” (i.e. are equivalent up to a twist by an automorphism of U_ε).

We shall describe now the semisimple d -dimensional representation of U_ε corresponding to a given point of $\text{Spec } Z_\varepsilon$. Recall [DC-K] that given a homomorphism $\lambda : U_\varepsilon^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a homomorphism $\nu : Z_0^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we construct the associated left U_ε -module $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$, called the *triangular Verma module*, as the quotient of U_ε by the left ideal generated by the elements $E_\alpha, K_\gamma - \lambda(K_\gamma), x_{-\alpha} - \nu(x_{-\alpha})$, where $\gamma \in P, \alpha \in R^+$. This is a d -dimensional indecomposable representation of U_ε . Hence all irreducible factors ρ_1, \dots, ρ_s of $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$ have the same central character $\chi \in \text{Spec } Z_\varepsilon$.

Proposition 4.5. (a) *The representation $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \rho_i$ is the semisimple representation of U_ε corresponding to $\chi \in \text{Spec } Z_\varepsilon$.*

(b) *Given $\chi \in \text{Spec } Z_\varepsilon$, choose $g \in \tilde{G}$ such that $\chi_1 = g(\chi)$ has the property that $\chi_1(x_\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in R^+$ (see Proposition 4.6 below), and let $\nu = \chi_1|_{Z_0^-}$. Then there exists a homomorphism $\lambda : U_\varepsilon^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the central character of the module $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$ is equal to χ_1 ; let ρ_1, \dots, ρ_s be all its irreducible factors. Then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \rho_i^{g^{-1}}$ is the semisimple representation of U_ε corresponding to χ .*

(c) *Triangular Verma modules having the same central character have the same irreducible factors.*

Proof. (b) and (c) follows from (a). Due to the discussion in Sect. 1.1, it suffices to show that the triangular Verma modules $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$ are compatible with the trace

map. It is obviously the case if $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$ is irreducible. But the module $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$ is irreducible provided that $\lambda(z_\beta) \neq \pm 1$ for all $\beta \in R^+$ [DC-K, Corollary 3.2]. Hence, by continuity, all the modules $\overline{M}_\varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)$ are compatible with the trace map. \square

4.6. Let $\lambda \in P_+$ be a dominant weight and let ρ_λ be the finite-dimensional irreducible representation of the group G in a vector space V^λ . Then we have a map $\pi_\lambda = \rho_\lambda \circ \pi : \text{Spec } Z_0 \rightarrow GL(V^\lambda)$. Let

$$\phi_\lambda(u) = \text{tr}_{V^\lambda} \pi_\lambda(u), \quad u \in \text{Spec } Z_0. \tag{4.6.1}$$

This is a polynomial function on $\text{Spec } Z_0$.

Proposition 4.6 [DC-K-P]. (a) $\pi^{-1}(\text{Center}(G))$ is the fixed point set F of \tilde{G} in $\text{Spec } Z_0$ (it is a finite set of cardinality $2^n |\text{Center}(G)|$).

(b) Let \mathcal{C} be a non-central conjugacy class of G . Then $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \cap G^0)$ is a \tilde{G} -orbit and all \tilde{G} -orbits in $\text{Spec } Z_0 \setminus F$ are thus obtained.

(c) The elements $\phi_\lambda (\lambda \in P_+)$ form a basis over \mathbb{C} of the subalgebra $Z_0^{\tilde{G}}$ of \tilde{G} -invariants in Z_0 .

(d) The algebra $Z_0^{\tilde{G}}$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{C} on generators $\phi_{\omega_1}, \dots, \phi_{\omega_n}$. \square

Remark 4.6 (cf. Remark 3.3.) Let (a_{ij}) be the Cartan matrix of type G_2 and let $\ell = 3\ell'$, where ℓ' is an odd integer greater than 1. Then $E_\alpha^{\ell'}$ is a central element of U_ε if α is a long root. Proposition 4.6 holds in this case as well if we replace E_α^ℓ by $E_\alpha^{\ell'}$ in all constructions.

We return now to the \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1} -filtration of the algebra U_ε obtained by the specialization of that of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ (see Sect. 4.2). Recall that the monomials $M_{k,r,\alpha}$ (given by (4.2.3)) form a basis of U_ε over \mathbb{C} (see Lemma 4.2a). For an element ϕ of U_ε we denote by $\bar{\phi}$ the monomial of maximal degree in the above filtration that occurs in ϕ with a non-zero coefficient.

Fix a reduced expression (3.2.1) of ω_0 . Fix a fundamental weight ω , let α be the corresponding simple root and let

$$I_\alpha = \{\beta_{k_1}, \dots, \beta_{k_r}\}$$

be the corresponding subset defined by (3.2.2).

Lemma 4.6. Let ϕ_ω be the element of Z_0 defined by (4.6.1) for $\lambda = \omega$. Then

$$\bar{\phi}_\omega = z_\omega^2 x_{-\beta_{k_r}} \dots x_{-\beta_{k_1}} x_{\beta_{k_1}} \dots x_{\beta_{k_r}}.$$

Proof. Fix a basis $\{v_j\}$, $j = 1, \dots, t = \dim V^\omega$, of V^ω such that v_j is a weight vector of weight μ_j and $i < j$ if $\mu_i > \mu_j$, so that v_t has the highest weight ω and v_1 has the lowest weight $-t\omega$. Let $\{v_j^*\}$ be the dual basis of $(V^\omega)^*$ and consider the matrix coefficients

$$S_{ij}(g) = \langle \pi_\omega(g)v_j, v_j^* \rangle, \quad g \in G.$$

We define the height of a monomial in Z_0 by $H(z_\alpha \prod_i x_{-\beta_i}^{k_i} x_{\beta_i}^{r_i}) = \sum_i \beta_i(r_i + k_i)$, and we write $H(z) \leq \lambda$ for $z \in Z_0$ and $\lambda \in Q$ if the heights of all monomials in z are $\leq \lambda$.

Note the following properties of matrix coefficients:

$$S_{ij} \circ \pi_+ = \delta_{ij} \text{ if } i \leq j; H(S_{ij} \circ \pi_+) \leq \mu_i - \mu_j; \tag{4.6.2}$$

$$S_{ij} \circ \pi_- = \delta_{ij} \text{ if } i \geq j; H(S_{ij} \circ \pi_-) \leq \mu_j - \mu_i; \tag{4.6.3}$$

$$S_{ij} \circ \pi_0 = \delta_{ij} z_{\mu_i}^2; H(S_{ij} \circ \pi_0) = 0. \tag{4.6.4}$$

Since

$$S_{jj} \circ \pi = \sum_{j \leq i \leq t} (S_{ji} \circ \pi_-)(S_{ii} \circ \pi_0)(S_{ij} \circ \pi_+),$$

we deduce from (4.6.2-4) that

$$H(S_{jj} \circ \pi) \leq 2(\omega - \mu_j). \tag{4.6.5}$$

Since

$$\phi_\omega = \sum_j S_{jj} \circ \pi,$$

it follows from (4.6.5) that the highest possible height of a monomial in $S_{jj} \circ \pi$ occurs for $j = 1$ and it is equal to $2(\omega + {}^t\omega)$. Moreover, this monomial may occur only in the summand $(S_{1t} \circ \pi_-)(S_{tt} \circ \pi_0)(S_{t1} \circ \pi_+)$ of $S_{11} \circ \pi$.

Thus, it remains to show that the highest degree monomial (with respect to our \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1} -filtration) in $(S_{1t} \circ \pi_-)(S_{tt} \circ \pi_0)(S_{t1} \circ \pi_+)$ is the monomial $\bar{\phi}_\omega$. But $S_{tt} \circ \pi_0 = z_\omega^2$, hence it remains to show that the highest degree monomial in $S_{1t} \circ \pi_-$ (resp. $S_{t1} \circ \pi_+$) is $x_{-\beta_{k_1}} \dots x_{-\beta_{k_r}}$ (resp. $x_{\beta_{k_1}} \dots x_{\beta_{k_r}}$). (Recall that, by Lemma 3.2d', $\omega + {}^t\omega = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_{k_i}$.)

But this follows immediately, recalling formulas (4.3.1) and (4.3.2) from Lemma 3.2 b' and c' (resp. b and c) \square

5. The Main Theorems

5.1. As before, we assume that ℓ is an odd integer greater than 1 and relatively prime to all the d_i . Let ε be a primitive ℓ^{th} root of 1 and let d be the degree of the algebra U_ε . We have already recalled in Sect. 4.5 that $d = \ell^N$.

We are in a position now to prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.1 *Let V be a irreducible U_ε -module and let \mathcal{O} be the \tilde{G} -orbit of $\chi_0(V)$ in $\text{Spec } Z_0$. If \mathcal{O} has maximal dimension ($= 2N$), then V has maximal dimension ($= d$).*

Proof. Let $\Omega^0 = \Omega_{U_\varepsilon}^0 = \{u \in \text{Spec } Z_0 \mid \text{all representations from } \chi_0^{-1}(u) \text{ have dimension } d\}$, as introduced in Sect. 1.2. We are claiming that, given a \tilde{G} -orbit \mathcal{O} of maximal dimension, $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega^0$. By symmetry (cf. Sect. 4.4) it suffices to see that the Zariski closure $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$ of \mathcal{O} has nonempty intersection with Ω^0 . We wish to apply to our situation Lemma 1.5 with I the ideal of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$. For this we need first of all, to show that each of the algebras $U_\varepsilon^{(j)}$ introduced in Remark 4.2 has degree ℓ^N . Since the degree can only decrease in each step, it is enough to show that d is the degree of $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$. In this case we apply Proposition 2.2c and Lemma 3.3b. By an easy induction we are then reduced to show that, if \bar{I} is the associated graded ideal of I in $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$, and \mathcal{O}_1 is its set of zeroes, then

$$\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \Omega_{U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}}^0 \neq \emptyset. \tag{5.1.1}$$

We will describe \bar{I} using the method of Proposition 1.4. It is well known that the Zariski closure of $\pi(\mathcal{O})$, being the Zariski closure of the conjugacy class of maximal dimension in G , is given by the equations (for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$):

$$\text{tr}_{V^{\omega_i}} g = c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, u, \quad g \in \overline{\pi(\mathcal{O})}.$$

It follows from Proposition 4.6b and d that the Zariski closure of \mathcal{O} in $\text{Spec } Z_0$ is given by the equations

$$\phi_{\omega_i} = c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Consider the elements $\bar{\phi}_{\omega_i}$, images of ϕ_{ω_i} in the final graded algebra $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$. We want to show that these elements form a regular sequence of $Z_0^{(2N)} \subset U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$ so that they generate \bar{I} (cf. Proposition 1.4). The elements $\bar{\phi}_{\omega_i}$ have been computed in Lemma 4.6 where we have seen that they are monomials in disjoint sets of indeterminates. Hence they form a regular sequence by trivial reasons. In order to complete the proof we have to show that the set \mathcal{O}_1 of solutions of the system of equations

$$\bar{\phi}_{\omega_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{5.1.3}$$

intersects nontrivially the set $\Omega_{U(2N)}^0$.

The variety given by the equations (5.1.3) is a union of subvarieties given as follows: we choose from each monomial $\bar{\phi}_{\omega_i}$ a factor $x_{\pm\beta}$ and letting them 0 we define a component of the variety under study. It is enough to prove that each one of these subvarieties intersects the open set $\Omega_{U(2N)}^0$ non-trivially. But $U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$ is essentially a twisted polynomial algebra (see Remark 4.2b). Thus, according to Proposition 2.2d and Lemma 2.2, the statement follows from Lemma 3.3c, completing the proof. \square

Remark 5.1 (cf. Remarks 3.3 and 4.4). Let ℓ be an odd integer greater than d_i for all i . For each $\alpha \in R^+$ let $\ell_\alpha = \ell / (\ell, d_\alpha)$. (Note that $\ell_\alpha = \ell$ in all cases except for long α of type G_2 and ℓ divisible by 3.) Then

$$d = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \ell_\alpha.$$

Here is a simple proof of this formula. Since $\text{degree } U_\varepsilon \geq \text{degree } U_\varepsilon^{(2N)}$, we deduce from Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.2c (and Remark 3.3) that $d \geq \prod_{\alpha} \ell_\alpha$. Using the triangulizability of an arbitrary irreducible representation of U_ε (which follows from Proposition 4.6b), we obtain the reverse inequality.

Theorem 5.1 holds for these ℓ as well.

5.2. In this last section we denote by U the algebra $U \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}(q)$, let $U^{(2N)} = \text{Gr}U$ etc. We discuss in some detail the center \bar{Z} of $\text{Gr}U$ (resp. \bar{Z}_ε of $\text{Gr}U_\varepsilon$) having in mind some possible applications to a more detailed analysis of the geometry of the degeneration. Recall that this is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}(q)$ (resp. \mathbb{C}) on generators E_α ($\alpha \in R$) and K_β ($\beta \in P$) with defining relations (4.2.4-7) (resp. where $q = \varepsilon$). Let Z denote the center of U . From Proposition 2.2a and Lemma 3.3 we derive

Proposition 5.2. (a) *The algebra \bar{Z} is a polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{C}(q)$ on generators*

$$u_i := K_{\omega_i - t\omega_i} \prod_{t \in I_{\alpha_i}} E_{\beta_t} E_{-\beta_t} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

(b) The algebra \bar{Z}_ε is an algebra over \mathbb{C} on generators $\bar{x}_\alpha := E_\alpha^\ell$ ($\alpha \in R$), $\bar{z}_\beta := K_\beta^\ell$ ($\beta \in P$) and u_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z}_\alpha \bar{z}_\beta &= \bar{z}_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \bar{z}_0 = 1, \\ u_i^\ell &= \bar{z}_{\omega_i-t\omega_i} \prod_{t \in I_{\alpha_i}} \bar{x}_{\beta_t} \bar{x}_{-\beta_t} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. (a) $\bar{Z} = \text{Gr}Z$.

(b) $\bar{Z}_\varepsilon = \text{Gr}Z_\varepsilon$.

Proof. It is clear that $\bar{Z} \supset \text{Gr}Z$ and $\bar{Z}_\varepsilon \supset \text{Gr}Z_\varepsilon$. In order to prove the reverse inclusion recall the construction of Z from [DC-K]. Let U^0 (resp. U^+ and U^-) be the subalgebra of U generated by all the K_α ($\alpha \in P$) (resp. all the E_i and all the F_i). Recall that W acts on U^0 by $wK_\alpha = K_{w(\alpha)}$. Let U^{0W} denote the subalgebra of invariants. Given $\varphi = \varphi(K_{\omega_1}, \dots, K_{\omega_n}) \in U^{0W}$, there exists a unique element in Z of the form:

$$p_\varphi = \varphi(q^{2(\rho|\omega_1)} K_{\omega_1}^2, \dots, q^{2(\rho|\omega_n)} K_{\omega_n}^2) + \sum_{\beta \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\}} S_\beta^\varphi, \tag{5.2.1}$$

where $S_\beta^\varphi \in U_{-\beta}^- U^0 U_\beta^+$ and $U_\beta^\pm = \{u \in U^\pm \mid K_\alpha u K_{-\alpha} = q^{(\alpha|\beta)} u\}$. Furthermore, the map $h : p_\varphi \mapsto \varphi$ defines an isomorphism $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{0W}$.

The algebra U^0 is canonically isomorphic to the coordinate ring of the torus T of G . Given $\lambda \in P_+$ define an element $\chi_\lambda \in U^0$ by $\chi_\lambda(t) = \text{tr}_{V^\lambda} \pi_\lambda(t)$, $t \in T$. Of course, $\chi_\lambda \in U^{0W}$ and we let $p_\lambda = p_{\chi_\lambda} \in Z$. The elements $p_{\omega_1}, \dots, p_{\omega_n}$ generate Z . Let \bar{p}_φ denote the monomial of highest degree in p_φ with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_+^{2N+1} -filtration of U (defined in Sect. 4.2). In order to prove (a), it suffices to show that

$$\bar{p}_{\omega_i} = u_i. \tag{5.2.2}$$

Since the elements p_{ω_i} are defined at $q = \varepsilon$ and together with Z_0 they generate Z_ε [DC-K-P], (5.2.2) implies (b) as well.

In order to prove (5.2.2) we use another approach to Z , developed in [R] and [Ta]. First, one introduces the unique bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot) : U^0 U^+ \times U^0 U^- \rightarrow \mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2 \det(a_{ij})})$ satisfying the following relations:

$$(x, y_1 y_2) = (\Delta(x), y_1 \otimes y_2), \quad x \in U^0 U^+, \quad y_i \in U^0 U^-, \tag{5.2.3}$$

$$(x_1 x_2, y) = (x_2 \otimes x_1, \Delta(y)), \quad x_1, x_2 \in U^0 U^+, \quad y \in U^0 U^-, \tag{5.2.4}$$

$$(K_\alpha, K_\beta) = q^{-(\alpha|\beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in P, \tag{5.2.5}$$

$$(E_i, K_\alpha) = (K_\alpha, F_i) = 0, \quad \alpha \in P, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{5.2.6}$$

$$(E_i, F_j) = \delta_{ij} / (q^{-d_i} - q^{d_i}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5.2.7}$$

Then one has

$$(U_\alpha^+, U_{-\beta}^-) = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta, \tag{5.2.8}$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot) \text{ on } U_\alpha^+ \times U_{-\alpha}^- \text{ is non-degenerate.} \tag{5.2.9}$$

Furthermore, using that $U = U^-U^0U^+ = U^+U^0U^-$, one extends this bilinear form to the whole algebra U by the formula:

$$(x_1K_\alpha S(y_1), y_2K_\beta S(x_2)) = (x_1, y_2)(x_2, y_1)q^{-(\alpha|\beta)},$$

$$x_i \in U^+, y_i \in U^-, \alpha, \beta \in P. \tag{5.2.10}$$

This bilinear form defines a linear map

$$j : U \rightarrow U^* (= Hom_{\mathbb{F}}(U, \mathbb{F})) \text{ by } j(a)(u) = (u, a).$$

The basic fact of [R] and [Ta] is that, considering the irreducible representation $\pi_{\lambda, q}$ of U in the vector space V_q^λ over $\mathbb{C}(q)$ which is a deformation of (V^λ, π_λ) , we obtain:

$$j(p_\lambda)(u) = \text{tr}_{V_q^\lambda} \pi_{\lambda, q}(K_{-2\rho}u), \quad u \in U. \tag{5.2.11}$$

Let us now remark that any weight of V^λ has the form $\lambda - \beta$, where $\beta \in Q_+$ and $\beta \leq \lambda + {}^t\lambda$. Hence it follows from (5.2.11) and the definition of the bilinear form on U that in (5.2.1) we have:

$$S_\beta^{\chi_\lambda} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \beta \leq \lambda + {}^t\lambda. \tag{5.2.12}$$

Furthermore, let $\chi'_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W/W_\chi} K_{w(\lambda)}$ ($\lambda \in P_+$) and let $p'_\lambda = p_{\chi'_\lambda}$. Since

$$\chi'_\lambda = \chi_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{\beta: 0 < \beta \leq \lambda + {}^t\lambda, \\ \lambda - \beta \in P_+}} c_{\lambda - \beta} \chi_{\lambda - \beta}, \quad \text{where } c_{\lambda - \beta} \in \mathbb{C},$$

we deduce from (5.2.12) that

$$S_\beta^{\chi'_\lambda} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \beta \leq \lambda + {}^t\lambda, \tag{5.2.13}$$

and we see that

$$\bar{p}_\lambda = \overline{p'_\lambda}. \tag{5.2.14}$$

On the other hand, choosing ℓ relatively prime to all the d_i we have from Proposition 4.6 in U_ε for a fundamental weight ω :

$$\overline{p'_{\ell\omega}} = K_{\omega - {}^t\omega} E_{-\beta_{k_1}}^\ell \dots E_{-\beta_{k_r}}^\ell E_{\beta_1}^\ell \dots E_{\beta_{k_r}}^\ell. \tag{5.2.15}$$

By (5.2.13) we have in U_ε :

$$\overline{p'_\lambda}^\ell = (\overline{p'_\lambda})^\ell = \overline{p'_{\ell\lambda}}, \quad \lambda \in P_+. \tag{5.2.16}$$

Choosing ℓ big enough, we may assume that the highest monomials of p_ω and p'_ω do not vanish at $q = \varepsilon$, hence coincide according to (5.2.14). Therefore comparing (5.2.15) and (5.2.16) gives (5.2.2) which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let $Z_\varepsilon^{(j)}$ be the center of the algebra $U_\varepsilon^{(j)}$. Then $Z^{(j+1)} = \overline{Z^{(j)}}$.*

References

- [A] Artin, M.: On Azumaya algebras and finite dimensional representations of rings. *J. Algebra* **11** 532–563 (1969)
- [B] Bourbaki, N.: *Algebre*. Ch X, Paris: *Algebre homologique* 1980
- [DC-K] De Concini, C.; Kac, V.G.: Representations of quantum groups at roots of 1. In: *Progress in Math.*, **92**. Basel: Birkhäuser 1990, pp. 471–506
- [DC-K-P] De Concini, C.; Kac, V.G.; Procesi, C.: Quantum coadjoint action. *J. AMS* **5** 151–190 (1992)
- [L] Lusztig, G.: Quantum groups at roots of 1. *Geom. Ded.* **35** 89–114 (1990)
- [LS] Levendorskii, S.Z.; Soibelman, Ya. S.: Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **139** 141–170 (1991)
- [P1] Procesi, C.: Rings with polynomial identities. *Pure Appl. Math.* **17**, M. Dekker 1973
- [P2] Procesi, C.: Finite dimensional representations of algebras. *Israel J. Math.* **19** 63–74 (1974)
- [P3] Procesi, C.: Trace identities and standard diagrams. *Ring theory. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Mathematics* **51**, M. Dekker, 1979, pp. 191–218
- [P4] Procesi, C.: A formal inverse to the Cayley Hamilton theorem. *J. Algebra* **107** 63–74 (1987)
- [R] Rosso, M.: Analogues de la forme de Killing et du théorème d’Harish-Chandra pour les groupes quantiques. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **23**, 445–467 (1990)
- [Ta] Tanisaki, T.: Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, an universal R -matrices for quantum algebras. *RIMS-816 preprint* (1991)
- [T] Tits, J.: Sur les constants de structure et le théorème d’existence des algebres de Lie semi-simple. *Publ. Math. IHES* **31**, 21–58 (1966)
- [W-K] Weisfeiler, B.Ju.; Kac, V.G.: On irreducible representations of the Lie p -algebras. *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **5**, 28–36 (1971)

Communicated by A. Jaffe

