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#### Abstract

Let $A$ and $B$ be Banach algebras, let $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ be a continuous Banach algebra homomorphism, and let $I$ be a closed ideal in $B$. Then the $l^{1}$-direct sum of $A$ and $I$ with a special product becomes a Banach algebra, denoted by $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$, which we call the generalized semidirect product of $A$ and $I$. In this article, among other things, we first characterize derivations on $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ and then we compute the first cohomology group of $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ when the first cohomology groups of $A$ with coefficients in $A$ and $I$ are trivial. As an application we characterize the first cohomology group of second duals of dual Banach algebras. Then we provide a nice characterization of the first cohomology group of $A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A$. Furthermore, we calculate the first cohomology group of some concrete Banach algebras related to locally compact groups.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and let $X$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule. A derivation from $A$ into $X$ is a bounded linear map satisfying

$$
D(a b)=a \cdot D(b)+D(a) \cdot b \quad(a, b \in A)
$$

For each $x \in X$, we denote by $\operatorname{ad}_{x}$ the derivation $D(a)=a \cdot x-x \cdot a$ for all $a \in A$, which is called an inner derivation. We denote by $\mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, X)$ the space of all derivations from $A$ into $X$ and by $\mathcal{B}^{1}(A, X)$ the space of all inner derivations from $A$ into $X$. The first (Hochschild) cohomology group of $A$ with coefficients in $X$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, X)$, is the quotient space $\mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, X) / \mathcal{B}^{1}(A, X)$. This group

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(a, \theta(a)+i)\|_{1} & =\|a\|+\|\theta(a)+i\| \\
& \leq\|a\|+\|\theta\|\|a\|+\|i\| \\
& \leq(\|\theta\|+1)(\|a\|+\|i\|)=(\|\theta\|+1)\|(a, \theta(a)+i)\| .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

So, by the open mapping theorem, two norms $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent on $C$.
We also consider the Banach algebra $D=\{(a, i): a \in A, i \in I\}$, the $l^{1}$-direct sum of $A$ and $I$, with the following product formula:

$$
(a, i) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}, \theta(a) i^{\prime}+i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i i^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Now the mapping $\varphi:(C,\|\cdot\|) \rightarrow D$ by $\varphi((a, \theta(a)+i))=(a, i)$ is an isometric isomorphism of Banach algebras. Therefore, $D$ and $C$ are the same objects in the category of Banach algebras. We are going to work on the Banach algebra $D$ rather than $C$ and denote it by $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$. Since the semidirect product of Banach algebras has the same structure (see Example 2.1 below), we call $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ the generalized semidirect product of $A$ and $B$ along $I$ with respect to $\theta$. It can be easily seen that $A \cong A \times\{0\}$ is a closed subalgebra of $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$, that $I \cong\{0\} \times I$ is a closed ideal of it, and that $\frac{A \bowtie^{\theta} I}{I} \cong A$. Also, $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ is commutative if and only if $A$ and $\theta(A)+I$ are commutative.

The Banach algebra $A \bowtie^{\theta} B$ has been considered and studied by some authors (see, e.g., [1], [3], [10]). This class does not contain any concrete Banach algebra to our knowledge. So it seems that the Banach algebra $A \bowtie^{\theta} B$ is not so interesting. On the other hand, there are many classes of concrete Banach algebras having a generalized semidirect product structure, where $I$ is a proper ideal of $B$.

## Example 2.1.

(i) If $\theta=0$, then $A \bowtie^{0} I$ is nothing other than the Cartesian product of $A$ and $I$.
(ii) Let $A$ be a nonunital Banach algebra. Then $A^{\#}=\mathbb{C} \oplus A$, the unitization of $A$, is the generalized semidirect product of $\mathbb{C}$ with $A^{\#}$ along $A$ with respect to the homomorphism $\theta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A^{\#}$ defined by $\theta(\lambda)=(\lambda, 0)$.
(iii) Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and let $X$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule. The module extension Banach algebra corresponding to $A$ and $X$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}=A \oplus X$, is the $l^{1}$-direct sum of $A$ and $X$ equipped with the product formula $(a, x) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}, a x^{\prime}+x a^{\prime}\right)$ for $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is the generalized semidirect product of $A$ with $\mathcal{S}$ along $X$ with respect to the injection $\theta: A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ defined by $\theta(a)=(a, 0)$. We remark that the class of module extension Banach algebras includes the class of triangular Banach algebras.
(iv) Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, and let $\phi$ be a nonzero character on $A$. Then $A \bowtie^{\phi} \mathbb{C}$ is the Banach algebra with the underlying Banach space $A \oplus_{1} \mathbb{C}$ and the product

$$
(a, \lambda) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}, \phi(a) \lambda^{\prime}+\phi\left(a^{\prime}\right) \lambda+\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Note that here we have assumed that $I=B=\mathbb{C}$ and $\theta=\phi$.
(v) Let $A$ and $B$ be Banach algebras, and let $\phi$ be a nonzero character on $A$. Then $A \bowtie^{\theta} B$, the generalized semidirect product of $A$ with $B^{\#}$ along
$B$ with respect to the homomorphism $\theta: A \rightarrow B^{\#}$ defined by $\theta(a)=$ $(\phi(a), 0)$, is a Banach algebra with the underlying Banach space $A \oplus_{1} B$ and the following product formula:

$$
(a, b) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}, \phi(a) b^{\prime}+\phi\left(a^{\prime}\right) b+b b^{\prime}\right) .
$$

This is the so-called $\phi$-Lau product of Banach algebras $A$ and $B$ and is denoted by $A \oplus_{\phi} B$ (see [16]). This class includes the class of Lau algebras introduced in [11].
(vi) Another interesting example is the semidirect product of two Banach algebras. Indeed, let $B$ be a Banach algebra, let $A$ be a closed subalgebra of $B$, and let $I$ be a closed ideal in $B$. If $\iota: A \rightarrow B$ is the inclusion map, then the Banach algebra $C=A \bowtie^{l} I$ is $A \ltimes I$, the semidirect product of $A$ and $I$ (see [6, p. 8]). The special semidirect product $C=B \ltimes I$ has been interesting for algebraists and is studied by many authors. Here we give some important examples of Banach algebras that can be recognized as semidirect products.
(a) Let $A$ be a dual Banach algebra with predual $A_{*}$, and consider $A^{* *}$, the second dual of $A$ equipped with the first (or second) Arens product. It is shown in [6, Theorem 2.15] that $A^{* *}=A \ltimes A_{*}^{\perp}$, where $A_{*}^{\perp}=\left\{F \in A^{* *}: F=0\right.$ on $\left.A_{*}\right\}$. We remark that every von Neumann algebra, the measure algebra $M(G)$, and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(G)$ of a locally compact group $G$, as well as the second dual of an Arens regular Banach algebra, are examples of dual Banach algebras.
(b) The measure algebra of a locally compact group $G, M(G)$, has a semidirect product structure. In fact, we have $M(G)=l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G)$, where $l^{1}(G)$ and $M_{c}(G)$ denote the space of discrete measures and continuous measures in $M(G)$, respectively.
(c) The Banach algebra $A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A$ is nothing other than $A \ltimes A$.

## 3. Derivations on $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$

In this section, we first characterize derivations on $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ and then give a characterization of $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right)$.

If $A$ is a Banach algebra and $X$ and $Y$ are Banach $A$-bimodules, then we denote by $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y)$ the space of continuous $A$-bimodule homomorphisms from $X$ into $Y$.

Proposition 3.1. Let the only $A$-bimodule homomorphism $T: I \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $I^{2}$ be $T=0$, where $I$ is an A-bimodule via $\theta$. Then $D \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right)$ if and only if

$$
D(a, i)=\left(D_{A}(a), D_{A, I}(a)+D_{I}(i)\right) \quad(a \in A, i \in I)
$$

where
(i) $D_{A} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A)$,
(ii) $D_{I} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I)$,
(iii) $D_{A, I} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, I)$,
(iv) $D_{I}(i \theta(a))-D_{I}(i) \theta(a)=i \theta\left(D_{A}(a)\right)+i D_{A, I}(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and $i \in I$,
(v) $D_{I}(\theta(a) i)-\theta(a) D_{I}(i)=\theta\left(D_{A}(a)\right) i+D_{A, I}(a) i$ for all $a \in A$ and $i \in I$.

Moreover, $D=\operatorname{ad}_{(a, i)}$ if and only if $D_{A}=\operatorname{ad}_{a}, D_{A, I}=\operatorname{ad}_{i}$, and $D_{I}=\operatorname{ad}_{i+\theta(a)}$.
Proof. Let $\iota_{A}: A \rightarrow A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ and $\iota_{I}: I \rightarrow A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ be canonical injections, let $p_{A}: A \bowtie^{\theta} I \rightarrow A$ and $p_{I}: A \bowtie^{\theta} I \rightarrow I$ be canonical projections, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{A} & =p_{A} \circ D \circ \iota_{A},
\end{aligned} \quad D_{I}=p_{I} \circ D \circ \iota_{I}, ~ 子 \quad \text { and } \quad D_{A, I}=p_{I} \circ D \circ \iota_{A} .
$$

Then

$$
D(a, i)=\left(D_{A}(a)+D_{I, A}(i), D_{A, I}(a)+D_{I}(i)\right) \quad(a \in A, i \in I)
$$

Let $(a, i),\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right) \in A \bowtie^{\theta} I$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left((a, i) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)\right)= & D\left(a a^{\prime}, \theta(a) i^{\prime}+i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i i^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \left(D_{A}\left(a a^{\prime}\right)+D_{I, A}\left(\theta(a) i^{\prime}+i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i i^{\prime}\right),\right. \\
& \left.D_{A, I}\left(a a^{\prime}\right)+D_{I}\left(\theta(a) i^{\prime}+i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i i^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, i) \cdot & D\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)+D(a, i) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right) \\
= & (a, i) \cdot\left(D_{A}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{I, A}\left(i^{\prime}\right), D_{A, I}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{I}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(D_{A}(a)+D_{I, A}(i), D_{A, I}(a)+D_{I}(i)\right) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \left(a D_{A}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+a D_{I, A}\left(i^{\prime}\right), i \theta\left(D_{A}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)+i \theta\left(D_{I, A}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta(a) D_{A, I}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+\theta(a) D_{I}\left(i^{\prime}\right)+i D_{A, I}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i D_{I}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(D_{A}(a) a^{\prime}+D_{I, A}(i) a^{\prime}, \theta\left(D_{A}(a)\right) i^{\prime}+\theta\left(D_{I, A}(i)\right) i^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.+D_{A, I}(a) \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{I}(i) \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{A, I}(a) i^{\prime}+D_{I}(i) i^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting $i=i^{\prime}=0$, one obtains

$$
D_{A}\left(a a^{\prime}\right)=a D_{A}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{A}(a) a^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
D_{A, I}\left(a a^{\prime}\right)=\theta(a) D_{A, I}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{A, I}(a) \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)=a \cdot D_{A, I}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+D_{A, I}(a) \cdot a^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, $D_{A} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A)$ and $D_{A, I} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, I)$.
By letting $a=0$ and $i^{\prime}=0\left(a^{\prime}=0\right.$ and $\left.i=0\right)$, we find that $D_{I, A} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, A)$.
By setting $a=a^{\prime}=0$ we get $D_{I, A}\left(i i^{\prime}\right)=0$, and so, by the assumption, $D_{I, A}=0$.
This result, along with $a=a^{\prime}=0$, gives

$$
D_{I}\left(i i^{\prime}\right)=i D_{I}\left(i^{\prime}\right)+D_{I}(i) i^{\prime}
$$

that is, $D_{I} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I)$. Now put $a=0$ and $i^{\prime}=0$. Then

$$
D_{I}\left(i \cdot a^{\prime}\right)=D_{I}\left(i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)=D_{I}(i) \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i \theta\left(D_{A}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)+i D_{A, I}\left(a^{\prime}\right)
$$

Similarly, by substituting $a^{\prime}=0$ and $i=0$, we obtain

$$
D_{I}\left(a \cdot i^{\prime}\right)=D_{I}\left(\theta(a) i^{\prime}\right)=\theta(a) D_{I}\left(i^{\prime}\right)+\theta\left(D_{A}(a)\right) i^{\prime}+D_{A, I}(a) i^{\prime}
$$

If $D=\operatorname{ad}_{(a, i)}$, for some $a \in A$ and $i \in I$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{ad}_{(a, i)}\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right)=\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right) \cdot(a, i)-(a, i) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, i^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left(a^{\prime} a, \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right) i+i^{\prime} \theta(a)+i^{\prime} i\right)-\left(a a^{\prime}, \theta(a) i^{\prime}+i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)+i i^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left(a^{\prime} a-a a^{\prime},\left(\theta\left(a^{\prime}\right) i-i \theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)+\left(i^{\prime}(i+\theta(a))-(i+\theta(a)) i^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{ad}_{a}\left(a^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{ad}_{i}\left(\theta\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)+\operatorname{ad}_{i+\theta(a)}\left(i^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $D_{A}=\operatorname{ad}_{a}, D_{A, I}=\operatorname{ad}_{i}$, and $D_{I}=\operatorname{ad}_{i+\theta(a)}$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $T: I \rightarrow I$ be a derivation that is also an A-bimodule homomorphism. Then $D: A \bowtie^{\theta} I \rightarrow A \bowtie^{\theta} I$ defined by $D(a, i)=(0, T(i))$ is a derivation. Moreover, $D$ is inner if and only if there exist $a \in Z(A)$ and $i \in Z_{\theta(A)}(I)$ such that $T=\operatorname{ad}_{i+\theta(a)}$, where $Z(A)$ is the algebraic center of $A$ and $Z_{\theta(A)}(I)=\{i \in I: \theta(a) i=i \theta(a)$ for all $a \in A\}$.

Proof. This is a straightforward verification.
We write $C_{A}(I, I)$ for the subspace $\left\{T: I \rightarrow I: T=\operatorname{ad}_{i+\theta(a)}, a \in Z(A), i \in\right.$ $\left.Z_{\theta(A)}(I)\right\}$ of $\mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I)$. Note that if $\theta(A)+I$ is commutative, then $C_{A}(I, I)=\{0\}$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)=\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, I)=0$, and let the only $A$-bimodule homomorphism $T: I \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $I^{2}$ be $T=0$. Then, as vector spaces, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right) \cong \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I)}{C_{A}(I, I)}
$$

Proof. Define the mapping $\eta: \mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right)$ by $\eta(T)=\left[D_{T}\right]$, where $D_{T} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right)$ is defined by $D_{T}(a, i)=(0, T(i))$ and $\left[D_{T}\right]$ denotes the equivalence class of $D_{T}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right)$. By Proposition $3.2, \eta$ is well defined and clearly it is linear. To show that $\eta$ is surjective, let $D$ be a derivation on $A \bowtie^{\theta} I$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, $D$ is of the form

$$
D(a, i)=\left(D_{A}(a), D_{A, I}(a)+D_{I}(i)\right) \quad(a \in A, i \in I)
$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)=\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, I)=0$, there exist $b \in A$ and $j \in I$ such that $D_{A}=\operatorname{ad}_{b}$ and $D_{A, I}=\operatorname{ad}_{j}$. Set $T=D_{I}-\operatorname{ad}_{\theta(b)}-\operatorname{ad}_{j}$, which is a derivation on $I$. Let us check that $T$ is an $A$-bimodule homomorphism by noting that $I$ is an $A$-bimodule via $\theta$. Let $a \in A$ and $i \in I$. Then by condition (v) in Proposition 3.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(a \cdot i)= & T(\theta(a) i)=D_{I}(\theta(a) i)-\operatorname{ad}_{\theta(b)}(\theta(a) i)-\operatorname{ad}_{j}(\theta(a) i) \\
= & \theta(a) D_{I}(i)+\theta\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}(a)\right) i+\operatorname{ad}_{j}(a) i-\operatorname{ad}_{\theta(b)}(\theta(a) i)-\operatorname{ad}_{j}(\theta(a) i) \\
= & \theta(a) D_{I}(i)+\theta(a) \theta(b) i-\theta(b) \theta(a) i+\theta(a) j i-j \theta(a) i-\theta(a) i \theta(b) \\
& +\theta(b) \theta(a) i-\theta(a) i j+j \theta(a) i \\
= & \theta(a) D_{I}(i)+\theta(a)(\theta(b) i-i \theta(b))+\theta(a)(j i-i j) \\
= & \theta(a) D_{I}(i)-\theta(a) \operatorname{ad}_{\theta(b)}(i)-\theta(a) \operatorname{ad}_{j}(i) \\
= & \theta(a) T(i)=a \cdot T(i) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, $T(i \cdot a)=T(i) \cdot a$ for $a \in A$ and $i \in I$. We now have

$$
D(a, i)-D_{T}(a, i)=\left(\operatorname{ad}_{b}(a), \operatorname{ad}_{j}(a)+\operatorname{ad}_{j+\theta(b)}(i)\right)=\operatorname{ad}_{(b, j)}(a, i)
$$

and so $[D]=\left[D_{T}\right]$. This shows that $\eta(T)=[D]$; that is, $\eta$ is surjective. Now we calculate the kernel of $\eta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { ker } \eta & =\left\{T \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I):\left[D_{T}\right]=[0]\right\} \\
& =\left\{T \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I): D_{T} \text { is inner }\right\} \\
& =\left\{T \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I): T=\operatorname{ad}_{i+\theta(a)}, a \in Z(A), i \in Z_{\theta(A)}(I)\right\} \\
& =C_{A}(I, I),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Proposition 3.2 in the third equality. Therefore, as vector spaces, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\theta} I, A \bowtie^{\theta} I\right) \cong \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{1}(I, I) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(I, I)}{C_{A}(I, I)}
$$

We remark that if $\overline{I^{2}}=I$, especially if $I$ is weakly amenable or if $I$ has a one-sided approximate identity, then the only $A$-bimodule homomorphism $T$ : $I \rightarrow A$ that satisfies $\left.T\right|_{I^{2}}=0$ is $T=0$.

Let $G$ be a locally compact group, let $M(G)$ be the measure algebra of $G$, let $L^{1}(G)$ be the group algebra of $G$ which has a bounded approximate identity, let $l^{1}(G)$ be the space of discrete measures in $M(G)$, let $M_{c}(G)$ be the space of continuous measures in $M(G)$, and let $M_{s}(G)$ be the subspace of $M(G)$ consisting of singular measures with respect to the Haar measure on $G$. The measure algebra is a Banach algebra under the convolution product of measures, $L^{1}(G)$ and $M_{c}(G)$ are closed ideals of $M(G), l^{1}(G)$ is a closed subalgebra of $M(G)$, and $M_{s}(G)$ is a closed $G$-invariant subspace of $M(G)$. Also, $M(G)=L^{1}(G)$ if and only if $M(G)=M_{c}(G)$, if and only if $M(G)=l^{1}(G)$, and if and only if $G$ is discrete. Furthermore, we have the following decomposition as linear spaces:

$$
M(G)=l^{1}(G) \oplus_{1} M_{c}(G)=l^{1}(G) \oplus_{1} L^{1}(G) \oplus_{1} M_{s}(G)
$$

(see [4, Theorem 2.3.36]). As we mentioned in Example 2.1(vii), as Banach algebras we have $M(G)=l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G)$.

Example 3.4. Let $G$ be a locally compact group. We know that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), l^{1}(G)\right)=$ 0 (see [12]). We show that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), L^{1}(G)\right)=0$. Let $D: l^{1}(G) \rightarrow L^{1}(G)$ be a derivation. Then, as readily checked, $\tilde{D}: M(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ defined by $\tilde{D}(\mu)=$ $D\left(\mu_{d}\right)$ is a derivation, where $\mu=\mu_{d}+\mu_{a}+\mu_{s}, \mu_{d} \in l^{1}(G), \mu_{a} \in L^{1}(G)$, and $\mu_{s} \in M_{s}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{H}^{1}(M(G), M(G))=0$, there is $\nu \in M(G)$ such that $\tilde{D}=\operatorname{ad}_{\nu}$. So, for each $\mu \in M(G)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\mu_{d}\right) & =\tilde{D}(\mu)=\tilde{D}\left(\mu_{d}\right)=\mu_{d} * \nu-\nu * \mu_{d} \\
& =\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{d}-\nu_{d} * \mu_{d}\right)+\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{a}-\nu_{a} * \mu_{d}\right)+\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{s}-\nu_{s} * \mu_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $D\left(\mu_{d}\right)-\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{a}-\nu_{a} * \mu_{d}\right) \in L^{1}(G) \cap\left(l^{1}(G) \oplus M_{s}(G)\right)=\{0\}$, we have $D=\operatorname{ad}_{\nu_{a}}$, showing $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), L^{1}(G)\right)=0$. Now let $D: L^{1}(G) \rightarrow L^{1}(G)$ be a
derivation which is also an $l^{1}(G)$-module homomorphism. Then, by [12], there is $\mu \in M(G)$ such that $D=\operatorname{ad}_{\mu}$. If $f \in L^{1}(G)$ and $x \in G$, then

$$
\delta_{x} * f * \mu-\delta_{x} * \mu * f=\delta_{x} * D(f)=D\left(\delta_{x} * f\right)=\delta_{x} * f * \mu-\mu * \delta_{x} * f
$$

and so $\delta_{x} * \mu * f=\mu * \delta_{x} * f$, where $\delta_{x}$ is the Dirac measure at $x$. Let $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ be a bounded approximate identity for $L^{1}(G)$. Then $\delta_{x} * \mu * e_{\alpha}=\mu * \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}$ and since $e_{\alpha} \rightarrow \delta_{e}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology of $M(G)=\mathcal{C}_{0}(G)^{*}$, we have $\delta_{x} * \mu=\mu * \delta_{x}$ for each $x \in G$, where $e$ is the identity of $G$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0}(G)$ is the space of continuous functions on $G$ vanishing at infinity. It follows from the strict density of $l^{1}(G)$ in $M(G)$ that $\mu \in Z(M(G))$. Hence $D=\operatorname{ad}_{\mu}=0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G) \ltimes L^{1}(G), l^{1}(G) \ltimes L^{1}(G)\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G) \bowtie^{\iota} L^{1}(G), l^{1}(G) \bowtie^{\iota} L^{1}(G)\right)=0
$$

where $\iota: l^{1}(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ is the inclusion map. Similarly,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G) \ltimes M(G), l^{1}(G) \ltimes M(G)\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G) \bowtie^{\iota} M(G), l^{1}(G) \bowtie^{\iota} M(G)\right)=0 .
$$

Example 3.5. Let $G$ be a locally compact group. We claim that the only $l^{1}(G)$ bimodule homomorphism $T: M_{c}(G) \rightarrow l^{1}(G)$ vanishing on $M_{c}(G)^{2}$ is $T=0$, although $\overline{M_{c}(G)^{2}} \neq M_{c}(G)$ in general. In fact, we show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{l^{1}(G)}\left(M_{c}(G)\right.$, $\left.l^{1}(G)\right)=0$. Let $T: M_{c}(G) \rightarrow l^{1}(G)$ be an $l^{1}(G)$-bimodule homomorphism. Define $\tilde{T}: M(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ by $\tilde{T}(\mu)=T\left(\mu_{c}\right)$, where $\mu=\mu_{d}+\mu_{c}, \mu_{d} \in l^{1}(G)$, and $\mu_{c} \in M_{c}(G)$. Since $l^{1}(G)$ is a subalgebra of $M(G)$ and $M_{c}(G)$ is an ideal in $M(G)$, $\tilde{T}$ is an $l^{1}(G)$-module homomorphism and $\left.\tilde{T}\right|_{l^{1}(G)}=0$. Because of strict density of $l^{1}(G)$ in $M(G), \operatorname{Hom}_{l^{1}(G)}(M(G), M(G))$ is equal to $\operatorname{Hom}_{M(G)}(M(G), M(G))$, and the latter is isomorphic to $Z(M(G))$ since $M(G)$ is unital. Thus, there is $\nu \in Z(M(G))$ such that $\tilde{T}(\mu)=\mu * \nu$ for each $\mu \in M(G)$. Hence,

$$
T\left(\mu_{c}\right)=\tilde{T}(\mu)=\tilde{T}\left(\mu_{c}\right)=\mu_{c} * \nu \in l^{1}(G) \cap M_{c}(G)=\{0\}
$$

and so $T=0$. Now we claim that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), M_{c}(G)\right)=0$. Let $D: l^{1}(G) \rightarrow$ $M_{c}(G)$ be a derivation. Then $\tilde{D}: M(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ defined by $\tilde{D}(\mu)=D\left(\mu_{d}\right)$ is a derivation, too. Therefore, there is $\nu \in M(G)$ such that $\tilde{D}=\operatorname{ad}_{\nu}$. So, for each $\mu \in M(G)$,

$$
D\left(\mu_{d}\right)=\tilde{D}\left(\mu_{d}\right)=\mu_{d} * \nu-\nu * \mu_{d}=\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{d}-\nu_{d} * \mu_{d}\right)+\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{c}-\nu_{c} * \mu_{d}\right)
$$

Since $D\left(\mu_{d}\right)-\left(\mu_{d} * \nu_{c}-\nu_{c} * \mu_{d}\right) \in M_{c}(G) \cap l^{1}(G)=\{0\}$, we have $D=\operatorname{ad}_{\nu_{c}}$ and hence $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), M_{c}(G)\right)=0$.

It can be easily verified that $C_{l^{1}(G)}\left(M_{c}(G), M_{c}(G)\right)=0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G), l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G)\right) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(M_{c}(G), M_{c}(G)\right) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{l^{1}(G)}\left(M_{c}(G), M_{c}(G)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to Example 3.4, we observe that

$$
\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(M_{c}(G), M_{c}(G)\right) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{l^{1}(G)}\left(M_{c}(G), M_{c}(G)\right)=\{0\},
$$

and thus

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G), l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G)\right)=0
$$

In particular, by noting that $M(G)=l^{1}(G) \ltimes M_{c}(G)$, we get $\mathcal{H}^{1}(M(G), M(G))=$ 0 , which is unfortunately used in the calculation of $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), M_{c}(G)\right)$ above. So, if one could show that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}(G), M_{c}(G)\right)=0$ by another technique that does not rely on the innerness of derivations on $M(G)$, then this would solve the derivation problem of Johnson (see [4, Question 5.6.B, p. 746]), which is solved by V. Losert in [12] (a short proof is given in [2]).

Example 3.6. Let $G$ be a locally compact group such that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(L^{1}(G), L^{1}(G)\right)=0$ (e.g., $G$ can be a discrete group or an abelian group). Let $i: L^{1}(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ be the usual inclusion map. Then a similar calculation as in Examples 3.4 and 3.5 shows that

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(L^{1}(G) \bowtie^{i} M(G), L^{1}(G) \bowtie^{i} M(G)\right)=0
$$

In [12], V. Losert proved that derivations from $L^{1}(G)$ to $M(G)$ are inner. We show that derivations from $M(G)$ to $L^{1}(G)$ are also inner, which is needed in next example.

Lemma 3.7. Let $G$ be a locally compact group, and let

$$
M_{0}(G)=\left\{\nu \in M(G): \mu * \nu-\nu * \mu \in L^{1}(G) \text { for all } \mu \in M(G)\right\}
$$

Then $M_{0}(G)=Z(M(G))+L^{1}(G)$.
Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $G$ is nondiscrete. Obviously, $L^{1}(G)+Z(M(G)) \subseteq M_{0}(G)$. Let $\nu \in M_{0}(G)$. Then $\nu=\nu_{d}+\nu_{a}+\nu_{s}$ for some $\nu_{d} \in$ $l^{1}(G), \nu_{a} \in L^{1}(G)$, and $\nu_{s} \in M_{s}(G)$. Since $M_{s}(G)$ is invariant under translations by elements of $G$, for each $x \in G$ we have $\delta_{x} * \nu_{s}, \nu_{s} * \delta_{x} \in M_{s}(G)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta_{x} * \nu-\nu * \delta_{x}\right)-\left(\delta_{x} * \nu_{a}-\nu_{a} * \delta_{x}\right) & =\delta_{x} *\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{s}\right)-\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{s}\right) * \delta_{x} \\
& =\left(\delta_{x} * \nu_{d}-\nu_{d} * \delta_{x}\right)+\left(\delta_{x} * \nu_{s}-\nu_{s} * \delta_{x}\right) \\
& \in L^{1}(G) \cap\left(l^{1}(G) \oplus M_{s}(G)\right)=\{0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\delta_{x} *\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{s}\right)=\left(\nu_{d}+\nu_{s}\right) * \delta_{x}$ for all $x \in G$. Since $l^{1}(G)$ is dense in $M(G)$ with respect to the strict topology, it follows that $\nu_{d}+\nu_{s} \in Z(M(G))$. Therefore, $\nu \in L^{1}(G)+Z(M(G))$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $G$ be a locally compact group. Then $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(M(G), L^{1}(G)\right)=0$.
Proof. Let $\Phi: \mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(M(G), L^{1}(G)\right) \rightarrow \frac{M(G)}{Z(M(G))+L^{1}(G)}$ be defined by $\Phi(D)=[\mu]$, where $D=\operatorname{ad}_{\mu}$ for some $\mu \in M(G)$, and $[\mu]$ denotes the equivalence class of $\mu \in M(G)$ in the quotient space $\frac{M(G)}{Z(M(G))+L^{1}(G)}$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{ker} \Phi=$ $\mathcal{B}^{1}\left(M(G), L^{1}(G)\right)$. So

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(M(G), L^{1}(G)\right) \cong \frac{M_{0}(G)}{Z(M(G))+L^{1}(G)}
$$

and hence by Lemma 3.7, $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(M(G), L^{1}(G)\right)=0$.

Example 3.9. Let $G$ be a locally compact group. By Theorem 3.8, all of the conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold. By Wendel's theorem [4, Theorem 3.3.40], $\operatorname{Hom}_{M(G)}\left(L^{1}(G), L^{1}(G)\right)=Z(M(G))$. Thus, the only derivation $D: L^{1}(G) \rightarrow$ $L^{1}(G)$ which is an $M(G)$-bimodule homomorphism is $D=0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(M(G) \ltimes L^{1}(G), M(G) \ltimes L^{1}(G)\right)=0$.

Corollary 3.10. Let $A$ be a dual Banach algebra with predual $A_{*}$. As we explained in Example 2.1(vi), $A^{* *}=A \ltimes A_{*}^{\perp}=A \bowtie^{\iota} A_{*}^{\perp}$. So, if $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)=0$ and the only $A$-bimodule homomorphism $T: A_{*}^{\perp} \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $\left(A_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{2}$ is $T=0$, then

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A^{* *}, A^{* *}\right) \cong \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}, A_{*}^{\perp}\right) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)}{C_{A}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)}
$$

Example 3.11. Let $A=l^{1}$ be the usual Banach sequence algebra which is a commutative dual Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication with predual $A_{*}=c_{0}$. Then $\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *}=l^{1} \ltimes c_{0}^{\perp}$. We show that $l^{1}$ satisfies conditions of Corollary 3.10 and then calculate $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *},\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *}\right)$. Since $l^{1}$ is a commutative weakly amenable Banach algebra and $l^{1}$ and $c_{0}^{\perp}$ are symmetric $l^{1}$-bimodules, we have $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}, l^{1}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right)=0$. It can be easily shown that $F \square G=G \square F=0$ for all $F \in c_{0}^{\perp}$ and $G \in\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *}$, where $\square$ denotes the first Arens product. Thus, if $T: c_{0}^{\perp} \rightarrow l^{1}$ is an $l^{1}$-bimodule homomorphism, for all $a \in l^{1}$ and $F \in c_{0}^{\perp}$ we have $0=T(a \square F)=a \square T(F)=a \cdot T(F)$, which implies $T=0$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.10, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *},\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *}\right)=\frac{\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{l^{1}}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right)}{C_{l^{1}}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right)}
$$

Since the action of $\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *}$ on $c_{0}^{\perp}$ is trivial, $C_{l^{1}}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right)=0$ and every bounded linear map on $c_{0}^{\perp}$ is a derivation and an $l^{1}$-module homomorphism; that is, $\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{l^{1}}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}, c_{0}^{\perp}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}\right)$, where $\mathcal{B}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}\right)$ is the space of all bounded operators on $c_{0}^{\perp}$. Hence

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *},\left(l^{1}\right)^{* *}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(c_{0}^{\perp}\right)
$$

Example 3.12. Let $A$ be a dual Banach algebra with predual $A_{*}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A, A^{* *}\right)=0$ (e.g., $A$ can be a von Neumann algebra or the group algebra of a discrete group), and let the only $A$-bimodule homomorphism $T: A_{*}^{\perp} \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $\left(A_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{2}$ be $T=0$. We show that $A$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 3.10. So we have to show that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)=0$. Let $D: A \rightarrow A_{*}^{\perp}$ be a derivation. Then $\iota \circ D: A \rightarrow A^{* *}$ is also a derivation, where $\iota: A_{*}^{\perp} \rightarrow A^{* *}$ is the inclusion map. Since $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A, A^{* *}\right)=0$, there is $F \in A^{* *}$ such that $\iota \circ D=\operatorname{ad}_{F}$. Let $F=F_{1}+F_{2}$, where $F_{1} \in A$ and $F_{2} \in A_{*}^{\perp}$. Thus, for each $a \in A, D(a)=\left(a \cdot F_{1}-F_{1} \cdot a\right)+\left(a \cdot F_{2}-F_{2} \cdot a\right)$. Since $A_{*}^{\perp}$ is an ideal in $A^{* *}$, $a \cdot F_{1}-F_{1} \cdot a=D(a)-\left(a \cdot F_{2}-F_{2} \cdot a\right) \in A_{*}^{\perp}$ and so $a \cdot F_{1}-F_{1} \cdot a=0$ for each $a \in A$. Hence $D=\operatorname{ad}_{F_{2}}$, which shows that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)=0$.

As an application, let $A$ be a von Neumann algebra such that the only $A$ bimodule homomorphism $T: A_{*}^{\perp} \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $\left(A_{*}^{\perp}\right)^{2}$ is $T=0$. Since $A^{* *}$ is also a von Neumann algebra, we have $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A^{* *}, A^{* *}\right)=0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}, A_{*}^{\perp}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)=C_{A}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}, A_{*}^{\perp}\right)$; that is, every derivation $D: A_{*}^{\perp} \rightarrow A_{*}^{\perp}$ which
is an $A$-bimodule homomorphism is of the form $\operatorname{ad}_{a+F}$ where $a \in Z(A)$ and $F \in Z_{A}\left(A_{*}^{\perp}\right)$.
3.1. Derivations on $A \oplus_{\phi} B$. In the following theorem, we reformulate Theorem 3.3 for $A \oplus_{\phi} B$. We write $B_{\phi}$ for the Banach algebra $B$ as a symmetric Banach $A$-bimodule with the action $a \cdot b=b \cdot a=\phi(a) b$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Also, $\sigma(A)$ denotes the space of nonzero characters of $A$.

Theorem 3.13. Let $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A, B_{\phi}\right)=0$, and let the only A-bimodule homomorphism $T: B_{\phi} \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $B^{2}$ be $T=0$. Then, as vector spaces,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \oplus_{\phi} B, A \oplus_{\phi} B\right) \cong \mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B)
$$

Proof. It is enough to note that $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(B_{\phi}, B_{\phi}\right)=\mathcal{B}\left(B_{\phi}\right)$ and $C_{A}\left(B_{\phi}, B_{\phi}\right)=$ $\mathcal{B}^{1}\left(B_{\phi}, B_{\phi}\right)$.

Corollary 3.14. Let $A$ be a weakly amenable commutative Banach algebra, $\phi \in$ $\sigma(A)$, and let $B$ be a Banach algebra such that the only A-bimodule homomorphism $T: B_{\phi} \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $B^{2}$ is $T=0$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \oplus_{\phi} B, A \oplus_{\phi} B\right)=$ $\mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B)$.

Without any assumption on $A$ and $B$, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.15. $\mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B)$ embeds in $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \oplus_{\phi} B, A \oplus_{\phi} B\right)$, and so $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \oplus_{\phi}\right.$ $\left.B, A \oplus_{\phi} B\right) \neq 0$ if $\mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B) \neq 0$.

Proof. For $D \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(B, B)$, define $\tilde{D}: A \oplus_{\phi} B \rightarrow A \oplus_{\phi} B$ by $\tilde{D}(a, b)=(0, D(b))$. Then $\tilde{D}$ is a derivation, and it is inner if and only if $D$ is inner. Now the mapping $D \mapsto \tilde{D}$ from $\mathcal{Z}^{1}(B, B)$ into $\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A \oplus_{\phi} B, A \oplus_{\phi} B\right)$ gives the desired embedding.

Corollary 3.16. Let $A$ and $B$ be commutative Banach algebras. If $\mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B) \neq 0$, then $A \oplus_{\phi} B$ is not $n$-weakly amenable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.15 and [5, p. 23].
Example 3.17. Let $A=l^{1}$, let $B=c_{0}$ or $l^{\infty}$, and let $\phi \in \sigma\left(l^{1}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}, l^{1}\right)=$ $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}, c_{0}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(c_{0}, c_{0}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1}, l^{\infty}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{\infty}, l^{\infty}\right)=0$, and so by Theorem 3.13,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1} \oplus_{\phi} c_{0}, l^{1} \oplus_{\phi} c_{0}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(l^{1} \oplus_{\phi} l^{\infty}, l^{1} \oplus_{\phi} l^{\infty}\right)=0
$$

Example 3.18. Let $X$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X)$ be the space of continuous functions on $X$ vanishing at $\infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}(X), \mathcal{C}_{0}(X)\right)=0$ because $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X)$ is a commutative semisimple $C^{*}$-algebra. Let $B$ be an arbitrary square dense Banach algebra, and let $\phi \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}(X)\right)=X$. Then, by noting that $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X)$ is a commutative weakly amenable Banach algebra and that $B_{\phi}$ is a symmetric Banach $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X)$-bimodule, we have $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}(X), B_{\phi}\right)=0$ and thus

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}(X) \oplus_{\phi} B, \mathcal{C}_{0}(X) \oplus_{\phi} B\right) \cong \mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B) .
$$

Moreover, if $B$ is commutative and $\mathcal{H}^{1}(B, B) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{C}_{0}(X) \oplus_{\phi} B$ cannot be $n$-weakly amenable for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 3.19. Let $G$ be a locally compact abelian group, and let $A=L^{1}(G)$ and $B=M(G)$. Then, for any $\phi \in \sigma\left(L^{1}(G)\right)$,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(L^{1}(G) \oplus_{\phi} M(G), L^{1}(G) \oplus_{\phi} M(G)\right)=0
$$

Example 3.20. Let $G$ be a locally compact group, let $A=\mathrm{A}(G)$ be the Fourier algebra of $G$, and let $B=\operatorname{VN}(G)$ be the von Neumann algebra of $G$. Let also $\phi \in \sigma(A(G))=\left\{\epsilon_{x}: x \in G\right\}$, where $\epsilon_{x}: \mathrm{A}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the evaluational function at $x$. Since $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra, by [15], $\mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathrm{~A}(G), \mathrm{A}(G))=0$. Now, if the connected component of the identity in $G$ is abelian, then, by [9], $\mathrm{A}(G)$ is weakly amenable and so $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}(G), \mathrm{VN}(G)_{\phi}\right)=0$. Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}(G) \oplus_{\phi} \mathrm{VN}(G), \mathrm{A}(G) \oplus_{\phi} \mathrm{VN}(G)\right) \cong \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathrm{VN}(G), \mathrm{VN}(G))=0
$$

## 4. Derivations on $A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A$

In this section, we characterize explicitly the first cohomology group of $A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A$ in terms of that of $A$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $D: A \rightarrow A$ be a derivation. Then $\hat{D}: A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A \rightarrow A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A$ defined by $\hat{D}(a, b)=(D(a), D(b))$ is a derivation. Moreover, $\hat{D}$ is inner if and only if $D$ is inner. In this case, $\hat{D}=\operatorname{ad}_{(a, b)}$ if and only if $D=\operatorname{ad}_{a}$ and $b \in Z(A)$.

Proof. It can be routinely checked that $\hat{D}$ is a derivation. Now, if $\hat{D}=\operatorname{ad}_{(a, b)}$, then, for each $c, d \in A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D(c), D(d)) & =(c a-a c, c b+d a+d b-a d-b c-b d) \\
& =\left(\operatorname{ad}_{a}(c), \operatorname{ad}_{b}(c)+\operatorname{ad}_{a}(d)+\operatorname{ad}_{b}(d)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $D=\operatorname{ad}_{a}$, and by putting $d=0$ we get $\operatorname{ad}_{b}(c)=0$ for all $c \in A$; that is, $b \in Z(A)$. Now the fact that $b$ belongs to $Z(A)$ implies $\operatorname{ad}_{b}=0$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 4.2. $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)$ embeds in $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$, and so $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}}\right.$ $\left.A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right) \neq 0$ if $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A) \neq 0$.

Proof. The mapping $D \mapsto \hat{D}$ from $\mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A)$ into $\mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$ gives the desired embedding by Proposition 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. Let $A$ be a commutative Banach algebra such that $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A) \neq 0$. Then the Banach algebra $A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A$ cannot be $n$-weakly amenable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we characterize $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$ in a more general case. A Banach algebra $A$ is termed left (right) faithful if $a A=0(A a=0)$ for some $a \in A$ implies $a=0$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $A$ be a left (or right) faithful Banach algebra, and let the only $A$-bimodule homomorphism $T: A \rightarrow A$ vanishing on $A^{2}$ be $T=0$. Then $D \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$ if and only if

$$
D(a, b)=\left(D_{1}(a), D_{2}(a)+D_{1}(b)+D_{2}(b)\right) \quad(a, b \in A)
$$

for some $D_{1}, D_{2} \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A)$. Moreover, $D=\operatorname{ad}_{(a, b)}$ if and only if $D_{1}=\operatorname{ad}_{a}$ and $D_{2}=\operatorname{ad}_{b}$.

Proof. This proposition follows from the faithfulness of $A$ and condition (iv) (or (v)) of Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 4.5. If $A$ is left (or right) faithful and $A^{2}$ is dense in $A$, then, as vector spaces, we have

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A, A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A\right) \cong \mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)
$$

Proof. Define $\eta: \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$ by $\eta\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=$ $[D]$, where $D \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$ is defined by

$$
D(a, b)=\left(D_{1}(a), D_{2}(a)+D_{1}(b)+D_{2}(b)\right)
$$

and $[D]$ denotes the equivalence class of $D$ in $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A, A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A\right)$. By Proposition 4.4, $\eta$ is a well-defined surjective linear map and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker} \eta & =\left\{\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A):[D]=[0]\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A): D \text { is inner }\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{Z}^{1}(A, A): D_{1} \text { and } D_{2} \text { are inner }\right\} \\
& =\mathcal{B}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{B}^{1}(A, A),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A, A \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} A\right) \cong \mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{1}(A, A)
$$

as vector spaces.
Corollary 4.6. If $A$ has a left (or right) approximate identity, then the Banach algebra $A \bowtie^{\text {id }} A$ has automatically continuous derivations if and only if $A$ has automatically continuous derivations.

Example 4.7. Let $G$ be an infinite locally compact group. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(M(G) \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} M(G), M(G) \bowtie^{\mathrm{id}} M(G)\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(A(G) \bowtie^{\text {id }} A(G), A(G) \bowtie^{\text {id }} A(G)\right)=0
$$
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