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#### Abstract

In this work we study bifurcation of forms of equilibrium of a thin circular elastic plate lying on an elastic base under the action of a compressive force (see Picture 1). The forms of equilibrium may be found as solutions of the von Kármán equations with two real positive parameters defined on the unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ centered at the origin. These equations are equivalent to an operator equation $F(x, p)=0$ in the real Hölder spaces with a nonlinear $S^{1}$-equivariant Fredholm map of index 0 . For the existence of bifurcation at a point $(0, p)$ it is necessary that $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)>0$. The space $\operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)$ can be at most four-dimensional. We apply the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem to prove that if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)=3$ then there is bifurcation of radial solutions at $(0, p)$. What is more, using the Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction we investigate the number of branches of radial bifurcation at $(0, p)$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ denote the subspace of such functions $f: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from the real Hölder space $C^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ that satisfy the following boundary conditions:

$$
\left.f\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\left.\Delta f\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0,
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator, $\Omega=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: u^{2}+v^{2}<1\right\}$ and $\mu \in(0,1)$. The operators $\Delta^{2}: C^{4}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]: C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ are defined

[^0]by:
$$
\Delta^{2} f=\frac{\partial^{4} f}{\partial u^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} f}{\partial u^{2} \partial v^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} f}{\partial v^{4}}, \quad[f, g]=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial u^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial v^{2}}-2 \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial u \partial v} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial u \partial v}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial v^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial u^{2}} .
$$

Our purpose is to investigate bifurcation of forms of equilibrium of a thin circular elastic plate lying on an elastic base under the action of a compressive force. This physical phenomenon is strictly connected with the von Kármán equations (see [3]) given as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} w-[w, \sigma]+2 \alpha \Delta w+\beta w-\gamma w^{3}=0 &  \tag{1}\\ \Delta^{2} \sigma+\frac{1}{2}[w, w]=0 & \text { in } \Omega, \\ \Delta w=w=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\ \Delta \sigma=\sigma=0 & \end{cases}
$$

where $w, \sigma \in C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}), w(u, v)$ is a deflection function, $\sigma(u, v)$ is a stress function, $\alpha>0$ is a value of the compressive force, $\beta>0$ and $\gamma>0$ are parameters of the elastic foundation. More precisely, the solutions $(w, \sigma)$ of the system (1) lying in a small neighbourhood of the point $(0,0)$ are forms of equilibrium of the plate. In the remainder of this paper we assume $\gamma$ to be constant.

In the last twenty years many authors have studied von Kármán equations of different types. The classical works on this subject are $[1,2,4,5,6,11,17,21,23]$, and modern ones are $[3,7,9,10,16,19]$.

The studies, including the elasticity of foundation, by the use of bifurcation theory have been started by Yu. Morozov in [18]. Morozov investigated the forms of equilibrium of a homogenous finite beam clamped at the edges to the foundation. He proved that if we consider additional nonlinear terms corresponding to an elastic foundation then subcritical branches of solutions at a bifurcation point will occur. In [12] we came to the same conclusion for simple bifurcation points in the solution set of (1).

This paper is a continuation of our earlier results in [12, 13, 15]. To study bifurcation we apply methods of nonlinear analysis and representation theory.


Picture 1.

Let $X=C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $Y=C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$. The system (1) is equivalent to an operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, p)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the nonlinear map $F: X \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow Y$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, p)=\left(\Delta^{2} w-[w, \sigma]+2 \alpha \Delta w+\beta w-\gamma w^{3},-\Delta^{2} \sigma-\frac{1}{2}[w, w]\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=(w, \sigma)$ and $p=(\alpha, \beta)$.
In [12] we showed that $F$ is $C^{\infty}$ and $F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p): X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm map of index 0 for each $p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$. We also proved that $F$ is a variational gradient for the energy functional $E: X \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
E(x, p)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left((\Delta w)^{2}-(\Delta \sigma)^{2}-[w, w] \sigma\right) d u d v \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{\Omega} 2 \alpha\left(\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial u}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial v}\right)^{2}\right) d u d v \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\beta w^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma w^{4}\right) d u d v \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

with respect to the standard inner product in $L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$. Let $\Gamma=\{(0, p): p \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right\}$ be a subset of $X \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$. Every point in $\Gamma$ is said to be a trivial solution of the equation (2). A point $(x, p) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ such that $F(x, p)=0$ and $x \neq 0$ is called a nontrivial solution of (2). We say that $(0, p) \in \Gamma$ is a bifurcation point of (2) (or there is bifurcation at $(0, p))$ if in every neighbourhood of this point there exists a nontrivial solution of (2). For $(0, p) \in \Gamma$, set

$$
N(p)=\operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p) .
$$

A bifurcation point $(0, p) \in \Gamma$ is called either simple if $\operatorname{dim} N(p)=1$ or multiple if $\operatorname{dim} N(p) \geq 2$. Applying the implicit function theorem we conclude that for bifurcation at a point $(0, p) \in \Gamma$ it is necessary that $\operatorname{dim} N(p)>0$. In [12] we proved that $\operatorname{dim} N(p)$ is no greater than 4 . We showed that if $\operatorname{dim} N(p)=1$ then there exists bifurcation of the Crandall-Rabinowitz type at $(0, p)$. The proof was based on the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem (see [8, 20]). In [13] we proved that a sufficient condition for bifurcation at $(0, p)$ is $\operatorname{dim} N(p)>0$. In [15] we described the solution set of (1) in a small neighbourhood of a simple bifurcation point.

In this paper we discuss the case $\operatorname{dim} N(p)=3$. Our investigations are based on $S^{1}$-symmetries. We notice that the subspace of $S^{1}$-equivariant functions in $N(p)$ is one-dimensional. It implies that $(0, p) \in \Gamma$ is a simple degeneracy point of the restriction of $F$ to the subspace of $S^{1}$-equivariant functions in $X$. By the use of the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem we prove that there is bifurcation of radial solutions at $(0, p)$. Next, applying the Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction we study the number of branches of radial bifurcation at $(0, p)$.

In case $\operatorname{dim} N(p)$ is 2 or 4 this method breaks down, because the subspace of $S^{1}$-equivariant functions in $N(p)$ is not one-dimensional.

## $2 S^{1}$-invariant subspaces in the space $N(p)$

At the beginning we introduce some notations. We will denote by $S^{1}$ the set $\left\{e^{i \Theta}\right.$ : $0 \leq \Theta<2 \pi\}$. Obviously, $S^{1}$ with the multiplication of complex numbers is an abelian group. Define $G=\left\{T_{\Theta}: 0 \leq \Theta<2 \pi\right\}$, where $T_{\Theta}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a rotation through $\Theta$. The group $G$ is a linear representation of $S^{1}$ in $G L\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Definition 2.1. A set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is called $S^{1}$-invariant if $T_{\Theta}(u, v) \in U$ for all $(u, v) \in$ $U$ and $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$.
Definition 2.2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be $S^{1}$-invariant. A map $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be $S^{1}$-equivariant if $f\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right)=f(u, v)$ for all $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ and $(u, v) \in U$.
Property 2.1. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an $S^{1}$-invariant set. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an $S^{1}$-equivariant map.
(ii) There exists a map $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $f(u, v)=g\left(\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}\right)$ for each $(u, v) \in U$.
Let $Z \subset\left\{f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$ be a linear space, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is $S^{1}$-invariant. We will denote by $Z^{S^{1}}$ the subspace of all $S^{1}$-equivariant functions in $Z$, i.e.

$$
Z^{S^{1}}=\left\{f \in Z: f \circ T_{\Theta}=f \text { for each } \Theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}
$$

Clearly, the unit ball $\Omega$, its boundary $\partial \Omega$ and closure $\bar{\Omega}$ are $S^{1}$-invariant sets. Define

$$
C_{0}^{m, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{f \in C^{m, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}):\left.f\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0\right\}
$$

Let $(r, \varphi)$ denote the polar coordinates of a point $(u, v) \in \bar{\Omega}$. It is well known that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $\Delta: C_{0}^{m, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{m-2, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}), m \geq 2$, iff $\lambda<0$ and $\sqrt{-\lambda}$ is zero of one of the Bessel functions

$$
J_{k}(s)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos (s \sin t-k t) d t, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}
$$

If $J_{0}(\sqrt{-\lambda})=0$ then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-\lambda I)=1$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-\lambda I)=\operatorname{span}\left\{J_{0}(\sqrt{-\lambda} r)\right\}$. If $J_{k}(\sqrt{-\lambda})=0$ and $k \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-\lambda I)=2$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-\lambda I)=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{J_{k}(\sqrt{-\lambda} r) \cos (k \varphi), J_{k}(\sqrt{-\lambda} r) \sin (k \varphi)\right\}$. Here and subsequently, $I$ stands for the natural embedding of $C^{m, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ into $C^{m-2, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $m \geq 2$, i.e. $I(x)=x$.

We now turn our attention to the space $N(p)$. It was computed in [12] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}^{\prime}(x, p)(z, \eta)=\left(\Delta^{2} z-[z, \sigma]-[w, \eta]+2 \alpha \Delta z+\beta z-3 \gamma w^{2} z,-\Delta^{2} \eta-[w, z]\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)(z, \eta)=\left(\Delta^{2} z+2 \alpha \Delta z+\beta z,-\Delta^{2} \eta\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z, \eta \in C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$. One knows that $\Delta: C_{0}^{m, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{m-2, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}), m \geq 2$, is an isomorphism. Hence $\Delta^{2}: C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ is an isomorphism and, in consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(p)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta+\beta I\right) \times\{0\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta+\beta I: C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$. Fix $p=(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$. Set $\delta=\alpha^{2}-\beta$. If $\delta \geq 0$ then $a$ and $b$ are defined as follows: $a=-\alpha-\sqrt{\delta}, b=-\alpha+\sqrt{\delta}$.

|  | Assumptions |  | Results |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta$ | $a$ and $b$ | $\operatorname{dim} N(p)$ | base of $N(p)$ | $\operatorname{dim} N(p)^{S^{1}}$ |
| 1. | - | not defined | 0 | $\emptyset$ | 0 |
| 2. | $\begin{gathered} + \\ \text { or } 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \forall_{k \geq 0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-a}) \neq 0 \\ & J_{k}(\sqrt{-b}) \neq 0 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\emptyset$ | 0 |
| 3. | 0 | $J_{0}(\sqrt{-a})=0$ | 1 | $e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-a} r), 0\right)$ | 1 |
| 4. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \forall_{k \geq 0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-b}) \neq 0 \\ & J_{0}(\sqrt{-a})=0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | $e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-a} r), 0\right)$ | 1 |
| 5. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \forall_{k \geq 0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-a}) \neq 0 \\ & J_{0}(\sqrt{-b})=0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | $e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-b} r), 0\right)$ | 1 |
| 6. | 0 | $\exists_{k>0} \quad J_{k}(\sqrt{-a})=0$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \cos (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \sin (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 7. | + | $\begin{aligned} & J_{0}(\sqrt{-a})=0 \\ & J_{0}(\sqrt{-b})=0 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-a} r), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-b} r), 0\right) \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
| 8. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \forall_{l \geq 0} & J_{l}(\sqrt{-b}) \neq 0 \\ \exists_{k>0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-a})=0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \cos (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \sin (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 9. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \forall_{k \geq 0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-a}) \neq 0 \\ \exists_{l>0} & J_{l}(\sqrt{-b})=0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{l}(\sqrt{-b} r) \cos (l \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{l}(\sqrt{-b} r) \sin (l \varphi), 0\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 10. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \exists_{k>0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-a})=0 \\ & J_{0}(\sqrt{-b})=0 \end{array}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \cos (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \sin (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{3}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-b} r), 0\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 11. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \exists_{k>0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-b})=0 \\ & J_{0}(\sqrt{-a})=0 \end{array}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{0}(\sqrt{-a} r), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-b} r) \cos (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{3}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-b} r) \sin (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| 12. | + | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \exists_{k, l>0} & J_{k}(\sqrt{-a})=0 \\ & J_{l}(\sqrt{-b})=0 \end{array}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline e_{1}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \cos (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{2}(u, v)=\left(J_{k}(\sqrt{-a} r) \sin (k \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{3}(u, v)=\left(J_{l}(\sqrt{-b} r) \cos (l \varphi), 0\right) \\ & e_{4}(u, v)=\left(J_{l}(\sqrt{-b} r) \sin (l \varphi), 0\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 |

Table 1.

Lemma 2.2 (see [12]). Let $\Delta-a I, \Delta-b I: C_{0}^{2, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$. The following implications hold.
(i) If $\delta<0$ then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta+\beta I\right)=\{0\}$.
(ii) If $\delta=0$ then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta+\beta I\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-a I)$.
(iii) If $\delta>0$ then $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha \Delta+\beta I\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-a I) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(\Delta-b I)$.

Applying Lemma 2.2 and the description of eigenspaces of $\Delta$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ we receive the dimension and the base of $N(p)$. The results are announced in Table 1.

In Table 1 the character ' + ' means positive and the character ' - ' means negative. Combining the results of Table 1 with Property 2.1 we can determine the base of $N(p)^{S^{1}}$.

## 3 The properties of $F$ and $\left.F\right|_{X^{s^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}}$

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be $S^{1}$-invariant. Assume that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $E_{1}, E_{2} \subset\left\{f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$ are real linear subspaces such that if $f \in E_{i}$ and $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ then $f \circ T_{\Theta} \in E_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. We will say that
(i) $P: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ is $S^{1}$-equivariant if $P\left(f \circ T_{\Theta}\right)=P(f) \circ T_{\Theta}$ for $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ and $f \in E_{1} ;$
(ii) $T: E_{1} \times \Lambda \rightarrow E_{2}$ is $S^{1}$-equivariant if $T(\cdot, \lambda): E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ is $S^{1}$-equivariant for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Let $F^{S^{1}}$ denote the restriction of $F$ given by (3) to the space $X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$. In this section we will look more closely at the operators $F$ and $F^{S^{1}}$. Let us remark that if $f$ belongs to a Hölder space then for each $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ a function $f \circ T_{\Theta}$ lies in this space, too. It follows from the fact that $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\partial \Omega$ are $S^{1}$-invariant sets.

Theorem 3.1. The operator $F: X \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow Y$ defined by (3) is $S^{1}$-equivariant, i.e.

$$
F\left(x \circ T_{\Theta}, p\right)=F(x, p) \circ T_{\Theta}
$$

for all $x \in X, p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ and $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$.
Proof. It is known that the Laplace operator on $\bar{\Omega}$ is $S^{1}$-equivariant. Therefore it suffices to show that $\left[w \circ T_{\Theta}, \sigma \circ T_{\Theta}\right]=[w, \sigma] \circ T_{\Theta}$ for all $w, \sigma \in C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$.
Fix $w, \sigma \in C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$. Applying twice the theorem on the derivative of superposition we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2}\left(w \circ T_{\Theta}\right)}{\partial u^{2}}(u, v) & =\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u^{2}}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \cos ^{2} \Theta+2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u \partial v}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta  \tag{8}\\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial v^{2}}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin ^{2} \Theta
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2}\left(w \circ T_{\Theta}\right)}{\partial v^{2}}(u, v) & =\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u^{2}}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin ^{2} \Theta-2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u \partial v}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta  \tag{9}\\
& +\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial v^{2}}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \cos ^{2} \Theta
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2}\left(w \circ T_{\Theta}\right)}{\partial u \partial v}(u, v) & =-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u^{2}}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta+2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u \partial v}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \cos ^{2} \Theta  \tag{10}\\
& -2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial u \partial v}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin ^{2} \Theta+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial v^{2}}\left(T_{\Theta}(u, v)\right) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta
\end{align*}
$$

for $(u, v) \in \bar{\Omega}$. Combining (8), (9) and (10) we have $\left[w \circ T_{\Theta}, \sigma \circ T_{\Theta}\right](u, v)=[w, \sigma] \circ$ $T_{\Theta}(u, v)$.

It is clear that subspaces of $S^{1}$-equivariant functions in the Hölder spaces are closed linear ones. Furthermore, they are mapped into spaces of $S^{1}$-equivariant functions by any $S^{1}$-equivariant operator. Since $F$ is $S^{1}$-equivariant, we have $F^{S^{1}}: X^{S^{1}} \times$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the study of the Fréchet derivative of $F$ with respect to $x$ at a point $(0, p) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$.
Theorem 3.2. The map $F^{S^{1}}: X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ given by (3) is $C^{\infty}$ with respect to all variables. Moreover, for each $p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2},\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}(0, p): X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is a linear Fredholm map of index 0 .

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar in spirit to the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [12].
Proof. Since $F$ is $C^{\infty}$, its restriction $F^{S^{1}}$ is also $C^{\infty}$. The task is now to check the second part of the claim. Fix $p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$. We can write $F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)(z, \eta)=A(z, \eta)+B(z, \eta) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $A, B: X \rightarrow Y$ are given as follows:

$$
A(z, \eta)=\left(\Delta^{2} z,-\Delta^{2} \eta\right), \quad B(z, \eta)=(2 \alpha \Delta z+\beta z, 0)
$$

Define $A^{S^{1}}=\left.A\right|_{X^{S^{1}}}$ and $B^{S^{1}}=\left.B\right|_{X^{S^{1}}}$. In the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [12] we showed that $A$ is a linear Fredholm map of index 0 and $B$ is completely continuous. Since $\Delta^{2}: C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ is an $S^{1}$-equivariant isomorphism, we receive that $\Delta^{2}: C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})^{S^{1}} \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})^{S^{1}}$ is one-to-one. We show that the restriction of $\Delta^{2}$ to $C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})^{S^{1}}$ is onto $C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})^{S^{1}}$. Take $f \in C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})^{S^{1}}$. There exists $g \in C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\Delta^{2} g=f$. We have

$$
\Delta^{2} g=\left(\Delta^{2} g\right) \circ T_{\Theta}=\Delta^{2}\left(g \circ T_{\Theta}\right)
$$

for each $\Theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ and, in consequence, $g=g \circ T_{\Theta}$. From this $g \in C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})^{S^{1}}$.
Summarizing, we have just proved that $A: X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is an isomorphism. Thus $A: X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is a Fredholm map of index 0 . Additionally, $B: X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is
completely continuous, which follows immediately from two facts: (1) $B: X \rightarrow Y$ is completely continuous; (2) $Y^{S^{1}}$ is a closed linear subspace of $Y$. From the above we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)=A^{S^{1}}+B^{S^{1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}(0, p)$ is a linear Fredholm map of index 0 .

## 4 Theorems on existence of radial bifurcation

We say that there is radial bifurcation at $(0, p) \in \Gamma$ if in every neighbourhood of this point there is a nontrivial radial solution of (2). A curve of nontrivial solutions starting with a bifurcation point is said to be a branch of bifurcation.

In Section 4 we formulate a sufficient condition for radial bifurcation at a point $(0, p) \in \Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N(p)=3$. We also investigate the number of branches of nontrivial radial solutions bifurcating from such a point. Our proof is based on the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem on simple bifurcation points (see [8, 20]) and the Lyapunov-Schmidt finite-dimensional reduction (see [20]).

Here and subsequently, $M_{\epsilon}(x)$ denotes an open ball of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $x$ in a metric space $M$. For simplicity of notation, in general theorems we use the same letters $F, E$ and $X, Y$ for maps and spaces, respectively, as in von Kármán's problem.

Theorem 4.1 (Crandall, Rabinowitz). Let $X, Y$ be real Banach spaces and $F$ be a $C^{q}$ map from a neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$ into $Y$, where $q \geq 2$. Assume that
(i) $F\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0$,
(ii) $F_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0$,
(iii) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=1, F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e=0, e \neq 0$,
(iv) $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=1$,
(v) $F_{\lambda \lambda}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Im} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$,
(vi) $F_{x \lambda}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e \notin \operatorname{Im} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$.

Then the solution set of the equation $F(x, \lambda)=0$ in a certain neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is the union of two $C^{q-2}$ curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ that intersect at $\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ only. Moreover, if $q \geq 3$ then

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}(\lambda), \lambda\right): \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right\}, x_{1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=x_{0}, x_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{2}=\left\{\left(x_{2}(t), \lambda(t)\right): t \in \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}(0)\right\}, x_{2}(0)=x_{0}, x_{2}^{\prime}(0)=e, \lambda(0)=\lambda_{0} .
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $X, Y$ be real Banach spaces continuously embedded in a real Hilbert space $H$ with scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $E: X_{\varrho}\left(x_{0}\right) \times$ $\mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{q+1}$ functional, where $q \geq 2$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, \lambda)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a real parameter $\lambda$, where $F: X_{\varrho}\left(x_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \rightarrow Y$ belongs to the class $C^{q}$. Assume that
$\left(C_{1}\right) F\left(x_{0}, \lambda\right)=0$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\rho}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$,
$\left(C_{2}\right) \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=1, F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e=0,(e, e)_{H}=1$,
$\left(C_{3}\right) \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=1$,
$\left(C_{4}\right) E_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) h=(F(x, \lambda), h)_{H}$ for all $(x, \lambda) \in X_{\varrho}\left(x_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ and for each $h \in X$,
$\left(C_{5}\right) \quad E_{x x \lambda}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e e \neq 0$.
Then the solution set of (13) in a small neighbourhood of $\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is the union of

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, \lambda\right): \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

and the $C^{q-2}$ curve $\Gamma_{2} . \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ intersect at $\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ only. Moreover, if $q \geq 3$ then $\Gamma_{2}$ is parametrized as follows:

$$
\Gamma_{2}=\left\{(x(t), \lambda(t)): t \in \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}(0)\right\}
$$

where $x(0)=x_{0}, \lambda(0)=\lambda_{0}$ and $x^{\prime}(0)=e$.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that conditions $\left(C_{1}\right)-\left(C_{5}\right)$ imply conditions $(i)-(v i)$. First we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) \perp \operatorname{Im} F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, \lambda) \in X_{\varrho}\left(x_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$. From $\left(C_{4}\right)$ it follows that

$$
E_{x x}^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda) h g=\left(F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) h, g\right)_{H}=\left(F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) g, h\right)_{H}
$$

for all $h, g \in X$. Hence for $h \in X$ and $g \in \operatorname{Ker} F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda)$ we get $\left(F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) h, g\right)_{H}=$ $\left(F_{x}^{\prime}(x, \lambda) g, h\right)_{H}=(0, h)_{H}=0$. Differentiating $E_{x x}^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda)$ with respect to $\lambda$ we receive

$$
E_{x x \lambda}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x, \lambda) h g=\left(F_{x \lambda}^{\prime \prime}(x, \lambda) h, g\right)_{H}
$$

for all $h, g \in X$. Thus $E_{x x \lambda}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e e=\left(F_{x \lambda}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e, e\right)_{H}$. By $\left(C_{5}\right)$ we have $\left(F_{x \lambda}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e, e\right)_{H} \neq 0$. From this and (14) we get $F_{x \lambda}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right) e \notin \operatorname{Im} F_{x}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$. Finally, $\left(C_{1}\right)$ implies $(i),(i i)$ and $(v)$.

Let $H=L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$. The function $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((z, \eta),\left(z_{1}, \eta_{1}\right)\right)_{H}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(z z_{1}+\eta \eta_{1}\right) d u d v \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an inner product in $H$. Furthermore, the pair $\left(H,(\cdot, \cdot)_{H}\right)$ is a Hilbert space. The Banach spaces $X=C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $Y=C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$ are easily checked to be continuously embedded in $H$. Hence their closed linear subspaces $X^{S^{1}}$ and $Y^{S^{1}}$ are also Banach spaces continuously embedded in $H$. In [12] we showed that for each $p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ the map $F(\cdot, p): X \rightarrow Y$ defined by (3) is a variational
gradient of the functional $E(\cdot, p): X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (4) with respect to the scalar product in $H$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x}^{\prime}(x, p) h=(F(x, p), h)_{H} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, h \in X$ (see Theorem 2.4 of [12]). From now on, we will denote by $E^{S^{1}}$ the restriction of $E$ to the space $X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$. Let us note the important consequence of the above fact.

Conclusion 4.3. For each $p \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ the map $F^{S^{1}}(\cdot, p): X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is a variational gradient of the functional $E^{S^{1}}(\cdot, p): X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the inner product in $H$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}(x, p) h=\left(F^{S^{1}}(x, p), h\right)_{H} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, h \in X^{S^{1}}$.
Theorem 4.4. Let $p_{0}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ satisfy the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} N\left(p_{0}\right)=3, \quad\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e=0, \quad(e, e)_{H}=1, \quad e=\left(e_{1}, 0\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right) \in X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a bifurcation point of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{S^{1}}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of (19) in a small neighbourhood of $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is the union of the curve of trivial solutions

$$
\Gamma_{1, \alpha}=\left\{(0, \alpha): \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}
$$

and the $C^{\infty}$ curve $\Gamma_{2, \alpha} . \Gamma_{1, \alpha}$ and $\Gamma_{2, \alpha}$ intersect at $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ only. Moreover, $\Gamma_{2, \alpha}$ is parametrized as follows:

$$
\Gamma_{2, \alpha}=\left\{(x(t), \alpha(t)): t \in \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}(0)\right\}
$$

where $x(0)=0, \alpha(0)=\alpha_{0}$ and $x^{\prime}(0)=e$.
From (7) it follows that if $e \in N\left(p_{0}\right)$ then $e=\left(e_{1}, 0\right)$ and $e_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta+2 \alpha_{0} \Delta+\beta_{0} I\right)$.
Proof. As $\alpha_{0}$ is positive, there exists $\varrho>0$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We verify that the operator $F^{S^{1}}\left(\cdot, \cdot, \beta_{0}\right): X_{\varrho}^{S^{1}}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.2. Substituting $p=\left(\alpha, \beta_{0}\right)$ and $x=0$ into (3) we get

$$
F^{S^{1}}\left(0, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)=0
$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$. By Theorem 3.2, the map $F^{S^{1}}\left(\cdot, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right): X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is $C^{\infty}$ and $\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right): X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is a Fredholm map of index 0 . Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} N\left(p_{0}\right)^{S^{1}}=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Table 1 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} N\left(p_{0}\right)^{S^{1}}=1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (21) with (20) we have

$$
\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)=1
$$

Conclusion 4.3 says that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and for all $x, h \in X^{S^{1}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) h=\left(F^{S^{1}}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right), h\right)_{H} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that we have just proved that assumptions $\left(C_{1}\right)-\left(C_{4}\right)$ of Theorem 4.2 are fulfilled. To finish the proof we have to show that assumption $\left(C_{5}\right)$ of Theorem 4.2 holds. Since the spaces $X^{S^{1}}, Y^{S^{1}}$ are continuously embedded in $H$, differentiating both sides of the equality (22) with respect to $x$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) h g=\left(\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) h, g\right)_{H} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x, h, g \in X^{S^{1}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Applying (5), (15) and (23) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) h g & =\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} z-[z, \sigma]-[w, \eta]+2 \alpha \Delta z+\beta_{0} z-3 \gamma w^{2} z\right) z_{1} d u d v \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(-\Delta^{2} \eta-[w, z]\right) \eta_{1} d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x=(w, \sigma), h=(z, \eta), g=\left(z_{1}, \eta_{1}\right)$. Hence

$$
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) h g=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega} 2(\Delta z) z_{1} d u d v
$$

Taking $x=0, \alpha=\alpha_{0}$ and $h=g=e$, we get

$$
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) e e=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega} 2\left(\Delta e_{1}\right) e_{1} d u d v .
$$

By the assumption $\delta_{0}=\alpha_{0}^{2}-\beta_{0}>0$ (see Table 1). From Lemma 2.2

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha_{0} \Delta+\beta_{0} I\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta-a_{0} I\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta-b_{0} I\right)
$$

where $\Delta^{2}+2 \alpha_{0} \Delta+\beta_{0} I: C_{0,0}^{4, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}), \Delta-a_{0} I, \Delta-b_{0} I: C_{0}^{2, \mu}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\bar{\Omega})$, $a_{0}=-\alpha_{0}-\sqrt{\delta_{0}}$ and $b_{0}=-\alpha_{0}+\sqrt{\delta_{0}}$. We can choose $e$ so that $\Delta e_{1}-a_{0} e_{1}=0$ or $\Delta e_{1}-b_{0} e_{1}=0$ (see Table 1). If $\Delta e_{1}-a_{0} e_{1}=0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) e e=\frac{2 a_{0}}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega} e_{1}^{2} d u d v=2 a_{0}(e, e)_{H}=2 a_{0}<0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Delta e_{1}-b_{0} e_{1}=0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) e e=2 b_{0}<0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof.
Let $\left(0, p_{0}\right) \in \Gamma$ satisfy (18). From Theorem 4.4 it follows that $\left(0, p_{0}\right)$ is a bifurcation point of the equation (2). What is more, at least two $C^{\infty}$ branches of nontrivial radial solutions bifurcate from this point. The union of this branches is the curve $\Gamma_{2, \alpha}$.

Theorem 4.4 refers to bifurcation with respect to $\alpha$. Our purpose now is to prove an analogical theorem on bifurcation with respect to $\beta$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $p_{0}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ satisfy the condition (18). Then $\left(0, \beta_{0}\right) \in$ $X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a bifurcation point of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{S^{1}}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution set of (26) in a small neighbourhood of $\left(0, \beta_{0}\right)$ is the union of the curve of trivial solutions

$$
\widehat{\Gamma}_{1, \beta}=\left\{(0, \beta): \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}
$$

and the $C^{\infty}$ curve $\hat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}$. $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1, \beta}$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}$ intersect at $\left(0, \beta_{0}\right)$ only. Moreover, $\widehat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}$ is parametrized as follows:

$$
\widehat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}=\left\{(\widehat{x}(t), \beta(t)): t \in \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}(0)\right\}
$$

where $\widehat{x}(0)=0, \beta(0)=\beta_{0}$ and $\widehat{x}^{\prime}(0)=e$.
Proof. The proof is also based on Theorem 4.2. Take $\varrho>0$ such that $\mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Considerations similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4.4 show that the map $F^{S^{1}}\left(\cdot, \alpha_{0}, \cdot\right): X_{\varrho}^{S^{1}}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varrho}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ satisfies assumptions $\left(C_{1}\right)-\left(C_{4}\right)$ of Theorem 4.2. The details are left to the reader. The task is now to check assumption $\left(C_{5}\right)$. From Conclusion 4.3 we get that for each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and for all $x, h \in X^{S^{1}}$

$$
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right) h=\left(F^{S^{1}}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right), h\right)_{H} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right) h g=\left(\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right) h, g\right)_{H} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5), (15) and (27) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right) h g & =\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} z-[z, \sigma]-[w, \eta]+2 \alpha_{0} \Delta z+\beta z-3 \gamma w^{2} z\right) z_{1} d u d v \\
& +\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(-\Delta^{2} \eta-[w, z]\right) \eta_{1} d u d v \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=(w, \sigma), h=(z, \eta), g=\left(z_{1}, \eta_{1}\right)$. Differentiating (28) with respect to $\beta$ we have

$$
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \beta}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right) h g=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega} z z_{1} d u d v .
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \beta}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right) e e=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega} e_{1}^{2} d u d v=(e, e)_{H}=1>0, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof.
Fix $\left(0, p_{0}\right) \in \Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{dim} N\left(p_{0}\right)=3, p_{0}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$. Let us remark that if $\Gamma_{2, \alpha} \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}=\left\{\left(0, p_{0}\right)\right\}$ then at least four $C^{\infty}$ branches of nontrivial radial solutions bifurcate from $\left(0, p_{0}\right)$. Therefore the next question is whether the curves $\Gamma_{2, \alpha}$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}$ intersect at $\left(0, p_{0}\right)$ only.

In order to answer this question we apply a finite-dimensional reduction of the Lyapunov-Schmidt type with the key function due to Sapronov (see [14, 22]).

Let $G: X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ be given by

$$
G(x, \xi, \alpha)=F^{S^{1}}\left(x, \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)+\left(\xi-(x, e)_{H}\right) e .
$$

It is easy to check that $G_{x}^{\prime}\left(0,0, \alpha_{0}\right): X^{S^{1}} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ is an isomorphism. By the implicit function theorem there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a map $\tilde{x}: \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \rightarrow X_{\varepsilon}^{S^{1}}(0)$ such that $\tilde{x}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0$ and for every $(x, \xi, \alpha) \in X_{\varepsilon}^{S^{1}}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ we have $G(x, \xi, \alpha)=0$ iff $x=\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \xi, \alpha)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{x}_{\xi}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=e$ and $\tilde{x}(0, \alpha)=0$ for all $\left|\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha)=\xi e+o\left(\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $\varphi, \Phi: \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
\varphi(\xi, \alpha)=\xi-(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), e)_{H}
$$

and

$$
\Phi(\xi, \alpha)=-E^{S^{1}}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{2}(\xi, \alpha) .
$$

$\Phi(\xi, \alpha)$ is called a key function. Both $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ are $C^{\infty}$-smooth. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \xi, \alpha)=F^{S^{1}}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)+\varphi(\xi, \alpha) e . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $\varphi$ and $\Phi$ with respect to $\xi$ we receive

$$
\varphi_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha)=1-\left(\tilde{x}_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha), e\right)_{H}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha) & =-\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) \tilde{x}_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha)+\varphi(\xi, \alpha) \varphi_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha) \\
& =-\left(F^{S^{1}}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right), \tilde{x}_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha)\right)_{H}+\varphi(\xi, \alpha)-\left(\varphi(\xi, \alpha) e, \tilde{x}_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha)\right)_{H} \\
& =-\left(G(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \xi, \alpha), \tilde{x}_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha)\right)_{H}+\varphi(\xi, \alpha) \\
& =\varphi(\xi, \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (17) and (30). From (30) and (32) we conclude that all solutions of the equation (19) in a small neighbourhood of $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ in $X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$are of the form $(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{S^{1}}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \Phi_{\xi}^{\prime}(\xi, \alpha)=0 \Longleftrightarrow \varphi(\xi, \alpha)=0 . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We describe now the solution set of the equation

$$
\varphi(\xi, \alpha)=0
$$

in a small neighbourhood of $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$. For this purpose we use the Taylor formula of $\varphi$ at ( $0, \alpha_{0}$ ). From (32) it follows that

$$
\left(F^{S^{1}}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right)+\varphi(\xi, \alpha) e, e\right)_{H}=0
$$

hence

$$
\varphi(\xi, \alpha)=-\left(F^{S^{1}}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right), e\right)_{H},
$$

and by (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\xi, \alpha)=-\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha), \alpha, \beta_{0}\right) e . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (4) and (34) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}:=\varphi_{\xi}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=-\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e e=0, \\
& C_{11}:=\varphi_{\xi \xi}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=-\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e e e=0, \\
& C_{12}:=\varphi_{\xi \alpha}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=-\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e e, \\
& C_{111}:=\varphi_{\xi \xi \xi}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=-\left(E^{S^{\prime}}\right)_{x x x x}^{(4)}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e e e e-3\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) \text { yee },
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\tilde{x}_{\xi \xi}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e e+\left(F^{S^{1}}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) y=0 .
$$

By (24) and (25) we have $C_{12}>0$. An easy calculation shows that

$$
C_{111}=\frac{6}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega} \gamma e_{1}^{4} d u d v-\frac{3}{\pi} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\Delta y_{2}\right)^{2} d u d v .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{112} & :=\varphi_{\xi \xi \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right), \\
C_{122} & :=\varphi_{\xi \alpha \alpha}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi(0, \alpha)=0$ for all $\left|\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right|<\epsilon$, we have

$$
\varphi_{\alpha \ldots \alpha}^{(k)}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In consequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\xi, \alpha)= & C_{12} \xi\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{6} C_{111} \xi^{3}+\frac{1}{2} C_{112} \xi^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} C_{122} \xi\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& +o\left({\sqrt{\xi^{2}+\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}}}^{3}\right) \\
= & C_{12} \xi\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{6} C_{111} \xi^{3}+\frac{1}{2} C_{112} \xi^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} C_{122} \xi\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& +\xi f(\xi, \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function such that $f\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0, f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0$ and $f_{\xi}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=f_{\xi \xi}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0$. Let $g: \mathbb{R}_{\epsilon}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\varepsilon}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
g(\xi, \alpha)=C_{12}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{6} C_{111} \xi^{2}+\frac{1}{2} C_{112} \xi\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} C_{122}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right)^{2}+f(\xi, \alpha)
$$

Then

$$
\varphi(\xi, \alpha)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \xi=0 \quad \vee \quad g(\xi, \alpha)=0
$$

We check at once that $g\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0, g_{\xi}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=0$ and $g_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)=C_{12}>0$. By the implicit function theorem there exists a $C^{\infty}$ function $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}_{\rho}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\rho}\left(\alpha_{0}\right), 0<\rho<\epsilon$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}(0)=\alpha_{0}$ and for all $(\xi, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{\rho}(0) \times \mathbb{R}_{\rho}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
g(\xi, \alpha)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \alpha=\tilde{\alpha}(\xi) .
$$



Figure 1: The scheme of postcritical bifurcation

Differentiating the equality $g(\xi, \tilde{\alpha}(\xi))=0$ with respect to $\xi$ we get

$$
\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{g_{\xi}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)}{g_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)}=0
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\frac{g_{\xi \xi}^{\prime \prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)}{g_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)}=-\frac{C_{111}}{3 C_{12}} .
$$

Remark that

$$
\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(0) \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad C_{111} \neq 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma \neq \frac{\iint_{\Omega}\left(\Delta y_{2}\right)^{2} d u d v}{2 \iint_{\Omega} e_{1}^{4} d u d v}
$$

If $C_{111}<0$ then $\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ achieves the minimum at 0 . Moreover, there exists $0<\rho_{1}<\rho$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is strictly decreasing for $\xi \in\left(-\rho_{1}, 0\right]$ and it is strictly increasing for $\xi \in\left[0, \rho_{1}\right)$. Hence there is $0<\rho_{2}<\rho$ and there are $C^{\infty}$ functions $\xi_{1}:\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0}+\rho_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(-\rho_{1}, 0\right]$ and $\xi_{2}:\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0}+\rho_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left[0, \rho_{1}\right)$ such that $\xi_{i}=\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ for $i=1,2$. From this, (31) and (33) we conclude that if $C_{111}<0$ then there is postcritical bifurcation in the solution set of (19) at the point $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ (see Figure 1). All nontrivial solutions of (19) in a small neighbourhood of $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ lie on the curve

$$
x=\tilde{x}\left(\xi_{i}(\alpha), \alpha\right), \quad \alpha \in\left[\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{0}+\rho_{2}\right)
$$

If $C_{111}>0$ then $\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(0)<0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ achieves the maximum at 0 . Moreover, there exists $0<\rho_{1}<\rho$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is strictly increasing for $\xi \in\left(-\rho_{1}, 0\right]$ and it is strictly decreasing for $\xi \in\left[0, \rho_{1}\right)$. Hence there is $0<\rho_{2}<\rho$ and there are $C^{\infty}$ functions $\xi_{1}:\left(\alpha_{0}-\rho_{2}, \alpha_{0}\right] \rightarrow\left(-\rho_{1}, 0\right]$ and $\xi_{2}:\left(\alpha_{0}-\rho_{2}, \alpha_{0}\right] \rightarrow\left[0, \rho_{1}\right)$ such that $\xi_{i}=\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}$ for $i=1,2$. Consequently, if $C_{111}>0$ then there is subcritical bifurcation in the solution set of (19) at the point $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ (see Figure 2). All nontrivial solutions of (19) in a small neighbourhood of $\left(0, \alpha_{0}\right)$ lie on the curve

$$
x=\tilde{x}\left(\xi_{i}(\alpha), \alpha\right), \quad \alpha \in\left(\alpha_{0}-\rho_{2}, \alpha_{0}\right] .
$$

Similarly, we can prove that if $C_{111}>0$ (resp. $\left.C_{111}<0\right)$ then there is postcritical bifurcation (resp. subcritical bifurcation) in the solution set of (26) at the point


Figure 2: The scheme of subcritical bifurcation
$\left(0, \beta_{0}\right)$. It is sufficient to make a finite-dimensional reduction with $G: X^{S^{1}} \times \mathbb{R} \times$ $\mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow Y^{S^{1}}$ defined by

$$
G(x, \xi, \beta)=F^{S^{1}}\left(x, \alpha_{0}, \beta\right)+\left(\xi-(x, e)_{H}\right) e
$$

and check that $C_{12}:=-\left(E^{S^{1}}\right)_{x x \beta}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(0, p_{0}\right) e e<0($ see (29)).
Summarizing, we have just proved the following result.
Theorem 4.6. If $C_{111} \neq 0$ then $\Gamma_{2, \alpha} \cap \widehat{\Gamma}_{2, \beta}=\left\{\left(0, p_{0}\right)\right\}$. Another words, at $\left(0, p_{0}\right)$ at least four $C^{\infty}$ branches of nontrivial radial solutions of (2) meet, causing the plate to choose between different forms of equilibrium.
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