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#### Abstract

In this paper, the non-existence of ovoids of the polar space $H(5,4)$ is shown using a geometrical and combinatorial approach. We also give a new and unified proof for the non-existence of ovoids in the polar spaces $Q^{-}(2 n+$ $1, q), H\left(2 n, q^{2}\right)$ and $W(2 n+1, q)$ for $n \geq 2$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a finite polar space of rank $r \geq 2$. An ovoid $\mathcal{O}$ is a point set of $\mathcal{P}$ which has exactly one point in common with each generator of $\mathcal{P}$.

For the finite classical polar spaces, existence or non-existence of ovoids is an important problem. A survey on this topic can be found in [4].

It is known that the polar space $H\left(2 n, q^{2}\right), n \geq 2$, has no ovoids [3]. Furthermore, in [2], it is proved that the polar space $H\left(2 n+1, q^{2}\right), q=p^{h}, p$ prime, $n>1$, has no ovoids if

$$
p^{2 n+1}>\binom{2 n+p}{2 n+1}^{2}-\binom{2 n+p-1}{2 n+1}^{2}
$$

This result is proved with algebraic techniques. Recently, A. Klein [1] obtained a comparable result, with a bound not as good as in [2], but only using geometrical and combinatorial arguments. If we again consider the bound of [2], then a computation shows that for all values of $q$ the existence of ovoids of $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ is not excluded.

In this paper, the non-existence of ovoids of $H(5,4)$ is proved using geometrical and combinatorial arguments. Up to now, no other comparable results for the polar space $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ are known.

In section 2, we present a new proof for known non-existence results of ovoids in the polar spaces $H\left(2 n, q^{2}\right), Q^{-}(2 n+1, q)$, and $W(2 n+1, q)$ for $n \geq 2$. This proof

[^0]is very short and the same for these three types of polar spaces. The proof for the non-existence of ovoids in $H(5,4)$ is given in the third section.

## 2 A new non-existence proof

We first show that $H\left(2 n, q^{2}\right), n \geq 2$, does not possess an ovoid. In fact, it is sufficient to show this for $n=2$, because, for larger $n$, an ovoid $\mathcal{O}$ of $H\left(2 n, q^{2}\right)$ induces ovoids in the polar spaces $H\left(2 n-2, q^{2}\right)$ seen in the quotient geometries $P^{\perp} / P$ for points $P \in H\left(2 n, q^{2}\right) \backslash \mathcal{O}$.

Suppose thus that $H\left(4, q^{2}\right)$ has an ovoid $\mathcal{O}$. Then $|\mathcal{O}|=q^{5}+1$. Embed $H\left(4, q^{2}\right)$ in $\operatorname{PG}\left(4, q^{2}\right)$ and consider a line $l$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(4, q^{2}\right)$ that does not belong to $H\left(4, q^{2}\right)$ and that meets $\mathcal{O}$ in $c \geq 2$ points. Every point of $\mathcal{O} \backslash l$ lies in $X^{\perp}$ for a unique point $X \in l \backslash \mathcal{O}$. If $X \in H\left(4, q^{2}\right)$, then $X$ lies on $q^{3}+1$ generators (totally isotropic lines) that all meet $\mathcal{O}$ once and thus $\left|X^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}\right|=q^{3}+1$. If $X \notin H\left(4, q^{2}\right)$, then $X^{\perp} \cap H\left(4, q^{2}\right)=H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ and $X^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of this $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$. Hence, again $\left|X^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}\right|=q^{3}+1$. It follows that $|\mathcal{O}|-c=\left(q^{2}+1-c\right)\left(q^{3}+1\right)$, that is, $c q^{3}=q^{2}+q^{3}$, a contradiction.

Remark. The crucial point in the proof is that the non-tangent hyperplanes meet $H\left(4, q^{2}\right)$ in a polar space of the same rank, here $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$, so that its intersection with the ovoid can be calculated. For this reason, the same proof works for $Q^{-}(5, q)$ and hence shows the non-existence of ovoids of $Q^{-}(2 n+1, q)$ for all $n \geq 2$. The proof also works for $W(5, q)$; here there are no non-tangent hyperplanes, and for a point $X \in l \backslash \mathcal{O}$, we have $\left|X^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}\right|=q^{2}+1$, since $\mathcal{O}$ induces in the polar space $W(3, q)$ of $X^{\perp} / X$ an ovoid. For $W(3, q)$, the final equation is $q^{2}+1-c=(q+1-c)(q+1)$, and does not give a contradiction but implies only that $c=2$. Thus a non-symplectic line meets $\mathcal{O}$ either in 0 or 2 points. From this one deduces easily that every tangent hyperplane $P^{\perp}$ on a point $P \notin \mathcal{O}$ meets $\mathcal{O}$ in an arc with $q+1$ points. Also this set together with $P$ forms a hyperoval in $P^{\perp}$, and thus $q$ must be even. Hence, $W(3, q)$, $q$ odd, has no ovoid, and $W(2 n+1, q)$ for $n \geq 2$ has no ovoid.

## 3 Non-existence of ovoids in $H(5,4)$

Consider a Hermitian variety $H\left(d, q^{2}\right)$ and its ambient projective space $\operatorname{PG}\left(d, q^{2}\right)$. Every plane of $\operatorname{PG}\left(d, q^{2}\right)$ is called a hermitian plane, if it meets $H\left(d, q^{2}\right)$ in a Hermitian curve $H\left(2, q^{2}\right)$. A line of $\mathrm{PG}\left(d, q^{2}\right)$ is called a hermitian line, if it meets $H\left(d, q^{2}\right)$ in a $H\left(1, q^{2}\right)$ (that is a Baer-subline). A point of $\operatorname{PG}\left(d, q^{2}\right)$ is called a hermitian point, if it belongs to $H\left(d, q^{2}\right)$. A cone with vertex an $n$-dimensional subspace $S_{n}$ and base an arbitrary set $\mathcal{B}$, with $S_{n} \cap\langle\mathcal{B}\rangle=\emptyset$ will be denoted by $S_{n} \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.1. (a) $H(3,4)$ has only two types of ovoids. One is $H(2,4)$, and the other one has the following properties.
(i) There exists a hermitian plane $\pi$ and a hermitian line $h$ of $\pi$, such that the ovoid consists of the six hermitian points of $\pi \backslash h$ and of the three hermitian points of the hermitian line $h^{\perp}$.
(ii) Every $H(2,4)$ in $H(3,4)$ meets the ovoid in $0,1,2,3$ or 6 points.
(iii) Every $H(2,4)$ that meets the ovoid in three points, meets it in three collinear points.
(b) Every partial ovoid of $H(3,4)$ with 8 points can be extended to an ovoid.

Proof. (a) Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an ovoid of $H(3,4)$. We consider a hermitian plane $\pi$ and put $m:=|\pi \cap \mathcal{O}|$. If $m=9$, then the ovoid consists of the hermitian points in $\pi$ and we are done. Suppose therefore that $m \neq 9$. Then there exists a point $P_{1} \in \mathcal{O}$ with $P_{1} \notin \pi$. Then $P_{1}^{\perp} \cap \pi$ is a hermitian line; its three hermitian points do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$, since they are perpendicular with $P_{1}$. Hence $m \leq 6$. If $m=6$, then there are three points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3} \in \mathcal{O}$ and not in $\pi$ and the previous argument shows that each $P_{i}$ is perpendicular to the same hermitian line $h$ of $\pi$. Then $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are the hermitian points of the hermitian line $h^{\perp}$. Thus, $\mathcal{O}$ is the ovoid described in (i). It is easy to see that (ii) and (iii) hold. Property (ii) also follows from the rest of the proof of part (a).

Assume that $m=5$. Then there are four points in $\pi$ that do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$ and four points $P$ of $\mathcal{O}$ that are not in $\pi$. As before, each such point $P$ gives rise to the hermitian line $h_{P}=P^{\perp} \cap \pi$, whose three hermitian points do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$. As there are four hermitian points in $\pi$ that do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$, there is at most one such line $h_{P}$; hence all four choices of $P$ lead to the same hermitian line $h=h_{P}$. But then $h^{\perp}$ contains four points $P$, which is not possible.

Assume that $m=4$. Now there are five points $P$ in $\mathcal{O} \backslash \pi$. Again consider the hermitian lines $h_{p}:=P^{\perp} \cap \pi$, and keep in mind that their three hermitian points do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$. It is not possible that all five points $P$ give the same line $h_{P}$. Then there are exactly two different such lines and they meet in a hermitian point (use $|\pi \backslash \mathcal{O}|=5$ ). The intersection point of these two hermitian lines is thus in the perp of five points $P$, which is impossible.

Hence, if there exists an ovoid different from the two described in the lemma, then every hermitian plane meets it in at most three points. But then every plane meets it in at most three points, since the non-hermitian planes meet $H(3,4)$ in the union of three lines. But it is not possible to find nine points in $\mathrm{PG}(3,4)$ such that every plane contains at most three of these.
(b) As $H(3,4)$ has 27 lines, there are three lines $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$ of $H(3,4)$ not meeting the partial ovoid $\mathcal{O}$. The line $l_{i}$ meets 10 other lines of $H(3,4)$, and eight of these meet $\mathcal{O}$. Thus the three lines $l_{i}$ mutually meet. Hence, they pass through a common point $P$, and then $\mathcal{O} \cup\{P\}$ is an ovoid.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$. Then $|\mathcal{O}|=q^{5}+1$. If $P$ is a point of $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$, then $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ induces a $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ in the quotient geometry $P^{\perp} / P$. If $P \notin \mathcal{O}$, then the points of $\mathcal{O}$ in $P^{\perp}$ induce an ovoid of this $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$. In particular, $P^{\perp}$ meets $\mathcal{O}$ in exactly $q^{3}+1$ points. Applying the results on ovoids in $H(3,4)$, we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of $H(5,4)$. Let $P$ be a point of $H(5,4)$ not in $\mathcal{O}$. Then $\left|P^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}\right|=9$. If $\pi$ is a hermitian plane in $P^{\perp}$, then $|\langle P, \pi\rangle \cap \mathcal{O}| \in$ $\{0,1,2,3,6,9\}$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$. Consider a plane $\pi$ that meets the variety in $H\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ and put $m:=|\pi \cap \mathcal{O}|$. Suppose furthermore that $1 \leq m<q^{3}+1$. Let $A$, resp. $B$, be the set consisting of all points $X \in \mathcal{O}$ with $X \notin \pi$ such that $\langle\pi, X\rangle$ meets $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ in a $S_{0} H\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, resp. an $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$.
(a) We have $|A|=\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(q^{2}-1+m\right)$ and $|B|=q^{2}\left(q^{3}-q^{2}+2-m\right)$.
(b) If $q=2$ and $X$ is a hermitian point of $\pi \backslash \mathcal{O}$, then $\left|X^{\perp} \cap B\right| \in\{0,3,6,7,8,9\}$.

Proof. Put $\alpha:=|A|$ and $\beta:=|B|$. We have $|\mathcal{O}|=q^{5}+1$, so $\alpha+\beta=q^{5}+1-m$. We count in two ways the number of pairs $(U, W)$ with $U \in \pi \cap H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ and $W \in A \cup B$ and such that $U W$ is a totally isotropic line.

A point $U$ of $\pi \cap H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ does not occur in such a pair, if $U \in \mathcal{O}$. If however, $U \notin \mathcal{O}$, then $U$ occurs in $q^{3}+1$ such pairs, since $\mathcal{O}$ induces an ovoid in $U^{\perp} / U$ as seen in section 2. Hence, the number of pairs is $\left(q^{3}+1-m\right)\left(q^{3}+1\right)$.

Now consider a point $V \in \mathcal{O}$ with $V \notin \pi$. If $V \in B$, that is $\langle V, \pi\rangle$ meets $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ in a $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$, then $V^{\perp}$ meets $\pi$ in a $H\left(1, q^{2}\right)$, so $V$ occurs in $q+1$ pairs $(U, V)$. If $V \in A$, that is $\langle V, \pi\rangle$ meets $H\left(5, q^{2}\right)$ in a cone with a point vertex over the $H\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ in $\pi$, then $V^{\perp} \cap \pi$ is a tangent line, containing exactly one point $U$ (notice that $V$ cannot be the vertex, since $\pi$ contains at least one point of $\mathcal{O}$ ). In this case, $V$ occurs in exactly one pair $(U, V)$. Hence, the number of pairs is $\alpha+\beta(q+1)$. Thus

$$
\alpha+\beta(q+1)=\left(q^{3}+1\right)\left(q^{3}+1-m\right) .
$$

Using $\alpha+\beta=|\mathcal{O}|-m$, the assertion of (a) follows.
For the proof of (b), suppose that $q=2$ and consider a hermitian point $X$ of $\pi$ that is not in $\mathcal{O}$. Then the 9 points of $X^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{O}$ lie in $A \cup B$. By the definition of $A$, the points of $X^{\perp} \cap A$ lie in the solid $\left\langle X, \pi^{\perp}\right\rangle$. By the definition of $B$, no point of $B$ lies in $\left\langle X, \pi^{\perp}\right\rangle$. If we consider the hermitian variety $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ induced in $X^{\perp} / X$ and denote the ovoid induced in $H\left(3, q^{2}\right)$ by $\mathcal{O}$, we see that this ovoid has a hermitian plane with $\left|X^{\perp} \cap A\right|$ points of the ovoid. Apply Lemma 3.2 to deduce that $\left|X^{\perp} \cap A\right| \in\{0,1,2,3,6,9\}$. Using $\left|X^{\perp} \cap(A \cup B)\right|=9$, the assertion of (b) follows.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of $H(5,4)$. Consider a plane $\pi$ that meets the variety in $H(2,4)$ and put $m:=|\pi \cap \mathcal{O}|$. Then $m=6$ or $m \leq 3$.

Proof. Use the notation of the preceding lemma. As $|B|=4(6-m)$, we have $m \leq 6$.

Case 1. $m=5$. Then $|B|=4$ and $|A|=24$. Consider $V \in B$. As $\langle\pi, V\rangle$ meets $H(5,4)$ in a $H(3,4)$, then $V^{\perp}$ meets $\pi$ in a hermitian line. The three points of the hermitian line do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$ since $V$ lies in $\mathcal{O}$. Now $\pi$ has nine hermitian points and five of these belong to $\mathcal{O}$. Hence, at most one hermitian line of $\pi$ can miss $\mathcal{O}$. This shows that all 4 points $V \in B$ are perpendicular to the same hermitian line $h$ of $\pi$. Thus, the points $X$ of this hermitian line satisfy $\left|X^{\perp} \cap B\right|=4$, contradicting part (b) of the previous lemma.

Case 2. $m=4$. Then $|B|=8$. As in the case $m=5$, we see that each $V \in B$ gives rise to a hermitian line $h_{V}:=V^{\perp} \cap \pi$ of $\pi$ such that the three hermitian points of $h_{V}$ do not lie in $\mathcal{O}$. This time, five of the hermitian points of $\pi$ do not belong to $\mathcal{O}$, so there is space for one or two such hermitian lines.

First suppose that the points $V$ produce two different lines $h_{V}$. Call them $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, and let $x_{i}$ be the number of points $V \in B$ satisfying $h_{V}=h_{i}$. Then $1 \leq x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{1}+x_{2}=|B|=8$. A hermitian point $X$ that lies in $h_{1}$ but not in $h_{2}$ satisfies $\left|X^{\perp} \cap B\right|=x_{1}$. Thus, the previous lemma shows that $x_{1} \in\{0,3,6,7,8,9\}$. The same holds for $x_{2}$. As $x_{i}>0$ and $x_{1}+x_{2}=8$, this is impossible.

Hence, all points $V \in B$ produce the same hermitian line $h=h_{V}$. Thus $B \subseteq h^{\perp}$. Here $h^{\perp} \cap H(5,4)$ is a $H(3,4)$. Since $\pi^{\perp} \subseteq h^{\perp}$, and $h^{\perp} \cap \pi$ is a non-hermitian point, then no point of $\pi \cap \mathcal{O}$ lies in $h^{\perp}$. Each point of $A$ lies in a 3 -space $\langle\pi, U\rangle$ with a hermitian point $U \in \pi^{\perp}$, and thus lies in a 3 -space $\left\langle\pi^{\perp}, U^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with a hermitian point $U^{\prime} \in \pi$. Hence, $h^{\perp} \cap A=\emptyset$.

Thus the hermitian variety $H^{\prime}:=h^{\perp} \cap H(5,4)$, which is a $H(3,4)$, meets $\mathcal{O}$ only in the eight points of $B$. These eight points form a partial ovoid $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ of $H^{\prime}$. From Lemma 3.1, we see that $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ can be extended to an ovoid $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$ of the $H(3,4)$. The ovoid $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$ cannot be a hermitian curve, because otherwise the eight points of $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ would lie in a hermitian plane; but we have already seen earlier in the proof that every hermitian plane has at most six points. Thus, $\mathcal{O}^{\prime \prime}$ is an ovoid of the second type. As $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ is obtained by removing one point, it is easy to see that some hermitian plane meets $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ in exactly five points. But we have seen earlier in this proof that hermitian planes cannot meet $\mathcal{O}$ in precisely five points. This final contradiction shows that $m=4$ is also not possible

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of $H(5,4)$. Then $|\pi \cap \mathcal{O}| \leq 3$ for every hermitian plane $\pi$.

Proof. From the preceding lemma we know that each hermitian plane meets $\mathcal{O}$ in six or in at most three points. Assume that there exists a hermitian plane $\pi$ with six points in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ be the three hermitian points of $\pi$ that are not in $\mathcal{O}$. From Lemma 3.3 we see the following. For each of the 27 points $P$ of $\mathcal{O}$ that are not in $\pi$, the solid $\langle\pi, P\rangle$ meets $H(5,4)$ in a cone over the hermitian curve in $\pi$; hence, $P$ lies in a solid $\langle\pi, U\rangle$ for a unique hermitian point $U \in \pi^{\perp}$.

Case 1. $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are non-collinear. Then there are three hermitian lines $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ in $\pi$ missing $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right\}$. These three lines are not concurrent. Also the three hermitian points of $h_{i}$ belong to $\mathcal{O}$. Consider a point $P \in A$. Then $\left\langle h_{i}, P\right\rangle$ is a plane with at least four points in $\mathcal{O}$. Hence, $\left\langle h_{i}, P\right\rangle$ is a hermitian plane and, by the previous lemma, it meets $\mathcal{O}$ in six points. Thus in the solid $\langle\pi, P\rangle$ we have six points of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\pi$ and in each plane $\left\langle h_{i}, P\right\rangle, i=1,2,3$, we see three more points of $\mathcal{O}$. As $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ are not concurrent, this implies that $\langle\pi, P\rangle$ meets $\mathcal{O}$ in more than nine points, a contradiction.

Case 2. The three points $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are collinear. The line $h$ through these is a hermitian line. Consider $P \in A$. Then $P$ lies in a solid $\langle\pi, U\rangle$ for some point $U \in \pi^{\perp}$, and hence, $P$ lies in $\left\langle\pi^{\perp}, X\right\rangle$ for some hermitian point $X \in \pi$. As $P \in \mathcal{O}$, then $X \notin \mathcal{O}$. Hence, each of the 27 points $P$ of $\mathcal{O}$ with $P \notin \pi$ lies in $\left\langle\pi^{\perp}, X_{i}\right\rangle$ for some $i=1,2,3$. Hence, these 27 points lie in the 4 -space $V:=\left\langle\pi^{\perp}, h\right\rangle$. Consider two points in $V \cap \mathcal{O}$. As there are 21 planes on these two points in $V$, we find a plane $\pi^{\prime}$ on these two points with at least four and hence, $\operatorname{six}$ points in $\mathcal{O}$. As before, this gives rise to a 4 -space $V^{\prime}$ that contains the 27 points of $\mathcal{O}$ that are not in $\pi^{\prime}$. Then $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ are distinct 4 -spaces, each containing 27 points of $\mathcal{O}$. As the 3 -space
$V \cap V^{\prime}$ can meet $\mathcal{O}$ in at most nine points, it follows that $|\mathcal{O}| \geq 2 \cdot 27-9$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.6. If $S$ is a 3 -space $S$ with $S \cap H(5,4)=H(3,4)$, then $|S \cap \mathcal{O}|<6$.
Proof. Assume that $|S \cap \mathcal{O}| \geq 6$. Consider a point $V$ of $H(5,4)$ that lies in the perp of $S$. Then $\langle V, S\rangle \cap H(5,4)$ is a cone with vertex $V$ over the $H(3,4)$ in $S$, and thus $\langle V, S\rangle$ meets $\mathcal{O}$ in precisely nine points. In fact, if we project these nine points from $V$ onto the $H(3,4)$ in $S$, we obtain an ovoid $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ of the $H(3,4)$. Six of the points of $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ are also points of $\mathcal{O}$. Investigating the two possible types for $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$, we find a plane $\pi$ of $S$ that meets $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ in six or nine points and at least four of which belong to $\mathcal{O}$. This contradicts the previous lemma.

Lemma 3.7. $H(5,4)$ does not have an ovoid.
Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is an ovoid of $H(5,4)$. By our results, every hermitian plane meets $\mathcal{O}$ in at most three points. Hence, every plane meets $\mathcal{O}$ in at most three points.

Consider a hermitian point $V$ with $V \notin \mathcal{O}$. Denote by $H_{V}(3,4)$ the variety induced in $V^{\perp} / V$. The ovoid of $H(5,4)$ induces an ovoid $\mathcal{O}_{V}$ of $H_{V}(3,4)$. Investigating the two types of ovoids in $H_{V}(3,4)$, we see that some hermitian plane of $H_{V}(3,4)$ meets the ovoid $\mathcal{O}_{V}$ in at least six points. Hence, there exists a cone with vertex $V$ over a hermitian curve that meets $\mathcal{O}$ in at least six points. In this cone we find a hermitian plane with more than two points in $\mathcal{O}$.

Hence, there exists a hermitian plane $\pi$ with exactly three points in $\mathcal{O}$. These three points of $\pi \cap \mathcal{O}$ are not collinear, since otherwise they generate with every other point of $\mathcal{O}$ a hermitian plane with more than three points. Thus, exactly three hermitian lines $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ of $\pi$ miss $\mathcal{O}$. These three lines form a triangle and pairwise meet in a hermitian point. Use the notation of $A$ and $B$ from Lemma 3.3. Then $|B|=12$. For each point $P \in B$, we have that $h_{P}:=P^{\perp} \cap \pi$ is a hermitian line; as $P \in \mathcal{O}$, then $h_{P} \cap \mathcal{O}=\emptyset$ and hence $h_{P}=h_{i}$ for some $i$.

Let $x_{i}$ be the number of points $P \in B$ with $h_{P}=h_{i}$. Then $x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}=|B|=12$. We may assume that $x_{1} \geq 4$. Consider the hermitian point $C$ of $h_{1}$ that does not lie on $h_{2}$ and not on $h_{3}$. Then $C$ is perpendicular to exactly $x_{1}$ points of $B$. Part (b) of Lemma 3.3 gives thus $x_{1} \geq 6$. Hence, $h_{1}^{\perp}$ contains $x_{1} \geq 6$ points of $\mathcal{O}$. As $h_{1}^{\perp}$ meets $H(5,4)$ in a $H(3,4)$, this contradicts the preceding lemma.
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