Existence of labeled resolvable block designs *

Hao Shen and Minjie Wang

Abstract

It is proved in this paper that there exists a labeled almost resolvable 3– fold block design of order v and block size 4 if and only if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and that there exists a labeled resolvable 3–fold block design of order v and block size 4 if and only if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ with 22 possible exceptions.

1 Introduction

A λ -fold balanced incomplete block design of order v and block size k, denoted by $B(k, \lambda; v)$, is a pair (X, \mathbf{B}) where X is a v-set and \mathbf{B} is a collection of k-subsets (called blocks) of X such that each pair of distinct elements of X is contained in exactly λ blocks.

For brevity, a balanced incomplete block design is also called a block design or *BIB* design.

Let (X, \mathbf{B}) be a $B(k, \lambda; v)$. A subset \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{B} is called a parallel class if \mathbf{P} partitions X. A $B(k, \lambda; v)$ is called resolvable and denoted by $RB(k, \lambda; v)$ if all the blocks can be partitioned into parallel classes.

The existence of resolvable block designs has been studied extensively, the interested reader may refer to [3]. In this paper, we study a special class of resolvable block designs — labeled resolvable block designs.

Let (X, \mathbf{B}) be a $B(k, \lambda; v)$ where $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_v\}$ is a totally ordered v-set with ordering $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_v$. For each block $B = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, we may suppose that

$$x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k.$$

*Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Received by the editors September 1997.

Communicated by Jean Doven.

Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 5 (1998), 427-439

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B05.

Key words and phrases. block design, resolvable, almost resolvable, labeled design.

Let

$$\phi: \mathbf{B} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}^{\left(\begin{array}{c}k\\2\end{array}\right)}$$

be a mapping where for each $B = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\} \in \mathbf{B}$,

$$\phi(B) = (\phi(x_1, x_2), \cdots, \phi(x_1, x_k), \phi(x_2, x_3), \cdots, \phi(x_{k-1}, x_k)), \\ \phi(x_i, x_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}, \quad \forall 1 \le i < j \le k.$$

If there exists a mapping ϕ satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) For each pair $\{x, y\} \subset X$ with x < y, let $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_\lambda$ be the λ blocks containing $\{x, y\}$ and let $\phi(x, y)_i$ be the value of $\phi(x, y)$ corresponding to B_i , $1 \le i \le \lambda$. Then for $1 \le i, j \le \lambda$,

$$\phi(x,y)_i \equiv \phi(x,y)_j \pmod{\lambda}$$

if and only if i = j.

(ii) For each block $B = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\}$, we have

$$\phi(x_r, x_s) + \phi(x_s, x_t) \equiv \phi(x_r, x_t) \pmod{\lambda}, \quad \forall 1 \le r < s < t \le k.$$

Then $B(k, \lambda; v)$ is called a labeled block design and denoted by $LB(k, \lambda; v)$; its blocks will be denoted in the following form:

$$(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k; \phi(x_1, x_2), \cdots, \phi(x_1, x_k), \phi(x_2, x_3), \cdots, \phi(x_{k-1}, x_k))$$

A labeled $RB(k, \lambda; v)$ is denoted by $LRB(k, \lambda; v)$. Here is for example an LRB(4, 3; 8):

$$\begin{split} X &= \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 7\}, \\ \mathbf{B} &: (0, 1, 3, 6; 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (2, 4, 5, 7; 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 1); \\ &(0, 1, 2, 4; 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0), (3, 5, 6, 7; 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 1); \\ &(1, 2, 3, 5; 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0), (0, 4, 6, 7; 1, 2, 1, 1, 0, 2); \\ &(2, 3, 4, 6; 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 5, 7; 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0); \\ &(0, 3, 4, 5; 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0), (1, 2, 6, 7; 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0); \\ &(1, 4, 5, 6; 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0), (0, 2, 3, 7; 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 1); \\ &(0, 2, 5, 6; 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 2), (1, 3, 4, 7; 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 1). \end{split}$$

The concept of labeled resolvable block design was introduced in [6] and further studied in [8, 9]. It provides a powerful technique in the construction of resolvable group divisible designs.

Let v and λ be two given positive integers and K and M two sets of positive integers. A group divisible design $GD(K, \lambda, M; v)$ is a triple $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ where X is a v-set, \mathbf{G} is a set of subsets of X (called groups) forming a partition of X, and \mathbf{A} is a collection of subsets of X (called blocks) such that

(i)
$$|B| \in K, \forall B \in \mathbf{A},$$

- (ii) $|G| \in M, \forall G \in \mathbf{G},$
- (iii) $|B \cap G| \leq 1, \forall B \in \mathbf{A}, G \in \mathbf{G},$
- (iv) Each pair of elements of X from distinct groups is contained in precisely λ blocks.

If $K = \{k\}$ and $M = \{m\}$, then a $GD(\{k\}, \lambda, \{m\}; v)$ is called uniform and simply denoted by $GD(k, \lambda, m; v)$.

A $GD(K, \lambda, M; v)$ is called resolvable and denoted by $RGD(K, \lambda, M; v)$ if the set of blocks can be partitioned into parallel classes.

For the application of labeled resolvable block designs in the construction of resolvable group divisible designs, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1([8])

If there exists an $LRB(k, \lambda; v)$ with $\lambda = m$, then there exists an RGD(k, 1, m; mv).

Example 1

By Theorem 1, since an LRB(4, 3; 8) is constructed in the example above, then there exists an RGD(4, 1, 3; 24).

In fact, labeled resolvable block designs played an important role in the construction of RGD(4, 1, 3; v)s [9]. We also note that, for quite a long time, not a single example of an RGD(4, 1, 2; v) was known [7]. The first example, an RGD(4, 1, 2; 32), was constructed from an LRB(4, 2; 16) [10].

In the rest of this paper, we will give several direct and recursive constructions for LRB(4,3;v)s. It can be easily seen that if an LRB(4,3;v) exists, then

$$v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad v \ge 8. \tag{1}$$

Our main purpose is to prove that (1) is also sufficient for the existence of an LRB(4,3;v), with at most 22 possible exceptions.

2 Labeled almost resolvable block designs

Let (X, \mathbf{B}) be a $B(k, \lambda; v)$. A subset \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{B} is called an almost parallel class if \mathbf{P} forms a partition of $X \setminus \{x\}$ for some $x \in X$. A $B(k, \lambda; v)$ is called almost resolvable and denoted by $ARB(k, \lambda; v)$ if \mathbf{B} can be partitioned into almost parallel classes.

A labeled $ARB(k, \lambda; v)$ is denoted by $LARB(k, \lambda; v)$.

Labeled almost resolvable block designs will be used later in the construction of labeled resolvable block designs. In this section we will completely determine the existence of LARB(4,3;v).

Lemma 1 ([8]) If there exists an LARB(4,3;v), then

$$v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$
 (2)

To prove that (2) is also sufficient for the existence of an LARB(4, 3; v), we need the concept of pairwise balanced design (briefly PBD).

Let v and λ be given positive integers and K be a set of positive integers, a pairwise balanced design $B(K, \lambda; v)$ is a pair (X, \mathbf{B}) where X is a v-set and \mathbf{B} is a collection of subsets (called blocks) of X such that $|B| \in K$ for each $B \in \mathbf{B}$ and each pair of distinct elements of X is contained in precisely λ blocks.

In this paper, we only need *PBD* designs with $\lambda = 1$.

For a given set K of positive integers, let

$$B(K) = \{ v \mid \exists a \ B(K, 1; v) \}.$$

K is called PBD-closed if B(K) = K.

Let

$$LAB^{*}(4,3) = \{v \mid \exists \text{ an } LARB(4,3;v)\}.$$

Lemma 2 ([8]) $LAB^*(4,3)$ is a PBD-closed set.

Lemma 3 ([5]) Let

$$H^4 = \{n \mid n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\},\$$

then H^4 is a *PBD*-closed set and

$$H^4 = B(\{5, 9, 13, 17, 29, 33\}).$$

Lemma 4 ([8])

If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and q is a prime power, then $q \in LAB^*(4,3)$.

Theorem 2

There exists an LARB(4, 3; v) if and only if

$$v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
.

Proof. By Lemma 1, It is equivalent to prove that

$$LAB^*(4,3) = H^4. (3)$$

Since $LAB^*(4,3)$ and H^4 are PBD-closed sets and $H^4 = B(\{5,9,13,17,29,33\})$, then it is sufficient to prove that

$$\{5, 9, 13, 17, 29, 33\} \subset LAB^*(4, 3).$$

By Lemma 4, there exists an LARB(4,3;v) for v = 5, 9 13, 17 and 29. We form an LARB(4,3;33) below. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_{33}$ with ordering $0 < 1 < \cdots < 32$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{B}: & (i+1,i+8,i+18,i+19;1,0,0,2,2,0), \\ & (i+2,i+3,i+24,i+31;1,2,2,1,1,0), \\ & (i+4,i+13,i+21,i+23;2,1,0,2,1,2), \\ & (i+5,i+11,i+17,i+20;1,0,2,2,1,2), \\ & (i+6,i+10,i+26,i+29;2,0,0,1,1,0), \\ & (i+7,i+12,i+15,i+16;0,1,0,1,0,2), \\ & (i+9,i+22,i+28,i+30;2,2,2,0,0,0), \\ & (i+14,i+25,i+27,i+32;0,1,2,1,2,1). \end{split} \} i \in \mathbb{Z}_{33} \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

3 Labeled resolvable transversal designs

A $GD(k, \lambda, m; v)$ with v = km is called a transversal design and denoted by $TD(k, \lambda, m)$. A resolvable $TD(k, \lambda, m)$ is denoted by $RTD(k, \lambda, m)$. It is well known that the existence of a TD(k, 1, m) is equivalent to the existence of an RTD(k - 1, 1, m) and equivalent to the existence of k - 2 mutually orthogonal latin squares of order m.

As for labeled resolvable block designs, we may also give labelings to the blocks of a resolvable transversal design and define the concept of labeled transversal design.

Let $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ be an $RTD(k, \lambda, m)$ with $\mathbf{G} = \{G_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$ be a partially ordered set such that for any $x, y \in X$, x < y if and only if $x \in G_i$ and $y \in G_i$, $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. If there exists a mapping

$$\phi: \mathbf{B} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\lambda}^{\left(\begin{array}{c}k\\2\end{array}\right)}$$

satisfying the two conditions in the definition of labeled block designs, then the $RTD(k, \lambda, m)$ is called a labeled resolvable transversal design and denoted by $LRTD(k, \lambda, m)$.

What we need in this paper are labeled resolvable transversal designs with block size 4 and $\lambda = 3$. First we form an LRTD(4, 3, m) for each $m \in \{3, 5, 8\}$.

Lemma 5

There exists an LRTD(4, 3, 3).

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le 4} G_i$, $\mathbf{G} = \{G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$, where

$$G_i = \{(i, j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let \mathbf{B} be the union of the following 9 parallel classes:

 $\{ ((1, j), (2, j), (3, j), (4, j); 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j), (3, j + 1), (4, j + 1); 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j), (3, j + 2), (4, j + 2); 0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j), (4, j + 1); 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j + 1), (4, j + 2); 1, 0, 2, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j + 2), (4, j); 2, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 2), (3, j), (4, j + 2); 2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 2), (3, j + 1), (4, j); 0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 2), (3, j + 1), (4, j); 0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 2), (3, j + 2), (4, j + 1); 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \}.$

Then $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ is an LRTD(4, 3, 3).

Lemma 6

There exists an LRTD(4, 3, 5).

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le 4} G_i$, $\mathbf{G} = \{G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$, where

$$G_i = \{(i, j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let \mathbf{B} be the union of the following 15 parallel classes:

$$\{ ((1, j), (2, j), (3, j), (4, j); 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j + 2), (4, j + 3); 0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 2), (3, j + 4), (4, j + 1); 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 3), (3, j + 1), (4, j + 4); 2, 0, 2, 1, 0, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 4), (3, j + 3), (4, j + 2); 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j), (3, j), (4, j + 2); 1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j + 2), (4, j); 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 4), (3, j + 3), (4, j + 4); 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 4), (3, j + 3), (4, j + 4); 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 3), (3, j + 1), (4, j + 1); 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j + 2), (4, j + 1); 2, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 1), (3, j + 2), (4, j + 4); 1, 2, 1, 1, 0, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 3), (3, j + 1), (4, j + 2); 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 3), (3, j + 1), (4, j + 2); 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \{ ((1, j), (2, j + 4), (3, j + 3), (4, j); 2, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \}; \\ \} \}$$

Then $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ is an LRTD(4, 3, 5).

Lemma 7

There exists an LRTD(4, 3, 8).

Proof. Let $X = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le 4} G_i$, $\mathbf{G} = \{G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$, where

$$G_i = \{(i, j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

For $0 \leq t \leq 7$, let π_t be the following permutations on \mathbb{Z}_8 :

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\pi_0(i)$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\pi_1(i)$	1	0	4	7	2	6	5	3
$\pi_2(i)$	2	4	0	5	1	3	7	6
$\pi_3(i)$	3	7	5	0	6	2	4	1
$\pi_4(i)$	4	2	1	6	0	7	3	5
$\pi_5(i)$	5	6	3	2	7	0	1	4
$\pi_6(i)$	6	5	7	4	3	1	0	2
$\pi_7(i)$	7	3	6	1	5	4	2	0

Let \mathbf{B} be the union of the following 24 parallel classes:

 $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_0(j)), (3, \pi_0(j)), (4, \pi_0(j)); 2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_1(j)), (3, \pi_2(j)), (4, \pi_3(j)); 2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_2(j)), (3, \pi_3(j)), (4, \pi_4(j)); 2, 0, 1, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j),(2,\pi_3(j)),(3,\pi_4(j)),(4,\pi_5(j));2,2,1,0,2,2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j), (2,\pi_0(j)), (3,\pi_0(j)), (4,\pi_1(j)); 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_1(j)), (3, \pi_2(j)), (4, \pi_7(j)); 0, 0, 2, 0, 2, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_2(j)), (3, \pi_3(j)), (4, \pi_2(j)); 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j), (2,\pi_3(j)), (3,\pi_4(j)), (4,\pi_6(j)); 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j), (2,\pi_0(j)), (3,\pi_0(j)), (4,\pi_5(j)); 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_1(j)), (3, \pi_2(j)), (4, \pi_2(j)); 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_2(j)), (3, \pi_3(j)), (4, \pi_7(j)); 1, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_3(j)), (3, \pi_4(j)), (4, \pi_0(j)); 1, 0, 2, 2, 1, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j),(2,\pi_4(j)),(3,\pi_5(j)),(4,\pi_6(j));1,1,1,0,0,0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_5(j)), (3, \pi_6(j)), (4, \pi_7(j)); 2, 2, 1, 0, 2, 2) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_6(j)), (3, \pi_7(j)), (4, \pi_1(j)); 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_7(j)), (3, \pi_1(j)), (4, \pi_2(j)); 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j), (2,\pi_4(j)), (3,\pi_5(j)), (4,\pi_5(j)); 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_5(j)), (3, \pi_6(j)), (4, \pi_3(j)); 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_6(j)), (3, \pi_7(j)), (4, \pi_0(j)); 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_7(j)), (3, \pi_1(j)), (4, \pi_4(j)); 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_4(j)), (3, \pi_5(j)), (4, \pi_1(j)); 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j),(2,\pi_5(j)),(3,\pi_6(j)),(4,\pi_4(j));0,1,2,1,2,1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1,j), (2,\pi_6(j)), (3,\pi_7(j)), (4,\pi_6(j)); 0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\};\$ $\{((1, j), (2, \pi_7(j)), (3, \pi_1(j)), (4, \pi_3(j)); 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_8\}.$

Then $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B})$ is an LRTD(4, 3, 8).

For the application of labeled resolvable transversal designs in the construction of LRB(4,3;v)s, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3

If there exists an RGD(4, 1, m; v), an LRTD(4, 3, t) and an LRB(4, 3; tm), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; tv).

Proof. Let $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ be an RGD(4, 1, m; v). Assign to each point $x \in X$ weight t, i.e., x may be considered as a t-set $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$. Let \mathbf{P} be an arbitrary parallel class of the RGD(4, 1, m; v). Let $B = \{x, y, z, w\}$ be a block of \mathbf{P} . Form an LRTD(4, 3, t) with $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$, $\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$, $\{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_t\}$ as groups, and let $Q_1(B), Q_2(B), \dots, Q_{3t}(B)$ be the parallel classes of the LRTD(4, 3, t). Let

$$Q_i(\mathbf{P}) = \bigcup_{B \in \mathbf{P}} \mathbf{Q}_i(B), \quad 1 \le i \le 3t.$$

Then each $Q_i(\mathbf{P})$ is a parallel class of the desired LRB(4,3;tv). Let \mathbf{B}_1 be the union of all such parallel classes.

For each group $G \in \mathbf{G}$, form an LRB(4, 3; tm) on the set

$$\bigcup_{x \in G} \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_t\}$$

Let $\mathbf{R}_1(G), \mathbf{R}_2(G), \cdots, \mathbf{R}_{tm-1}(G)$ be the parallel classes of the LRB(4, 3; tm). Let

$$\mathbf{R}_i = \bigcup_{G \in \mathbf{G}} \mathbf{R}_i(G), \quad 1 \le i \le tm - 1$$

Then each \mathbf{R}_i is also a parallel class of the desired LRB(4,3;tm). Let

$$\mathbf{B}_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{tm-1} \mathbf{R}_i, \quad \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_1 \cup \mathbf{B}_2,$$

and let

$$Y = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_t\},\$$

then (Y, \mathbf{B}) is an LRB(4, 3; tv). This completes the proof.

Theorem 4

If there exist an RGD(4, 1, m; v), an LRTD(4, 3, t) and an LARB(4, 3; tm), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; tv).

Proof. Let $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ be an RGD(4, 1, m; v). Assign to each point weight t. We may form the set \mathbf{B}_1 , which can be partitioned into parallel classes, as in Theorem 3.

For each group $G \in \mathbf{G}$, let $(S(G), \mathbf{B}(G))$ be an LARB(4, 3; tm) where

$$S(G) = \bigcup_{x \in G} \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_t\}.$$

For each $a \in S(G)$, let $R_a(G)$ be the almost parallel class missing a.

Let \mathbf{Q}_0 be a fixed parallel class of \mathbf{B}_1 . For an arbitrary block $B = \{a, b, c, d\} \in \mathbf{Q}_0$, if $a \in S(G_1), b \in S(G_2), c \in S(G_3), d \in S(G_4)$, let

$$R(B) = R_a(G_1) \cup R_b(G_2) \cup R_c(G_3) \cup R_d(G_4) \cup B$$

Let

$$\mathbf{B}_2 = \mathbf{Q}_0 \cup \{\bigcup_{G \in \mathbf{G}} \mathbf{B}(G),\$$

then \mathbf{B}_2 can also be partitioned into parallel classes. Now let

$$Y = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_t\},$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_2 \cup \{\mathbf{B}_1 \setminus \mathbf{Q}_0\},$$

then (Y, \mathbf{B}) is an LRB(4, 3; tv) as required. This completes the proof.

4 Further recursive constructions

To prove our main theorem, we also need the following constructions for labeled resolvable designs.

Theorem 5

If there is an RGD(k, 1, m; v) such that there exist an LRB(4, 3; k) and an LRB(4, 3; m), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; v).

Proof. Let $(X, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A})$ be an RGD(k, 1, m; v) and let \mathbf{P} be an arbitrary parallel class. For each block $B \in \mathbf{P}$, form an LRB(4, 3; k) on the k-set B and let $\mathbf{Q}_1(B), \dots, \mathbf{Q}_{k-1}(B)$ be the parallel classes. Let

$$\mathbf{Q}_i(\mathbf{P}) = \bigcup_{B \in \mathbf{P}} \mathbf{Q}_i(B), \quad 1 \le i \le k-1.$$

Then each $\mathbf{Q}_i(\mathbf{P})$ is a parallel class of the desired LRB(4,3;v).

For each group $G \in \mathbf{G}$, form an LRB(4,3;m) on the *m*-set *G* and let $\mathbf{R}_1(G), \cdots, \mathbf{R}_{m-1}(G)$ be the parallel classes. Let

$$\mathbf{R}_i = \bigcup_{G \in \mathbf{G}} \mathbf{R}_i(G), \quad 1 \le i \le m - 1.$$

Then each \mathbf{R}_i is also a parallel class. Now let \mathbf{B} be the union of all the parallel classes \mathbf{R}_i , $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, and all the parallel classes $\mathbf{Q}_i(\mathbf{P})$, $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, for all \mathbf{P} . It can be easily verified that (X, \mathbf{B}) is an LRB(4, 3; v). This completes the proof.

Similarly, using the technique in the proof of Theorem 4, we have the following constructions.

Theorem 6

If there is an RGD(k, 1, m; v) such that there exist an LRB(4, 3; k) and an LARB(4, 3; m), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; v).

Theorem 7

If there is an RTD(5, 1, m) such that there exists an LRB(4, 3; m), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; 5m).

Theorem 8 ([9])

If there is a GD(K, 1, M; v) such that there exist an LARB(4, 3; k) for each $k \in K$, and an $LRB(4, 3; m+v_0)$ containing an $LRB(4, 3; v_0)$ as a subdesign for each $m \in M$, then there exists an $LRB(4, 3; v + v_0)$.

5 Main results

The following lemmas will be used in proving our main theorem.

Lemma 8

There exists an LRB(4, 3; v) for each $v \in \{8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32\}$.

Proof. The existence of an LRB(4,3;v) for $v \in \{8, 12, 24\}$ was proved in [9]. An LRB(4,3;28) was constructed in [10]. Form an LRB(4,3;8) on each group of the LRTD(4,3;8) in Lemma 7, this gives an LRB(4,3;32). Form an LARB(4,3;5) on each group of the LRTD(4,3,5) given in Lemma 6 and use the technique in the

proof of Theorem 4, we get an LRB(4, 3; 20). Finally, we form an LRB(4, 3; 16) on $X = Z_{15} \cup \{\infty\}$ as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\{i, 2+i, 3+i, 8+i; 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0\} \\ &\{7+i, 11+i, 12+i, 14+i; 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0\} \\ &\{4+i, 6+i, 10+i, 13+i; 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0\} \\ &\{1+i, 5+i, 6+i, \infty; 2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2\} \end{aligned} \right\} i \in Z_{15}.$$

Lemma 9

There exists an LRB(4, 3; 36t + 12) for each $t \ge 1$.

Proof. It is well known [4] that there is an RGD(4, 1, 4; 12t + 4) for each $t \ge 1$. Since there exist an LRTD(4, 3, 3) by Lemma 5 and an LRB(4, 3; 12) by Lemma 8, then the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.

Similarly, the following two results follow from the existence of an LRTD(4, 3, 5) and an LRB(4, 3; 20), or an LRTD(4, 3, 8) and an LRB(4, 3; 32).

Lemma 10

There exists an LRB(4, 3; 60t + 20) for each $t \ge 1$.

Lemma 11

There exists an LRB(4, 3; 96t + 32) for each $t \ge 1$.

Lemma 12

If there exists an LRB(4,3;v), then there exists an LRB(4,3;9v).

Proof. By Theorem 1, if there is an LRB(4, 3; v), then there exists an RGD(4, 1, 3; 3v). Since there exist an LRTD(4, 3, 3) and an LARB(4, 3; 9), the conclusion then follows from Theorem 4.

Lemma 13

If there is an RTD(k, 1, m) such that there exist an LRB(4, 3; k) and an LRB(4, 3; m) (or LARB(4, 3; m)), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; km).

Proof. These are special cases of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6.

Lemma 14

If there is a TD(k, 1, m), an LARB(4, 3; k) and an LRB(4, 3; m + 1), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; km + 1).

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 8.

Let E be the following set of 22 integers:

44,	52,	68,	88,	92,	112,	124,	132,	152,	164,
184,	188,	208,	212,	220,	268,	284,	292,	304,	308,
312,	788.								

Lemma 15

There exists an LRB(4,3;v) if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $8 \leq v \leq 320$, $v \notin E$, or $v \in \{772, 780, 784, 792, 796, 804\}$.

Proof. Let

$$LRB(4,3) = \{v \mid \exists \text{ an } LRB(4,3;v)\}.$$

By Lemmas 9–11, we have

$$\{ 48, 84, 120, 156, 192, 228, 264, 300, 804, 80, 140, 200, 260, \\ 320, 128, 224 \} \subset LRB(4, 3).$$

By Lemma 12, we have

 $\{72, 108, 144, 180, 216, 252, 288\} \subset LRB(4, 3).$

In Lemma 13, let k = 8, then we have

 $\{64, 104, 136, 232, 256, 296\} \subset LRB(4, 3).$

Let k = 12 or 16, we have

 $\{204, 272, 784\} \subset LRB(4, 3).$

In lemma 14, let k = 5, we have

 $\{36, 56, 76, 96, 116, 176, 196, 236, 276, 316, 796\} \subset LRB(4, 3).$

Let k = 9 or 13, we have

 $\{172, 244, 248\} \subset LRB(4, 3).$

In Theorem 7, let k = 5, then we have

 $\{40, 60, 100, 160, 240, 280, 780\} \subset LRB(4, 3).$

From the LRTD(4, 3, 8), we may form an LRB(4, 3; 32) containing an LRB(4, 3; 8) as a subsystem. From a TD(5, 1, 7) we may form an LRB(4, 3, 36) containing an LRB(4, 3; 8). From an RTD(5, 1, 8) we may form an LRB(4, 3; 40) containing an LRB(4, 3; 8). Since $148 = 5 \times 28 + 8$, $168 = 5 \times 32 + 8$, then by Theorem 8, we may form an LRB(4, 3; 148) from a TD(5, 1, 28), an LRB(4, 3; 168) from a TD(5, 1; 32).

We may form an LRB(4, 3; 156) containing an LRB(4, 3; 32) from a TD(5, 1, 31), and so there is an LRB(4, 3; 156) containing an LRB(4, 3; 8). As there is a $GD(5, 1, \{148, 24\}; 764)$ [2], then by Theorem 8, there exists an LRB(4, 3; 772). Finally, for v = 792, since there is an RGD(8, 1, 8; 792), then there exists an LRB(4, 3; 792), by Theorem 5. This completes the proof.

Lemma 16 ([1])

If there is a TD(17, 1, n), then there is a GD(K, 1, M; v) where $K = \{5, 17\}$, $M = \{n, n+4m_1, n+4m_2\}$, $v = 17n+4(m_1+m_2)$, for any m_1, m_2 satisfying $0 \le m_1, m_2 \le n$.

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 9

If $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $v \geq 8$ and $v \notin E$, then there exists an LRB(4,3;v).

Proof. For $v \leq 320$, or $772 \leq v \leq 804$, see Lemma 15. Suppose $v \geq 324$. First, let n = 19 and $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19\}$ in Lemma 16. Then there is a GD(K, 1, M; v) where $K = \{5, 17\}, M = \{19, 19 + 4m_1, 19 + 4m_2\}, v = 323 + 4(m_1 + m_2)$ such that there is an LARB(4, 3; k) for each $k \in K$, and there is an LARB(4, 3; m + 1) for each $m \in M$. By Theorem 8, there exists an LRB(4, 3; v + 1). This proves that there exists an LRB(4, 3; v) for each $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, 324 \leq v \leq 464$.

Now let

n = 23, 27, 31, 47, 59, 71, 83, 107, 139, 171, 203, 243, 331

and

 $3^{2s-1} \cdot 17, 3^{2s-1} \cdot 25, 3^{2s-1} \cdot 37, 3^{2s-1} \cdot 49, 3^{2s} \cdot 23, 3^{2s} \cdot 31, 3^{2s} \cdot 43, \quad s = 2, 3, \cdots$

Choose m_1 and m_2 appropriately and apply Theorem 8 recursively. This gives an LRB(4,3;v) for each $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $v \geq 324$, $v \notin \{772,780,784,788,792,796,804\}$. Combining this with Lemma 15 completes the proof.

References

- [1] R. D. Baker. Whist tournaments. Congressus Num. (1975), 89–100
- [2] F. E. Bennett and J. Yin. Some results on (v, (5, w))-PBDs. J. Combinatorial Designs 3 (1995),455-468
- [3] T. Beth, D.Jungnickel and H. Lenz. Design Theory. Bibliographisches Institut, Zurich, 1985
- [4] H. Hanani, D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri and R. M. Wilson. On resolvable designs. Discrete Math. 3 (1972), 343–357
- [5] E. R. Lamken, W. H. Mills and R. M. Wilson. Four pairwise balanced designs. Designs, Codes and Cryptography 1 (1991), 63–68
- [6] E. Mendelsohn and H. Shen. A construction of resolvable group divisible designs with block size 3. Ars Combinatoria 24 (1987), 39–43
- [7] R. Rees and D. R. Stinson. Frames with block size four. Canadian J. Math. 44 (1992),1030–1049
- [8] H. Shen. Constructions and uses of labeled resolvable designs. In W. D. Wallis eds. Combinatorial Designs and Applications, Marcel Dekker 1990, 97–107
- [9] H. Shen. On the existence of nearly Kirkman systems. Annals of Discrete Math. 52 (1992), 511–518

[10] H. Shen. Existence of resolvable group divisible designs with block size four and block size two or three. J. Shanghai Jiao Tong Univ. E-1 (1996), 68–70

Hao Shen and Minjie Wang Department of Applied Mathematics Shanghai Jiao Tong University Shanghai 200030, China e-mail: hshen@mail.sjtu.edu.cn