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#### Abstract

We show that the triangular extension of a generalized quadrangle of order $(3,3)$ is unique. The proof depends upon certain computer calculations.


## 1 Introduction and the result

Extensions of finite generalized quadrangles (EGQ, for short), or, more generally, of polar spaces, play a important role as incidence geometries admitting interesting automorphism groups, such as sporadic simple, or some classes of (extensions of) classical groups. Buekenhout and Hubaut [3] initiated the study of extensions of polar spaces from a geometric point of view by proving some characterization theorems, in particular they classified locally polar spaces such that the lines of the residual polar space are of size 3 . They also classified locally polar spaces admitting a classical group acting on point residues, later on these results were generalized in a more general framework of flag-transitive diagram geometries, see the survey [22] by Pasini and Yoshiara for an extensive bibliography. However, very few characterizations are known which do not assume group actions. For polar lines of size 3, see already mentioned [3] and [2] by Buekenhout. Blokhuis and Brouwer [1] and P.Fisher [11] classified EGQ(3,1), The author [16, 18, 19] characterized extensions

[^0]of polar spaces related to some 3-transposition groups, including Fischer's sporadic simple groups. In [17] he proved the uniqueness of $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,9)$ and classified its further extensions.

Here we shall be concerned with triangular $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,3)$. For basic definitions and a general account on EGQ see Cameron, Hughes and Pasini [4]. A triangular $\operatorname{EGQ}(s, t)$ may and will be viewed as a graph $\Gamma$ such that the subgraph $\Gamma(u)$ induced on the neighbourhood of any vertex $u$ is isomorphic to the collinearity graph of a generalized quadrangle of order $(s, t)$, or $\mathrm{GQ}(s, t)$, for short. Concerning $\mathrm{GQ}(s, t)$, a standard reference is [23]. There are two nonisomorphic GQ(3,3), dual to each other. One, usually denoted by $W(3)$, is the point-line system of the totally isotropic, with respect to a nondegenerate symplectic form, points and lines of the 3-dimensional projective space over $\operatorname{GF}(3)$ ( $\mathrm{PG}(3,3)$, for short). The other one, usually denoted by $Q_{4}(3)$, is defined similarly with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form instead of the symplectic one and $\operatorname{PG}(4,3)$ instead of $\mathrm{PG}(3,3)$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ be the graph defined on the nonisotropic points on a $n$-dimensional GF(4)space $T=T\left(\mathcal{U}_{n}\right)$ carrying a nondegenerate hermitian form, two points being adjacent if they are perpendicular. Note that $\mathcal{U}_{4}$ is isomorphic to the collinearity graph of $W(3)$, and $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ is locally $\mathcal{U}_{n}$.

We say that a graph $\Gamma$ is locally $\mathcal{D}$ (or $\Delta$ ), where $\mathcal{D}$ is a family of graphs (resp. $\Delta$ a graph), if for any vertex $u$ of $\Gamma$ the subgraph $\Gamma(u)$ is isomorphic to a member of $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. to $\Delta$ ). In our case $\mathcal{D}$ consists of the collinearity graphs of $W(3)$ and $Q_{4}(3)$.

Theorem 1.1 Let $\Gamma$ be a triangular $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,3)$ (in other words, $\Gamma$ is locally $\mathcal{D}$ ). Then $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_{5}$.

Under the additional assumption that a classical group is induced on $\Gamma(u)$ for any vertex $u$, this statement was proved in [3]. Later on, this was improved in [12, 25] in the slightly more general framework of the classification of flag-transitive c. $C_{2}$-geometries, still involving a strong assumption on group action.

## 2 Proof

Let $\Gamma$ be a triangular $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,3)$. Our approach is based on the observation made in [3] that given a point $u$ of $\Gamma$ and a point $v$ at distance 2 from $u$, their common neighbourhood $\Gamma(u, v)$ (which will be often called a $\mu$-graph of $\Gamma(u)$ ), is a hyperoval (or a local subspace, in the terminology of [3]) in $\Delta=\Gamma(u)$, that is a subset $\Phi$ of points of $\Delta$ such that each line of $\Delta$ meets either 0 or 2 points of $\Phi$. Hyperovals of GQ were studied by several authors, see e.g. [13, 21]. However the results achieved are concerned mainly with various extreme cases, and nothing like a classification of hyperovals in GQ, which is required in our approach, exists.

So we classify the hyperovals of $Q_{4}(3)$ and $W(3)$, using a computer. Then we rule out most of the hyperovals of $Q_{4}(3)$, using some simple criteria. As an immediate corollary we have that $\Gamma(x) \cong \Gamma(y)$ for any distance two pair of points $x, y$ of $\Gamma$ such that $|\Gamma(x, y)|$ is of certain size. It gives us an opportunity to eliminate most of the hyperovals of $W(3)$.

The remaining ones are exactly the 45 hyperovals which appear in $\mathcal{U}_{5}$ as common neighbourhoods mentioned above. Then we assume that $\Gamma(u)=\Delta \cong W(3)$. We deduce that $\Gamma$ is a strongly regular graph having the same parameters as $\mathcal{U}_{5}$. Then we establish that $\Gamma(x) \cong \Delta$ for any $x \in \Gamma$. Moreover, we see that $\Gamma$ has quadruples, that is, for each nonadjacent pair of points $x, y$ there are exactly two other points $z, z^{\prime}$ such that $\Gamma(x, y)=\Gamma\left(x, y, z, z^{\prime}\right)$. This defines on $\Gamma$ the structure of a partial linear space with line size 4 . One can then check that the latter partial linear space is such that the lines and the affine planes on any point form a finite GQ. These objects were classified in [8]. The application of $[8,5]$ completes the proof that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{U}_{5}$ (alternatively, we demonstrate how to use the classification of generalized Fischer spaces $[6,10]$ to get the same result).

The remaining case, where $\Gamma(x) \cong Q_{4}(3)$ for any $x$, is dealt with similarly. It turns out that this assumption leads to a contradiction.

### 2.1 Preliminaries

The determination of all the hyperovals in a $\mathrm{GQ}(3,3) \Delta$ was based on an almost straightforward backtrack exhaustive search. It is natural to regard a hyperoval $\Omega$ as the subgraph of $\Delta$ induced by $\Omega$. Clearly if $\Omega$ is disconnected then each connected component of $\Omega$ is a hyperoval, as well. So we look for the connected hyperovals only, and then, if possible, glue components together. We note, however, that all the hyperovals in $\Delta$ turn out to be connected. The main way to reduce the number of objects found by the search was the use of the group $G=\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$. Indeed, for the set of orbits of $G$ on the hyperovals of $\Delta$ it suffices to find a representative $R_{k}$ for each orbit $O_{k}$. Moreover, the following idea proved to be highly successful.

Let $S$ be a graph which is a subgraph of $\Omega$ for any hyperoval $\Omega$ of $\Delta$ (for instance, $S \cong K_{2}$ ). Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{S_{j}\right\}$ be a set of representatives of the orbits of $S$ on the subgraphs of $\Delta$ isomorphic to $S$. Then a set $\mathcal{R}=\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ of representatives of $G$-orbits on the hyperovals may be chosen in such a way that each $R_{k} \in \mathcal{R}$ contains some $S_{j} \in \mathcal{S}$.

So the problem is to find such $S$ that the set $\mathcal{S}$ is not huge and, on the other hand, the number of different hyperovals containing a given $S_{k} \in \mathcal{S}$ is not huge, as well. Since any $\Omega$ is a triangle-free graph of valence 4 , we choose as $S$ the 4 -claw, that is the subgraph induced on the union of $\{x\}$ and $\Omega(x)$. We find a set $\mathcal{S}$. Then for each $S_{k} \in \mathcal{S}$ we perform the exhaustive search of the hyperovals containing $S_{k}$. The resulting set $\mathcal{R}$ need not be a minimal one, that is, it may contain several representatives for one $G$-orbit. Thus, finally, we construct a minimal set $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$.

The computer calculations were carried out using the GAP system for algebraic computations [14] along with the package GRAPE for computations in graphs [24]. The latter uses the package NAUTY for computations of automorphisms and isomorphisms of graphs [15].

Proposition 2.1 The hyperovals $\Phi$ of $\Delta \cong \mathcal{U}_{4} \cong W(3)$ are as follows.

1. 432 of size 20 . The 20 points outside $\Phi$ are collinear to 8 points of $\Phi$.
2. 540 of size 16 .
3. 720 of size 12. There are 2 points outside $\Phi$ collinear to 6 points of $\Phi$.
4. 45 of size 8. The 32 points outside $\Phi$ are collinear to 2 points of $\Phi$. Let $v \in \Phi$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ be a hyperoval containing $v$. Then $\Phi(v)=\Phi^{\prime}(v)$ implies $\Phi^{\prime}=\Phi$. Let $\Phi^{\prime}$ be a hyperoval of type 2. Then $\Phi \cap \Phi^{\prime} \not \not K_{2}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the hyperovals of size 8 and the isotropic points of $T=T(\Delta)$ given by $\Phi=\Delta \cap p^{\perp}$, where $p \in T$ is an isotropic point.

The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ acts transitively on the hyperovals of each type.
Note that the first part of Proposition 2.1, contradicts the first (technical) part of the statement of [3, Proposition 8]. Note that the second part of that statement remains valid, as we shall see later.

Proposition 2.2 The hyperovals $\Phi$ of $\Delta \cong Q_{4}(3)$ are as follows.

1. 1080 of size 14 . There are 8 points outside $\Phi$ collinear to 6 points of $\Phi$. There are only 4 hyperovals intersecting $\Phi$ in $3 K_{2}$.
2. 360 of size 18. There are 12 points outside $\Phi$ collinear to 6 points of $\Phi$. All the hyperovals intersecting $\Phi$ in $3 K_{2}$ are of type 1 .
3. 324 of size 20. The points outside $\Phi$ are collinear to 8 points of $\Phi$. All the hyperovals intersecting $\Phi$ in $4 K_{2}$ are of type 2.
4. 135 of size 16. There are 16 points outside $\Phi$ collinear to 4 points of $\Phi$, and the remaining 8 points are collinear to 8 points of $\Phi$. The hyperovals intersecting $\Phi$ in $4 K_{2}$ are of type 1 or 2.
5. 216 of size 10 . There are 20 points outside $\Phi$ collinear to 2 points of $\Phi$, and the remaining 10 points are collinear to 4 points of $\Phi$. There are 60 (resp. 20) hyperovals of type 5 (resp. of type 1) intersecting $\Phi$ in $K_{2}$.
6. 270 of size 12 . There are 24 points outside $\Phi$ collinear to 4 points of $\Phi$, and the remaining 4 points are not collinear to the points of $\Phi$. There are exactly 24 hyperovals of type 6 intersecting $\Phi$ in $2 K_{2}$.

The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ acts transitively on the hyperovals of each type.
Lemma 2.3 In the notation of Proposition 2.2, only hyperovals of types 5 or 6 may appear as $\mu$-graphs of $E G Q$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.2, 1, that any hyperoval $\Phi$ of type 1 cannot appear as $\mu$-graph. Indeed, there must be at least 8 hyperovals intersecting $\Phi$ in $3 K_{2}$, but there are only 4 such ones.

Next, if $\Phi$ is of type 2 there must be other $\mu$-graphs intersecting $\Phi$ in $3 K_{2}$, but all of them, by Proposition 2.2, must be of type 1, a contradiction.

Similarly, we reject hyperovals of types 3 and 4 .
Now the following statement is immediate.
Corollary 2.4 Let $x$, $y$ be two points of $\Gamma$ at distance 2 such that $|\Gamma(x, y)|=20$. Then $\Gamma(x) \cong \Gamma(y) \cong W(3)$.

### 2.2 A point of $W$ (3)-type exists

Here we assume that $\Gamma(u)=\Delta \cong W(3)$ for some $u \in \Gamma$.
Lemma 2.5 In the notation of Proposition 2.1, only hyperovals of types 2 or 4 may appear as $\mu$-graphs.

Proof. First, we show that the hyperovals of type 1 cannot appear as $\mu$-graphs. Let $\Phi=\Gamma(u, v)$ be a type 1 hyperoval. By Corollary 2.4 , we have $\Gamma(v) \cong W(3)$. The following facts obtained by means of a computer will be used.

1) There are two orbits $O_{1}, O_{2}$ of lengths 30 and 10 , respectively, in the action of the stabilizer $H$ of $\Phi$ in $G=\operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$ on the set of edges of $\Phi$.
2) There are 25 hyperovals intersecting $\Phi$ in the disjoint union of 4 copies of $K_{2}$. All of them are of type 1 . The group $H$ has two orbits $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ of lengths 20 and 5 , respectively, on this set of hyperovals. If $\Psi \in \Omega_{1}$ then it contains exactly one edge from $O_{2}$. If $\Psi \in \Omega_{2}$ then all the edges from $\Psi \cap \Phi$ belong to $O_{2}$. Given $e \in O_{1}$, there exist exactly two hyperovals $\Psi \in \Omega_{1}$ such that $e \subset \Psi$.

By Proposition 2.1 there are 20 vertices in $\Xi=\Gamma(v) \backslash \Gamma(u)$ such that for each $x \in \Xi$ we have that $\Gamma(x) \cap \Phi$ is the disjoint union of 4 copies of $K_{2}$. Hence $\Gamma(u, x)$ belongs to $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$. So we have constructed a mapping $\phi$ from $\Xi$ to $\Omega$. It is an injection, since the disjoint union of 4 copies of $K_{2}$ determines four of the lines of $\Delta$, and $\Delta$ is a partial linear space. We have to choose 20 of the 25 elements of $\Omega$. Since the line size of $\mathrm{GQ}(3,3)$ is 4 , for each edge $e$ of $\Phi$ there exist exactly two $\Psi \in \phi(\Xi)$ such that $e \subset \Psi$. Now it follows from 2) that $\Omega_{1} \subseteq \phi(\Xi)$. Hence $\phi(\Xi)=\Omega_{1}$.

Now the graph $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ is isomorphic to a connected component of the graph whose vertex set is the set $\Phi^{G}$ (i.e. the hyperovals of type 1), and the edge set is $\left\{\Phi^{G}, \Psi^{G}\right\}$, where $\Psi \in \Omega_{1}$. It is easy to check, either by computer or by exploiting its $G$ invariance, that the latter graph is connected. This is a contradiction, since the latter graph has 432 vertices, whereas $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ has 54 . Thus $\Phi$ cannot be of type 1 .

Let $\Phi$ be of type 3. There exists a two-element subset $\Xi$ of $\Gamma(v) \backslash \Gamma(u)$ such that $\Gamma(x) \cap \Phi$ is the disjoint union of 3 copies of $K_{2}$ for any $x \in \Xi$. On the other hand, computer calculations show that each hyperoval $\Psi$ such that $\Phi \cap \Psi$ is the disjoint union of 3 copies of $K_{2}$ is of type 1 . This is the contradiction.

The following is well known.
Lemma 2.6 Let $\Xi$ be a $\mathrm{GQ}(3,3)$. Assume that $\Xi$ has a local hyperoval of type 4, that is, isomorphic to $\mathrm{GQ}(1,3)$. Alternatively, assume that $\Xi$ possess a triple $x, y$, $z$, of pairwise noncollinear points such that $\Gamma(x, y)=\Gamma(x, z)$. Then $\Xi \cong W(3)$.

Lemma 2.7 There exists $v \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$ such that $\Gamma(u, v)$ is of type 4.

Proof. Suppose that this is not the case. Hence, by Lemma 2.5, for any $v \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$ the hyperoval $\Gamma(u, v)$ is of type 2 . We have

$$
\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=40 \cdot 27 / 16
$$

which it not an integer. This is a contradiction.

By Lemma 2.7, there exists $v \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$ such that $\Gamma(u, v)$ is of type 4. By Lemma 2.1, 4, for each $w \in \Gamma(v) \backslash \Gamma(u)$ the subgraph $\Gamma(u, w)$ is a hyperoval of type 4 . Note that $|\Gamma(v) \backslash \Gamma(u)|=32$ coincides with the number of hyperovals intersecting $\Omega$ in a $K_{2}$, and all such hyperovals are of type 4 . The graph $\Sigma$ defined on the type 4 hyperovals by the rule that two vertices are adjacent if the intersection of the corresponding hyperovals equals $K_{2}$ is isomorphic to the complement of the collinearity graph of GQ $(4,2)$, in particular it is connected. Hence for any $x$ belonging to the connected component $\Xi$ of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ containing $v$, one has that $\Gamma(u, x)$ is of type 4.

Clearly $\Xi$ is a cover of $\Sigma$. Hence each type 4 hyperoval of $\Gamma(u)$ is a $\mu$-graph of $\Gamma$. Since $\mu(\Sigma)=24$, which is greater than the size of any of hyperovals, $\Xi$ is a connected proper cover of $\Sigma$. It implies that for any $x \in \Xi$ there exists $y \in \Xi$ such that $\Gamma(u, x)=\Gamma(u, y)$. Let $w \in \Gamma(u, x)=\Omega$. Since $\Omega$ is a graph of valence 4 , we have for $\Theta=\Gamma(w)$ that $\Theta(u, x)=\Theta(u, y)$. Note that $x$ is not adjacent to $y$. Hence by Lemma 2.6, $\Theta \cong W(3)$. Therefore there exists a fourth point $t \in \Theta$ not collinear to $u, x$, or $y$, such that $\Theta(u, x)=\Theta(u, t)$. By Lemma 2.14$), \Gamma(u, t)=\Gamma(u, x)$. Thus for any type 4 hyperoval $\Omega$ of $\Delta$ there exist three distinct points $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of $\Gamma_{2}(u)$ such that $\Gamma\left(u, x_{i}\right)=\Omega$ for $i=1,2,3$. Now, counting in two ways the edges between $\Gamma(u)$ and $\Gamma_{2}(u)$, one has that for any $x \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$ the hyperoval $\Gamma(u, x)$ is of type 4. In particular, $\left|\Gamma_{2}(u)\right|=|\Gamma|-40-1=135$.

Note that for any $z \in \Delta$ we have $\Gamma(z) \cong W(3)$. Since there exists $p \in \Gamma_{2}(z)$ such that $\Gamma(z, p)$ is of type 4 , the repetition of the above argument gives us that for any $q \in \Gamma_{2}(z)$ the hyperoval $\Gamma(z, q)$ is of type 4 . Therefore $\Gamma$ is a strongly regular graph (SRG, for short) with the same parameters as $\mathcal{U}_{5}$.

To summarize, we state the following.
Proposition 2.8 Let $\Gamma$ be a triangular $\operatorname{EGQ}(3,3)$ such that $\Gamma(u) \cong W(3)$ for some $u \in \Gamma$. Then $\Gamma(v) \cong W(3)$ for any $v \in \Gamma$. Moreover, $\Gamma$ is an SRG with the same parameters as $\mathcal{U}_{5}$, and for any distance two pair of vertices $x$, $y$ there exist two more vertices $w=w_{x y}, w^{\prime}=w_{x y}^{\prime}$ such that $\Gamma(x, y)=\Gamma(x, w)=\Gamma\left(x, w^{\prime}\right)$.

Using the above mentioned quadruples of points of $\Gamma$, we may define the structure of a partial linear space $\mathcal{L}$ of line size 4 on $\Gamma$. The idea to consider $\mathcal{L}$ is due to Hans Cuypers [7].

To complete the current case it suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}$ is a (finite) locally GQ with affine planes. This means the following. Consider the set of minimal-byinclusion subspaces generated by the pairs of intersecting lines of $\mathcal{L}$ (such subspaces are usually called planes). We require all the planes which are linear spaces to be affine planes. Now the incidence system of lines and affine planes through a given point should be isomorphic to a (finite) GQ.

Proposition 2.9 Let $\Gamma$ be a triangular $\mathrm{EGQ}(3,3)$ such that $\Gamma(u) \cong W(3)$ for some u. Then $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)$ is a locally $\mathrm{GQ}(4,2)$ with affine planes, and therefore $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{U}_{5}$.

Proof. Choose $w \in \Gamma$. Let $l=w u, m=w v$ be two distinct lines of $\mathcal{L}$ on $w$ such that $u$ and $v$ are nonadjacent, and let $p(l), p(m)$ be the corresponding isotropic points (cf. Proposition 2.1) of $T(\Gamma(w))$.

Let $x \in l, y \in m$ such that $x$ and $y$ are not equal to $w$. Since $x$ and $y$ lie at distance 2 in $\Gamma$, there is a line through them. Where are the other points, say $z$, on this line? Clearly $z \neq w$. We claim that $z \notin \Gamma(w)$, as well. Suppose it is false. Then by Proposition $2.1 z$ is adjacent to some vertex $t$ of $\Gamma(w, x)$. Since $\Gamma(t)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ and $x z$ is a line of this subspace, we have $y \in \Gamma(t)$, a contradiction to $\Gamma(w, x, y)=\emptyset$. So $z \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$, moreover $\Gamma(w, x, z)=\Gamma(w, y, z)=\emptyset$. Hence $p(z)$ belongs to the totally isotropic line of $T(w)$ generated by $p(x)$ and $p(y)$. We have shown that all the points in the subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by $l$ and $m$ and distinct from $w$ belong to the set $\Pi=\left\{t \in \Gamma_{2}(w) \mid p(t) \perp p(x), p(t) \perp p(y)\right\}$. Clearly, $\Pi$ generates a linear space on 16 points with line size 4 , that is the affine plane of order 4. On the other hand, $l$ and $m$ generate a subspace of $\langle\Pi\rangle$. But any two lines in this plane generate it. So $\langle l, m\rangle \cong A G(2,4)$.

It is easy to check that a pair of lines through $w$ corresponding to the pair of nonorthogonal isotropic points of $T(\Gamma(w))$ does not generate a linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}$. Thus the only planes of $\mathcal{L}$ are the affine ones. Moreover, the planes on $w$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the totally isotropic lines of $T(\Gamma(w))$. So $\mathcal{L}$ is a locally GQ $(4,2)$ with affine planes.

The rest of the proof is straightforward and consists of implementation of the corresponding classification result [8], which says that the locally (finite) GQ with affine planes are in one-to-one correspondence with the standard quotients of the corresponding affine polar spaces, see [5, 9]. It is easy to deduce from $[5,8]$ that our object is the desired $\mathcal{U}_{5}$.

Remark. Alternatively, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}$ is a generalized Fischer space, and then apply their classification. A generalized Fischer space is a connected partial linear space such that each of its planes is either affine or dual affine. Also, we know that there are only finitely many lines (points) on a given point (resp. line) and the cocollinearity graph of $\mathcal{L}$ (that is, $\Gamma$ ) is connected. Such spaces are called finite and irreducible. Let us prove that $\mathcal{L}$ is a generalized Fischer space. It was shown above that if $l=w u, m=w v$ are two distinct lines on $w$ such that $u$ and $v$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma$, then $\langle l, m\rangle \cong A G(2,4)$. Thus it suffices to prove that if $u$ and $v$ are adjacent, then $\langle l, m\rangle \cong A G^{*}(2,3)$. Indeed, in this case we may pick $t \in \Gamma(w, u, v)$ and consider $\Gamma(t)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\langle l, m\rangle \cap \Gamma(t)$ is isomorphic to $A G^{*}(2,3)$, so is $\langle l, m\rangle$.

Now it easily follows from the classification of finite, irreducible Fischer spaces given in $[6,10]$ that $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{U}_{5}$.

### 2.3 The remaining case

Here we assume that $\Gamma(u) \cong Q_{4}(3)$ for any point $u$ of $\Gamma$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\Gamma$ has distinct $\mu$-graphs, that is, if $\Gamma(x, y)=\Gamma(x, z)$, where $y$ and $z$ both at distance two from $x$, then $y=z$.

We claim that hyperovals of type 5 must appear as $\mu$-graphs of EGQ. Assume to the contrary that all the $\mu$-graphs are arising from hyperovals of type 6 . By a standard counting argument, there must be 90 such $\mu$-graphs. It follows from

Proposition 2.2 that there are exactly $24 \mu$-graphs intersecting the given one in $2 K_{2}$, and all with such intersection must be taken from the set $X$ of type 6 hyperovals. So we may define an $O_{5}(3)$-invariant graph on $X$ of valence 24, two vertices being adjacent if the corresponding hyperovals intersect in $2 K_{2}$. A union of connected components of this graph must be of size 90 . But the maximal possible size of the blocks of imprimitivity of $O_{5}(3)$ on $X$ is 10 . This implies a contradiction, since the valence of $X$ is greater than 10 . We are done.

Finally, we prove that, to the contrary, hyperovals of type 5 cannot appear as $\mu$-graphs of EGQ. We need one more statement rectifying the embedding of a type 5 hyperoval $\Phi$ in $\Delta$.

Lemma 2.10 Let $\Phi \subset \Delta, X$ be the set of vertices of $\Delta$ outside $\Phi$ such that each vertex $x \in X$ satisfies $\Delta(x) \cap \Phi \cong K_{2}$. $\Phi$ is isomorphic to the two-fold antipodal cover of $K_{5}$ with the antipodal equivalence relation $\phi=\phi_{\Phi} . X$ is a connected graph of valence $6, x, y \in X$ being adjacent if $\Delta(y) \cap \Phi \cap \phi(\Delta(x) \cap \Phi)$ is of size one.

Now assume that given $u \in \Gamma, v \in \Gamma_{2}(u)$, we have $\Gamma(u, v)$ of type 5 . Let $W \subset$ $\Gamma(v) \backslash \Gamma(u)$ such that $\Gamma(u, v, w) \cong K_{2}$ for any $w \in W$. The subgraph $W$ is isomorphic to $X$ defined in Lemma 2.10. Then $\Gamma(u, w)$ is of type 5, by Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 The set $Y$ of type 5 hyperovals of $\Gamma(u)$ intersecting $\Gamma(u, v)$ in $K_{2}$ is of size 60 (Proposition 2.2), and the stabilizer of $\Gamma(u, v)$ in $O_{5}(3)$ acts transitively on $Y$. So in our attempt to select 20 of them we could start from any element of $Y$. Let $\Phi_{1}$ be such a hyperoval. We try to form a graph isomorphic to the graph $X$ defined in Lemma 2.10. There are exactly 6 elements $\Phi^{\prime}$ of $Y$ such that $\Phi^{\prime} \cap \Gamma(u, v) \cap \phi_{\Gamma(u, v)}\left(\Phi_{1} \cap \Gamma(u, v)\right) \cong K_{1}$ and $\Phi_{1} \cap \Phi^{\prime} \cong K_{2}$ or $2 K_{2}$ (the latter is a necessary condition for the vertices in $W$ associated with $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ to be adjacent, see Proposition 2.2, for the former see Lemma 2.10). Since $X$ is of valence 6, we are forced to pick up all the 6 possible elements of $Y$. Proceeding in this manner (i.e. considering $\Phi^{\prime}$ instead of $\Phi_{1}$, etc.), we however do not end up with 20 elements of $Y$, but with all 60 of them. Therefore it is impossible to assign to each vertex in $W$ a hyperoval from $Y$, a contradiction.

The consideration of the case $\Delta \cong Q_{4}(3)$ is complete. Hence the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

## Note added in proof.

A step towards giving a computer-free proof of the result of this paper was made in A.A.Makhnev. Finite locally GQ(3,3)-graphs (in Russian). Siberian Math. J. 35(1994) 1314-1324.
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