

A NOTE ON A PROBLEM OF SAFF AND VARGA
CONCERNING THE DEGREE
OF COMPLEX RATIONAL APPROXIMATION
TO REAL VALUED FUNCTIONS

BY S. W. ELLACOTT¹

Saff and Varga [1977, 1978] discovered the surprising fact that given a real valued function on $[-1, 1]$ one can sometimes obtain a better rational approximation to f of a given type by allowing complex coefficients than by restricting the coefficients to be real. In this note we point out a connection between this result and the "Trefethen effect" (Trefethen [1981a, 1981b]) of near circular error curves for best approximation on the unit disc, which as Trefethen has shown is in turn closely related to the Carathéodory-Fejér Theorem and its generalisation to meromorphic approximation due to Takagi.

We consider a compact simply connected set Ω in the complex plane which is symmetric about the real line (i.e. $z \in \Omega$ iff $\bar{z} \in \Omega$). We assume further that the complement of Ω is simply connected in the extended plane and that Ω is a Faber domain (see e.g. Gaier [1980]). Finally if ψ denotes the conformal mapping of the complement of the unit disc D onto the complement of Ω such that $\psi(\infty) = \infty$, $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \psi(w)/w$ finite real and positive, we assume for simplicity that ψ can be extended continuously to the boundary of the disc. All these properties are, of course, satisfied for the main case of interest here, $\Omega = I = [-1, 1]$.

$\mathfrak{A}(\Omega)$ will denote the set of functions continuous on Ω and analytic at interior points, and $\mathfrak{A}^R(\Omega)$ the subspace of functions satisfying $f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$. $\mathfrak{T}: \mathfrak{A}(D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}(\Omega)$ is the Faber transform (Gaier [1980]); $E_{mn}^C(f; \Omega)$ the error of best (Chebyshev) approximation to $f \in \mathfrak{A}^R(\Omega)$ from $\mathfrak{R}_{mn}(\Omega)$, the set of type (m, n) rational functions with no poles in Ω , and $E_{mn}^R(f; \Omega)$ the corresponding error of best approximation from the subset of rational approximations with real coefficients.

Saff and Varga [1977, 1978] observed that it is possible to find $f \in \mathfrak{A}^R(I)$ for which $E_{nn}^C(f; I) < E_{nn}^R(f; I)$ (e.g. $f(x) = x^2$, $n = 1$). Some authors attacked the case $n = 1$ by largely geometric arguments and showed among other things

Received by the editors July 14, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30E10; Secondary 41A20, 41A25, 41A50.

¹Author partially supported by a Royal Society European Visiting Fellowship.

that for certain classes of functions $E_{11}^C(f; I)/E_{11}^R(f; I) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (Ruttan [1977]; Bennet, Rudnick and Vaaler, [1979]). We consider more generally $m \geq n - 1$ and obtain a lower bound for $E_{mn}^C(f; \Omega)/E_{mn}^R(f; \Omega)$ which, if $m \geq n$ and Ω is convex, is for many functions very close to $\frac{1}{2}$.

We introduce the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{mn}(D)$ which may be described as those functions of the form

$$r(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=-\infty}^m a_j z^j}{\sum_{j=0}^n b_j z^j}$$

where the denominator has no zeros in D and the numerator represents a function bounded on $S = \{z \mid |z| = 1\}$ and analytic on the complement of D . Define $\tilde{E}_{mn}^R(f; S)$ and $\tilde{E}_{mn}^C(f; S)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{A}^R(D)$ in the obvious way.

We now list some simple relationships which are either obvious or straightforward consequences of results given in Trefethen [1981b], Gutknecht [1981] or Ellacott [1981]. Here $g \in \mathfrak{A}^R(D)$.

(i) $\tilde{E}_{mn}^R(g; S) = \tilde{E}_{mn}^C(g; S)$. From now on we drop the superscripts R and C when referring to this error,

(ii) $\mathfrak{I}(g) \in \mathfrak{A}^R(\Omega)$.

(iii) $\tilde{E}_{mn}(g; S) \leq E_{mn}^C(g; D) \leq E_{mn}^R(g; D)$ and for many "reasonable" functions g the ratio $\rho(g) = E_{mn}^R(g; D)/\tilde{E}_{mn}(g; S)/E_{mn}^R(g; D)$ is very close to 1.

In particular equality holds if g is a polynomial of degree $m + 1$.

(iv) If $m \geq n - 1$, $\tilde{E}_{mn}(g; S) \leq E_{mn}^C(\mathfrak{I}(g); \Omega) \leq E_{mn}^R(\mathfrak{I}(g); \Omega)$.

(v) If $m \geq n - 1$, $E_{mn}^P(\mathfrak{I}(g); \Omega) \leq TE_{mn}^P(g; D)$, where $P = R$ or C and $T = \|\mathfrak{I}\|$ for $m = n - 1$ or $\|\mathfrak{I}_0\|$ for $m \geq n$, where \mathfrak{I}_0 is defined by $\mathfrak{I}_0(g) = \mathfrak{I}(g) + g(0)$. For convex Ω , $\|\mathfrak{I}\| \leq 5$ and $\|\mathfrak{I}_0\| \leq 2$.

Unfortunately it is not entirely clear at present what a "reasonable" function in the sense of (iii) is but it appears to have some connection with the regularity of the Taylor coefficients. (Trefethen [1981b], has some asymptotic results and some numerical computations for e^z , and further numerical results are given in Ellacott [1981]). Not every $f \in \mathfrak{A}^R(\Omega)$ is of the form $\mathfrak{I}(g)$, $g \in \mathfrak{A}^R(D)$, but for any function which can be so expressed (e.g. any polynomial or any function with a uniformly and absolutely convergent Faber series) and for $m \geq n - 1$ (iv) and (v) yield immediately

$$\frac{E_{mn}^C(f; \Omega)}{E_{mn}^R(f; \Omega)} \geq \frac{\rho(\mathfrak{I}^{-1}(f))}{T}.$$

In particular,

(1a) $E_{n-1, n}^C(f; I)/E_{n-1, n}^R(f; I) \geq \rho(\mathfrak{I}^{-1}(f))/5$.

(1b) $E_{mn}^C(f; I)/E_{mn}^R(f; I) \geq \rho(\mathfrak{I}^{-1}(f))/2, m \geq n$.

If f is a polynomial of degree $m + 1$ we have

$$(2) E_{mn}^C(f; I)/E_{mn}^R(f; I) \geq 1/2, m \geq n,$$

and for "reasonable" functions f (i.e. functions for which $\mathfrak{I}^{-1}(f)$ is reasonable in the sense of (iii)), we would not expect the lower bounds given by (1a) and (1b) to be much less than $1/5$ or $1/2$ respectively.

Similar considerations hold for the problem of approximation by the real parts of rational functions as considered by Wulbert [1978].

We conclude with three questions suggested by these remarks. Firstly, and most obviously, is $1/2$ actually a lower bound for $E_{mn}^C(f; I)/E_{mn}^R(f; I)$ for $f \in \mathfrak{A}^R(I)$ and $m \geq n$, and, if so, is it sharp?

The second question is related: Are there any functions in $\mathfrak{A}^R(D)$ for which $E_{mn}^C(f; D) < E_{mn}^R(f; D)$? If so, they are likely to be more difficult to find than for I ; (2) shows that $f(z) = z^2$ with $m = n = 1$ will not do. The third question is more general: Certain asymptotic results are known about the behaviour of Faber polynomials as the degree $\rightarrow \infty$ (Pommerenke [1964, 1967]). Can these be applied to discuss the asymptotic behaviour of $E_{mn}^C(f; \Omega)/E_{mn}^R(f; \Omega)$

REFERENCES

- [1979] C. Bennet, K. Rudnick and J. Vaaler, *Best uniform approximation by linear fractional transformations*, J. Approximation Theory, **25**, 204–224.
- [1981] S. Ellacott, *On the Faber transform and efficient numerical rational approximation*, Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, ETH Zürich, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. (submitted)
- [1980] D. Gaier, *Vorlesungen über Approximation im Komplexen*, Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [1981] M. Gutknecht, *Rational CF approximation on a disc, on a circle and on an interval*, Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik, ETH Zürich (in preparation).
- [1964] Ch. Pommerenke, *Ueber die Faberschen Polynome Schlichter Funktionen*, Math. Z. **85**, 197–208.
- [1967] ———, *Konforme Abbildung und Fekete-Punkte*, Math. Z. **89**, 422–438.
- [1977] A. Ruttan, *On the cardinality of a set of best complex rational approximations to a real function*, Padé and Rational Approximation (E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga, eds.), Academic Press, New York, pp. 303–319.
- [1977] E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga, *Nonuniqueness of best approximating complex rational functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83**, 375–377.
- [1978] ———, *Nonuniqueness of best complex rational approximations to real functions on real intervals*, J. Approximation Theory, **23**, 78–85.
- [1981a] L. Trefethen, *Near circularity of the error curve in complex Chebyshev approximation*, J. Approximation Theory, **31**, 344–366.
- [1981b] ———, *Rational Chebyshev approximation on the unit disc*, Numer. Math. **37**, 297–320.
- [1979] L. Trefethen, *Near circularity of the error curve in complex Chebyshev approximation*, Computer Science Dept., Stanford Univ., J. Approximation Theory (to appear).
- [1980] ———, *Rational Chebyshev approximation on the unit disc*, Stanford NAP manuscript NA-80-08, Stanford Univ., California, Numer. Math. (submitted).
- [1978] D. Wulbert, *The rational approximation of real functions*, Amer. J. Math. vol. **100**, 1281–1315.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRIGHTON POLYTECHNIC, BRIGHTON,
ENGLAND