

EXISTENCE AND APPLICATIONS OF REMOTE POINTS

BY ERIC K. VAN DOUWEN

Communicated by P. T. Church, May 23, 1977

All spaces are completely regular, X^ is $\beta X - X$.*

A point p of X^* will be called a *remote point* of X if $p \notin \text{Cl}_{\beta X} D$ for every nowhere dense $D \subseteq X$. Fine and Gillman, [FG] showed that \mathbf{Q} , the rationals, and \mathbf{R} , the reals, have remote points if CH holds; their proof shows that X has remote points if X is separable and not pseudo-compact. We prove the existence of remote points without assuming additional set theoretic axioms, under slightly stronger conditions on X .

Recall that a π -base (or *pseudo-base*) for a space X is a family \mathcal{B} of non-empty open sets such that every nonempty open set of X includes a member of \mathcal{B} . The π -weight of a space is the smallest cardinality for a π -base.

THEOREM A. *If X is a nonpseudocompact space with countable π -weight, then X has 2^{\aleph_1} remote points.*

I originally proved this only for $X = \mathbf{Q}$, improving a technique from [vD₁]. I am indebted to Mary Ellen Rudin for showing me how to make my ideas work for $X = \mathbf{R}$. The above theorem is a further improvement.

For applications we need a “pointed” version of extremal disconnectedness.

DEFINITION. *If $p \in X$, then X is called *extremally disconnected at p* if for all disjoint open $U, V \subseteq X$, $p \notin \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}$.*

One can show that βX is extremally disconnected at every remote point of X . Without much effort one deduces the following theorem. (X is *nowhere locally compact* if no point has a compact neighborhood.)

THEOREM B. *Let X be a nonpseudocompact space with countable π -weight.*

- (a) *βX is extremally disconnected at some point of X^* .*
- (b) *If X is nowhere locally compact, X^* is extremally disconnected at some point.*

Frolík, [F], proved that X^* is not homogeneous if X is not pseudocompact. Theorem B can be used to show why X^* is not homogeneous, for suitable X .

THEOREM C. *Let X be a nowhere locally compact nonpseudocompact separable first countable space. Then X^* is not homogeneous because X^* is extremally disconnected at some but not at all points.*

This applies if e.g. $X = \mathbf{Q}$, or $X = \{\text{irrationals}\}$, or $X = \{\text{Sorgenfrey line}\}$. As another application we show that certain spaces cannot be factored as a product of spaces without isolated points. The key observation is that $X \times Y$ is not extremally disconnected at any point if X and Y are separable spaces without isolated points.

THEOREM D. *Y is not the product of two spaces without isolated points in each of the following two cases:*

- (a) $Y = \beta X$ for some nonpseudocompact X with countable π -weight;
- (b) $Y = X^*$ for some nowhere locally compact nonpseudocompact X with countable π -weight.

(Actually one does not need the condition on the π -weight in (a), see [vD₂], but I do not know if it can be avoided in (b).)

COROLLARY. \mathbf{Q}^* and $(\mathbf{Q}^*)^\kappa$ are not homeomorphic, for $\kappa \geq 2$.

I do not know if $(\mathbf{Q}^*)^2$ and $(\mathbf{Q}^*)^3$ are nonhomeomorphic.

As yet another application we mention the following curiosities.

EXAMPLE. *There is an extremally disconnected space which has a connected compactification.*

Indeed, if X is any connected nowhere locally compact separable metrizable space, like \mathbf{R}^ω , then the subspace E of all points at which the connected space βX is extremally disconnected turns out to be dense in βX , but then E is extremally disconnected.

For the other application, recall that a space is called ω -bounded if every countable subset has compact closure.

THEOREM E. \mathbf{R}^* is the union of three pairwise disjoint dense ω -bounded subspaces.

If one calls a point p of X^* a *far point* of X if $p \notin \text{Cl}_{\beta X} D$ for every closed discrete subset D of X , [vD₁], then the three subspaces are the remote points of \mathbf{R} , the far points of \mathbf{R} which are not remote and the points of \mathbf{R}^* which are not even far. Under CH there is a family of 2^c such subspaces, [W].

ADDED IN PROOF: If κ and λ are cardinals with $\kappa > \lambda \geq 1$, then $(\mathbf{Q}^*)^\kappa$ and $(\mathbf{Q}^*)^\lambda$ are not homeomorphic. The nontrivial proof will appear elsewhere.

REFERENCES

- [vD₁] E. K. van Douwen, *Why certain Čech-Stone remainders are not homogeneous*, Colloq. Math. (to appear).
- [vD₂] ———, *When $\Pi\beta$ and $\beta\Pi$ are homeomorphic* (to appear).
- [vD₃] ———, *Remote points* (in preparation).
- [F] Z. Frolik, *Non-homogeneity of $\beta P - P$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **8** (1967), 705–709.

[FG] N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Remote points in βR* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 29–36. MR 26 #732.

[W] R. G. Woods, *Some \aleph_0 -bounded subsets of Stone-Čech compactifications*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 250–256. MR 43 #3997.

INSTITUTE FOR MEDICINE AND MATHEMATICS, MATHEMATICS BUILDING,
OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO 45701