

## REPRESENTATION OF $H^p$ -FUNCTIONS

BY D. J. PATIL

Communicated by R. C. Buck, February 7, 1972

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $E$  be a set of positive measure on the unit circle. Let  $f \in H^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) and  $g$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $E$ . It is shown that functions  $g_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , can be constructed from  $g$  so that  $g_\lambda \rightarrow f$ . We also characterize those functions  $g$  on  $E$  which are restrictions of functions in  $H^p$  ( $1 < p \leq \infty$ ).

In the following, the space  $H^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) will, according to the context, be either the Hardy class of analytic functions in the open unit disc  $D$  or the space of the corresponding boundary value functions, viz the subspace of "analytic" functions in  $L^p(C)$ ,  $C$  being the unit circle. If  $E \subset C$  has positive measure then it is well known (see [3]) that a function in  $H^p$  cannot vanish on  $E$  without being identically zero. Thus, theoretically at least,  $f \in H^p$  is uniquely "determined" by its values on  $E$ . In the present work we address ourselves to the problem of recovering functions in  $H^p$  from their restrictions to  $E$ . Theorem I gives an explicit constructive solution to this problem. The allied problem of characterizing the restrictions to  $E$  of functions in  $H^p$  ( $1 < p \leq \infty$ ) is solved in Theorem II. To the best of our knowledge, the only known results relating to these problems are due to the author [4] where the case  $p = 2$  is dealt with.

**THEOREM I.** Let  $E \subset C$  with  $m(E) > 0$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in H^p$  and that  $g$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $E$ . For each  $\lambda > 0$  define analytic functions  $h_\lambda, g_\lambda$  on  $D$  by

$$h_\lambda(z) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4\pi} \log(1 + \lambda) \int_E \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\theta\right\}, \quad z \in D,$$

$$g_\lambda(z) = \lambda h_\lambda(z) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_E \frac{\bar{h}_\lambda(w)g(w) dw}{w - z}, \quad z \in D.$$

Then as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g_\lambda \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ . Moreover for  $1 < p < \infty$  we also have  $\|g_\lambda - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

**THEOREM II.** Let  $E \subset C$  with  $0 < m(E) < m(C)$ . For  $g \in L^1(E)$  let  $g_\lambda$  be as in Theorem I. (a) If  $1 < p < \infty$  then a function  $g \in L^p(E)$  is the restriction to  $E$  of some  $f \in H^p$  if and only if  $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \|g_\lambda\|_p < \infty$ . (b) A function  $g \in L^\infty(E)$  is the restriction to  $E$  of some  $f \in H^\infty$  if and only if  $\sup_{p > 1} \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|g_\lambda\|_p < \infty$ .

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 30A78, 30A88; Secondary 46E20, 30A86, 47B35.

The proof of Theorem I will be based on a series of lemmas. First we recall some elementary properties of Toeplitz operators on  $H^p$  spaces (for details in the special case  $p = 2$  see [1], and for the general case  $1 < p < \infty$  see [5]). Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . For each  $\varphi \in L^\infty$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is defined by  $T_\varphi f = P(\varphi f)$ ,  $f \in H^p$ , where  $P$  is the natural projection of  $L^p$  onto  $H^p$ . We need the following facts: (i)  $\|T_\varphi\| \leq C_p \|\varphi\|_\infty$ , (ii) if  $\varphi, \psi \in L^\infty$  and if either  $\bar{\varphi} \in H^\infty$  or  $\psi \in H^\infty$ , then  $T_{\varphi\psi} = T_\varphi T_\psi$ . This latter fact immediately yields

LEMMA 1. *If  $h, 1/h \in H^\infty$  and  $\varphi = |h|^{-2}$ , then the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi$  is invertible and  $T_\varphi^{-1} = T_h T_{\bar{h}}$ .*

PROOF.  $T_h T_{\bar{h}} T_\varphi = T_h (T_{\bar{h}} T_{1/\bar{h}}) T_{1/h} = T_h T_{1/h} = I$ , etc.

Let  $\chi_E$  be the characteristic function of the set  $E$  and let for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\varphi_\lambda = 1 + \lambda\chi_E$ . Then the function  $h_\lambda$  defined in Theorem I satisfies,  $1/\varphi_\lambda = h_\lambda \bar{h}_\lambda$ . Also  $h_\lambda, 1/h_\lambda \in H^\infty$ . Thus by Lemma 1, we have

LEMMA 2.  *$T_{\varphi_\lambda}$  is invertible and  $T_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} = T_{h_\lambda} T_{\bar{h}_\lambda}$ .*

LEMMA 3. *Define for each  $a \in D$ ,  $e_a(z) = 1/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ ,  $z \in D$ . Then  $e_a \in H^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and if  $T_{\varphi_\lambda}$  is treated as an operator on  $H^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), we have  $T_{\varphi_\lambda}^{-1} e_a = h_\lambda(a) \bar{h}_\lambda e_a$ .*

PROOF. For each  $g \in H^q$  ( $q = p/(p - 1)$ ), we have  $(T_{\bar{h}_\lambda} e_a, g) = (e_a, h_\lambda g) = h_\lambda(a) \bar{g}(a) = h_\lambda(a) (e_a, g)$ . Thus  $T_{\bar{h}_\lambda} e_a = h_\lambda(a) e_a$ . An appeal to Lemma 2 finishes the proof.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $D$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|h_\lambda(a) \bar{h}_\lambda e_a\|_p \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $a \in K$ .*

PROOF. We note that  $\|h_\lambda\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $|h_\lambda(a)| \leq (1 + \lambda)^{-\alpha}$  where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha$  depends on  $|a|$ .

Let now  $S$  be the Toeplitz operator on  $H^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) corresponding to the characteristic function  $\chi_E$  of  $E$ . Then since  $I + \lambda S = T_{\varphi_\lambda}$ ,  $(I + \lambda S)^{-1}$  exists by Lemma 2. Also by Lemma 4,  $\|(I + \lambda S)^{-1} e_a\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 2 and fact (i) about Toeplitz operators we also have

$$\|(I + \lambda S)^{-1}\| = \|T_{h_\lambda} T_{\bar{h}_\lambda}\| \leq \|h_\lambda\|_\infty^2 C_p^2 \leq C_p^2.$$

Noting that  $\{e_a : a \in D\}$  is a fundamental set in  $H^p$ , we therefore obtain (cf., e.g., [3, p. 55]) that  $\|(I + \lambda S)^{-1} f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  for every  $f \in H^p$ . Noting that for  $f \in H^p$ ,  $(I + \lambda S)^{-1} f = f - \lambda(I + \lambda S)^{-1} S f$ , we get

LEMMA 5. *If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $f \in H^p$ , then as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\|\lambda(I + \lambda S)^{-1} S f - f\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof of Theorem I (for  $1 < p < \infty$ ) will be complete if we show that  $g_\lambda = \lambda(I + \lambda S)^{-1}Sf$ . But this is routine: For  $z \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(I + \lambda S)^{-1}Sf, e_z) &= \lambda(Sf, (I + \lambda S)^{-1}e_z) = \lambda(\chi_E f, (I + \lambda S)^{-1}e_z) \\ &= \lambda(f, (I + \lambda S)^{-1}e_z)_E = \lambda(f, \bar{h}_\lambda(z)h_\lambda e_z)_E. \end{aligned}$$

In the above chain of equalities, the first is a consequence of the fact that  $(I + \lambda S)^*$  is the operator  $(I + \lambda S)$  on  $H^q$  ( $q = p/(p - 1)$ ) and the last results from Lemma 3. The notation  $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$  denotes the "inner product" over the set  $E$ . Now it can be readily checked that  $\lambda(f, \bar{h}_\lambda(z)h_\lambda e_z)_E$  is the same as the defining expression for  $g_\lambda(z)$ .

The case  $p = \infty$  is easy. If  $f \in H^\infty$  then since  $f$  is also in  $H^2$ , by the preceding,  $\|g_\lambda - f\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $g_\lambda \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$ .

Turning to the case  $p = 1$ , let  $f \in H^1$ . For  $0 < r < 1$ , define  $f_r$  by  $f_r(e^{i\theta}) = f(re^{i\theta})$ . Then as is well known,  $\|f_r\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$  and  $\|f_r - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . Let us define, for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $f_{r,\lambda}$  by

$$f_{r,\lambda}(z) = \lambda h_\lambda(z) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_E \frac{\bar{h}_\lambda(w)f_r(w)}{w - z} dw, \quad z \in D.$$

Then we see that, for every compact set  $K \subset D$ , the following statements hold uniformly in  $K$ : (1)  $f_{r,\lambda} \rightarrow g_\lambda$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ , (2)  $f_r \rightarrow f$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$ , (3)  $f_{r,\lambda} \rightarrow f_r$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ . The less trivial of these statements, viz. (3), follows because  $f_r \in H^2$  and the case  $p = 2$  of the theorem applies. If we show further that the convergence in (3) is also uniform for  $r$  in  $(0, 1)$  then we can conclude that  $g_\lambda \rightarrow f$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $K$  and the proof of the theorem for  $p = 1$  will be complete. For this purpose, remembering that  $f \in H^2$  we have for each  $z \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,\lambda}(z) - f_r(z) &= (\lambda(I + \lambda S)^{-1}Sf_r - f_r, e_z) = ((I + \lambda S)^{-1}f_r, e_z) \\ &= (f_r, (I + \lambda S)^{-1}e_z) = (f_r, \bar{h}_\lambda(z)h_\lambda e_z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$|f_r(z) - f_{r,\lambda}(z)| \leq \|f_r\|_1 \|\bar{h}_\lambda(z)h_\lambda e_z\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_1 \|\bar{h}_\lambda(z)h_\lambda e_z\|_\infty.$$

The last term is independent of  $r$  and Lemma 4 ( $p = \infty$ ) does the job.

**PROOF OF THEOREM II.** The "only if" parts are evident from Theorem I. As for the "if" part in (a), the boundedness of  $\{\|g_\lambda\|_p\}$  together with the weak\* compactness of closed balls in  $H^p$  provide us with a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $g_{\lambda_n}$  converges weak\* to some  $f$  in  $H^p$ . Let  $g_1 \in L^p(C)$  be defined by setting  $g_1 = g$  on  $E$  and  $g_1 = 0$  otherwise. Denote  $Pg_1$  by  $\hat{g}$ . From the discussion following Lemma 5, it can be seen that

$$g_\lambda = \lambda(I + \lambda S)^{-1}\hat{g}.$$

Thus for every  $k \in H^q$  ( $q = p/(p-1)$ ),  $(\lambda_n(I + \lambda_n S)^{-1} S \tilde{g}, k) = (g_{\lambda_n}, Sk) \rightarrow (f, Sk) = (Sf, k)$ , while by Lemma 5, the first of these inner products converges to  $(\tilde{g}, k)$ . Hence  $\tilde{g} = Sf$ . This means that the Fourier coefficients  $((f - g_1)\chi_E)^\wedge(n)$  are zero for  $n \geq 0$ . In other words,  $(f - \tilde{g}_1)\chi_E \in H^p$ . Since  $m(C \setminus E) > 0$ , we must have  $f = g_1$  on  $E$ .

For proving the "if" part in (b) we need to make just two observations. First,  $g \in L^\infty(E)$  implies  $g_\lambda \in H^p$  for each  $p < \infty$  and hence part (a) gives  $f$  belonging to  $H^p$  for all  $p < \infty$  and such that  $g$  is the restriction to  $E$  of  $f$ . Secondly,  $\|g_\lambda\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|f\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_\infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . The details are left to the reader.

REMARKS. 1. In the proof of Theorem I, we did not use the F. & M. Riesz Theorem. We thus obtain a new proof of the statement: if  $f \in H^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ),  $f = 0$  on  $E$ ,  $m(E) > 0$ , then  $f = 0$ .

2. Theorem I points out a way which enables us to draw conclusions about the properties of a holomorphic function from the knowledge of its values on an arc. It is possible to obtain results parallel to the classical Cauchy theory where we now have integrals over a curve which may not be closed. Details of these and other related results will be published elsewhere.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Brown and P. R. Halmos, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **213** (1963/64), 89–102. MR **28** # 3350; **30**, 1205.
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR **22** # 8302.
3. P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
4. D. J. Patil, *Recapturing  $H^2$ -functions from boundary values on small sets* (unpublished).
5. H. Widom, *Toeplitz operators on  $H_p$* , Pacific J. Math. **19** (1966), 573–582. MR **34** # 1859.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53201