- 3. J. W. Milnor, On the cobordism ring  $\Omega^*$ , and a complex analogue. I, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 505-521.
- 4. S. P. Novikov, Homotopy properties of Thom complexes, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 57 (99) (1962), 407-442. (Russian)
- 5. R. Thom, Quelques proprietés globales des variétés différentiable, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954), 17-86.
- 6. G. W. Whitehead, Generalized homology theories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1962), 227-283.

Institute for Advanced Study and University of Virginia

## A NOTE ON APPROXIMATION BY BERNSTEIN POLYNOMIALS

BY B. BAJŠANSKI AND R. BOJANIĆ Communicated by A. Zygmund, May 11, 1964

Let f be continuous on [0, 1] and  $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$  and let  $B_n f$  be the Bernstein polynomial of f of degree n, defined by

$$B_n f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n f\left(\frac{\nu}{n}\right) {n \choose \nu} x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n-\nu}.$$

In view of a result of E. V. Voronovskaya, which states that the boundedness of f on [0, 1] and the existence of f'' at a point  $x \in [0, 1]$  implies that

$$B_n f(x) - f(x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{2n} f''(x) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \qquad (n \to \infty),$$

it has been conjectured [1, p. 22] that the relation

$$B_n f(x) - f(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

cannot be true for all  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$  unless f is a linear function on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . The following theorem related to this conjecture was proved by K. de Leeuw [2]:

If f is continuous on [0, 1] and

$$B_n f(x) - f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

holds uniformly on every subinterval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  of [0, 1] and if in addition

$$B_n f(x) - f(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

at almost all points of  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , then f is linear on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

We shall give here a simple proof of the original conjecture.

THEOREM. If f is continuous on [0, 1] and

(1) 
$$B_n f(x) - f(x) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

holds for each fixed  $x \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , then f is a linear function on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

PROOF. To make the argument as transparent as possible we list first the properties of Bernstein polynomials used in this proof.

- (i)  $B_n(f+g) = B_n f + B_n g$  and if  $f \le g$  on [0, 1] then  $B_n f \le B_n g$ .
- (ii) If h is a linear function on [0, 1], then  $B_n h = h$ .
- (iii) If  $Q(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C$ , then  $B_nQ(x) Q(x) = A(x(1-x)/n)$ .
- (iv) If g is bounded on [0, 1] and g=0 on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , then  $B_ng(x) = o(1/n)$   $(n \to \infty)$  for each fixed x in the interior of  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . (Actually,  $B_nf(x) = O(e^{-\delta(x)n})$   $(n \to \infty)$ , with  $\delta(x) > 0$ , but the weaker property is sufficient.)

We need also the following lemma:

LEMMA. If f is continuous on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , vanishes at  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and has a positive maximum on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  then there is a quadratic polynomial  $Q(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C$  with A < 0 such that

(2) 
$$f(x) \leq Q(x)$$
 for all  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ 

and

(3) 
$$f(c) = Q(c)$$
 for some c in the interior of  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

This lemma is geometrically almost obvious. We can namely choose the parabola  $P(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C^*$  with A < 0 such that its arc over  $[\alpha, \beta]$  lies in the strip  $M \le y \le 3M/2$  where  $M = \max_{\alpha \le x \le \beta} f(x) > 0$ . If  $d = \min_{\alpha \le x \le \beta} (P(x) - f(x))$ , then the quadratic polynomial Q(x) = P(x) - d has the required properties.

To prove the theorem, suppose that f satisfies (1) for each  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ . By subtracting a suitable linear function and using (ii), if necessary, we may assume that  $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = 0$ . We have to show that f = 0 on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

Assume that the maximum of f on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  is positive. Then by the

preceding lemma we can find a polynomial Q satisfying (2) and (3). Since by (2)  $f(x) \leq Q(x)$ ,  $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , we can find a bounded function g on [0, 1] such that g = 0 on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and

$$f(x) \leq Q(x) + g(x)$$
 for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Applying (i) we get

$$B_n f(x) \leq B_n Q(x) + B_n g(x).$$

Putting here x = c and using (3) we get

$$B_n f(c) - f(c) \leq B_n Q(c) - Q(c) + B_n g(c).$$

Since c is in the interior of  $[\alpha, \beta]$  we have by (iv)  $B_n g(c) = o(1/n)$   $(n \to \infty)$ . Using this result and (iii) we obtain

$$B_n f(c) - f(c) \leq A \frac{c(1-c)}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (n \to \infty),$$

with A < 0, which is impossible by (1). Thus the maximum of f on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  cannot be positive.

Likewise, by considering -f instead of f, we see that the minimum of f on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  cannot be negative.

Thus, f = 0 on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , and the theorem is proved.

## REFERENCES

- 1. G. G. Lorentz, Bernstein polynomials, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
- 2. K. de Leeuw, On the degree of approximation by Bernstein polynomials, J. Analyse Math. 7 (1959), 89-104.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY