

INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS OBTAINED BY  
COMPOUNDING POLYNOMIALS\*

BY J. F. RITT

1. *Introduction.* We consider a sequence of polynomials  $P_n(z)$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where the degrees of the  $P_n$  do not exceed a fixed integer  $m$  and where each  $P_n$ , ordered in ascending powers of  $z$ , starts with the term  $z$ . We shall study the sequence of polynomials  $Q_n(z)$  defined by

$$(1) \quad Q_1(z) = P_1(z); \quad Q_{n+1}(z) = Q_n[P_{n+1}(z)], \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and also the sequence of polynomials  $R_n(z)$  defined by

$$(2) \quad R_1(z) = P_1(z); \quad R_{n+1}(z) = P_{n+1}[R_n(z)], \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

If the coefficients, after the first, in  $P_n$ , are sufficiently small, these sequences will converge to integral functions. For instance,  $\sin z$  can be obtained, in many ways, as a limit of a sequence (1). In what follows, our chief object will be to establish conditions under which the sequences converge to integral functions.

2. *The Sequence of  $Q_n(z)$ .* Let

$$P_n(z) = z + a_{n2}z^2 + \dots + a_{nm}z^m, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $m$  is an integer independent of  $n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let a convergent series of positive numbers,*

$$(3) \quad c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_n + \dots,$$

*exist such that  $|a_{ni}| < c_n$ , for every  $n$  and for  $i = 2, \dots, m$ . Then the sequence of polynomials  $Q_n(z)$  converges to an integral function, the convergence being uniform in every bounded domain.*

**PROOF.** For every  $n$ ,

$$(4) \quad U_n(z) = z + c_n(z^2 + \dots + z^m)$$

is a majorant of  $P_n(z)$ . Let

$$V_1 = U_1; \quad V_{n+1} = V_n(U_{n+1}), \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

---

\* Presented to the Society, April 14, 1933.

Then  $V_n$  is a majorant of  $Q_n$ . Also, if we let

$$\alpha_n = c_n(z^2 + \cdots + z^m),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n+1} - V_n &= V_n(z + \alpha_{n+1}) - V_n \\ &= \frac{dV_n}{dz} \alpha_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2V_n}{dz^2} \alpha_{n+1}^2 + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows easily that  $V_{n+1} - V_n$  is a majorant of  $Q_{n+1} - Q_n$ . For every positive  $z$ ,  $V_{n+1}(z) > V_n(z)$ . These considerations show that our theorem will be proved if we can show that the sequence of  $V_n$  converges for every positive  $z$ .

Let  $b$  be any positive number. Let

$$(5) \quad h = 2b + 4b^2 + \cdots + 2^{m-1}b^{m-1}.$$

Then the infinite product  $(1 + hc_1) \cdots (1 + hc_n) \cdots$  converges. Let  $p$  be a fixed integer such that

$$(6) \quad (1 + hc_{p+1})(1 + hc_{p+2}) \cdots < 2.$$

Let

$$W_1 = U_{p+1}; \quad W_{n+1} = W_n(U_{p+n+1}), \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

It will plainly suffice to show that the sequence of  $W_n$  converges for  $z=b$ . For any  $n$ , by (4) and (5),

$$U_{p+n}(b) < b(1 + hc_{p+n}),$$

so that, by (6),  $U_{p+n}(b) < 2b$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} U_{p+n-1}[U_{p+n}(b)] &= U_{p+n}(b)[1 + c_{p+n-1}(U_{p+n}(b) + \cdots)] \\ &< U_{p+n}(b)[1 + hc_{p+n-1}] \\ &< b(1 + hc_{p+n-1})(1 + hc_{p+n}), \end{aligned}$$

and the last quantity, by (6), is less than  $2b$ . Continuing in this fashion, we find that, for every  $n$ ,

$$W_n < b(1 + hc_{p+1}) \cdots (1 + hc_{p+n}) < 2b.$$

This shows that the  $W_n(b)$ , which increase with  $n$ , approach a limit. The theorem is proved.

That the condition placed on the  $P_n$  is critical with respect to the convergence of the  $Q_n$ , is seen on taking  $P_n = z + c_n z^m$  with

$c_n > 0$  and (3) divergent. The coefficient of  $z^m$  in  $Q$  will be  $c_1 + \dots + c_n$  and  $Q_n$  will tend towards infinity with  $n$  for every positive  $z$ .

The function  $\sin z$  can be expressed as a limit of polynomials  $Q_n$ . Let

$$(7) \quad P_n(z) = z - \frac{4}{3^{2n+1}} z^3.$$

The formula

$$\sin z = 3 \sin \frac{z}{3} - 4 \sin^3 \frac{z}{3}$$

gives then

$$\sin z = Q_n(3^n \sin 3^{-n} z).$$

From (7) we see that the  $Q_n$  converge to an integral function. This integral function must be  $\sin z$ , since  $3^n \sin 3^{-n} z$  approaches  $z$  as  $n$  increases.\*

3. *The Sequence of  $R_n(z)$ .* We shall study the sequence of  $R_n(z)$  defined by (2).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the  $P_n(z)$  all be of degree at most  $m > 1$ . Let a sequence of positive numbers  $c_n$  exist such that*

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^{1/m^n} < 1,$$

*and such that, for every  $n$ , the moduli of the coefficients of  $z^2, \dots, z^m$  in  $P_n$  are all less than  $c_n$ . Then the  $R_n(z)$  converge to an integral function, the convergence being uniform in every bounded domain.*

**PROOF.** Let  $r$  be a number which lies between the two members of (8). Then, for  $n$  large,

$$z + r^{m^n}(z^2 + \dots + z^m)$$

will be a majorant of  $P_n(z)$ . A fortiori, since  $m > 1$ ,

$$(9) \quad U_n(z) = z + r^{m^{n-1}} z^2 + r^{2m^{n-1}} z^3 + \dots + r^{(m-1)m^{n-1}} z^m$$

---

\* In the same way, one can express as limits of polynomials  $Q_n$  a large class of the functions with rational multiplication theorems introduced by Poincaré (Journal de Mathématiques, vol. 55 (1890)).

will be a majorant of  $P_n(z)$  for  $n$  large. We see now readily that it will suffice, for the proof of our theorem, to show that the sequence of  $V_n(z)$  defined by

$$(10) \quad V_1 = U_1; \quad V_{n+1} = U_{n+1}(V_n), \quad (n \geq 1),$$

converges for every real and positive  $z$ . †

Let  $p$  be any non-negative integer. Putting

$$(11) \quad W_1 = U_{p+1}; \quad W_{n+1} = U_{p+n+1}(W_n), \quad (n \geq 1),$$

we shall show that the sequence of  $W_n$  converges for  $z < hr^{-m^p}$ , where  $h = 1 - r$ .

By (9),

$$S_n(z) = \frac{z}{1 - r^{m^{p+n-1}}z}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

is a majorant of  $U_{p+n}$ . If, then,

$$T_1 = S_1; \quad T_{n+1} = S_{n+1}(T_n), \quad (n \geq 1),$$

$T_n$  will be a majorant of  $W_n$ . Now an easy calculation shows that

$$T_n(z) = \frac{z}{1 - (r^{m^p} + \dots + r^{m^{p+n-1}})z}.$$

For any positive  $z$  less than the reciprocal of the infinite series

$$r^{m^p} + r^{m^{p+1}} + \dots,$$

which reciprocal we shall denote by  $k$ , the  $T_n(z)$  form a sequence of numbers which increase towards  $kz/(k-z)$ . Also, if  $0 < z < k$ ,  $T_n(z) > W_n(z)$ , so that the  $W_n(z)$  will form a bounded sequence of increasing numbers and will converge to a limit. Now as  $m > 1$ ,

$$k \geq \frac{r^{-m^p}}{1 + r + r^2 + \dots} = hr^{-m^p},$$

and our statement with respect to (11) is proved.

Thus Theorem 2 will be established if, putting  $V_0(z) = z$ , we show that for every positive  $z$  there is a  $p$  such that  $V_p(z) < hr^{-m^p}$ .

---

† The fact that  $U_n$  may not be a majorant of  $P_n$  for  $n$  small is of no significance. One may suppress a finite number of  $P_n$  and then add a finite number of polynomials (9) to the beginning of the resulting sequence of  $U_n$ .

Let us assume that there is a positive  $z$  for which no such  $p$  exists. In what follows, we work with a fixed  $z$  of this type. We have, by (9) and (10), for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{n+1} &= V_n + r^{m^n} V_n^2 + \dots + r^{(m-1)m^n} V_n^m \\ &\leq V_n (1 + r^{m^n} V_n)^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for every  $n$ ,

$$(13) \quad V_n \geq hr^{-m^n},$$

so that

$$1 \leq \frac{r^{m^n} V_n}{h},$$

and, if we put  $a = (1 + 1/h)^{m-1}$ , we have, by (12),

$$V_{n+1} \leq ar^{(m-1)m^n} V_n^m \leq ar^{m^n} V_n^m.$$

We have thus

$$V_1 \leq arz^m, \quad V_2 \leq a^{m+1} r^2 m z^{m^2}, \quad V_3 \leq a^{m^2+m+1} r^3 m^2 z^{m^3},$$

and, in general,

$$V_{n+1} \leq a^{m^n + \dots + 1} r^{(n+1)m^n} z^{m^{n+1}}.$$

As  $m > 1$ , we have  $m^n + \dots + 1 < m^{n+1}$ . Then, because  $a > 1$ ,

$$(14) \quad V_{n+1} < [r^{(n+1)/m} az]^{m^{n+1}}.$$

As  $z$  is fixed,  $r^{(n+1)/m} az$  is small for  $n$  large, so that, by (14),  $V_{n+1}$  approaches 0 as  $n$  increases. This contradicts (13). The theorem is proved.

The condition (8) is a critical one. That we cannot let the first member of (8) be as great as unity is seen on taking  $P_n = z + z^m$ . The coefficient of  $z^m$  in  $Q_n$  will be  $n$  and the  $Q_n$  will diverge for every positive  $z$ . That  $m$  in the first member of (8) cannot be replaced by any smaller positive number  $\alpha$ , is seen, taking  $m = 2$ , for instance, on putting  $P_n = z + 2^{-\alpha^n} z^2$ . For any positive  $z$ , we have

$$P_n > 2^{-\alpha^n} z^2.$$

Then

$$R_1 > 2^{-\alpha} z^2, \quad R_2 > 2^{-(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha)} z^4,$$

and, in general,

$$R_n > 2^{-(\alpha^n + 2\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + 2^{n-1}\alpha)} z^{2^n}.$$

Now

$$-(\alpha^n + 2\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + 2^{n-1}\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^{n+1} - 2^n\alpha}{2 - \alpha} > -b2^n,$$

where  $b = \alpha/(2 - \alpha)$ . Thus

$$R_n > \left(\frac{z}{2^b}\right)^{2^n},$$

so that the  $R_n$  diverge for  $z > 2^b$ .

Let  $f(z)$  be an integral function obtained as a limit of polynomials  $R_n(z)$ , the approach being uniform in every bounded domain. Unless  $P_n(z) = z$  for every  $n$ ,  $f(z)$  will not be linear, for if some  $R_n(z)$  is of degree greater than unity,  $f(z)$ , like that  $R_n(z)$ , will assume certain values at more than one place. In what follows, we shall assume that  $f(z)$  is not linear.

We are going to prove that, between any two branches of the inverse of  $f(z)$ , there exists an algebraic relation of a simple type.

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two distinct points such that  $f(a) = f(b)$  and that the derivative of  $f(z)$  does not vanish at  $a$  or at  $b$ . Let  $A$  be a circle with  $a$  as center such that, in the interior of  $A$ ,  $f(z)$  assumes no value twice. Let  $B$  be a similar circle with center at  $B$ . We can find a neighborhood  $M$  of  $f(a) = f(b)$  such that, both in  $A$  and in  $B$ ,  $R_n(z)$  with  $n$  large assumes all values in  $M$ . If  $n$  is large enough,  $R_n(a)$  will be in  $M$ . In what follows, we deal with a fixed  $R_n(z)$  for which both conditions just described are realized.

If  $z_a$  is a point in  $A$ , very close to  $a$ , there will be a  $z_b$  in  $B$  such that  $f(z_b) = f(z_a)$ , and, furthermore,  $R_n(z_a)$  will lie in  $M$ . We shall prove that  $R_n(z_a) = R_n(z_b)$ . As  $R_n(z_a)$  is in  $M$ , there is a  $\zeta$  in  $B$  such that  $R_n(\zeta) = R_n(z_a)$ . Now  $\zeta$  must coincide with  $z_b$ , for  $f(\zeta) = f(z_a) = f(z_b)$  and  $f(z)$  assumes no value twice in  $B$ .

Thus, if we put  $w = f(z)$  and if  $\alpha(w)$  and  $\beta(w)$  are two branches of the inverse of  $f(z)$ , then, for  $n$  large,  $R_n[\alpha(w)] = R_n[\beta(w)]$ .