STOCHASTIC REDUCTION OF LOSS IN ESTIMATING NORMAL MEANS BY ISOTONIC REGRESSION¹

BY ROBERT E. KELLY

Pennsylvania State University

Consider the problem of estimating the ordered means $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_k$ of independent normal random variables, Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_k . It is shown that the absolute error of each component $\hat{\mu}_i$ of the isotonic regression estimator is stochastically smaller than that of the usual estimator Y_i . Thus $\hat{\mu}_i$ is superior to Y_i under any nonconstant loss which is a nondecreasing function of absolute error.

1. Introduction. Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_k be independent normal random variables with unknown means satisfying $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_k$ and $\mathrm{Var}(Y_i) = \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2/w_i$, $w_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The order restricted maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_k)$ of $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)$ is the value of θ which minimizes the weighted sum of squares

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (Y_i - \theta_i)^2 w_i$$

subject to the simple order restriction $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \theta_k$. This estimator is called the isotonic regression of $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ on the simple order and is given by

(1.1)
$$\hat{\mu}_i = \min_{s \geq i} \max_{r \leq i} \operatorname{Av}(Y; r, s), \qquad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where, for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

(1.2)
$$\operatorname{Av}(z; r, s) = \sum_{j=r}^{s} w_{j} z_{j} / \sum_{j=r}^{s} w_{j}$$

[cf. Barlow, Bartholomew, Bremner and Brunk (1972) page 19]. The estimator $\hat{\mu}$ has been compared to the unrestricted estimator Y, and several results point to the superiority of the isotonic regression estimator when the order restriction holds. It has been shown [cf. Robertson, Wright and Dykstra (1988)] that the total error of the estimator is reduced through isotonic regression on any order restriction in the sense that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i|^p w_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} |Y_i - \mu_i|^p w_i \quad \text{for all } p \ge 1.$$

Lee (1981) considered the mean squared error of the components of the estimator

Received February 1988; revised September 1988.

¹Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-88-01488.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 62F10, 62E99; secondary 62C99, 60E15.

Key words and phrases. Isotonic regression, loss function, maximum likelihood, order restriction, stochastic ordering.

938 R. E. KELLY

and showed that for $k \geq 2$,

$$E(\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 < E(Y_i - \mu_i)^2, \quad i = 1, ..., k,$$

when μ satisfies the order restriction. It will be shown here that an even stronger result holds componentwise, namely that $|\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i|$ is strictly stochastically smaller than $|Y_i - \mu_i|$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k, \ k \geq 2$, when μ satisfies the order restriction. From this it follows that, for loss functions \mathcal{L} of the form $\mathcal{L}(\delta; \mu) = \rho(|\delta - \mu_i|)$ with $\rho(t)$ nonconstant and nondecreasing on t > 0, $\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}_i; \mu)$ is stochastically smaller than $\mathcal{L}(Y_i; \mu)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and as a result, $E\{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}_i; \mu)\} < E\{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mu}_i; \mu)\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ when the order restriction is satisfied. The stochastic ordering is strict if ρ is strictly increasing. Here we use the following definitions. The random variable A is stochastically smaller than the random variable B if

(1.3)
$$P(A \le t) \ge P(B \le t) \text{ for all } t,$$

with strict inequality for some t. If strict inequality holds in (1.3) for all t such that $P(B \le t) > 0$, then we say A is strictly stochastically smaller than B.

2. Stochastic ordering of absolute errors.

Theorem. For $k \geq 2$, let Y_1, \ldots, Y_k be independent normal random variables with $Y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, $i=1,\ldots,k$, where $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2/w_i$ and $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_k$. Let $\hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_k)$ be the isotonic regression of $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ on the simple order with weights w_i , $i=1,\ldots,k$. Then $P(|\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i| \leq t) > P(|Y_i - \mu_i| \leq t)$ for all t > 0, $i=1,\ldots,k$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that

(2.1)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \mu_j = 0.$$

Define $X^{(i)}=(X_1^{(i)},\ldots,X_k^{(i)})$, where $X_j^{(i)}=Y_j-Y_i$, for $j=1,\ldots,k$, $i=1,\ldots,k$. Note that $X^{(i)}$ takes values in

$$\mathscr{X} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_i = 0 \right\}.$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.2) we have

(2.2)
$$\hat{\mu}_i - Y_i = \min_{s \ge i} \max_{r \le i} \text{Av}(X^{(i)}; r, s),$$

so that $(\hat{\mu}_i - Y_i)$ depends on Y only through $X^{(i)}$. Clearly, Av(Y; 1, k) is independent of $X^{(i)}$ and has expectation zero by (2.1). Hence, the conditional distribution of Y_i given $X^{(i)}$ is normal with mean

(2.3)
$$m(X^{(i)}) = E(Y_i|X^{(i)}) = -Av(X^{(i)};1,k) = Y_i - Av(Y;1,k)$$

and variance

$$s^2 = \operatorname{Var} \{ \operatorname{Av}(Y; 1, k) \}.$$

We now proceed by induction on k. For k = 1, clearly $\hat{\mu}_1 = Y_1$. Assume that for dimension k - 1,

$$P(|\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i| \le t) \ge P(|Y_i - \mu_i| \le t)$$
 for all $t > 0$, $i = 1, ..., k - 1$.

We shall show that this implies strict stochastic inequality

$$P(|\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i| \le t) > P(|Y_i - \mu_i| \le t)$$
 for all $t > 0$, $i = 1, ..., k$,

for dimension k. Two cases are considered.

Case 1. $\mu_i \leq 0$ and i < k. Let $\hat{\nu} = (\hat{\nu}_1, \dots, \hat{\nu}_{k-1})$ be the isotonic regression of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}) on the (k-1)-dimensional simple order with weights w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} . By the induction hypothesis,

$$P(|\hat{\nu}_i - \mu_i| \le t) \ge P(|Y_i - \mu_i| \le t)$$
 for all $t > 0$,

and it suffices to show that for all t > 0,

$$(2.4) P(|\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i| \le t, \, \hat{\mu}_i \ne \hat{\nu}_i) > P(|\hat{\nu}_i - \mu_i| \le t, \, \hat{\mu}_i \ne \hat{\nu}_i).$$

As Lee (1981) pointed out, $\hat{\mu}_i \neq \hat{\nu}_i$ implies that

$$\hat{\mu}_i = \max_{r \le i} \operatorname{Av}(Y; r, k)$$

and hence that

(2.5)
$$\operatorname{Av}(Y; 1, k) \leq \hat{\mu}_{i} < \hat{\nu}_{i}.$$

Define

$$c_1(X^{(i)}) = Y_i - \hat{\mu}_i - m(X^{(i)}) + \mu_i,$$

$$c_2(X^{(i)}) = Y_i - \hat{\nu}_i - m(X^{(i)}) + \mu_i$$

and note that these do indeed depend on $X^{(i)}$ only. In view of (2.3) and (2.5) and the assumption for Case 1, we have

$$(2.6) c_{2}(X^{(i)}) < c_{1}(X^{(i)}) \le 0$$

on the set where $\hat{\mu}_i \neq \hat{\nu}_i$.

Now

$$\begin{split} P\big(|\hat{\mu}_{i} - \mu_{i}| \leq t |X^{(i)}\big) &= P\big(c_{1}(X^{(i)}) - t \leq Y_{i} - m(X^{(i)}) \leq c_{1}(X^{(i)}) + t\big) \\ &= \Phi\bigg[\frac{c_{1}(X^{(i)}) + t}{s}\bigg] - \Phi\bigg[\frac{c_{1}(X^{(i)}) - t}{s}\bigg], \end{split}$$

where Φ is the standard normal distribution function and $m(X^{(i)})$ and s^2 are the conditional mean and variance of Y_i . Similarly,

(2.8)
$$P(|\hat{r}_i - \mu_i| \le t|X^{(i)}) = \Phi\left[\frac{c_2(X^{(i)}) + t}{s}\right] - \Phi\left[\frac{c_2(X^{(i)}) - t}{s}\right].$$

For t > 0, $\Phi((c + t)/s) - \Phi((c - t)/s)$ is strictly increasing in c for $c \le 0$, and

940 R. E. KELLY

hence (2.6)–(2.8) ensure that on the set where $\hat{\mu}_i \neq \hat{\nu}_i$,

$$P(|\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i| \le t|X^{(i)}) > P(|\hat{\nu}_i - \mu_i| \le t|X^{(i)}),$$

which implies (2.4).

Case 2. $\mu_i \geq 0$ and i>1. Replace Y_1,\ldots,Y_k by $-Y_k,\ldots,-Y_1$ and we are back in Case 1. Note that $\mu_i<0$ and i=k or $\mu_i>0$ and i=1 are impossible in view of (2.1). \square

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to the Editor for his many comments and suggestions which have led to a much clearer and more concise presentation of the results.

REFERENCES

Barlow, R. F., Bartholomew, D. D., Bremner, J. M. and Brunk, H. D. (1972). Statistical Inference Under Order Restrictions. Wiley, New York.

Lee, C. C. (1981). The quadratic loss of isotonic regression under normality. *Ann. Statist.* **9** 686–688. Robertson, T., Wright, F. T. and Dykstra, R. L. (1988). *Order Restricted Statistical Inference*. Wiley, New York.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
219 POND LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802