

ROBUST TESTS FOR SPHERICAL SYMMETRY

BY TAKEAKI KARIYA¹ AND MORRIS L. EATON²

University of Minnesota

Let R^n be Euclidean n -space and let $O(n)$ be the group of $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices. Consider $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \mid f \text{ is a density on } R^n, f(x) = f(gx), x \in R^n, g \in O(n)\}$, and let $\mathcal{Q} = \{q \mid q: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), q \text{ is nonincreasing, } \int_{R^n} q(\|x\|^2) dx = 1\}$. If Σ is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix, set $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma) = \{f \mid f(x) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} q(x' \Sigma^{-1} x), q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$. For $\mu \in R^1$ and $a_0 \in R^n, \|a_0\| = 1$, let

$$\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) = \{f \mid f(x) = q(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2), q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) = \{f \mid f(x) = q(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2), q \in \mathcal{Q}, \text{ and } q \text{ convex}\}.$$

Uniformly most powerful tests are derived for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$ and for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \mid \mu > 0\}$. A uniformly most powerful unbiased test is derived for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$.

1. Introduction and notation. Throughout, R^n denotes Euclidean n -space and $O(n)$ is the group of $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices. If X is an $n \times 1$ random vector, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denotes the distribution law of X . A random vector X has a *spherically symmetric* distribution if $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(gX)$ for $g \in O(n)$. If X has a spherically symmetric distribution and if $P(X = 0) = 0$, we write $\mathcal{L}(X) \in S(n)$.

To describe the classes of probability densities (pdf's) treated in this paper, first let

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{Q} = \{q \mid q: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), q \text{ is nonincreasing, and } \int_{R^n} q(\|x\|^2) dx = 1\}.$$

Also, let

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 = \{f \mid f \text{ is a density on } R^n, f(x) = f(gx), x \in R^n, g \in O(n)\}.$$

If Σ is an $n \times n$ positive definite matrix, let

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma) = \{f \mid f(x) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} q(x' \Sigma^{-1} x), q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

For $\mu \in R^1$ and $a_0 \in R^n, \|a_0\| = 1$, set

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_2(\mu) = \{f \mid f(x) = q(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2), q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$$

and finally, let

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_3(\mu) = \{f \mid f(x) = q(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2), q \in \mathcal{Q}, q \text{ convex}\}.$$

Received April 1975; revised April 1976.

¹ Currently at Hitotsubashi University, Tokyo.

² Research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation, NSF-GP-34482.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 62G10; Secondary 62F05, 62G35.

Key words and phrases. Tests for sphericity, UMP nonparametric tests, robustness, Student's t -test.

The purpose of this paper is to consider the testing problems \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$, \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \mid \mu > 0\}$, and \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$.

In Section 2, the distributions of $X/\|X\|$, $a'X/\|X\|$ and $X'AX/\|X\|^2$ are described when $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$. As an application, the distribution of the sample correlation coefficient is derived under assumptions weaker than the usual normality assumptions. The distribution of $X'AX/\|X\|^2$ has been derived by Kelker (1970) under the assumption that X has a density $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

A UMP test of \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$, for Σ fixed, is given in Section 3. This result is then extended to cover the following situations when Σ is not fixed: (i) $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \Sigma_0$, Σ_0 known, (ii) $\Sigma = \lambda_1(I - M) + \lambda_2 M$, $M^2 = M$, M known, where $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$ (or $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 > 0$), and (iii) $\Sigma^{-1} = \lambda_1 I + \lambda_2 A$, A known, $\lambda_1 > 0$. In these cases the UMP test does not depend on the unknown parameters (σ^2 , λ_1 , λ_2), so the test is UMP over all the unknown parameters as well as the function q . In Section 4, a UMP test is derived for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_1(\mu) \mid \mu > 0\}$. The basic technique used to derive these tests is a modification of a technique due to Lehmann and Stein (1949) (henceforth abbreviated L-S). In Lemma 3.1 of Section 3, a result concerning the hypothesis of invariance under an infinite group is established, whereas the results of L-S (1949) are mainly for the hypothesis of invariance under a finite group. However, L-S (1949) did present a version of their technique for an infinite group, but it seems to be difficult to apply.

The alternatives $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2(\mu)$ contain such distributions as the multivariate t -distribution, the multivariate Cauchy distribution in addition to the multivariate normal distribution. The reader is referred to Johnson and Kotz (1972) and Kelker (1970) for further examples of distributions in \mathcal{F}_0 , $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2(\mu)$. In Section 5, the results of previous sections are applied to the general linear hypothesis in a regression model.

The problem of testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$ is considered in Section 6. Sufficiency, completeness and the generalized Neyman-Pearson lemma are used here to derive a UMPU (unbiased) test for the above problem. We briefly discuss a conjecture concerning the existence of a UMPU test for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$.

2. The distributions of $a'X/\|X\|$ and $X'AX/\|X\|^2$. An n -dimensional normal distribution with mean Δ and covariance matrix Σ is denoted by $N_n(\Delta, \Sigma)$. Let $D(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; a_n)$ denote a Dirichlet distribution with pdf

$$(2.1) \quad p_n(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = \Gamma(\sum_1^n a_i) [\prod_1^n \Gamma(a_i)]^{-1} [\prod_1^{n-1} t_i^{a_i-1}] (1 - \sum_1^{n-1} t_i)^{a_n-1}$$

where $0 \leq t_i$, $\sum_1^{n-1} t_i < 1$, and $a_i > 0$. We write $\mathcal{L}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = D_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; a_n)$ to mean $y_n = 1 - \sum_1^{n-1} y_i$ and (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) has the pdf (2.1). $\mathcal{B}(a_1, a_2) \equiv D_2(a_1; a_2)$ denotes the beta distribution.

Consider $C_n = \{x \mid x \in R^n, \|x\| = 1\}$ and let U have the uniform distribution on C_n . $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is the unique probability distribution on C_n which is invariant under $O(n)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *If $\mathcal{L}(Z) = N_n(0, I)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ then $\mathcal{L}(X/\|X\|) = \mathcal{L}(Z/\|Z\|) = \mathcal{L}(U)$.*

PROOF. Let $T(X) = X/\|X\| \in C_n$. Then $T(gX) = gT(X)$ for $g \in O(n)$ and so $\mathcal{L}(T(gX)) = \mathcal{L}(T(X)) = \mathcal{L}(gT(X))$. The uniqueness of the invariant probability measure on C_n clearly yields the desired conclusion.

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ and A is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. Then $\mathcal{L}(X'AX/\|X\|^2) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_1^n d_j y_j)$ where $\mathcal{L}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = D_n(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} : \frac{1}{2})$ and the d_j 's are the latent roots of A . In particular, if $A^2 = A$ and $\text{rank}(A) = k$, then $\mathcal{L}(X'AX/\|X\|^2) = \mathcal{B}(k/2, (n - k)/2)$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.1, we can assume $\mathcal{L}(X) = N_n(0, I)$. Hence the result is immediate.

Press (1969) considered $\mathcal{L}(X'AX/\|X\|^2)$ when $\mathcal{L}(X) = N_n(0, I)$.

THEOREM 2.3. *For $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ and $a \in R^n, \|a\| = 1$, let $W = a'X/\|X\|$. Then $t \equiv (n - 1)^{1/2}W/(1 - W^2)^{1/2}$ has a $t(n - 1)$ distribution—the Student distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom.*

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ we can, without loss of generality, take $a' = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X) = N_n(0, I)$. If $X' = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, a bit of algebra shows that $t = (n - 1)^{1/2}X_1/(\sum_2^n X_i^2)^{1/2}$ and the result follows.

Theorem 2.3 is related to a result due to Efron (1969).

EXAMPLE 2.1. Suppose $u' = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $v' = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ are independent with $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ and $P(v \in \{e\}) = 0$. Here, $e = (1, \dots, 1)' \in R^n$ and $\{e\}$ is the span of e . Consider the sample correlation coefficient

$$r = \sum_1^n (u_i - \bar{u})(v_i - \bar{v}) / [\sum_1^n (u_i - \bar{u})^2 \sum_1^n (v_i - \bar{v})^2]^{1/2}.$$

Let $M = ee'/n$ so $r = u'(I - M)v/[u'(I - M)uv'(I - M)v]^{1/2}$. Consider $y = gu$ and $z = gv$ where $g \in O(n)$ and $g(I - M)g = \text{diag}\{1, 1, \dots, 1, 0\}$ where $\text{diag}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ denotes a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries b_1, \dots, b_n . Let \bar{y} and \bar{z} be the vectors consisting of the first $(n - 1)$ coordinates of y and z respectively. Then $r = \bar{y}'\bar{z}/\|\bar{y}\|\|\bar{z}\|$ and it is easy to show $\mathcal{L}(\bar{y}) \in \mathcal{S}(n - 1)$. Conditioning on \bar{z} and applying Theorem 2.3, $\mathcal{L}(n - 2)^{1/2}r/(1 - r^2)^{1/2} = t(n - 2)$. Thus, the distribution of r does not depend on either the normality of $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ or the distribution of v so long as u and v are independent and $P\{v \in \{e\}\} = 0$.

3. UMP tests for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$. The first result in this section, designed to cover testing for invariance under infinite groups, is an alternative form of a result due to L-S (1949). Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable space and let μ be a σ -finite measure on $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$. Suppose G is a group acting bimeasurably on the left of \mathcal{X} by $x \rightarrow gx$. Let \mathcal{F} be the class of pdf's (with respect to μ) which are invariant under G . Further, suppose $t: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is a maximal invariant function with range \mathcal{T} .

LEMMA 3.1 (Lehmann and Stein). *Suppose that for a given pdf $h \notin \mathcal{F}$, there exists a map s from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{L} such that $h(s(t(x)))$ is integrable with respect to μ . Then the test φ defined by*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= 1 && \text{if } h(x) > kh(s(t(x))) \\ \varphi(x) &= \gamma(x) && \text{if } h(x) = kh(s(t(x))) \\ \varphi(x) &= 0 && \text{if } h(x) < kh(s(t(x))) \end{aligned}$$

is a MP test of level α for testing \mathcal{F} versus h provided

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_{f_0} \varphi = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_f \varphi \leq \alpha \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}$$

where $f_0(x) \equiv I^{-1}h(s(t(x)))$, $I \equiv \int h(s(t(x)))\mu(dx)$ and k is a constant.

PROOF. By construction, φ is a MP test for testing f_0 versus h . If φ_1 is any level α test for testing \mathcal{F} versus h , then $\mathcal{E}_{f_0} \varphi_1 \leq \alpha$ so $\mathcal{E}_h \varphi \geq \mathcal{E}_h \varphi_1$ and the conclusion follows from assumption (3.2).

The measurability of the maps t and s in Lemma 3.1 is implicitly assumed. In spite of its general form, the existence of the map s and condition (3.2) are rather restrictive. However, if $\mathcal{L} = R^n$ and G is a compact subgroup of $G1(n)$, Lemma 3.1 can ordinarily be applied. In particular, if G is the permutation group or $G = O(n)$, as in our case, the application of Lemma 3.1 is straightforward.

Condition (3.2) can be replaced by the condition of similarity,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_f \varphi = \alpha \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

If $\mathcal{L} = R^n$ and G is a compact subgroup of $G1(n)$, then (3.3) is implied by

$$(3.4) \quad \int \varphi(gx)\nu(dg) = \alpha$$

where ν is the invariant probability measure on G (see L-S (1949) for the case of a finite group G). L-S (1949) proved that the class of tests satisfying (3.4) forms an essentially complete class.

For the testing problems discussed in Section 1, $\mathcal{L} = R^n$, $G = O(n)$, and μ is Lebesgue measure. A maximal invariant is $t(x) = \|x\|$.

THEOREM 3.1. *For a fixed $\Sigma(\Sigma \neq cI, c > 0)$, the test φ , defined by*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= 1 && \text{if } x'\Sigma^{-1}x/x'x < k \\ \varphi(x) &= 0 && \text{if } x'\Sigma^{-1}x/x'x \geq k, \end{aligned}$$

is a UMP test of its level for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$. For a given level α , k can be determined by

$$(3.6) \quad \int \cdots \int_A p_n(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \prod_1^{n-1} dt_i = \alpha$$

where p_n is the pdf of $D_n(\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} : \frac{1}{2})$, $A = \{\sum_1^n d_j t_j < k\}$ with $t_n = 1 - \sum_1^{n-1} t_i$ and the d_j 's are the latent roots of Σ^{-1} .

PROOF. To apply Lemma 3.1, first consider $h_0 \in \mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$, $h_0(x) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} q_0(x' \Sigma^{-1} x)$ and assume q_0 is strictly decreasing. Define $s: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R^n$ by $s(t) = t \Sigma^{1/2} a$ where $a \in R^n$ is fixed, $\|a\| = 1$. Then

$$I = \int h_0(s(t(x))) dx = \int |\Sigma|^{-1/2} q_0(\|x\|^2) dx < +\infty.$$

Since q_0 is strictly decreasing, it follows that φ given by (3.1) (with $\gamma(x) \equiv 0$), is exactly φ given by (3.5). Since (3.2) holds (Theorem 2.2) and since the test does not depend on h_0 , we see that φ in (3.5) is UMP for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\Sigma)$; here, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\Sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$ consists of those h 's with strictly decreasing q 's. For an arbitrary $h \in \mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$, consider $h_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\Sigma)$ and let $h_m = (1 - 1/m)h + (1/m)h_0$ so $h_m \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1(\Sigma)$. If ψ is any level α test, then

$$\int \psi h_m \geq \int \psi h, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Scheffé's lemma, $\int \psi h \geq \int \psi h$ for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$. Thus φ is UMP for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$. The assertion concerning the calculation of k follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.

The following examples provide some slight extensions of Theorem 3.1 in that Σ is not fixed but depends on some parameters. However, the test φ is shown not to depend on the parameters so φ is UMP over the class $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$ as well as over the unknown parameters.

EXAMPLE 3.1. $\Sigma = \lambda \Sigma_0$, $\lambda > 0$, Σ_0 known. By absorbing λ into q , Theorem 3.1 is directly applicable with Σ_0 replacing Σ .

EXAMPLE 3.2. $\Sigma = \lambda_1(I - M) + \lambda_2 M$, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$, $M^2 = M$, M known. Since $\Sigma^{-1} = \lambda_1^{-1}(I - M) + \lambda_2^{-1}M$, $x' \Sigma^{-1} x / x' x = \lambda_1^{-1} + (\lambda_2^{-1} - \lambda_1^{-1}) x' M x / x' x$. Thus the test with critical region (c.r.) $x' M x / x' x < k$ is UMP for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma) | \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0\}$.

The cutoff point, k , for the test is determined from $\mathcal{L}(x' M x / x' x) = \mathcal{B}(m/2, (n - m)/2)$ where $m = \text{rank}(M)$. For the case at hand, it is also possible to compute the power function of the test φ . Let $\delta = \lambda_2 / \lambda_1$ so $0 < \delta < 1$. The power function of φ , say $\pi(\varphi, \delta)$, has the form

$$(3.7) \quad \pi(\varphi, \delta) = \int_0^{\delta^*} F(u; m, n - m) du$$

where δ^* is a function of k and δ (and not of q). Here, $F(u; m, n - m)$ is the pdf of an $F(m, n - m)$ distribution. The details of this are left to the reader.

Consider $\lambda_1 = \sigma^2(1 - \rho)$, $\lambda_2 = \sigma^2(1 - \rho + n\rho)$ where $\rho > 0$, $\sigma^2 > 0$, and $M = ee' / n^2$. The test φ with c.r. $x' M x / x' x > k$ provides a test of sphericity versus positive intraclass correlation.

EXAMPLE 3.3. $\Sigma^{-1} = \lambda_1 I + \lambda_2 A$, A known, $\lambda_1 > 0$. Here, λ_2 takes values for which Σ^{-1} is positive definite. Theorem 3.1 shows that φ with c.r. $x' A x / x' x < k$ is UMP when $\lambda_2 > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(x' A x / x' x)$ is given in Theorem 2.2. As a special

case, consider Σ of the form

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \tau(1 + \rho^2)I - \tau\rho \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & 0 \\ 1 & & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 0 & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\rho| < 1.$$

This form for Σ^{-1} arises in serial correlation problems. In this case, rejecting for $\sum_{i=2}^n x_i x_{i-1} / x'x > k$ is UMP for $\rho > 0$. This test coincides with the test under normality (see Anderson (1948)).

4. UMP tests for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\mathcal{F}_2(\mu)$.

THEOREM 4.1. For testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) | \mu > 0\}$, the test defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= 1 && \text{if } a_0'x / \|x\| > k \\ \varphi(x) &= 0 && \text{if } a_0'x / \|x\| \leq k \end{aligned}$$

is UMP of its level. For a given α , the cutoff point can be calculated by

$$(4.2) \quad \int_k^\infty t(u; n - 1) du = \alpha \quad (k' = (n - 1)^{1/2}k / (1 - k^2)^{1/2})$$

where $t(u; n - 1)$ is the pdf of a $t(n - 1)$ distribution.

PROOF. Fix $\mu > 0$. Consider $h_0 \in \mathcal{F}_2(\mu)$, $h_0(x) = q_0(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2)$ where q_0 is strictly decreasing. To apply Lemma 3.1, choose $s: [0, \infty) \rightarrow R^n$ to be $s(t) = tb$ where $b \in R^n$, $\|b\| = 1$ is fixed. Then the test φ defined by (3.1) (with $\gamma(x) = 0$) is equivalent to the test given in 4.1. That (3.2) holds follows from Theorem 2.3. Noting that the test does not depend on q_0 or $\mu > 0$, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, φ defined by (4.1) is UMP of its level for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) | \mu > 0\}$. The calculation of the cut-off point follows from Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof.

To test \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) | \mu < 0\}$, one simply changes a_0 to $-a_0$ and uses the test defined by (4.1). In the case that $a_0' = (1, 1, \dots, 1) / n^{1/2}$, the test φ is clearly equivalent to the one-sided t -test for testing $\mu = 0$. Thus, Theorem 4.1 establishes a robustness property of the one-sided t -test.

5. An application to linear models. Consider a regression model $y = X\beta + u$ where $y \in R^n$, $X: n \times k$ with $\text{rank}(X) = k < n$. Ordinary least squares theory is applicable if $\mathcal{E}(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}(uu') = \sigma^2 I$. Customarily, it is thought that a normality assumption for u must be made to carry out tests of linear hypotheses on $\beta \in R^k$. In this example, we assume $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$, $\mathcal{E}(\|u\|^2) < +\infty$ so $\mathcal{E}(u) = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}uu' = \sigma^2 I$, $\sigma^2 > 0$. Consider the problem of testing $A\beta = 0$ where $A: r \times k$ has rank r . (See Scheffè (1959), Lehmann (1959) or Eaton (1972).) After the usual reduction to canonical form, the model is

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} Z_1' & Z_2' & Z_3' \end{pmatrix}' = (\gamma_1', \gamma_2', 0)' + (v_1', v_2', v_3')'$$

$$\begin{matrix} k - r & r & n - k \end{matrix}$$

where $(Z_1', Z_2', Z_3')' \equiv Z = Py$ for some $P \in O(n)$. The γ_i 's and v_i 's have the orders of the corresponding Z_i 's. Clearly, $\mathcal{L}(v) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$. The null hypothesis is $H_0: \gamma_2 = 0$. Applying a standard invariance argument to this problem (see Lehmann (1959) or Eaton (1972), Chapter 4) yields the usual F -ratio $Q \equiv (n - k)Z_2'Z_2/rZ_3'Z_3$ as a maximal invariant. From Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{L}(Q) = F(r, k - r)$. Thus, if attention is restricted to invariant tests, the standard F ratio arises and has (under H_0) the F -distribution as long as $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$. The robustness of the F -test has been studied by Box and Watson (1962) when the error vector $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)'$ is a random sample from a symmetric distribution. The assumption of independence of u_1, \dots, u_n together with $\mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ implies that $\mathcal{L}(u)$ is normal. In the situation treated by Box and Watson, Q no longer has an F -distribution.

If it is assumed that the pdf of u is in $\mathcal{F}_1(\Sigma)$, then one can test $H_0: \mathcal{E}uu' = \sigma^2 I$ versus $H_1: \mathcal{E}uu' = \sigma^2(1 - \rho)I + \sigma^2\rho ee'$, $\rho > 0$. In terms of the above canonical form, H_0 remains the same and H_1 becomes $\tilde{H}_1: \mathcal{E}(vv') = \sigma^2(1 - \rho)I + \sigma^2\rho aa'$ where $a = Pe$. In this case, the structure of the design matrix X affects the test (see Anderson (1948).) Applying an invariance argument, a UMP invariant test with c.r. $(Z_3'a_3)^2/Z_3'Z_3 < k$ is obtained, provided $a_3 \neq 0$, $a = (a_1', a_2', a_3)'$. The cutoff point and the power function are calculated as in Example 3.2.

6. Testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$. For a pdf $f \in \mathcal{F}_3(\mu)$, $f(x) = q(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2) = q(\|x\|^2 - 2\mu a_0'x + \mu^2)$, where q is nonincreasing. If X has pdf $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(\mu)$, let $T = a_0'X$ and $W = \|X\|^2$.

LEMMA 6.1. *The pair (T, W) is a complete sufficient statistic for the family $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \mid \mu \in R^1\}$. Further, W is a complete sufficient statistic for the family \mathcal{F}_0 .*

PROOF. Both of the sufficiency assertions follow from the factorization theorem. The completeness of (T, W) follows by noting that: (i) if $\mathcal{L}(X) = N_n(\mu a_0, \sigma^2 I)$, then the density of X is in $\mathcal{F}_1(\mu)$; (ii) (T, W) is complete for the set of distributions in (i); and (iii) the joint distribution of (T, W) , under any distribution in $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \mid \mu \in R^1\}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the distribution of (T, W) under (i). The completeness of W under \mathcal{F}_0 follows similarly.

If $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$, it is clear that $f(x) = q(\|x\|^2)$ for some function q on $[0, \infty)$.

LEMMA 6.2. *Under \mathcal{F}_0 , T has a density on $[-1, 1]$ given by*

$$(6.1) \quad r_0(t) = 2 \left[\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} (1 - t^2)^{(n-3)/2}$$

and W has a density on $[0, \infty)$ given by

$$(6.2) \quad r_1(\omega) = q(\omega) \frac{(\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))^n}{\Gamma(n/2)} \omega^{n/2-1}.$$

Further, under \mathcal{F}_0 , T and W are independent.

PROOF. Under \mathcal{F}_0 , $X/|X|$ has a uniform distribution on C_n so $X_1^2/|X|^2$ has a $\mathcal{B}(\frac{1}{2}, (n-1)/2)$ distribution. That T has the density (6.1) is now clear. The density of W is derived by changing to polar coordinates. Since the density of T does not depend on $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and since W is a complete sufficient statistic for \mathcal{F}_0 , the independence of T and W follows from a result due to Basu (1955).

Define the probability measure λ_0 on $[-1, 1]$ by

$$(6.3) \quad \lambda_0(dt) = r_0(t) dt$$

and define the measure ν_0 on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$(6.4) \quad \nu_0(d\omega) = \frac{(\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}))^n}{\Gamma(n/2)} \omega^{(n/2)-1} d\omega .$$

LEMMA 6.3. *If X has a density $f \in \mathcal{F}_3(\mu)$, then the joint density of T and W with respect to $\lambda_0 \times \nu_0$ when $f(x) = q(|x - \mu a_0|^2)$ is*

$$(6.5) \quad g(t, \omega; \mu) = q(\omega - 2(\omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}t\mu + \mu^2) .$$

PROOF. This follows from Lemma 6.2 and an application of Proposition 7.39 in Eaton (1972).

Now, set

$$(6.6) \quad k(t; \mu, \omega) = \frac{g(t, \omega; \mu)}{\int g(t, \omega; \mu)\lambda_0(dt)} .$$

Thus, $k(t; \mu, \omega)$ is the conditional density of T given W with respect to λ_0 and $k(t; 0, \omega) = 1$. We want to find a UMPU test for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) | \mu \neq 0\}$. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, let \mathcal{D}_α be the class of test functions which are unbiased. Let \mathcal{E}_0^T denote expectation under \mathcal{F}_0 with respect to λ_0 .

LEMMA 6.4. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, then*

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{E}_0^T \varphi(T, W) = \alpha \quad \text{a.e. } (W)(\mathcal{L}(W) \in \mathcal{F}_3(0))$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad \mathcal{E}_0^T T \varphi(T, W) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } (W)(\mathcal{L}(W) \in \mathcal{F}_3(0)) .$$

PROOF. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, $\mathcal{E}_h \varphi \geq \alpha$ for all $h \in \{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) | \mu \neq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_h \varphi \leq \alpha$ for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_h \varphi = \alpha$ for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_3(0)$ by a continuity argument. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}_W[\mathcal{E}_0^T(\varphi(T, W) - \alpha) | W] = 0 .$$

The completeness of W under $\mathcal{F}_3(0)$ implies (6.7). Assuming $\mathcal{L}(X) = N_n(\mu a_0, \sigma^2 I)$ and arguing as in Lehmann (1959), Chapter 4, shows that (6.8) holds.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ denote the set of test functions which satisfy (6.7) and (6.8). Define $\varphi_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ by

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_0(T) &= 1 & \text{if } |T| > c \\ &= 0 & \text{if } |T| \leq c \end{aligned}$$

where c is chosen so that $\mathcal{E}_0^T \varphi_0 = \alpha$.

THEOREM 6.1. *If $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$, then*

$$(6.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_h \varphi_0 \geq \mathcal{E}_h \varphi$$

for all $h \in \{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \mid \mu \in R'\}$.

PROOF. If $h \in \mathcal{F}_2(0)$, then equality holds in (6.10). Fix $h(x) = q(\|x - \mu a_0\|^2)$ where q is convex and nonincreasing so $h \in \mathcal{F}_3(\mu)$. For a fixed value of W , consider the problem of testing $H_0: \mu = 0$ versus $H_1: \mu = \mu_0 \neq 0$. Applying the generalized Neyman-Pearson lemma (Lehmann (1959)), the supremum of $\mathcal{E}_{\mu_0}(\varphi(T, W) \mid W)$ over the set $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ is achieved by test functions of the form

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_1(t) &= 1 && \text{if } k(t; \mu_0, \omega) > c_1 + c_2 t \\ &= 0 && \text{if } k(t; \mu_0, \omega) \leq c_1 + c_2 t \end{aligned}$$

where k is given by (6.6) and c_1 and c_2 are chosen so that $\varphi_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$. Since q is convex, $k(t; \mu_0, \omega) - c_2 t$ is a convex function of t . Thus φ_1 can be written as

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_1(t) &= 0 && \text{if } a \leq t \leq b \\ &= 1 && \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

where a and b are chosen so that $\varphi_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$. However, the only values of a and b such that $\varphi_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ are $-a = b = c$ where c is defined by (6.9). Thus, φ_0 maximizes $\mathcal{E}_{\mu_0}(\varphi(T, W) \mid W)$ over $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$. If $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$, $\mathcal{E}_{\mu_0}(\varphi_0(T) \mid W) \geq \mathcal{E}_{\mu_0}(\varphi(T, W) \mid W)$ a.e. (W). Integrating on W then yields $\mathcal{E}_h \varphi_0 \geq \mathcal{E}_h \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$. Since φ_0 did not depend on the particular $h \in \mathcal{F}_3(\mu_0)$ or on μ_0 , (6.10) holds.

THEOREM 6.2. *The test φ_0 in (6.9) is UMPU for testing $H_0: h \in \mathcal{F}_0$ versus $H_1: f \in \{\mathcal{F}_3(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$.*

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$, the result follows from Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 6.1 is substantially stronger than Theorem 6.2; i.e., φ_0 actually maximizes the conditional power (for W fixed) over all tests in \mathcal{D}_α . When $a_0' = (1, 1, \dots, 1)/(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then φ_0 is just the two-sided t -statistic. Thus, we have another robustness property of the t -test.

Originally, we had set out to prove that φ_0 was UMPU for testing \mathcal{F}_0 versus $\{\mathcal{F}_2(\mu) \mid \mu \neq 0\}$, and we conjecture that this result is true. A main difficulty in attempting to establish this conjecture is obtaining a reasonable analytic description of what unbiasedness means.

REFERENCES

ANDERSON, T. W. (1948). On the theory of testing serial correlation. *Skand. Aktuarietidskr.* **31** 88-116.
 BASU, D. (1955). On statistics independent of a complete sufficient statistic. *Sankhyā* **15** 377-380.
 BOX, G. E. P. and WATSON, G. S. (1962). Robustness to nonnormality of regression tests. *Biometrika* **49** 93-106.
 EATON, M. L. (1972). *Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. Institute of Math. Statist., Univ. of Copenhagen.

- EFRON, B. (1969). Student's t -test under symmetry conditions. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **64** 1278-1302.
- JOHNSON, N. L. and KOTZ, S. (1972). *Distributions in Statistics: Continuous Multivariate Distributions*. Wiley, New York.
- KELKER, D. (1970). Distribution theory of spherical distributions and a location scale parameter generalization. *Sankhyā A* **32** 419-430
- LEHMANN, E. L. (1959). *Testing Statistical Hypotheses*. Wiley, New York.
- LEHMANN, E. L. and STEIN, C. (1949). On the theory of some nonparametric hypotheses. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **20** 28-45.
- PRESS, S. J. (1969). On serial correlation. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 188-196.
- SCHEFFÉ, H. (1959). *The Analysis of Variance*. Wiley, New York.

SCHOOL OF STATISTICS
270 VINCENT HALL
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455