

ON SUFFICIENCY AND INVARIANCE

BY D. LANDERS AND L. ROGGE

University of Cologne

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of probability measures defined on a σ -field \mathcal{A} on X and G be a group of transformations on X such that $Pg^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $g \in G$. Let \mathcal{A}_I be the σ -field of G -invariant sets of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_{I^*} the σ -field of \mathcal{P} -almost G -invariant sets of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A}_S be a sufficient σ -field for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$. Hall, Wijsman and Ghosh proved that $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}_I$ if $g\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{A}_S$ for each $g \in G$ and $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$. They posed the question whether the first condition alone suffices to prove this result. An example shows that the answer is no. For dominated families we show that $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}$ is always sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}_{I^*}$, a result which is not true any more for undominated families.

Let \mathcal{P} be a family of probability measures (p -measures) defined on a σ -field \mathcal{A} over a basic set X . If $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ are σ -fields we write $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P})$ iff for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ with $P(B \Delta C) = 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P})$ iff $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P})$. Let G be a group of bijective \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A} -measurable transformations on X , $\mathcal{A}_I := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : gA = A \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ the σ -field of G -invariant sets and $\mathcal{A}_{I^*} := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : P(A \Delta gA) = 0 \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}, g \in G\}$ the σ -field of \mathcal{P} -almost G -invariant sets.

Assume that $\{Pg^{-1} : P \in \mathcal{P}, g \in G\} = \mathcal{P}$, where Pg^{-1} denotes the p -measure on \mathcal{A} , defined by $Pg^{-1}(A) := P(g^{-1}A)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{A}_S be a sub- σ -field of \mathcal{A} which is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$. Starting from problems in the domain of applied statistics Hall, Wijsman and Ghosh [3] investigate the question under which conditions the σ -field $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}_I$. They show as their main result (Theorem 3.1) that the conditions A (i): " $g\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{A}_S$ for all $g \in G$ ", and A (ii): " $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$ " ensure that $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}_I$. They pose the question (see page 596) whether condition A (i) alone suffices to prove this result. The following example shows that the answer is no, even if $\mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$ is dominated.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and \mathcal{A} be the power set of X . Let G be the group of all coordinate permutations of the product space $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $P_0|_{\mathcal{A}} [P_1|_{\mathcal{A}}]$ be the p -measure concentrated at the point $x_0 \in X [x_1 \in X]$ with coordinates identical 0 [identical 1]. Let $\mathcal{P} := \{P_0, P_1\}$. Since $P_0g^{-1} = P_0$, $P_1g^{-1} = P_1$ for all $g \in G$ we have $Pg^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $g \in G$. Let \mathcal{A}_S be the product σ -field of \mathcal{A}_r , $r \in \mathbb{R}$, where \mathcal{A}_r denotes for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the power set of $\{0, 1\}$. Obviously $g\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{A}_S$ for each $g \in G$ and $\mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$. Since there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$ with $x_0 \in A$ and $x_1 \notin A$, \mathcal{A}_S is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}$. As \emptyset and X are

Received February 1972; revised September 1972.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 65B05; Secondary 62A05.

Key words and phrases. Sufficient σ -fields, invariance.

the only G -invariant sets contained in \mathcal{A}_S , $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ is not sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_I}$.

If $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$, then condition A(ii) guarantees in a trivial manner that also $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$ and hence for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_I}$. Therefore it is desirable to give conditions under which $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$. Berk ([2], Lemma 3(i)) proves that “ $g\mathcal{A}_S \sim \mathcal{A}_S(\mathcal{P})$ for each $g \in G$ ” is such a condition. Since each minimal sufficient σ -field fulfills this condition (see Lemma 2 of [2]), since each dominated family admits a minimal sufficient σ -field and since for dominated families each σ -field containing a sufficient σ -field is sufficient itself we obtain

REMARK 2. If $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is dominated and \mathcal{A}_S is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ then

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$ implies that $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_I}$.

The following example shows that the assertions of the preceding remark are not true in general for undominated families $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$, even if $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$ is dominated.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $X = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, \mathcal{A} the Borel-field on X and G the group of all transformations $x \rightarrow \alpha x$ for $\alpha > 0$. Let $P|_{\mathcal{A}}$, $Q|_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the p -measure defined by $P(-1) = P(1) = \frac{1}{2}$, $Q(A) := \lambda(A \cap (0, 1))$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where λ denotes the Lebesgue-measure. Let $\mathcal{P} := \{Pg^{-1}, Qg^{-1} : g \in G\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}g^{-1} = \mathcal{P}$ for each $g \in G$. Since the empty set is the only $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ -null set, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{I^*} = \mathcal{A}_I = \{\emptyset; (-\infty, 0); (0, \infty); X\}.$$

The σ -field $\mathcal{A}_S := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \{-1, 1\} \subset A \text{ or } \{-1, 1\} \subset \bar{A}\}$ is sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ but $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ is not sufficient for $\mathcal{P}|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$ because $P|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}} \neq Q|_{\mathcal{A}_{I^*}}$.

Example 1 shows also that the second assertion of Remark 2 is not true in general if the condition $\mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$ is replaced by $\mathcal{A}_I \sim \mathcal{A}_{I^*}(\mathcal{P})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BAHADUR, R. R. (1954). Sufficiency and statistical decision functions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **25** 423-462.
- [2] BERK, R. H. (1972). A note on sufficiency and invariance. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** 647-650.
- [3] HALL, W. J., WIJSMAN, R. A. and GHOSH, J. K. (1965). The relations between sufficiency and invariance with applications in sequential analysis. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 575-614.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
DER UNIVERSITÄT ZU KOLN
5 KOLN 41
WEYERTAL 86-90, GERMANY