

DECOUPLING INEQUALITIES FOR STATIONARY GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

BY ABEL KLEIN¹, LAWRENCE J. LANDAU AND DAVID S. SHUCKER²

University of California, University of London, and University of California

Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a stationary Gaussian process. It is proved that for all finite subsets J of \mathbb{Z}^d and complex-valued measurable functions $f_j, j \in J$, of a real variable,

$$|E(\prod_{j \in J} f_j(X_j))| \leq \prod_{j \in J} \|f_j(X_0)\|_p,$$

where $p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [E(X_0 X_n) | E(X_0^2)]$ is independent of J . A continuous version of this inequality is proved for stationary Gaussian processes $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$. It is shown that for all bounded measurable subsets Λ of \mathbb{R}^d and complex-valued measurable functions V of a real variable,

$$|E\left(\exp\left(\int_{\Lambda} V(X_t) dt\right)\right)| \leq \|\exp(V(X_0))\|_p^{|\Lambda|},$$

where $|\Lambda|$ is the Lebesgue measure of Λ and $p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [E(X_0 X_t) | E(X_0^2)] dt$. Similar inequalities are proved for stationary Gaussian processes indexed by periodic quotient groups of \mathbb{Z}^d and \mathbb{R}^d .

1. Introduction and theorems. If F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n are independent random variables, then

$$|E(\prod_{i=1}^n F_i)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|F_i\|_1;$$

for general random variables one can do no better than Hölder's inequality:

$$(*) \quad |E(\prod_{i=1}^n F_i)| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|F_i\|_p,$$

where $p = n$. In particular, p cannot be picked independently of the number n of random variables unless $p = \infty$. (Here $\|F\|_p = (E(|F|^p))^{1/p}$ for $0 < p < \infty$ and $\|F\|_{\infty}$ denotes the essential supremum of F).

But we can do better in the case where each $F_i = f_i(X_i)$, a function of a Gaussian random variable X_i (all Gaussian random variables will be assumed to have mean zero), and the random variables X_i form a stationary Gaussian process. We will prove inequalities like (*), where p will be independent of the number n of random variables; p will be related to the degree of independence (or decoupling) between the Gaussian random variables.

The germinal result was obtained by Nelson in the context of the development of a mathematically rigorous Quantum Field Theory. It is known as Nelson's best possible hypercontractive estimate (Nelson [6, 7]; see also Gross [3], Beckner [1], and Brascamp and Lieb [2] for other proofs), and can be reformulated as follows:

Let X, Y be a Gaussian system of identically distributed random variables. Then for any measurable functions f and g of a real variable,

$$|E(f(X)g(Y))| \leq \|f(X)\|_p \|g(Y)\|_q,$$

where $(p-1)(q-1) \geq [E(XY)/E(X^2)]^2$. In particular, we can take $p = q = 1 + |E(XY)/E(X^2)|$. □

Received December 1980; revised July 1981.

¹ Partially supported by the N.S.F. under grant MCS 7801433.

² Partially supported by the N.S.F. under grant MCS 7906633.

AMS 1970 subject classification. Primary, 60G15.

Key words and phrases. Stationary Gaussian processes, decoupling inequalities.

Nelson's result is stated for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, i.e., the Gaussian process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with covariance $E(X_t X_s) = (2m)^{-1} e^{-m|t-s|}$, ($m > 0$), where it says that $|E(f(X_t)g(X_s))| \leq \|f(X_0)\|_p \|g(X_0)\|_q$ if $(p-1)(q-1) \geq e^{-2m|t-s|}$. This result was extended by Guerra, Rosen, and Simon [4; Lemma III.11], who showed that for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process,

$$|E(\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(X_{ia}))| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|f_i(X_0)\|_p,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a > 0$ and $p = (1 - e^{-ma})^{-1}(1 + e^{-ma})$. They derived this inequality from Nelson's best possible hypercontractive estimate and the Markov property (which holds for this particular Gaussian process). From this inequality it follows that

$$\left| E\left(\exp\left(\int_0^T V(X_t) dt\right)\right)\right| \leq \|\exp(V(X_0))\|_p^T,$$

where $p = 2/m$ and V is a measurable function of a real variable such that $V(X_0)$ is integrable (Klein and Landau [5; Theorem 6.2 (ii)]). Those inequalities are actually proved for Euclidean free fields, which are generalized Gaussian processes of which the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process is a special case (e.g., Simon [8]).

In this article we prove similar inequalities for arbitrary stationary Gaussian processes indexed by \mathbb{Z}^d and \mathbb{R}^d , and by their periodic quotient groups. Let us recall that a stochastic process indexed by an abelian group G is said to be stationary if the processes $\{X_g\}_{g \in G}$ and $\{X_{g+h}\}_{g \in G}$ are equivalent for all $h \in G$.

Let us start with \mathbb{Z}^d . We will write $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{X_{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a stationary Gaussian process, and let $\{f_{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a family of complex-valued measurable functions of a real variable. Then, for all finite subsets J of \mathbb{Z}^d ,*

$$|E(\prod_{j \in J} f_j(X_j))| \leq \prod_{j \in J} \|f_j(X_0)\|_p,$$

where $p = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [E(X_0 X_{\mathbf{n}}) / E(X_0^2)]$. \square

Notice that if the random variables $X_{\mathbf{n}}$ are independent, then $p = 1$. If they are totally dependent (i.e., $X_{\mathbf{n}} = X_0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$), then $p = \infty$. If X and Y are identically distributed random variables which are jointly Gaussian, then $|E(XY)|$ is a measure of the decoupling (or degree of independence) between X and Y . Thus p is a measure of the total decoupling between the $X_{\mathbf{n}}$'s.

For the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process we recover Guerra, Rosen, and Simon's result.

We will now state a continuous version of Theorem 1. We will write $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\|\mathbf{t}\| = (t_1^2 + t_2^2 + \dots + t_d^2)^{1/2}$, $d\mathbf{t} = dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_d$. If Λ is a bounded measurable set in \mathbb{R}^d , we denote its Lebesgue measure by $|\Lambda|$. If $r(\mathbf{t})$ is a real-valued, continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d , we will say that $r(\mathbf{t})$ is *Riemann approximable* if $\lim_{a \downarrow 0} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a^d |r(a\mathbf{n})| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |r(\mathbf{t})| d\mathbf{t}$. For example, if $|r(\mathbf{t})| \leq C(1 + \|\mathbf{t}\|)^{-(d+\epsilon)}$, for some $C, \epsilon > 0$, then $r(\mathbf{t})$ is Riemann approximable.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ be a stationary Gaussian process, continuous in quadratic mean, whose covariance function $E(X_0 X_t)$ is Riemann approximable. Let V be a complex-valued measurable function of a real variable such that $V(X_0)$ is integrable. Then, for all bounded measurable subsets Λ of \mathbb{R}^d ,*

$$\left| E\left(\exp\left(\int_{\Lambda} V(X_t) dt\right)\right)\right| \leq \|\exp(V(X_0))\|_p^{|\Lambda|},$$

where $p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [E(X_0 X_t) / E(X_0^2)] dt$. \square

Notice that since $V(X_0)$ is integrable, and the Gaussian process is stationary and continuous in quadratic mean, $V(X_t)$ is a continuous function from \mathbb{R}^d to L^1 of the underlying probability space of the stochastic process. Thus $\int_{\Lambda} V(X_t) dt$ makes sense as a Lebesgue integral with values in L^1 . Notice also that $0 < p \leq \infty$, but in general we do not have $p \geq 1$. For example, in the case of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process we recover $p = 2/m$. Here $\|F\|_p = [E(|F|^p)]^{1/p}$ for any $0 < p < \infty$; for $p = \infty$ we have the standard definition.

Theorem 1 will be proven from a similar result for cyclic Gaussian processes which is of interest on its own. Given positive integers N_1, \dots, N_d , let $\mathbf{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_d)$, and let $L_{\mathbf{N}}$ be the lattice generated by $(N_1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, N_2, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 0, N_d)$, i.e., the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^d generated by these vectors. Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\mathbf{N}}$ be the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}^d/L_{\mathbf{N}}$. We will call Σ the *cyclic quotient group of \mathbb{Z}^d with period $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{N}^d$* . We will denote a general element of Σ by σ ; the identity element of Σ will be denoted by 0. A stochastic process $\{X_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ will be called a *cyclic stochastic process*.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\{X_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ be a cyclic stationary Gaussian process, and let $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ be a family of complex-valued measurable functions of a real variable. Then*

$$|E(\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} f_{\sigma}(X_{\sigma}))| \leq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \|f_{\sigma}(X_0)\|_p,$$

where $p = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} [E(X_0 X_{\sigma}) / E(X_0^2)]$. \square

This theorem also has a continuous version. Given positive numbers b_1, \dots, b_d , let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$, and let $T_{\mathbf{b}}$ be the subgroup of \mathbb{R}^d generated by $(b_1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, b_2, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, b_d)$. Let $\tau = \tau_{\mathbf{b}}$ be the quotient group $\mathbb{R}^d/T_{\mathbf{b}}$. Then τ is a d -dimensional torus, a *periodic quotient group of \mathbb{R}^d with period \mathbf{b}* . We will denote a general element of τ by τ ; the identity element will be denoted by 0. A stochastic process $\{X_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in \tau}$ will be called a *periodic stochastic process with period \mathbf{b}* . It may be viewed as a stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ such that $X_{t+\mathbf{a}} = X_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{a} \in T_{\mathbf{b}}$. We refer to $\Gamma = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 \leq t_i < b_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d\}$ as the *fundamental index set* of the periodic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ be a periodic stationary Gaussian process, continuous in quadratic mean. Let V be a complex-valued measurable function of a real variable such that $V(X_0)$ is integrable. Then, for all measurable subsets Λ of the fundamental index Γ ,*

$$\left| E\left(\exp\left(\int_{\Lambda} V(X_t) dt\right)\right) \right| \leq \|\exp(V(X_0))\|_p^{|\Lambda|},$$

where $p = \int_{\Gamma} [E(X_0 X_t) / E(X_0^2)] dt$. \square

2. Proofs of theorems. We will first prove Theorem 3. The main input is a result of Brascamp and Lieb [2]. Theorem 1 will then follow from Theorem 3 by approximating stationary Gaussian processes by cyclic Gaussian processes with arbitrarily large periods. Theorems 2 and 4 will follow from Theorems 1 and 3, respectively, by a discrete approximation.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let C be the covariance matrix for the cyclic stationary Gaussian process $\{X_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$; i.e., $c_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = E(X_{\sigma_1} X_{\sigma_2})$. Here we label the rows and columns of C by the elements of Σ . Then C is a positive semidefinite matrix. We will assume that C is actually positive definite and hence invertible. This can be done without loss of generality, for if I is the identity matrix and $C_{\epsilon} = C + \epsilon I$, $\epsilon > 0$, then C_{ϵ} is a positive definite matrix which is the covariance matrix of a cyclic stationary Gaussian process. If Theorem 3 holds for processes with positive definite covariance functions, then letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the result for a process with the (possibly) positive semidefinite covariance matrix C .

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ be a vector in the vector space \mathbb{R}^{Σ} , and let $d\mathbf{x} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} dx_{\sigma}$. Then the joint probability density of $\{X_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ is

$$d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\det C)^{-1/2} \exp(-(1/2)(\mathbf{x}, C^{-1}\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Here $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} x_{\sigma} y_{\sigma}$ is the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^{Σ} , and n is the cardinality of Σ .

To prove Theorem 3, it suffices to show that

$$(1) \quad \int \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} f_{\sigma}(x_{\sigma}) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, C^{-1}\mathbf{x})\right) (2\pi)^{-n/2} (\det C)^{-1/2} d\mathbf{x} \\ \leq \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \left(\int f_{\sigma}(x)^p \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}cx^2\right) (2\pi)^{-1/2} c^{-1/2} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

for all families $\{f_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of non-negative measurable functions of a real variable. Here $c = C_{\sigma\sigma} = E(X_{\sigma}^2) = E(X_0^2)$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

This can be rewritten as

$$(2) \quad \int \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (f_{\sigma}(x_{\sigma}) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}pcx_{\sigma}^2)) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, B\mathbf{x})\right) d\mathbf{x} \\ \leq (2\pi)^{n/2(1-1/p)} c^{-n/2p} (\det C)^{1/2} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \left[\int (f_{\sigma}(x) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}pcx^2))^p dx \right]^{1/p},$$

where $B = C^{-1} - (1/pc)I$.

If we let $g_{\sigma}(x) = f_{\sigma}(x)e^{-(1/2pc)x^2}$, then (2) can be rewritten as

$$(3) \quad \int \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} g_{\sigma}(x_{\sigma}) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, B\mathbf{x})\right) d\mathbf{x} \\ \leq (2\pi)^{n/2(1-1/p)} c^{-n/2p} (\det C)^{1/2} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \left(\int g_{\sigma}(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

By a result of Brascamp and Lieb [2; Theorem 6], we have

$$\int \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} g_{\sigma}(x_{\sigma}) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, B\mathbf{x})\right) d\mathbf{x} \leq E_B \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \left(\int g_{\sigma}(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

where B is a positive definite matrix and E_B is the best possible constant for the case when all the g_{σ} 's are Gaussian, i.e.,

$$(4) \quad E_B = \sup_{(b_{\sigma} > 0, \sigma \in \Sigma)} \frac{\int \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}b_{\sigma}x_{\sigma}^2\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, B\mathbf{x})\right) d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \int \left(\exp\left(-\frac{p}{2}b_{\sigma}x^2\right) dx \right)^{1/p}}.$$

Let

$$\Phi(\mathbf{b})^{1/2} = \frac{\int \left[\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}b_{\sigma}x_{\sigma}^2\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, B\mathbf{x})\right) d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \left(\int \exp\left(-\frac{p}{2}b_{\sigma}x^2\right) dx \right)^{1/p}},$$

where $\mathbf{b} = (b_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$. Then a calculation gives

$$\Phi(\mathbf{b})^{1/2} = \frac{\int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}, (B+bI)\mathbf{x})\right) d\mathbf{x}}{\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (2\pi/pb_{\sigma})^{1/2p}} = (2\pi)^{n/2(1-1/p)} p^{n/2p} [\det(B + \mathbf{b}I)]^{-1/2} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} b_{\sigma}^{1/2p},$$

where $\mathbf{b}I$ is the diagonal matrix whose values on the diagonal are the corresponding values of \mathbf{b} .

For a positive definite matrix B , we can write $B = U(\lambda I)U'$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ with all $\lambda_{\sigma} > 0$, and U is some real orthogonal matrix. We set $B(\mathbf{d}) = U(\mathbf{d}I)U'$ for all $\mathbf{d} = (d_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ with all $d_{\sigma} > 0$. In particular $B = B(\lambda)$.

Let us now define

$$\psi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) = (2\pi)^{n/(1-1/p)} p^{n/p} [\det(B(\mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{b}I)]^{-1} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} b_{\sigma}^{1/p}.$$

As shown by Brascamp and Lieb [2; Equation (2.13)], $\ln \psi$ is a concave function of $\{\ln b_{\sigma}, \ln d_{\sigma}; \sigma \in \Sigma\}$. Let $y_{\sigma} = \ln b_{\sigma}$, $z_{\sigma} = \ln d_{\sigma}$, $\varphi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \ln \psi(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d})$. Then φ is a concave function of (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) . For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let σ act on \mathbf{y} by permuting its coordinates: the σ' coordinate of $\sigma\mathbf{y}$ is the $\sigma + \sigma'$ coordinate of \mathbf{y} . As φ is concave,

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \varphi(\sigma\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\right).$$

But $\psi(\mathbf{b}, \lambda) = \Phi(\mathbf{b})$. Since the Gaussian process is stationary with respect to the group Σ , the covariance matrix C commutes with the linear operator $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \sigma\mathbf{y}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and hence so does the matrix B . Thus $\Phi(\sigma\mathbf{b}) = \Phi(\mathbf{b})$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Thus for $\eta_{\sigma} = \ln \lambda_{\sigma}$,

$$\varphi(\sigma\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \varphi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\eta}).$$

Thus (5) gives

$$(6) \quad \varphi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \leq \varphi(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \boldsymbol{\eta}),$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = (1/n) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma\mathbf{y}$ has all its coordinates equal to $\bar{y} = (1/n) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} y_{\sigma}$. Let $\bar{b} = e^{\bar{y}}$, and let $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$ be the vector all of whose coordinates equal \bar{b} . Then (6) gives

$$\Phi(\mathbf{b}) \leq \Phi(\bar{\mathbf{b}}).$$

We can thus conclude that in expression (4) for E_B we need only consider vectors \mathbf{b} all of whose coordinates are equal, say, to b . Thus

$$(7) \quad E_B = \sup_{b>0} (2\pi)^{n/2(1-1/p)} p^{n/2p} [\det(B + bI)]^{-1/2} b^{n/2p},$$

if B is positive definite. Since $B = C^{-1} - (1/p)cI$, this is equivalent to $C < pcI$ or to the following condition on the operator norm $\|C\|$ of C :

$$(8) \quad \|C\| < pc.$$

If $1 \leq p$ satisfies (8), it follows now from (7) that to prove (3) we must show that

$$\sup_{b>0} (pbc)^{n/p} [\det(C^{-1} - (1/p)cI + bI) \det C]^{-1} \leq 1,$$

i.e.,

$$(9) \quad \sup_{b>0} (pbc)^{n/p} [\det(I + (b - 1/p)cC)]^{-1} \leq 1.$$

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of C ; since C is positive definite, all $\lambda_i > 0$. From (8) it follows that $\lambda_i < pc$. Notice that (9) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad (n/p) \ln(pbc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + (b - 1/p)c\lambda_i) \leq 0$$

for all $b > 0$. Let us fix $b > 0$, and consider

$$g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = (n/p) \ln(pbc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + (b - 1/p)c\lambda_i)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq pc$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = \text{tr } C = nc$. By compactness, g attains its maximum at some $(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_n)$. Suppose there are two $\tilde{\lambda}$'s, say $\tilde{\lambda}_i \leq \tilde{\lambda}_j$, not equal to either 0 or pc . Then let us replace $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ by $\tilde{\lambda}_i - \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_j$ by $\tilde{\lambda}_j + \varepsilon$. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, all conditions are still satisfied but the function g increases. Thus at most one of the $\tilde{\lambda}_i$'s is neither 0 nor pc . Thus we find (reordering if necessary) $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \dots = \tilde{\lambda}_k = pc$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{k+1} = \varepsilon pc$ for some $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{k+2} = \dots = \tilde{\lambda}_n = 0$. Moreover, $(k + \varepsilon)p = n$ since $\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \dots + \tilde{\lambda}_n = nc$. Thus to prove (10) we must show that

$$(11) \quad (k + \varepsilon) \ln(pbc) - k \ln(pbc) - \ln(1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon pc) \leq 0.$$

Let us consider the left-hand side of (11) as a function of ε , $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$. This function is convex, and equals 0 for $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1. Thus it is always ≤ 0 for $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ so (11) follows.

To finish the proof of the theorem, we will now investigate condition (8). Let $|C|$ be the matrix with entries $|C|_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = |C_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}|$ for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$. Then $|C|$ is a self-adjoint matrix with nonnegative entries and $\|C\| \leq \| |C| \|$. Since C is invariant under the action of Σ , i.e., $C_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} = C_{\sigma_1 + \sigma, \sigma_2 + \sigma}$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma \in \Sigma$, it follows that $\alpha = \sum_{\sigma' \in \Sigma} |C_{\sigma, \sigma'}|$ is independent of σ . Thus, if Ω is the column vector all of whose coordinates are 1, then $|C| \Omega = \alpha \Omega$. Since $|C|$ is self-adjoint and Ω has positive coordinates, it follows from the Perron-Frobenius Theorem that $\| |C| \| = \alpha$.

Thus we have proved the inequality of Theorem 3 for $p > \alpha/c = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} [|C_{0, \sigma}|/C_{0,0}]$. By taking the limit as $p \downarrow \alpha/c$ we obtain the desired result. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a stationary Gaussian process. Then its covariance function $r(\mathbf{n}) = E(X_0 X_n)$ is a function of positive type on \mathbb{R}^d . Without loss of generality we can assume that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |r(\mathbf{n})| < \infty$. To use Theorem 3 we will need the following.

LEMMA. *Let $r(\mathbf{n})$ be a function of positive type on \mathbb{Z}^d such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |r(\mathbf{n})| < \infty$. Then for all positive integers N ,*

$$r_N(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} r(\mathbf{n} + N\mathbf{k})$$

is a well defined function of positive type on \mathbb{Z}^d , periodic in each variable $n_i, i = 1, \dots, d$, with period N , and such that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} r_N(\mathbf{n}) = r(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. \square

PROOF. The only nontrivial part is that $r_N(\mathbf{n})$ is a function of positive type on \mathbb{Z}^d , i.e., that given $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have

$$(12) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{c}_i c_j r_N(\mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{n}_j) \geq 0.$$

So let us fix $M > 0$, and for each pair (i, \mathbf{s}) , where $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ with $s_k = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$, we define the complex number $a_{(i, \mathbf{s})} = c_i$ and the vector in \mathbb{Z}^d $\mathbf{n}_{(i, \mathbf{s})} = \mathbf{n}_i + N\mathbf{s}$.

Since $r(\mathbf{n})$ is a function of positive type on \mathbb{Z}^d , we have

$$M^{-d} \sum \bar{a}_{(i, \mathbf{s})} a_{(j, \mathbf{t})} r(\mathbf{n}_{(i, \mathbf{s})} - \mathbf{n}_{(j, \mathbf{t})}) \geq 0,$$

where the summation is over all pairs (i, \mathbf{s}) and (j, \mathbf{t}) as above. Explicitly calculating the above sum, we get

$$(13) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{c}_i c_j \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_d = -(M-1)}^{M-1} \prod_{k=1}^d [(M - |s_k|)/M] r(\mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{n}_j + N\mathbf{s}).$$

Let $h_M(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{k=1}^d [(M - |s_k|)/M]$ for $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ with $s_k = -(M - 1), \dots, M - 1$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$, and $h_M(\mathbf{s}) = 0$ otherwise for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $0 \leq h_M(\mathbf{s}) \leq 1$, and $h_M(\mathbf{s}) \rightarrow 1$ for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. We can rewrite (13) as

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{c}_i c_j \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_M(\mathbf{s}) r(\mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{n}_j + N\mathbf{s}) \geq 0.$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ and applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem in ℓ^1 , we get that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{c}_i c_j \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} r(\mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{n}_j + N\mathbf{s}) \geq 0,$$

i.e.,
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{c}_i c_j r_N(\mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{n}_j) \geq 0,$$

which is (12). \square

To prove Theorem 1, let $N > 0$ be chosen greater than any coordinate of any $\mathbf{j} \in J$, where J is a fixed finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^d . Let $r_n(\mathbf{n})$ be defined as in the Lemma, and let $\{X_{N, \mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be the stationary Gaussian process with covariance function $E(X_{N, \mathbf{i}} X_{N, \mathbf{j}}) = r_N(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{i})$. Since $r_N(\mathbf{n})$ is periodic in each variable with period N , $r_N(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k}) = r_N(\mathbf{n})$ for any $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and any $\mathbf{k} \in L_N$, the lattice generated by $(N, 0, 0, \dots, 0), (0, N, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 0, N)$. Let \sum_N be the quotient group \mathbb{Z}^d/L_N . We can consider $\{X_{N, \mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ as a

cyclic stationary Gaussian process indexed by \sum_N . We now apply Theorem 3 to get

$$|E(\prod_{j \in J} f_j(X_{N,j}))| \leq \prod_{j \in J} \|f_j(X_{N,0})\|_{p_N},$$

where $p_N = \sum [r_N(j)/r_N(\mathbf{0})]$, the summation being over all $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$ with $j_k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

Passing to the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1. \square

Since the proof of Theorem 4 from Theorem 3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 from Theorem 1, we will only present the proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Without loss of generality we assume that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |E(X_0 X_t)| dt < \infty$. We can also assume that V is real-valued.

Let us first consider the case when Λ is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^d . For N a positive integer, let A_N be the set of $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that the closed cube of side N^{-1} centered at $N^{-1}\mathbf{k}$ with edges parallel to the coordinate axes is contained in Λ . Since Λ is bounded, A_N is a finite set; let a_N be its cardinality. Then we have

$$\int_{\Lambda} V(X_t) dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in A_N} N^{-d} V(X_{N^{-1}\mathbf{k}}),$$

the limit being in L^1 of the underlying probability space. By Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\exp[\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in A_N} N^{-d} V(X_{N^{-1}\mathbf{k}})]) &= E(\prod_{\mathbf{k} \in A_N} \exp(N^{-d} V(X_{N^{-1}\mathbf{k}}))) \\ (14) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \prod_{\mathbf{k} \in A_N} \|\exp(N^{-d} V(X_0))\|_{p_N} = \|\exp(V(X_0))\|_{N^{-d} p_N}^{N a_N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_N = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} [E(X_0 X_{N^{-1}\mathbf{k}}) / E(X_0^2)]$.

As $N \rightarrow \infty$, $N^d a_N \rightarrow |\Lambda|$. As $E(X_0 X_t)$ is Riemann approximable, $N^{-d} p_N \rightarrow p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [E(X_0 X_t) / E(X_0^2)] dt$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By Fatou's Lemma and (14),

$$E\left(\exp\left(\int_{\Lambda} V(X_t) dt\right)\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\exp[\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in A_N} N^{-d} V(X_{N^{-1}\mathbf{k}})]) \leq \|\exp(V(X_0))\|_p^{|\Lambda|}.$$

As an arbitrary bounded measurable set is, up to a set of measure zero, the intersection of a decreasing sequence of bounded open sets, the general result follows. \square

REFERENCES

[1] BECKNER, W. (1975). Inequalities in Fourier analysis. *Ann. of Math.* **102**, 159-182.
 [2] BRASCAMP, H. J. and LIEB, E. H. (1976). Best constants in Young's inequality, its converse, and its generalization to more than three functions. *Adv. in Math.* **20** 151-173.
 [3] GROSS, L. (1975). Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *Amer. J. Math.* **97** 1061-1083.
 [4] GUERRA, F., ROSEN, L., and SIMON, B. (1975). The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean quantum field theory as classical statistical mechanics. *Ann. of Math.* **101** 111-189.
 [5] KLEIN, A. and LANDAU, L. J. (1975). Singular perturbations of positivity preserving semigroups via path space techniques. *J. Functional Anal.* **20** 44-82.
 [6] NELSON, E. (1973). The free Markoff field. *J. Functional Anal.* **12** 211-227.
 [7] NELSON, E. (1973). Probability theory and Euclidean field theory. In *Constructive Quantum Field Theory* (G. Velo and A. Wightman, eds.), Springer, Berlin.
 [8] SIMON, B. (1974). *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory*. Princeton University Press.

ABEL KLEIN
 DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92717

LAWRENCE J. LANDAU
 MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
 BEDFORD COLLEGE
 UNIVERSITY OF LONDON
 REGENT'S PARK, LONDON NW1 4NS
 ENGLAND

DAVID S. SHUCKER
 DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92717