## A NOTE ON THE WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

By Melvin Katz<sup>1</sup>

State University of New York at Albany

Let  $\{X_k: k \geq 1\}$  denote a sequence of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables. Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ . If  $S_n/n$  converges to zero in probability but not with probability one (wp 1) it is well known that  $\limsup_n |S_n|/n = +\infty$  wp 1. The purpose of this note is to show that in fact  $\limsup_n S_n/n = +\infty$  wp 1 and  $\liminf_n S_n/n = -\infty$  wp 1.

LEMMA. Let  $\{X_k: k \geq 1\}$  be iid then the following are equivalent.

- (a)  $\limsup_{n} S_n/n = +\infty \text{ wp } 1.$
- (b)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} P(S_n > nM) = \infty \text{ for all } M > 0.$

PROOF. Suppose that (a) holds. Then  $\limsup_n (S_n - nM)/n = +\infty$  wp 1 for all positive M. Consequently  $\limsup_n (S_n - nM) = \infty$  wp 1 for all positive M. Therefore by (Theorem 4.1, [1]) (b) holds. Conversely if (b) holds it follows, again from (Theorem 4.1, [1]), that  $\limsup_n (S_n - nM) = +\infty$  wp 1 for all positive M and therefore (a) holds.

Lemma. Let  $X_k: k \geq 1$  be iid,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and suppose that  $S_n/n$  converges to zero in probability. Then there exists a positive constant A such that

$$P(S_n > n\epsilon) \ge AnP(X_1 > 2n\epsilon)$$
 for  $n \ge n_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mu(X)$  denote the median of the random variable X and let  $S_n^i = \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n X_k$ . Then

$$P(S_n > n\epsilon) \ge P \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ [X_i > n\epsilon - (n-1)\mu(S_n^i/(n-1))]$$

$$\cap [S_n^i > (n-1)\mu(S_n^i/(n-1))] \}$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=1}^n [\frac{1}{2} - (i-1)P(T_1)]P(T_i)$$

where  $T_i = [X_i > n\epsilon - (n-1)\mu(S_n^i/(n-1))]$ . Further  $S_n/n$  converging to zero in probability implies that  $\mu(S_n^i/(n-1)) \to 0$  and  $nP[|X_1| > n\epsilon/2] \to 0$ . Therefore there exists  $n_0$  such that if  $n \ge n_0$  it follows that

$$P(X_1 > 2n\epsilon) \le P(T_1) \le P(X_1 > n\epsilon/2)$$
 and  $\left[\frac{1}{2} - nP(X_1 > n\epsilon/2)\right] \ge A > 0$ .

Thus if  $n \ge n_0$  it follows that  $P(S_n > n_{\epsilon}) \ge AnP(X_1 > 2n_{\epsilon})$ .

THEOREM. Let  $\{X_k : k \geq 1\}$  be iid and suppose  $S_n/n$  converges to zero in probability but not wp 1. Then  $\limsup_n S_n/n = +\infty$  wp 1 and  $\liminf_n S_n/n = -\infty$  wp 1.

PROOF. First note that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_1 > 2n\epsilon) = \infty$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . For if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_1 > 2n\epsilon) < \infty$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  it would follow that  $EX_1^+ < \infty$ ; and

Received 8 November 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Research partially supported by NSF Grant GP-1816.

then, since  $\int_{|x|< n} xF(dx) \to 0$ , that  $EX_1 = 0$ . This is impossible since  $S_n/n$  does not converge wp 1. Therefore, by the preceding lemma,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1}P(S_n > n\epsilon)$  is infinite for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and it follows from the first lemma that  $\limsup_n S_n/n = + \infty$  wp 1.

Finally since  $\{-X_k: k \ge 1\}$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem it follows that  $\liminf_n S_n/n = -\infty$ .

## REFERENCE

 SPITZER, F. (1956). A combinatorial lemma and its applications to probability theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 323-339.