

Ann. Funct. Anal. 9 (2018), no. 2, 180–189 https://doi.org/10.1215/20088752-2017-0037 ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic) http://projecteuclid.org/afa

ESSENTIAL NORM OF THE COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON THE GENERAL SPACES $H_{\omega,p}$ OF HARDY SPACES

S. REZAEI

Communicated by K. Guerlebeck

ABSTRACT. We obtain estimates for the essential norm of the composition operators acting on the general spaces $H_{\omega,p}$ of Hardy spaces. Our characterization is given in terms of generalized Nevanlinna counting functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the algebra of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . For $0 , the Hardy space <math>H^p$ is the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$||f||_{H^p} = \sup_{0 \le r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty,$$

where

$$M_p(r, f) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^p d\theta \right\}^{1/p}.$$

For $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ and $0 , the classical weighted Bergman space <math>\mathcal{A}^p_{\alpha}$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ for which

$$||f||_{\mathcal{A}^{p}_{\alpha}}^{p} = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{p} (1 - |z|^{2})^{\alpha} dA(z) < \infty,$$

Copyright 2018 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Oct. 23, 2016; Accepted Mar. 28, 2017.

First published online Oct. 11, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B38; Secondary 30H99, 30H20. Keywords. $H_{\omega,p}$ space, composition operator, essential norm.

where dA(z) is the area measure on \mathbb{D} . The following generalization of the Littlewood–Paley formula was first used by Stanton [11]:

$$||f||_{H^p}^p = |f(0)|^p + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^2 dA(z).$$
(1.1)

There is also an analogue (see [10, Lemma 2.3]):

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{p}_{\alpha}}^{p} \asymp |f(0)|^{p} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^{2} (1-|z|^{2})^{\alpha+2} dA(z).$$
(1.2)

For two positive real-valued functions f_1 and f_2 , we write $f_1 \leq f_2$ if there exists a positive constant C independent of the argument such that $f_1 \leq Cf_2$. Similarly, $f_1 \approx f_2$ means that $f_1 \leq f_2$ and that $f_2 \leq f_1$.

In the present article, weight function means a positive integrable function $\omega \in C^2[0, 1)$ which is radial, $\omega(z) = \omega(|z|)$. In order to state our results, first let us generalize [4, Definition 1.1].

Definition 1.1. For $0 , a weight function <math>\omega$ is called *admissible* if

- $(\omega_1) \ \omega$ is nonincreasing;
- $(\omega_2) \frac{\omega(r)}{(1-r)^{(1+\delta)\frac{p}{2}}}$ is nondecreasing for some $\delta > 0$;
- $(\omega_3) \lim_{r \to 1^-} \omega(r) = 0;$
- (ω_4) one of the two properties of convexity is fulfilled:
 - (i) ω is convex and $\lim_{r\to 1} \omega'(r) = 0$, or
 - (ii) ω is concave.

If ω satisfies conditions $(\omega_1)-(\omega_3)$ and $(\omega_4)(i)$ (resp., $(\omega_4)(ii)$), then we say that ω is (i)-admissible (resp., (ii)-admissible).

In view of results (1.1) and (1.2), the general space $H_{\omega,p}$ of the Hardy space is defined as follows (see [5]). For a weight function ω , $H_{\omega,p}$ denotes the space of analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} such that

$$||f||_{\omega,p}^{p} = |f(0)|^{p} + p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^{2} \omega(z) \, dA(z) < \infty.$$

It is worth pointing out that, for $p \ge 2$, if $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , then

$$||f||_{\omega,p}^{p} \asymp \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n}|^{p} \omega_{n}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $\omega_0 = 1$ and, for $n \ge 1$,

$$\omega_n = 2\pi n^p \int_0^1 r^{pn-p+1} \omega(r) \, dr.$$

This is because we first use the Holder inequality to obtain

$$\begin{split} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n\right|^{p-2} &\leq \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |na_n z^n|^p\right\}^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right\}^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{p}} \\ &\leq C \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^p |a_n|^p |z|^{(n-1)p}\right\}^{1-\frac{2}{p}}. \end{split}$$

Subsequently, since $\varphi(t) = t^p$ is convex for $p \ge 2$, by the Jensen inequality we have

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}\right|^2 \le \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |na_n z^{n-1}|^p\right\}^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Thus,

$$||f||_{\omega,p}^{p} \leq |a_{0}|^{p} + C \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p} |a_{n}|^{p} |z|^{(n-1)p} \omega(z) \, dA(z)$$
$$= |a_{0}|^{p} + C \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p} |a_{n}|^{p} r^{(n-1)p+1} \omega(r) \, dr.$$

Conversely, let Δ be the Laplacian since

$$\Delta |f(z)|^{p} = p^{2} |f(z)|^{p-2} |f'(z)|^{2}, \qquad (1.4)$$

and then whenever $f(z) \neq 0$, we have

$$r\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|f(re^{i\theta})\right|^{p}d\theta\right) = \frac{p^{2}}{2}\int_{|z|< r}\left|f(z)\right|^{p-2}\left|f'(z)\right|^{2}dA(z)$$
(1.5)

(see[12]). By (1.5), the proof of the lower estimate is straightforward (see [5]). The space $H_{\omega,p}$ is linear by virtue of (1.4). For $p \ge 2$, Lee in [5, Corollary 2.8] proved that every function in $H_{\omega,p}$ is the quotient of two bounded functions in $H_{\omega,p}$.

For p = 2, the space $H_{\omega,p}$ is the weighted Hilbert space H_{ω} (see [4]). Suppose that p = 2, $\omega_{\alpha}(r) = (1 - r^2)^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > -1$, and denote $H_{\omega_{\alpha},2}$ by H_{α} . Then the space H_1 can be identified with the Hardy space H^2 . In the case $0 \le \alpha < 1$, H_{α} is precisely the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D}_{α} , and H_0 corresponds to the classical Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} .

An important ingredient in our study is the use of $N_{\varphi,\omega}$, the generalized Nevanlinna counting function associated with φ, ω , which is defined as follows. For a nonconstant analytic self-map φ of \mathbb{D} and a weight ω , the generalized Nevanlinna counting function associated to φ, ω is defined by

$$N_{\varphi,\omega}(\xi) = \sum_{\varphi(z)=\xi, z \in \mathbb{D}} \omega(z), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \big\{\varphi(0)\big\}.$$

Note that $N_{\varphi,\omega}(\xi) = 0$ when $\xi \notin \varphi(\mathbb{D})$. By convention, we define $N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) = 0$ when $z = \varphi(0)$. In the special case when $\omega(r) = \log \frac{1}{r}$, $r \in [0, 1)$, $N_{\varphi,\omega} = N_{\varphi}$ is the usual Nevanlinna counting function associated to φ . The generalized Nevanlinna counting function is considered in the special case of weighted Bergman spaces with standard weights (see, e.g., [7]). By the following general change-of-variable formula, and in view of generalized Nevanlinna counting functions, we obtain an equivalent form of the norm on $H_{\omega,p}$.

Lemma 1.2 ([1, Proposition 2.1]). Let φ be a nonconstant analytic function in \mathbb{D} , and let u, ν be nonnegative measurable functions on \mathbb{C} with respect to area measure. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (u \circ \varphi) \nu |\varphi'|^2 \, dA = \int_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} u(\xi) \Big(\sum_{\varphi(z)=\xi} \nu(z)\Big) \, dA(\xi). \tag{1.6}$$

Replacing $u(\xi) = |\xi|^{p-2}$, $\nu(z) = \omega(z)$, and $\varphi(z) = f(z)$ in (1.6) with a nonconstant function $f \in H_{\omega,p}$, we have

$$||f||_{\omega,p}^{p} = |f(0)|^{p} + p^{2} \int_{f(\mathbb{D})} |\xi|^{p-2} N_{\omega,f}(\xi) \, dA(\xi).$$

Every analytic self-map φ of \mathbb{D} induces a composition operator C_{φ} on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$, defined by $(C_{\varphi}f)(z) = f(\varphi(z))$. (Some results of the composition operators can be found in [2] and [9], for example.) Pau and Perez studied the essential norm of composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces in [6]. Hassanlou generalized the results of [6] to weighted Hilbert spaces of analytic functions [3]. The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the results of [4] and [6] to the $H_{\omega,p}$ spaces and to present the characterization of the essential norm of the composition operator on the $H_{\omega,p}$ space by using the generalized Nevanlinna counting function. Note that, throughout the remainder of this paper, constants are denoted by C; they are positive and may differ from one occurrence to the other.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some lemmas which will be used in our characterizations. Per the following lemma, the generalized Nevanlinna counting function has the submean value property.

Lemma 2.1 ([4, Lemmas 2.2, 2.3]). Let ω be an admissible weight, and let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then for every r > 0 and every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $D(z, r) \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have

$$N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \le \frac{2}{r^2} \int_{D(z,r)} N_{\varphi,\omega}(\xi) \, dA(\xi),$$

where D(z,r) denotes the disk of radius r centered at z.

By the same method used in the proof of [4, Lemma 2.5], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Let ω be a weight satisfying (ω_1) and (ω_2) . For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, define

$$f_a(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\omega(a)}} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{1+\delta}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{1+\delta}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then $||f_a||_{H_{\omega,p} \leq 1}$.

Proof. By virtue of (ω_1) and (ω_2) , $f_a(0) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{1+\delta}}{\sqrt[p]{w(a)}}$ is bounded by $\frac{2^{1+\delta}}{\sqrt[p]{w(0)}}$. Using simple computation, we have $f'_a(z) = \frac{(1+\delta)\bar{a}}{\sqrt[p]{w(a)}} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{1+\delta}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{2+\delta}}$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| f_a(z) \right|^{p-2} \left| f'_a(z) \right|^2 \omega(z) \, dA(z) \\ \leq \frac{(1+\delta)^2 |a|^2}{\omega(a)} \left(1 - |a|^2 \right)^{(1+\delta)p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z).$$

S. REZAEI

On one hand, applying (ω_1) and the following well-known estimate (see [12, Theorem 1.12]):

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^c}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{2+c+d}} \asymp \frac{1}{(1-|a|^2)^d}, \quad d > 0, c > -1,$$
(2.1)

we obtain

$$\int_{|z|>|a|} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z) \le \omega(a) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z)$$
$$\asymp \frac{\omega(a)}{(1-|a|^2)^{p(1+\delta)}}.$$

On the other hand, by (ω_2) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{|z| \le |a|} \frac{\omega(z)}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z) \le \frac{\omega(a)}{(1 - |a|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(1+\delta)}} \int_{|z| \le |a|} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}(1+\delta)}}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z) \\ \asymp \frac{\omega(a)}{(1 - |a|^2)^{p(1+\delta)}}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.3. Let σ_a be the automorphism of the unit disk given by

$$\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

and let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . If ω satisfies (ω_1) and (ω_2) , then

$$\omega(z) \asymp \omega\big(\sigma_{\varphi(0)}(z)\big).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [4, Lemma 2.1].

Throughout this paper, by Lemma 2.3, we will assume that $\varphi(0) = 0$.

3. Main results

The main result of the paper will concern the essential norm of C_{φ} on $H_{\omega,p}$. Nevertheless, we have to ensure the boundedness of C_{φ} .

For the case of (i)-admissible weights, C_{φ} is a bounded operator on $H_{\omega,p}$. Indeed, if we assume that $\varphi_r(z) = \varphi(rz)$, for every 0 < r < 1 and $\frac{d^2\omega}{dr^2} = \sigma$, then by the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [4], we have

$$N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \le \int_0^1 N_{\varphi_r}(z)\sigma(r)\,dr \le 2N_{\varphi,\omega}(z). \tag{3.1}$$

Using the classical Littlewood inequality, for the function $r^{-1}\varphi_r$, we get $N_{\varphi_r}(z) \leq \log(\frac{r}{|z|})$. So by (3.1), ω_1 and ω_3 , we get

$$N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \le \int_0^1 N_{\varphi_r}(z)\sigma(r)\,dr = \int_{|z|}^1 N_{\varphi_r}(z)\sigma(r)\,dr \le \int_{|z|}^1 \log\left(\frac{r}{|z|}\right)\sigma(r)\,dr \le 2\omega(z).$$

184

Therefore, using the change-of-variable formula (1.6), since $N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) = 0$ when $z \notin \varphi(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\varphi}f\|_{H_{\omega,p}}^{p} &= \left|f\left(\varphi(0)\right)\right|^{p} + p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left|f\left(\varphi(z)\right)\right|^{p-2} \left|f'\left(\varphi(z)\right)\right|^{2} \left|\varphi'(z)\right|^{2} \omega(z) \, dA(z) \\ &= \left|f(0)\right|^{p} + p^{2} \int_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \left|f(z)\right|^{p-2} \left|f'(z)\right|^{2} N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \, dA(z) \\ &\leq \left|f(0)\right|^{p} + 2p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left|f(z)\right|^{p-2} \left|f'(z)\right|^{2} \omega(z) \, dA(z) \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_{H_{\omega,p}}^{p}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.2)

which shows that for the (i)-admissible weight ω , C_{φ} is a bounded operator on $H_{\omega,p}$.

For the case of (ii)-admissible weights, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let ω be a (ii)-admissible weight. Then C_{φ} is bounded on $H_{\omega,p}$ if and only if

$$\sup_{|z|<1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{\omega(z)} < \infty.$$
(3.3)

Proof. Assume that (3.3) holds. It is clear that, in a way similar to (3.2), for each (ii)-admissible weight, C_{φ} is a bounded operator on $H_{\omega,p}$.

Conversely, assume that C_{φ} is a bounded operator on $H_{\omega,p}$. Let f_a be the test function defined as Lemma 2.2. In the case where |a| is close enough to 1, $D(a, \frac{1-|a|}{2}) \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus D(0, \frac{1}{2})$. Using the change-of-variable formula (1.6), Lemma 2.1, and the well-known fact that $|1 - \bar{a}z| \asymp (1 - |a|)$ for $z \in D(a, \frac{1-|a|}{2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{\varphi}f_{a}||_{H_{\omega,p}}^{p} &\geq p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| f_{a}(\varphi(z)) \right|^{p-2} \left| f_{a}'(\varphi(z)) \right|^{2} \left| \varphi'(z) \right|^{2} \omega(z) \, dA(z) \\ &= p^{2} \int_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \left| f_{a}(z) \right|^{p-2} \left| f_{a}'(z) \right|^{2} N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \, dA(z) \\ &\geq C \frac{(1-|a|^{2})^{p(1+\delta)}}{\omega(a)} \int_{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z) \\ &\geq C \frac{(1-|a|^{2})^{p(1+\delta)}}{\omega(a)} \int_{D(a,\frac{1-|a|}{2})} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{|1-\bar{a}z|^{p(1+\delta)+2}} \, dA(z) \\ &\geq C \frac{1}{(1-|a|^{2})^{2} \omega(a)} \int_{D(a,\frac{1-|a|}{2})} N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \, dA(z) \\ &\geq C \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(a)}{\omega(a)}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

where C does not depend on the point a. This gives

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(a)}{\omega(a)} \le C\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|C_{\varphi}f_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}}^p \le C\|C_{\varphi}\|^p\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\|f_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}}^p.$$

Thus (3.3) holds by virtue of Lemma 2.2 and the boundedness of C_{φ} on $H_{\omega,p}$. \Box

Recall that the essential norm $||T||_e$ of a bounded linear operator T is its distance (in the operator norm) from compact operators; that is,

$$||T||_e = \inf_K ||T - K||,$$

where K is compact. In [6, Theorem 3.2], Pau and Perez estimated the essential norm of C_{φ} on \mathcal{D}_{α} , $0 < \alpha < 1$, as follows:

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_e^2 \asymp \limsup_{|z| \to 1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\alpha}(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}}.$$
(3.5)

This result was later generalized by Hassanlou to the weighted Hilbert spaces H_{ω} in [3] as well:

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{2} \asymp \limsup_{|z| \to 1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{\omega(z)}, \qquad (3.6)$$

where ω is an admissible weight.

We generalize the results (3.5) and (3.6) for the spaces $H_{\omega,p}$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let ω be an admissible weight, and let C_{φ} be a bounded operator on $H_{\omega,p}$. Then

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{p} \asymp \limsup_{|z| \to 1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{\omega(z)}.$$
(3.7)

Proof. For the lower estimate, we use the same technique used in the proof of [8, Theorem 2.1]. Suppose that

$$L := \limsup_{|z| \to 1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{\omega(z)}.$$

For an analytic function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in \mathbb{D} , let

$$T_k f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n z^n, \qquad R_k f(z) = \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty a_n z^n.$$

Since T_k is compact and C_{φ} is bounded, we have

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e} = \|C_{\varphi}(T_{k} + R_{k})\|_{e} \le \|C_{\varphi}T_{k}\|_{e} + \|C_{\varphi}R_{k}\|_{e} \le \|C_{\varphi}R_{k}\|_{e}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} \|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{p} &\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \|C_{\varphi}R_{k}\|^{p} \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{H_{\omega,p}} \leq 1} \left\| (C_{\varphi}R_{k})(f) \right\|^{p} \\ &= p^{2}\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{H_{\omega,p}} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| (R_{k}f)(z) \right|^{p-2} \left| (R_{k}f)'(z) \right|^{2} N_{\varphi,\omega}(z) \, dA(z) \\ &\leq p^{2}L\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{H_{\omega,p}} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| (R_{k}f)(z) \right|^{p-2} \left| (R_{k}f)'(z) \right|^{2} \omega(z) \, dA(z) \\ &= p^{2}L\liminf_{k \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{H_{\omega,p}} \leq 1} \left\| R_{k}f \right\|_{H_{\omega,p}}^{p} \\ &\leq CL. \end{split}$$

Proof of the upper estimate is similar to [6, Theorem 3.2]. Consider the functions f_a defined in Lemma 2.2. Applying (ω_2) , we conclude that $f_a \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $|a| \to 1$. Hence for every compact operator K on $H_{\omega,p}$, we have $\lim_{|a|\to 1^-} \|Kf_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}} = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{split} \|C_{\varphi} - K\| &\geq \limsup_{|a| \to 1} \|C_{\varphi} f_a - K f_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{|a| \to 1} \|C_{\varphi} f_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}} - \limsup_{|a| \to 1} \|K f_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}} \\ &= \limsup_{|a| \to 1} \|C_{\varphi} f_a\|_{H_{\omega,p}}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, it follows that

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{p} \geq \limsup_{|a| \to 1} p^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left|f_{a}(\varphi(z))\right|^{p-2} \left|f_{a}'(\varphi(z))\right|^{2} \left|\varphi'(z)\right|^{2} \omega(z) \, dA(z)$$

since $|f_a(\varphi(0))| \to 0$ as $|a| \to 1$. Therefore, from (3.4), it holds that

$$\|C_{\varphi}\|_{e}^{p} \ge C \limsup_{|a| \to 1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(a)}{\omega(a)}.$$

Corollary 3.3. Let ω be an admissible weight. Then C_{φ} is compact on $H_{\omega,p}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \to 1} \frac{N_{\varphi,\omega}(z)}{\omega(z)} = 0.$$

In the following example we are going to characterize the weight function ω_{μ} associated to μ , which ensures that $\mathcal{A}^{p}_{\mu}(\mathbb{D}) \supseteq H_{\omega_{\mu},p}$, for $p \geq 2$.

Example 3.4. For $0 and a continuous function <math>\mu : [0, 1) \to (0, \infty)$ such that $\mu \in L^1(0, 1)$, the weighted Bergman space $\mathcal{A}^p_{\mu}(\mathbb{D})$ is the space of all analytic functions in \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\|^p_{\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| f(z) \right|^p \mu(|z|) \, dA(z) < \infty.$$

For $p \geq 2$, by the Jensen inequality, a function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}^p_{\mu}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if

$$\|f\|^p_{\mu} \asymp \sum_{n \ge 0} |a_n|^p \mu_n < \infty,$$

where

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 r^{np+1} \mu(r) \, dr, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Using the same techniques used in [4], the weight function associated to μ is defined by

$$\omega_{\mu}(r) = \int_{r}^{1} (t-r)\mu(t) \, dt.$$

Since $\mu \in L^1(0,1)$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} \omega_{\mu}'(r) = \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} -\int_{r}^{1} \mu(t) \, dt = 0.$$

Note that $\omega''_{\mu}(r) = \mu(r)$. We have

$$\frac{\mu_{n+1}}{(n+1)^p} \preceq \int_0^1 r^{np+1} \omega_\mu(r) \, dr, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Thus for every $f \in \mathcal{A}^p_{\mu}(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$||f||^p_{\mu} \preceq ||f||^p_{\omega_{\mu},p},$$

which ensures that $\mathcal{A}^p_{\mu}(\mathbb{D}) \supseteq H_{\omega_{\mu},p}$.

Moreover, the weight ω_{μ} always satisfied $(\omega_1), (\omega_3)$, and (i). Thus ω_{μ} is (i)-admissible if and only if it satisfies (ω_2) .

References

- A. Aleman, Hilbert spaces of analytic functions between the Hardy and the Dirichlet space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1992), no. 1, 97–104. Zbl 0758.30040. MR1079693. DOI 10.2307/2159570. 182
- C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. Zbl 0873.47017. MR1397026. 183
- M. Hassanlou, Composition operators acting on weighted Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, Sahand Commun. Math. Anal. 2 (2015), no. 1, 71–79. Zbl 1359.47018. 183, 186
- K. Kellay and P. Lefevre, Compact composition operators on weighted Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 386 (2012), 718–727. Zbl 1231.47024. MR2834781. DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.08.033. 181, 182, 183, 184, 188
- J. R. Lee, Generalized bounded analytic functions in the space H_{ω,p}, Kangweon-Kyungki Math. J. 13 (2005), no. 2, 193–202. 181, 182
- J. Pau and P. A. Perez, Composition operators acting on weighted Dirichlet spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 401 (2013), no. 2, 682–694. Zbl 1293.47022. MR3018017. DOI 10.1016/ j.jmaa.2012.12.052. 183, 186, 187
- F. Pérez-González, J. Rättyä, and D. Vukotić, On composition operators acting between Hardy and weighted Bergman spaces, Expo. Math. 25 (2007), no. 4, 309–323.
 Zbl 1142.47018. MR2360918. DOI 10.1016/j.exmath.2007.03.001. 182

188

- S. Rezaei and H. Mahyar, Essential norm of generalized composition operators from weighted Dirichlet or Bloch type spaces to Q_K type spaces, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **39** (2013), no. 1, 151–164. Zbl 1300.47036. MR3060990. 186
- 9. J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Universitext, Springer, New York, 1993. Zbl 0791.30033. MR1237406. DOI 10.1007/978-1-4612-0887-7. 183
- W. Smith, Composition operators between Bergman and Hardy spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), no. 6, 2331–2348. Zbl 0857.47020. MR1357404. DOI 10.1090/ S0002-9947-96-01647-9. 181
- C. S. Stanton, Counting functions and majorization for Jensen measures, Pacific J. Math. 125 (1986), no. 2, 459–468. Zbl 0566.32011. MR0863538. DOI 10.2140/pjm.1986.125.459. 181
- K. Zhu, Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball, Grad. Texts in Math. 226, Springer, New York, 2005. Zbl 1067.32005. MR2115155. 182, 184

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGUDARZ BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, ALIGUDARZ, IRAN.

E-mail address: sh.Rezaei@iau-aligudarz.ac.ir