

Ann. Funct. Anal. 8 (2017), no. 2, 259–269 http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/20088752-0000011X ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic) http://projecteuclid.org/afa

HADAMARD GAP SERIES IN WEIGHTED-TYPE SPACES ON THE UNIT BALL

BINGYANG HU^1 and SONGXIAO LI^{2^*}

Communicated by J. Soria

ABSTRACT. We give a sufficient and necessary condition for an analytic function f(z) on the unit ball \mathbb{B} in \mathbb{C}^n with Hadamard gaps, that is, for $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{n_k}(z)$ where $P_{n_k}(z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n_k and $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq c > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, to belong to the weighted-type space H_{μ}^{∞} and the corresponding little weighted-type space $H_{\mu,0}^{\infty}$ under some condition posed on the weighted function μ . We also study the growth rate of those functions in H_{μ}^{∞} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{B} be the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n with \mathbb{S} as its boundary and let $H(\mathbb{B})$ be the collection of all holomorphic functions in \mathbb{B} . Here $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{B})$ denotes the Banach space consisting of all bounded holomorphic functions in \mathbb{B} with the norm $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} |f(z)|$.

A positive continuous function μ on [0, 1) is called *normal* if there exists positive numbers α and β , $0 < \alpha < \beta$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^{\alpha}} \text{ is decreasing on } [\delta, 1), \quad \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^{\alpha}} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^{\beta}} \text{ is increasing on } [\delta, 1), \quad \lim_{r \to 1} \frac{\mu(r)}{(1-r)^{\beta}} = \infty$$
(1.1)

(see, e.g., [7]). Note that a normal function $\mu : [0,1) \to [0,\infty)$ is decreasing in a neighborhood of 1 and satisfies $\lim_{r\to 1^-} \mu(r) = 0$.

Copyright 2017 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Aug. 9, 2016; Accepted Sep. 16, 2016.

^{*}Corresponding author.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30H99, 46B99.

Keywords. weighted-type space, Hadamard gaps, homogeneous polynomial.

An $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ is said to belong to the weighted-type space denoted by $H^{\infty}_{\mu} =$ $H^{\infty}_{\mu}(\mathbb{B})$ if

$$\|f\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(|z|) |f(z)| < \infty,$$

where μ is normal on [0, 1). It is well known that H^{∞}_{μ} is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

The little weighted-type space, denoted by $H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$, is the closed subspace of H^{∞}_{μ} consisting of those $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$ such that $\lim_{|z|\to 1^{-}} \mu(|z|)|f(z)| = 0$. When $\mu(|z|) = (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, the induced spaces H^{∞}_{μ} and $H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$ are denoted by H^{∞}_{α} and $H^{\infty}_{\alpha,0}$, respectively.

We say that an $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$ has the Hadamard gaps if $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n_k}(z)$, where P_{n_k} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n_k and there exists some c > 1,

$$\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \ge c, \quad \forall k \ge 0$$

(see, e.g., [8]).

Hadamard gap series on spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb D$ or in the unit ball B have been studied quite well. We refer the readers to the related results in [2], [4], [5], [8], [10]-[14], and the reference therein.

In [12], the authors studied the Hadamard gap series and the growth rate of the functions in H^{∞}_{μ} in the unit disk. Motivated by [12], the aim of this paper is to study the Hadamard gap series in H^{∞}_{μ} as well as its little space $H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$ on the unit ball. Moreover, as an application of our main result, we characterize the growth rate of those functions in H^{∞}_{μ} .

Throughout this article, for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \leq b$ ($a \geq b$, respectively) means that there exists a positive number C, which is independent of a and b, such that $a \leq Cb$ ($a \geq Cb$, respectively). Moreover, if both $a \leq b$ and $a \geq b$ hold, then we say that $a \simeq b$.

2. Hadamard gap series in H^{∞}_{μ} and $H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$

Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(z)$ be a holomorphic function in \mathbb{B} , where $P_k(z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial with degree k. For $k \geq 0$, we denote

$$M_k = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} \left| P_k(\xi) \right|.$$

We have the following estimations on M_k of a holomorphic function $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$ (or $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$, respectively).

Theorem 2.1. Let μ be a normal function on [0,1). Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(z), z \in$ **B**. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) if $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$, then $\sup_{k \ge 0} M_k \mu (1 \frac{1}{k}) < \infty$, (2) if $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$, then $\lim_{k \to \infty} M_k \mu (1 \frac{1}{k}) = 0$.

Proof. (1). Suppose that $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$. Fix a $\xi \in \mathbb{S}$, and denote

$$f_{\xi}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(\xi) w^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(\xi w), \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since $f \in H(\mathbb{B})$, it is known that, for a fixed $\xi \in S$, $f_{\xi}(w)$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} (see, e.g., [6]). Hence, for any $r \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$M_{k} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} \left| P_{k}(\xi) \right| = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{f_{\xi}(w)}{w^{k+1}} dw \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} \left| \int_{|w|=r} \frac{f(\xi w)}{w^{k+1}} dw \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{|f(\xi w)|}{r^{k+1}} |dw|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{|f(\xi w)|\mu(|\xi w|)}{r^{k+1}\mu(r)} |dw| \leq \frac{\|f\|}{r^{k}\mu(r)}.$$
(2.1)

In (2.1), letting $r = 1 - \frac{1}{k}, k \ge 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$M_k \le \frac{\|f\|}{(1-\frac{1}{k})^k \mu(1-\frac{1}{k})}.$$

Thus, for each $k \ge 2$,

$$M_k \mu \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \le \frac{\|f\|}{(1 - \frac{1}{k})^k} \le 4\|f\|,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{k\geq 1} M_k \mu \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq \max \left\{ \mu(0) M_1, 4 \| f \| \right\} < \infty.$$

(2). Suppose $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$; that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta \in (0,1)$ when $\delta < |z| < 1$, $\mu(|z|)|f(z)| < \varepsilon$. Take $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\delta < 1 - \frac{1}{k} < 1$ when $k > N_0$. Then for any $k > N_0$ and $r = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$, as the proof in the previous part, we have

$$M_k \le \frac{1}{(1-\frac{1}{k})^k \mu(1-\frac{1}{k})} \cdot \sup_{\delta < |z| < 1} \mu(|z|) |f(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(1-\frac{1}{k})^k \mu(1-\frac{1}{k})},$$

which implies

$$M_k \mu \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - \frac{1}{k})^k} \le 4\varepsilon, \quad k > N_0.$$

Hence we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} M_k \mu (1 - \frac{1}{k}) = 0.$

Theorem 2.2. Let μ be a normal function on [0, 1). Let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n_k}(z)$ with Hadamard gaps, where P_{n_k} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n_k . Then the following assertions hold:

(1) $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$ if and only if $\sup_{k \ge 1} \mu(1 - \frac{1}{n_k})M_{n_k} < \infty$,

(2)
$$f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu,0}$$
 if and only if $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(1-\frac{1}{n_k})M_{n_k} = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show the sufficiency of both statements. (1). Noting that

$$\left|f(z)\right| = \left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{n_k}\left(\frac{z}{|z|}\right)|z|^{n_k}\right| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{n_k}|z|^{n_k} \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{n_k}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{n_k})},$$

from the proof of [12, Theorem 2.3], we have

$$\frac{|f(z)|}{1-|z|} \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n_k \le m} \frac{1}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{n_k})} \right) |z|^m \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^m}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{m})} \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|)\mu(|z|)},$$

which implies $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$, as desired.

(2). Since $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(1-\frac{1}{n_k})M_{n_k} = 0$, we have $\sup_{k\geq 1} \mu(1-\frac{1}{n_k})M_{n_k} < \infty$. Hence, by part (1), we have $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying when $m > N_0$

$$M_{n_m}\mu\Big(1-\frac{1}{n_m}\Big)<\varepsilon.$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, put $f_m(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m P_{n_k}(z)$, which clearly belongs to $H_{\mu,0}^{\infty}$ since it is a polynomial. Hence it suffices to show that $||f_m - f|| \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Indeed, for $m > N_0$, we have

$$\left|f_m(z) - f(z)\right| = \left|\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} P_{n_k}(z)\right| \le \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} M_{n_k} |z|^{n_k} \le \varepsilon \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{n_k}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{n_k})}.$$

From this, the result easily follows from the proof of part (1).

3. GROWTH RATE

As an application of Theorem 2.2, in this section, we show the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let μ be a normal function on [0,1). Then there exists a positive integer M = M(n) with the following property: there exists $f_i \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$, $1 \le i \le M$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left| f_i(z) \right| \gtrsim \frac{1}{\mu(|z|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Note that the result in [12, Theorem 2.5] in the unit disk is a particular case of Theorem 3.1 when n = 1.

Remark 3.2. We observe that M cannot be 1. Indeed, assume that there exists a $f \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$ such that

$$|f(z)| \gtrsim \frac{1}{\mu(|z|)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

It implies that f(z) has no zero in \mathbb{B} , and it follows that there exists $g \in H(\mathbb{B})$ such that $f = e^g$. Thus

$$|f(z)| = |e^{g(z)}| = e^{\operatorname{Re} g(z)},$$

which implies that $e^{\operatorname{Re} g(z)} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\mu(|z|)}$, and hence $\operatorname{Re} g(z) \gtrsim \log \frac{1}{\mu(|z|)}$. For each $r \in (0, 1)$, integrating on both sides of the above inequality on $r\mathbb{S} = \{z \in \mathbb{B}, |z| = r\}$, we have

$$\int_{r\mathbb{S}} \operatorname{Re} g(z) \, d\sigma \gtrsim \int_{r\mathbb{S}} \log\left(\frac{1}{\mu(|z|)}\right) d\sigma = \log\left(\frac{1}{\mu(r)}\right) \cdot \sigma(r\mathbb{S}).$$

By the mean value property, we have $\operatorname{Re} g(0) \gtrsim \log(\frac{1}{\mu(r)}), \forall r \in (0, 1)$, which is impossible.

Before we formulate the proof of our main result, we need some preliminary results. In the sequel, for $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{S}$, denote

$$d(\xi,\zeta) = \left(1 - \left|\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then d satisfies the triangle inequality (see, e.g., [1]). Moreover, we write $E_{\delta}(\zeta)$ for the d-ball with radius $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and center at $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}$:

$$E_{\delta}(\zeta) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{S} : d(\xi, \zeta) < \delta\}.$$

We say that a subset Γ of S is *d*-separated by $\delta > 0$ if *d*-balls with radius δ and center at points of Γ are pairwise disjoint.

We begin with several lemmas which play an important role in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 3.3 ([3, Lemma 2.2], [9]). For each a > 0, there exists a positive integer $M = M_n(a)$ with the following property: if $\delta > 0$, and if $\Gamma \subset S$ is d-separated by $a\delta$, then Γ can be decomposed into $\Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^M \Gamma_j$ in such a way that each Γ_j is d-separated by δ .

Lemma 3.4 ([3, Lemma 2.3]). Suppose that $\Gamma \subset S$ is d-separated by δ , and let k be a positive integer. If

$$P(z) = \sum_{\zeta \in \Gamma} \langle z, \zeta \rangle^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{B},$$

then

$$|P(z)| \le 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+2)^{2n-2} e^{\frac{-m^2 \delta^2 k}{2}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We will prove the theorem by constructing $f_i \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$ satisfying the given property only near the boundary (then, by adding a proper constant, one obtains the given property on all of the unit ball). Since μ is normal, by the definition of normal function, there exists positive numbers α, β with $0 < \alpha < \beta$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ satisfy (1.1). Take and fix some small positive number A < 1 such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+2)^{2n-2} e^{\frac{-m^2}{2A^2}} \le \frac{1}{27}.$$
(3.1)

Let $M = M_n(\frac{A}{2})$ be a positive integer provided by Lemma 3.3 with $\frac{A}{2}$ in place of a. Let p be a sufficiently large positive integer so that

$$1 - \frac{1}{p} \ge \delta,$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^p \le \frac{1}{2},$$
(3.2)

$$\frac{1}{p^{\alpha M} - 1} \le \frac{1}{200},\tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\frac{p^{\beta M} \cdot 2^{-p^{M-0.5}}}{1 - p^{\beta M} \cdot 2^{-(p^{2M-0.5} - p^{M-0.5})}} \le \frac{1}{200}.$$
(3.4)

For each postive integer $j \leq M$, set $\delta_{j,0}$ such that

$$A^2 p^j \delta_{j,0}^2 = 1, (3.5)$$

and inductively choose $\delta_{j,v}$ such that

$$p^M \delta_{j,v}^2 = \delta_{j,v-1}^2, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3.6)

From (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$A^2 p^{vM+j} \delta_{j,v}^2 = 1. ag{3.7}$$

For each fixed j and v, let $\Gamma^{j,v}$ be a maximal subset of S subject to the condition that $\Gamma^{j,v}$ is *d*-separated by $A\delta_{j,v}/2$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, write

$$\Gamma^{j,v} = \bigcup_{l=1}^{M} \Gamma_{j,vM+l} \tag{3.8}$$

in such a way that each $\Gamma_{j,vM+l}$ is *d*-separated by $\delta_{j,v}$.

For each $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $v \ge 0$, set

$$P_{i,vM+j}(z) = \sum_{\xi \in \Gamma_{j,vM+\tau^{i}(j)}} \langle z, \xi \rangle^{p^{vM+j}},$$

where τ^i is the *i*th iteration of the permutation τ on $\{1, 2, ..., M\}$ defined by

$$\tau(j) = \begin{cases} j+1, & j < M; \\ 1, & j = M. \end{cases}$$

By (3.7), Lemma 3.4, and (3.1), we get that

$$\left|P_{i,vM+j}(z)\right| \leq 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+2)^{2n-2} e^{\frac{-m^2 \delta_{j,v}^2 p^{vM+j}}{2}}$$
$$\leq 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+2)^{2n-2} e^{-\frac{m^2}{2A^2}} \leq 2, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}$$
(3.9)

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and $v \ge 0$. Define

$$g_{i,j}(z) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{i,vM+j}(z)}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

By Theorem 2.2, it is clear that, for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$, $g_{i,j} \in H^{\infty}_{\mu}$. We will show that, for every $v \ge 0, 1 \le j \le M$, and $z \in \mathbb{B}$ with

$$1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j}} \le |z| \le 1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}}},\tag{3.10}$$

there exists an $i \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$ such that $|g_{i,j}(z)| \geq \frac{C}{\mu(|z|)}$, where C is some constant independent of the choice of i, j, and z.

Fix v, j, and z for which (3.10) holds. Let $z = |z|\eta$, where $\eta \in S$. Since d-balls with radius $A\delta_{j,v}$ and centers at points of $\Gamma^{j,v}$ cover \mathbb{S} by maximality, there exists some $\zeta \in \Gamma^{j,v}$ such that $\eta \in E_{A\delta_{j,v}}(\zeta)$. Note that $\zeta \in \Gamma_{j,vM+l}$ for some $1 \le l \le M$ by (3.8), and hence $\zeta \in \Gamma_{j,vM+\tau^i(j)}$ for some $1 \le i \le M$. We now estimate $|g_{i,j}(z)|$. By (3.9),

$$\begin{split} |g_{i,j}(z)| &= \left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{i,kM+j}(z)}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})}\right| \\ &\geq \left|\frac{P_{i,vM+j}(z)}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})}\right| - \left|\sum_{k \neq v} \frac{P_{i,kM+j}(z)}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})}\right| \\ &= \frac{|z|^{p^{vM+j}}|P_{i,vM+j}(\eta)|}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} - \left|\sum_{k \neq v} \frac{|z|^{kM+j}P_{i,kM+j}(\eta)}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})}\right| \\ &\geq \frac{|z|^{p^{vM+j}}|P_{i,vM+j}(\eta)|}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} - 2\sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \frac{|z|^{p^{kM+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})} \\ &- 2\sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{p^{kM+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})} \\ &= I_1 - I_2 - I_3, \end{split}$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{|z|^{p^{vM+j}} |P_{i,vM+j}(\eta)|}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})}, \qquad I_2 = 2\sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \frac{|z|^{p^{kM+j}}}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})},$$

and

$$I_3 = 2\sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{p^{kM+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})}.$$

Now we estimate I_1, I_2 , and I_3 , respectively.

• Estimation of I_1 .

By (3.2) and (3.10), we obtain

$$|z|^{p^{vM+j}} \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j}}\right)^{p^{vM+j}} \ge \frac{1}{3},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} I_1 &\geq \frac{|P_{i,vM+j}(\eta)|}{3\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \\ &\geq \frac{(|\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle|^{p^{vM+j}} - \sum_{\xi\in\Gamma_{j,vM+\tau^i(j)},\xi\neq\zeta}|\langle \eta,\xi\rangle|^{p^{vM+j}})}{3\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+J}})} \\ &\text{(by the proof of [3, Theorem 2.1])} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{27\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+J}})}. \end{split}$$

• Estimation of I_2 .

B. HU and S. LI

By the definition of normal function, we have for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{sM+j}}\right)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(s+1)M+j}}\right)\right)^{\alpha}} \le \frac{\mu\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(s+1)M+j}}\right)}{\mu\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(s+1)M+j}}\right)} \le \frac{\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(s+1)M+j}}\right)\right)^{\beta}}{\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(s+1)M+j}}\right)\right)^{\beta}};$$

that is,

$$1 < p^{M\alpha} \le \frac{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{sM+j}})}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(s+1)M+j}})} \le p^{M\beta}.$$
(3.11)

Combining this with (3.3), we have

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})} \\ &= \frac{2}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\nu M+j}})} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \left[\frac{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\nu M+j}})}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(\nu-1)M+j}})} \frac{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(\nu-1)M+j}})}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(\nu-2)M+j}})} \right] \\ &\quad \times \frac{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{(k+1)M+j}})}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\nu M+j}})} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{1}{p^{\alpha M(\nu-k)}} \leq \frac{2}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\nu M+j}})} \cdot \frac{1}{p^{\alpha M} - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{100\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\nu M+j}})}. \end{split}$$

• Estimation of I₃.

Note that, by (3.2) and (3.10), we have

$$|z|^{p^{vM+j}} \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot p^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$
(3.12)

Hence, by (3.4), (3.11), and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{split} I_{3} &= \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} \Big[\frac{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})} |z|^{(p^{kM+j}-p^{(v+1)M+j})} \Big] \\ &= \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} \Big[\frac{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{(v+1)M+j}})} \cdots \frac{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{(k-1)M+j}})}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{kM+j}})} \\ &\times |z|^{(p^{kM+j}-p^{(v+1)M+j})} \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} [p^{(\beta M)(k-v)}|z|^{(p^{kM+j}-p^{(v+1)M+j})}] \\ &= \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{k=v+1}^{\infty} [p^{\beta M}p^{(\beta M)(k-v-1)}|z|^{p^{j}(p^{kM}-p^{(v+1)M})}] \\ &(\text{Let } s = k - v - 1.) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} [p^{\beta M} p^{\beta M s} |z|^{p^{j+(v+1)M}(p^{sM}-1)}] \\ &\quad \text{(by } p^{sM-1} \ge s(p^M-1), \text{ where } s \text{ and } M \text{ are two positive integers}) \\ &\leq \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} [p^{\beta M} p^{\beta M s} |z|^{p^{j+(v+1)M}(p^M-1)s}] \\ &= \frac{2|z|^{p^{(v+1)M+j}}}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} [p^{\beta M} (p^{\beta M} |z|^{(p^{(v+2)M+j}-p^{(v+1)M+j})})^s] \\ &= \frac{2}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \frac{p^{\beta M} (|z|^{p^{vM+j}})^{p^M}}{1-p^{\beta M} |z|^{p^{vM+j}(p^{2M}-p^M)}} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \cdot \frac{p^{\beta M} \cdot 2^{-p^{M-0.5}}}{1-p^{\beta M} \cdot 2^{-(p^{2M-0.5}-p^{M-0.5})}} \le \frac{1}{100\mu(1-\frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})}. \end{split}$$

Combining all the estimates for I_1, I_2 , and I_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|g_{i,j}(z)\right| &\geq I_1 - I_2 - I_3 \geq \frac{1}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \left(\frac{2}{27} - \frac{1}{100} - \frac{1}{100}\right) \\ &> \frac{1}{20\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} = \frac{1}{20\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}}})} \cdot \frac{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}}})}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j}})} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{20p^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\mu(1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}}})} \geq \frac{1}{20p^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\mu(|z|)}. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left| g_{i,j}(z) \right| \ge \frac{1}{20p^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\mu(|z|)}$$
(3.13)

for all z such that $1 - \frac{1}{p^k} \le |z| \le 1 - \frac{1}{p^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Next, pick a sequence of positive integers q_k such that $0 \leq q_k - p^{k+\frac{1}{2}} < 1$, and, for each $1 \leq j \leq M$, pick a sequence of positive numbers $\varepsilon_{j,v}$ such that

A² $q_{vM+j}\varepsilon_{j,v}^2 = 1$. Choose a sequence of subsets $\Psi_{j,v}$ of S with the following property: for each nonnegative interger v, the set $\bigcup_{l=1}^{M} \Psi_{j,vM+l}$ is a maximal subset of S which is d-separated by $A\varepsilon_{j,v}/2$, and each $\Psi_{j,vM+l}$ is d-separated by $\varepsilon_{j,v}$.

For each $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and $v \ge 0$, set

$$Q_{i,vM+j}(z) = \sum_{\xi \in \Psi_{j,vM+\tau^i(j)}} \langle z, \xi \rangle^{q_{vM+j}},$$

and define

$$h_{i,j}(z) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{i,vM+j}(z)}{\mu(1 - \frac{1}{q_{vM+j}})}$$

Then $h_{i,j}$ is in the Hadamard gap since, for each $v \ge 0$,

$$\frac{q_{vM+j}}{q_{(v-1)M+j}} \ge \frac{p^{vM+\frac{1}{2}}}{p^{(v-1)M+\frac{1}{2}}+1} \ge \frac{p^M}{2} > 1.$$

Moreover, the homogeneous polynomials $Q_{i,vM+j}$ are uniformally bounded by 2 as before. Hence each $h_{i,j}$ belongs to H^{∞}_{μ} by Theorem 2.2, and an easy modification of the previous arguments yields that, for each $v \ge 0, 1 \le j \le M$, and $z \in \mathbb{B}$ satisfying

$$1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+\frac{1}{2}}} \le |z| \le 1 - \frac{1}{p^{vM+j+1}},$$

there exists an index $i \in \{1, 2, ..., M\}$ such that

$$\left|h_{i,j}(z)\right| \ge \frac{C_p}{\mu(|z|)},$$

where $C_p > 0$. Hence

M M

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left| h_{i,j}(z) \right| \ge \frac{C_p}{\mu(|z|)} \tag{3.14}$$

for all z such that $1 - \frac{1}{p^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \le |z| \le 1 - \frac{1}{p^{k+1}}, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Consequently, we finally have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(\left| g_{i,j}(z) \right| + \left| h_{i,j}(z) \right| \right) \ge \frac{C}{\mu(|z|)}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}$ sufficiently close to the boundary and for some constant C. Therefore, the proof is complete.

As a corollary, we get the following description of the growth rate on the space H_{α}^{∞} ($\alpha > 0$) by taking $\mu(|z|) = (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha}$ in Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.5. There exists some positive integer M and a sequence of functions $f_i \in H^{\infty}_{\alpha}, 1 \leq i \leq M$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left| f_i(z) \right| \gtrsim \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referees for useful remarks and comments that led to the improvement of our paper.

The authors' work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) grant 11471143, and Li's work was also supported by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund grant 083/2014/A2.

HADAMARD GAP SERIES

References

- A. Aleksandrov, Proper holomorphic mappings from the ball into a polydisk (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 286 (1986), no. 1, 11–15; English translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 33 (1986), no. 1, 1–5. Zbl 0602.32008. MR0822088. 263
- J. Choa, Some properties of analytic functions on the unit ball with Hadamard gaps, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 29 (1996), no. 3, 277–285. Zbl 0854.32004. MR1388363. 260
- B. Choe and K. Rim, Fractional derivatives of Bloch functions, growth rate, and interpolation, Acta Math. Hungar. 72 (1996), no. 1–2, 67–86. Zbl 0856.32003. MR1405218. DOI 10.1007/BF00053698. 263, 265
- S. Li and S. Stević, Weighted-Hardy functions with Hadamard gaps on the unit ball, Appl. Math. Comput. 212 (2009), no. 1, 229–233. Zbl 1168.32008. MR2519277. DOI 10.1016/ j.amc.2009.02.019. 260
- J. Miao, A property of analytic functions with Hadamard gaps, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 45 (1992), no. 1, 105–112. Zbl 0739.30040. MR1147249. DOI 10.1017/S0004972700037059. 260
- W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of Cⁿ, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 241, Springer, New York, 1980. Zbl 0495.32001. MR0601594. 261
- A. Shields and D. Williams, Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162 (1971), 287–302. Zbl 0227.46034. MR0283559. 259
- S. Stević, A generalization of a result of Choa on analytic functions with Hadamard gaps, J. Korean Math. Soc. 43 (2006), no. 3, 579–591. Zbl 1101.32003. MR2218235. DOI 10.4134/ JKMS.2006.43.3.579. 260
- D. Ullrich, A Bloch function in the ball with no radial limits, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 20 (1988), no. 4, 337–341. Zbl 0665.32001. MR0940289. DOI 10.1112/blms/20.4.337. 263
- 10. H. Wulan and K. Zhu, Lacunary series in Q_K spaces, Studia Math. **178** (2007), no. 3, 217–230. Zbl 1122.30032. MR2289355. DOI 10.4064/sm178-3-2. 260
- S. Yamashita, Gap series and α-Bloch functions, Yokohama Math. J. 28 (1980), no. 1–2, 31–36. Zbl 0467.30001. MR0623746. 260
- C. Yang and W. Xu, Spaces with normal weights and Hadamard gap series, Arch. Math. (Basel) 96 (2011), no. 2, 151–160. Zbl 1220.30003. MR2773216. DOI 10.1007/ s00013-011-0223-8. 260, 262
- R. Zhao and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of* Cⁿ, Mem. Soc. Math. Fr. (N. S.) **115** (2008), 1–103. Zbl 1176.32001. MR2537698. 260
- K. Zhu, A class of Möbius invariant function spaces, Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007), no. 3, 977–1002. Zbl 1154.30040. MR2379734. 260

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706-1388, USA.

E-mail address: BingyangHu@math.wisc.edu

²Institute of System Engineering, Macau University of Science and Technology, Avenida Wai Long, Taipa, Macau.

E-mail address: jyulsx@163.com