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#### Abstract

Let $n$ be a positive integer, and an operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is called a class $A(n)$ operator if $\left|T^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} \geq|T|^{2}$ and $n$-paranormal operator if $\left\|T^{1+n} x\right\|^{1 /(1+n)} \geq\|T x\|$ for every unit vector $x \in \mathscr{H}$, which are common generalizations of class $A$ and paranormal, respectively. In this paper, firstly we consider the tensor products for class $A(n)$ operators, giving a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be a class $A(n)$ operator when $T$ and $S$ are both non-zero operators; secondly we consider the properties for $n$-paranormal operators, showing that a $n$-paranormal contraction is the direct sum of a unitary and a $C_{.0}$ completely non-unitary contraction.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space and let $\mathscr{C}$ be the set of complex numbers. Let $B(\mathscr{H})$ denote the $C^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on $\mathscr{H}$. If $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$, we will write $\operatorname{ker} T$ and $\operatorname{ran} T$ for the null space and range of $T$, respectively. Also let $\alpha(T)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T, \beta(T)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T^{*}$ and let $\sigma(T), \sigma_{p}(T)$ denote the spectrum, point spectrum of $T$. Let $p=p(T)$ be the ascent of $T$, that is, the smallest nonnegative integer $p$ such that $\operatorname{ker} T^{p}=\operatorname{ker} T^{p+1}$. If such integer does not exist, we put $p(T)=\infty$. Analogously, let $q=q(T)$ be the descent of $T$, that is, the smallest nonnegative integer $q$ such that $\operatorname{ran} T^{q}=\operatorname{ran} T^{q+1}$, and if such integer does not exist, we put $q(T)=\infty$. An operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is called upper (lower, resp.) semi-Fredholm if ranT is closed and $\alpha(T)<\infty(\beta(T)<\infty$, resp.). If $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is either an upper semi-Fredholm operator or a lower semi-Fredholm operator, then $T$ is called a semi-Fredholm operator, and the index of a semi-Fredholm operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$, denoted by $\operatorname{ind}(T)$, is given by the integer $\operatorname{ind}(T)=\alpha(T)-\beta(T)$. If both $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite, then $T$ is called a Fredholm operator. An operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is called Weyl if it is Fredholm of index zero and Browder if it is Fredholm of finite ascent and descent. The essential spectrum $\sigma_{e}(T)$, the Weyl spectrum $\sigma_{w}(T)$, and the Browder spectrum $\sigma_{b}(T)$ of $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ are defined by $\sigma_{e}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathscr{C}: T-\lambda$ is not Fredholm $\}, \sigma_{w}(T)=$
$\{\lambda \in \mathscr{C}: T-\lambda$ is not Weyl $\}$, and $\sigma_{b}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathscr{C}: T-\lambda$ is not Browder\}.

Let $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}$ be complex Hilbert spaces and $\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{K}$ the tensor product of $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}$, that is, the completion of the algebraic tensor product of $\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}$ with the inner product $\left\langle x_{1} \otimes y_{1}, x_{2} \otimes y_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}\right\rangle$ for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathscr{H}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in$ $\mathscr{K}$. Let $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $S \in B(\mathscr{K})$. $T \otimes S \in B(\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{K})$ denotes the tensor product of $T$ and $S$; that is, $(T \otimes S)(x \otimes y)=T x \otimes S y$ for $x \in \mathscr{H}, y \in \mathscr{K}$.

A contraction is an operator $T$ such that $\|T\| \leq 1$; equivalently, $\|T x\| \leq\|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$. A contraction $T$ is said to be a proper contraction if $\|T x\|<\|x\|$ for every nonzero $x \in \mathscr{H}$. A strict contraction is an operator $T$ such that $\|T\|<1$. A strict contraction is a proper contraction, but a proper contraction is not necessarily a strict contraction, although the concepts of strict and proper contraction coincide for compact operators. A contraction $T$ is of class $C_{0 .}$ if $\left\|T^{n} x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$ (i.e., $T$ is a strongly stable contraction) and it is said to be of class $C_{1}$. if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{n} x\right\|>0$ for every nonzero $x \in \mathscr{H}$. Classes $C_{.0}$ and $C_{.1}$ are defined by considering $T^{*}$ instead of $T$ and we define the class $C_{\alpha \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta=0,1$ by $C_{\alpha \beta}=C_{\alpha, \cap C_{. \beta}}$. An isometry is a contraction for which $\|T x\|=\|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$.

Recall that $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is called $p$-hyponormal for $p>0$ if $\left(T^{*} T\right)^{p}-\left(T T^{*}\right)^{p} \geq 0[1]$; when $p=1, T$ is called hyponormal.

And $T$ is called paranormal if $\|T x\|^{2} \leq\left\|T^{2} x\right\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}[2,3]$. And $T$ is called normaloid if $\left\|T^{n}\right\|=\|T\|^{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (equivalently, $\|T\|=r(T)$, the spectral radius of $T$ ). In order to discuss the relations between paranormal operators and $p$-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators ( $T$ is invertible and $\log T^{*} T \geq \log T T^{*}$ ), Furuta et al. [4] introduced a very interesting class of operators: class $A$ defined by $\left|T^{2}\right|-|T|^{2} \geq 0$, where $|T|=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{1 / 2}$ which is called the absolute value of $T$, and they showed that class $A$ is a subclass of paranormal and contains $p$-hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators. Recently Yuan and Gao [5] introduced class $A(n)$ (i.e., $\left|T^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} \geq|T|^{2}$ ) operators and $n$-paranormal operators (i.e., $\left\|T^{1+n} x\right\|^{1 /(1+n)} \geq\|T x\|$ for every unit vector $x \in \mathscr{H}$ ) for some positive integer $n$.

For more interesting properties on class $A(n)$ and $n$ paranormal operators, see [5-8].

In general, the following implications hold:
p-hyponormal $\subseteq$ class $A \subseteq$ paranormal $\subseteq n$-paranormal,
p-hyponormal $\subseteq$ class $A \subseteq$ class $A(n) \subseteq n$-paranormal.

In this paper, firstly we consider the tensor products for class $A(n)$ operators, giving a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be a class $A(n)$ operator when $T$ and $S$ are both nonzero operators; secondly we consider the properties for $n$-paranormal operators, showing that a $n$ paranormal contraction is the direct sum of a unitary and a $C_{.0}$ completely nonunitary contraction.

## 2. Tensor Products for Class $A(n)$ Operators

Let $T \otimes S$ denote the tensor product on the product space $\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{K}$ for nonzero $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $S \in B(\mathscr{K})$. The operation of taking tensor products $T \otimes S$ preserves many properties of $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $S \in B(\mathscr{K})$, but it was not always this way. For example, the normaloid property is invariant under tensor products, the spectraloid property is not (see [9, pp. 623 and 631]), and $T \otimes S$ is normal if and only if $T$ and $S$ are normal $[10,11]$; however, there exist paranormal operators $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $S \in B(\mathscr{K})$ such that $T \otimes S$ is not paranormal [12]. Duggal [13] showed that for nonzero $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $S \in B(\mathscr{K})$, $T \otimes S$ is $p$-hyponormal if and only if $T, S$ are $p$-hyponormal. This result was extended to $p$-quasihyponormal operators, class $A$ operators, log-hyponormal operators, and class $A(s, t)$ operators $\left(\left(\left|T^{*}\right|^{t}|T|^{2 s}\left|T^{*}\right|^{t}\right)^{t /(s+t)} \geq\left|T^{*}\right|^{2 t}, s, t>0\right)$ in [14-16], respectively. The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for $T \otimes S$ to be a class $A(n)$ operator when $T$ and $S$ are both nonzero operators.

Theorem 1. Let $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $S \in B(\mathscr{K})$ be nonzero operators. Then $T \otimes S \in B(\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{K})$ is a class $A(n)$ operator if and only if $T$ and $S$ are class $A(n)$ operators.

Proof. It is clear that $T \otimes S$ is a class $A(n)$ operator if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|(T \otimes S)^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} \geq|T \otimes S|^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left|T^{1+n} \otimes S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} \geq|T|^{2} \otimes|S|^{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\left|T^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}-|T|^{2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \otimes\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}+|T|^{2} \\
& \otimes\left(\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}-|S|^{2}\right) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the sufficiency is clear.
Conversely, suppose that $T \otimes S$ is a class $A(n)$ operator. Let $x \in \mathscr{H}$ and $y \in \mathscr{K}$ be arbitrary. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left\langle\left(\left|T^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}-|T|^{2}\right) x, x\right\rangle\langle | S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} y, y\right\rangle  \tag{3}\\
& \left.\quad+\left.\langle | T\right|^{2} x, x\right\rangle\left\langle\left(\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}-|S|^{2}\right) y, y\right\rangle \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

On the contrary, assume that $T$ is not a class $A(n)$ operator; then there exists $x_{0} \in \mathscr{H}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\left(\left|T^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}-|T|^{2}\right) x_{0}, x_{0}\right\rangle=\alpha<0  \tag{4}\\
\left.\left.\langle | T\right|^{2} x_{0}, x_{0}\right\rangle=\beta>0
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\alpha\langle | S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} y, y\right\rangle+\beta\left\langle\left(\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)}-|S|^{2}\right) y, y\right\rangle \geq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \mathscr{K}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.(\alpha+\beta)\langle | S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} y, y\right\rangle \geq\left.\beta\langle | S\right|^{2} y, y\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \mathscr{K}$. Therefore, $S$ is a class $A(n)$ operator. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left.\langle | S\right|^{2} y, y\right\rangle & =\|S y\|^{2} \\
\left.\left.\langle | S^{1+n}\right|^{2 /(1+n)} y, y\right\rangle & =\left\|\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{1 /(1+n)} y\right\|^{2} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{1 /(1+n)} y\right\|^{2} \geq \beta\|S y\|^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \mathscr{K}$ by (6). By (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{1 /(1+n)}\right\|^{2} \geq \beta\|S\|^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since self-adjoint operators are normaloid, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{1 /(1+n)}\right\|^{1+n}=\left\|\left(\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{1 /(1+n)}\right)^{1+n}\right\|=\left\|S^{1+n}\right\| \leq\|S\|^{1+n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|S^{1+n}\right|^{1 /(1+n)}\right\| \leq\|S\| . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (9) and (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha+\beta)\|S\|^{2} \geq \beta\|S\|^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $S=0$. This contradicts the assumption $S \neq 0$. Hence $T$ must be a class $A(n)$ operator. A similar argument shows that $S$ is also a class $A(n)$ operator. The proof is complete.

## 3. On $n$-Paranormal Operators

An operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H})$ is said to have the single valued extension property (SVEP) at $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if, for every open neighborhood $\mathscr{G}$ of $\lambda$, the only function $f \in H(\mathscr{G})$ such that $(T-\mu) f(\mu)=0$ on $G$ is $0 \in H(\mathscr{G})$, where $H(\mathscr{G})$ means the space of all analytic functions on $G$. When $T$ has SVEP at each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, say that $T$ has SVEP.

In the following, we consider the properties of $n$ paranormal operators. References $[17,18]$ showed that paranormal contractions and $*$-paranormal contractions in $B(\mathscr{H})$ are the direct sum of a unitary and a $C_{.0}$ contraction. In the following theorem, we extend this result to $n$-paranormal operators.

Theorem 2 (see [19]). Let T be a contraction of n-paranormal operators for a positive integer $n$. Then $T$ is the direct sum of a unitary and a $C_{.0}$ completely nonunitary contraction.

Proof. If $T$ is a contraction, then the sequence $\left\{T^{k} T^{* k}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence of self-adjoint operators, converging strongly to a contraction. Let $A=\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} T^{k} T^{* k}\right)^{1 / 2}$. $A$ is self-adjoint and $0 \leq A \leq I$ and $T A^{2} T^{*}=A^{2}$. By [20] we have that there exists an isometry $V: \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A)} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A)}$ such that $V A=A T^{*}$ on $\overline{\operatorname{ran}(A)}$ and $\left\|A V^{n} x\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$ for every $x \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A)} . V$ can be extended to a bounded linear operator on $\mathscr{H}$; we still denote it by $V$. Let $x_{k}=A V^{k} x, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Then for all nonnegative integers $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{m} x_{m+k}=T^{m} A V^{k+m} x=A V^{* m} V^{k+m} x=A V^{k} x=x_{k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have, for all $m \leq k, T^{m} x_{k}=x_{k-m}$. The sequence $\left\{\left\|x_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is a bounded above increasing sequence. In the following, we will prove that if $T$ is $n$-paranormal for a positive integer $n$, then $A$ is a projection. Firstly we prove that $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is a constant sequence. Suppose that $T$ is a $n$-paranormal operator for a positive integer $n$. Then, for all $k \geq 1$ and nonzero $x \in \overline{\operatorname{ran}(A)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T x_{k+1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|T^{1+n} x_{k+1}\right\|^{2 /(1+n)}\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|^{2 n /(1+n)} \\
& =\left\|x_{k+1-(1+n)}\right\|^{2 /(1+n)}\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|^{2 n /(1+n)} \\
& =\left\|x_{k-n}\right\|^{2 /(1+n)}\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|^{2 n /(1+n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{k}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{k-n}\right\|^{1 /(n+1)}\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|^{n /(n+1)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n+1}\left(\left\|x_{k-n}\right\|+n\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
n\left(\left\|x_{k+1}\right\|-\left\|x_{k}\right\|\right) \geq & \left\|x_{k}\right\|-\left\|x_{k-n}\right\| \\
= & \left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|-\left\|x_{k-1}\right\|\right)+\left(\left\|x_{k-1}\right\|\|-\| x_{k-2} \|\right) \\
& +\cdots+\left(\left\|x_{k-n+1}\right\|-\left\|x_{k-n}\right\|\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $b_{k}=\left\|x_{k}\right\|-\left\|x_{k-1}\right\|$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n b_{k+1} \geq b_{k}+b_{k+1}+\cdots+b_{k-n+1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{k} \geq 0$ and $b_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that there exists an integer $i \geq 1$ such that $b_{i}>0$; then $b_{i+1} \geq\left(b_{i} / n\right)>0$, and we have that $b_{k} \geq\left(b_{i} / n\right)>0$, for all $k>i$ by an induction argument. This is contradictory with the fact that $b_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we have that $b_{k}=0$ for all $k$, which implies that $\left\|x_{k-1}\right\|=\left\|x_{k}\right\|$ for all $k \geq 1$. This means that for all $x \in \overline{\underline{\operatorname{ran}(A)}}\left\|A V^{k} x\right\|=\|A x\|=\|x\|$. So we have that $A^{2}=I$ on $\operatorname{ran(A)}$, and so $A=I$ on $\operatorname{ran(A)}$. Therefore, we have that $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}I & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ on $\mathscr{H}=\overline{\operatorname{ran}(A)} \oplus \operatorname{ker}(A)$. Hence $A$ is a projection. By [21], we have that if $A$ is a projection, then $T$ has a decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{u} \oplus T_{c}, \quad T_{c}=S^{*} \oplus T_{0} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{u}$ is unitary and the completely nonunitary part $T_{c}$ of $T$ is the direct sum of backward unilateral shift $S^{*}$ and a $C_{.0}$-contraction $T_{0}$. We will prove that $S^{*}$ is missing from the direct sum. It is well known that an operator $B=B_{1} \oplus B_{2}$ has SVEP at a point $\lambda$ if and only if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ have SVEP at the point $\lambda$. Since $n$-paranormal operators have SVEP by [6, Corollary 3.4], it follows that if $S^{*}$ is present in the direct sum of $T$, then it has SVEP. This contradicts the fact that the backward unilateral shift does not have SVEP anywhere on its spectrum except for the boundary point of its spectrum. Therefore, $T=T_{u} \oplus T_{0}$. The proof is complete.

In the following, we give a sufficient condition for a $n$ paranormal contraction to be proper.

Theorem 3. Let $T$ be a contraction of n-paranormal operators for a positive integer $n$. If $T$ has no nontrivial invariant subspace, then $T$ is a proper contraction.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is a $n$-paranormal operator, then $\left\|T^{1+n} x\right\|\|x\|^{n} \geq\|T x\|^{n+1}$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$. By [22, Theorem 3.6], we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} T x=\|T\|^{2} x \quad \text { if and only if }\|T x\|=\|T\|\|x\| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\mathscr{U}=\{x \in \mathscr{H}:\|T x\|=\|T\|\|x\|\}=\operatorname{ker}\left(|T|^{2}-\|T\|^{2}\right)$, which is a subspace of $\mathscr{H}$. In the following, we will show that
$\mathscr{U}$ is an invariant subspace of $T$. For every $x \in \mathscr{U}$, if $T$ is a $n$-paranormal operator, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T\|^{n+1}\|x\|^{n+1} & =\|T x\|^{1+n} \leq\left\|T^{1+n} x\right\|\|x\|^{n}  \tag{20}\\
& \leq\|T\|^{n-1}\left\|T^{2} x\right\|\|x\|^{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (20) we have $\left\|T^{2} x\right\| \geq\|T\|^{2}\|x\|$. So we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(T x)\|=\|T\|\|T x\| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $\mathscr{U}$ is an invariant subspace of $T$. Now suppose that $T$ is a contraction of $n$-paranormal operators. If $T$ is a strict contract, then it is trivially a proper contraction. If $T$ is not a strict contraction (i.e., $\|T\|=1$ ) and $T$ has no nontrivial invariant subspace, then $\mathscr{U}=\{x \in \mathscr{H}:\|T x\|=\|x\|\}=\{0\}$ (actually, if $\mathscr{U}=\mathscr{H}$, then $T$ is an isometry, and isometries have nontrivial invariant subspaces). Thus for every nonzero $x \in \mathscr{H},\|T x\|<\|x\|$, so $T$ is a proper contraction. The proof is complete.

Uchiyama [23] showed that if $T$ is paranormal and $w(T)=$ 0 , then $T$ is compact and normal. Now we extend this result to $n$-paranormal operators.

Theorem 4. Let $T$ be a $n$-paranormal operator for a positive integer $n$ and $\sigma_{w}(T)=\{0\}$. Then $T$ is compact and normal.

Proof. By [5, Theorem 2.1], we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma(T)}{w(T)}=\frac{\sigma(T)}{\{0\}} \subseteq \pi_{00}(T), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{00}(T)$ is the set of all isolated points which are eigenvalues of $T$ with finite multiplicities. This implies that $\sigma(T) \backslash w(T)$ is a finite set or a countable infinite set with 0 as its only accumulation point. Let $\sigma(T) \backslash\{0\}=\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$, where $\lambda_{n} \neq \lambda_{m}$ whenever $n \neq m$ and $\left\{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence. By [8, Proposition 1], we have that $T$ is normaloid. So we have $\left|\lambda_{1}\right|=\|T\|$. By the general theory, $\left(T-\lambda_{1}\right) x=0$ implies $\left(T-\lambda_{1}\right)^{*} x=0$. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(\|T\|^{2}-T^{*} T\right)^{1 / 2} x\right\|^{2} & =\|T\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}-\|T x\|^{2}  \tag{23}\\
& =\|T\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}-\left\|\lambda_{1} x\right\|^{2}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $\lambda_{1} T^{*} x=T^{*} T x=\|T\|^{2} x=\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2} x$ and $T^{*} x=\overline{\lambda_{1}} x$. Therefore, $\operatorname{ker}\left(T-\lambda_{1}\right)$ is a reducing subspace of $T$. Let $E_{1}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{ker}\left(T-\lambda_{1}\right)$. Then $T=\lambda_{1} \oplus T_{1}$ on $\mathscr{H}=E_{1} \mathscr{H} \oplus\left(I-E_{1}\right) \mathscr{H}$. Since $T_{1}$ is $n$-paranormal and $\sigma_{p}(T)=\sigma_{p}\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\}$, we have that $\lambda_{2} \in \sigma_{p}\left(T_{1}\right)$. By the same argument as above, $\operatorname{ker}\left(T-\lambda_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)$ is a finite dimensional reducing subspace of $T$ which is included in ( $I-$ $\left.E_{1}\right) \mathscr{H}$. Let $E_{2}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{ker}\left(T-\lambda_{2}\right)$. Then $T=\lambda_{1} E_{1} \oplus \lambda_{2} E_{2} \oplus T_{2}$ on $\mathscr{H}=E_{1} \mathscr{H} \oplus E_{2} \mathscr{H} \oplus\left(I-E_{1}-\right.$ $\left.E_{2}\right) \mathscr{H}$. By the same argument, each $\operatorname{ker}\left(T-\lambda_{n}\right)$ is a reducing subspace of $T$ and $\left\|T-\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} E_{k}\right\|=\left\|T_{n}\right\|=\left|\lambda_{n+1}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here $E_{k}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{ker}(T-$ $\left.\lambda_{k}\right)$ and $T=\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} E_{k}\right) \oplus T_{n}$ on $\mathscr{H}=\left(\lambda_{1} E_{1} \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} E_{k} \mathscr{H}\right) \oplus$
$\left(I-\sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{k}\right) \mathscr{H}$. Hence $T=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} E_{k}$ is compact and normal because each $E_{k}$ is a finite rank orthogonal projection which satisfies $E_{k} E_{l}=0$ whenever $k \neq l$ by [5, Lemma 2.5] and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow$ 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete.
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