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The main purpose of this paper is to derive a new criterion for meromorphic starlike functions of order $\alpha$.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\Sigma_{n}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k-1} z^{k-1} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2, \ldots\}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{U}^{*}:=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } 0<|z|<1\}=: \mathbb{U} \backslash\{0\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \Sigma_{n}$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{M} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{*}(\alpha)$ of meromorphic starlike functions of order $\alpha$ if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)<-\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; 0 \leqq \alpha<1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we write $\mathscr{M} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{*}(0)=: \mathscr{M} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{*}$.
For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$, which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(0)=0, \quad|\omega(z)|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=g(\omega(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
& \Longrightarrow f(0)=g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In a recent paper, Miller et al. [1] proved the following result.

Theorem A. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}(\lambda, n)=\frac{n+1-\lambda}{\sqrt{(n+1-\lambda)^{2}+\lambda^{2}}+1-\lambda} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma_{n}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) z f(z)+\lambda\right|<M_{0}(\lambda, n) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{*}$.
More recently, Catas [2] improved Theorem A as follows.
Theorem B. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leqq \lambda<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(\lambda, n)=\max \left\{M_{0}(\lambda, n), M_{1}(\lambda, n)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{0}(\lambda, n)$ is given by (9) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(\lambda, n)=\frac{2(n+1-\lambda)(1-\lambda)}{(1-\lambda)(n-1)+\sqrt{(n+1-\lambda)^{2}(1-\lambda)+[(n-1)(1-\lambda)]^{2}}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \Sigma_{n}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+(1-\lambda) z f(z)+\lambda\right|<M(\lambda, n) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{*}$.
In this paper, we aim at finding the conditions for starlikeness of the expression $\left|z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f(z)+1-\lambda\right|$ for $\lambda>1$.

For some recent investigations of meromorphic functions, see, for example, the works of [3-12] and the references cited therein.

In order to prove our main results, we require the following subordination result due to Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [13].

Lemma 1. Let $\phi$ be a convex function with $\phi(0)=1$, and let $\gamma \neq 0$ be a complex number with $\Re(\gamma) \geqq 0$. If a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{p}(z)=1+\mathfrak{p}_{n} z^{n}+\mathfrak{p}_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{p}(z)+\frac{1}{\gamma} z \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}(z) \prec \phi(z), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{p}(z) \prec \chi(z):=\frac{\gamma}{n z^{\gamma / n}} \int_{0}^{z} \phi(t) t^{(\gamma / n)-1} d t \prec \phi(z) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Main Results

We begin by stating the following result.
Theorem 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda>1$, and $0 \leqq \alpha<1$. If $f \in \Sigma_{n}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f(z)+1-\lambda\right|<M \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=M(\lambda, \alpha, n)=\frac{(1-\alpha)(\lambda+n-1)}{\lambda-\alpha+\sqrt{(1-\lambda)^{2}+(\lambda+n-1)^{2}}}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{M} \delta_{n}^{*}(\alpha)$.
Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z):=z f(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z q^{\prime}(z)=z f(z)+z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (17), (19), and (20), we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q(z)+\frac{1}{\lambda-1} z q^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\frac{M}{\lambda-1}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)+\frac{1}{\lambda-1} z q^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\frac{M}{\lambda-1} z . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

An application of Lemma 1 yields

$$
\begin{align*}
q(z) & \prec \frac{\lambda-1}{n z^{(\lambda-1) / n}} \int_{0}^{z}\left(1+\frac{M}{\lambda-1} t\right) t^{[(\lambda-1) / n]-1} d t  \tag{23}\\
& =1+\frac{M}{\lambda+n-1} z .
\end{align*}
$$

The subordination (23) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q(z)-1|<\frac{M}{\lambda+n-1}=: N . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (18) and (24), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N<\frac{1-\alpha}{\lambda-\alpha}<1 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}:=(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (19) and (26), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)=-q(z)[(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that (17) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q(z)[(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha-\lambda]+\lambda-1|<M=(\lambda+n-1) N . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now only need to show that (28) implies $\boldsymbol{R}(p(z))>0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Indeed, if this is false, since $p(0)=1$, then there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=\beta i$, where $\beta$ is a real number. Thus, in order to show that (28) implies $\Re(p(z))>0$ in $\mathbb{U}$, it suffices to obtain the contradiction from the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)[(1-\alpha) \beta i+\alpha-\lambda]+\lambda-1\right| \\
\geqq(\lambda+n-1) N \quad(\beta \in \mathbb{R}) . \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

By setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(z_{0}\right)=u+i v \quad(u, v \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E= & \left|q\left(z_{0}\right)[(1-\alpha) \beta i+\alpha-\lambda]+\lambda-1\right|^{2} \\
= & \left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\left[(1-\alpha)^{2} \beta^{2}+(\alpha-\lambda)^{2}\right] \\
& -2(1-\lambda) \Re((u+i v)[(1-\alpha) \beta i+\alpha-\lambda])+(1-\lambda)^{2} \\
= & \left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)(1-\alpha)^{2} \beta^{2}+2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha) \beta v \\
& +|(u+i v)(\alpha-\lambda)-(1-\lambda)|^{2} . \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

By means of (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& |(u+i v)(\alpha-\lambda)-(1-\lambda)| \\
& \quad=|(u+i v)(\alpha-\lambda)-(\alpha-\lambda)+\alpha-\lambda-1+\lambda| \\
& \quad=|(\alpha-\lambda)(u+i v-1)-(1-\alpha)|  \tag{32}\\
& \quad \geqq 1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha)|u+i v-1| \\
& \quad \geqq 1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (31) and (32) that

$$
\begin{align*}
E \geqq & \left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)(1-\alpha)^{2} \beta^{2}+2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha) \beta v  \tag{33}\\
& +[1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N]^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We now set

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\beta):= & E-M^{2} \\
\geqq & \left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)(1-\alpha)^{2} \beta^{2}+2(1-\lambda)(1-\alpha) v \beta  \tag{34}\\
& +[1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N]^{2}-(\lambda+n-1)^{2} N^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $F(\beta) \geqq 0$, then $(29)$ holds true. Since $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)(1-\alpha)^{2}>0$, the inequality $F(\beta) \geqq 0$ holds if the discriminant $\Delta \leqq 0$; that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta=(1 & -\alpha)^{2} \\
& \times\left\{(1-\lambda)^{2} v^{2}-\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N)^{2}-(\lambda+n-1)^{2} N^{2}\right]\right\} \leqq 0 \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

and the last inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
v^{2}\left[(1-\lambda)^{2}-(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N)^{2}+(\lambda+n-1)^{2} N^{2}\right]  \tag{36}\\
\leqq u^{2}\left[(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N)^{2}-(\lambda+n-1)^{2} N^{2}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, in view of (24) and (36), after a geometric argument, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{v^{2}}{u^{2}} & \leqq \frac{N^{2}}{1-N^{2}} \\
& \leqq \frac{(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N)^{2}-(\lambda+n-1)^{2} N^{2}}{(1-\lambda)^{2}-(1-\alpha-(\lambda-\alpha) N)^{2}+(\lambda+n-1)^{2} N^{2}} . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (37) that $\Delta \leqq 0$, which implies that $F(\beta) \geqq 0$. But this contradicts (28). Therefore, we know that $\Re(p(z))>$ 0 in $\mathbb{U}$. By virtue of (26), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)<-\Re((1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha)<-\alpha . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.
Taking $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda>1$. If $f \in \Sigma_{n}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z f(z)+1-\lambda\right|<\frac{(\lambda+n-1)}{\lambda+\sqrt{(1-\lambda)^{2}+(\lambda+n-1)^{2}}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{M} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{*}$.
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