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This paper is concerned with the characterization as frames of some sequences in $U$-invariant spaces of a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ where $U$ denotes an unitary operator defined on $\mathscr{H}$; besides, the dual frames having the same form are also found. This general setting includes, in particular, shift-invariant or modulation-invariant subspaces in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, where these frames are intimately related to the generalized sampling problem. We also deal with some related perturbation problems. In doing so, we need the unitary operator $U$ to belong to a continuous group of unitary operators.

## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the study of some special frames in $U$-invariant spaces. Given an unitary operator $U$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, we consider closed subspaces having the form $\mathscr{A}_{a}:=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{U^{n} a: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, where $a$ denotes some fixed element in $\mathscr{H}$. In case that the sequence $\left\{U^{n} a\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{a}=\left\{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} U^{n} a:\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that a Riesz basis in a separable Hilbert space is the image of an orthonormal basis by means of a bounded invertible operator. Any Riesz basis $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has a unique biorthogonal (dual) Riesz basis $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, that is, $\left\langle x_{n}, y_{m}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=$ $\delta_{n, m}$, such that the expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, y_{n}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} x_{n}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, x_{n}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} y_{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for every $x \in \mathscr{H}$. We state the definition by considering the integers set $\mathbb{Z}$ as the index set since throughout the paper most of sequences are indexed in $\mathbb{Z}$. A Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$
is a Riesz basis for its closed span. A necessary and sufficient condition in order for the sequence $\left\{U^{n} a\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be a Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$ is given in Theorem 3 infra.

Given $s$ elements $b_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, a challenging problem is to characterize the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ as a frame (Riesz basis) in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, where $r \geq 1$ denotes a positive integer. Besides, another interesting problem is to look for those dual frames having the same form $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ for some $c_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, so that, for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle U^{r k} c_{j} \quad \text { in } \mathscr{H} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
At this point, we give an explanation about the expression sampling-related frames appearing in the title. Namely, $U$ invariant subspaces in $\mathscr{H}$ are natural generalizations of shiftinvariant or modulation-invariant subspaces of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$; there, the unitary involved operators are, respectively, the translation operator $T: f(t) \mapsto f(t-1)$ or the modulation operator $M: f(t) \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{it}} f(t)$. In the shift-invariant subspace $V_{\varphi}^{2}=\left\{\sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \varphi(t-n):\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right\} \subset L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ generated
by $\varphi \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, for any $f \in V_{\varphi}^{2}$, the inner products $\{\langle x$, $\left.\left.U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \overline{b_{j}(t-r k)} d t=\left(f * h_{j}\right)(r k),  \tag{4}\\
k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s
\end{array}
$$

where $h_{j}(t):=\overline{b_{j}(-t)}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, s$. Thus, the above inner products are nothing but samples of some filtered versions $f * h_{j}$ of the function $f$ itself; this is precisely the generalized sampling problem in the shift-invariant space $V_{\varphi}^{2}$. Mathematically, it consists of the stable recovery of any $f \in V_{\varphi}^{2}$ from the above sequence of samples, that is, to obtain sampling formulas in $V_{\varphi}^{2}$ having the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(f * h_{j}\right)(r k) S_{j}(t-r k), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the sequence of reconstruction functions $\left\{S_{j}(\cdot-\right.$ $r k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for the shift-invariant space $V_{\varphi}^{2}$. As a consequence, expansions (3) and (5) have the same nature. Recall that a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame for a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ if there exist constants $A, B>0$ (frame bounds), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\|x\|^{2} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, x_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|x\|^{2} \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{H} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathscr{H}$ satisfying only the right hand inequality above is said to be a Bessel sequence for $\mathscr{H}$. Given a frame $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $\mathscr{H}$, the representation property of any vector $x \in \mathscr{H}$ as a series $x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} x_{n}$ is retained, but, unlike the case of Riesz bases (exact frames), the uniqueness of this representation (for overcomplete frames) is sacrificed. Suitable frame coefficients $c_{n}$ which depend continuously and linearly on $x$ are obtained by using the dual frames $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$; that is, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is another frame for $\mathscr{H}$ such that $x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, y_{n}\right\rangle x_{n}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, x_{n}\right\rangle y_{n}$ for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$. For more details on the frame theory see [1].

Sampling in shift-invariant spaces of $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ has been profusely treated in the mathematical literature (see, for instance, [2-13]).

The existence of expansions like (3) in $U$-invariant subspaces was treated for the first time in [14]; see also [15, 16]. Following similar techniques to those in [14], we give, in Section 2, a complete characterization in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ of sequences having the form $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ where $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, s$. In other words, we carry out the study of the completeness, Bessel, frame, or Riesz basis properties of the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. Whenever it is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, we find a family of dual frames having the same form $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ for some $c_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$. In Section 4, we also discuss the case where some $b_{j} \notin \mathscr{A}_{a}$; although the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is not contained in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, something can be said in the light of the theory of pseudoframes (see [17, 18]).

All the obtained results in Section 2 involve the discrete group of unitary operators $\left\{U^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is completely determined by $U$. If we want to deal with something similar to the time-jitter error version of (5), that is, the recovery of any $f \in V_{\varphi}^{2}$ from a perturbed sequence of samples $\left\{\left(f * h_{j}\right)\left(r k+\epsilon_{k j}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ with errors $\epsilon_{k j} \in \mathbb{R}$, then the availability of a continuous group of unitary operators $\left\{U^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ containing, in particular, the operator $U$ (say for instance $U=U^{1}$ ) becomes essential. In Section 3, after a brief on groups of unitary operators, we deal with two types of perturbation problems. The first one concerns the study of sequences as $\left\{U^{r k+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ in $\mathscr{H}$, for small enough error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{k j}\right\} \ell^{2}$-norm, the sequence is a Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$. The second one goes into the recovery of any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ from the perturbed sequence of inner products $\left\{\left\langle x, U^{r k+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s, s}$, for small enough errors $\epsilon_{m j}$, there exists a frame expansion for $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ having the inner products $\left\{\left\langle x, U^{r k+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ as coefficients.

## 2. $U$-Invariant Subspaces

In a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, the $U$-invariant subspaces are intimately related to stationary sequences.
2.1. Some Preliminaries on Stationary Sequences. A sequence $\mathbf{s}=\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ is said to be stationary if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s_{m+k}, s_{n+k}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=\left\langle s_{m}, s_{n}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \quad \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $R_{s}(k):=\left\langle s_{k}, s_{0}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called the autocovariance function of the sequence $s$. Moreover, two stationary sequences $\mathbf{s}=\left\{s_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{w}=\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are said to be stationary correlated if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s_{m+k}, w_{n+k}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=\left\langle s_{m}, w_{n}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \quad \forall m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $R_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}}(k):=\left\langle s_{k}, w_{0}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ defines the corresponding cross-covariance function. The following result is a well-known characterization of stationary sequences (see [19]).

Lemma 1. To every stationary sequence $\mathbf{s}=\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ there exists a unique unitary operator $U$ : $\mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ and $s \in \mathscr{H}$ such that $s_{n}=U^{n}$ s for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, every pair $(U, s)$ of a unitary operator $U$ and an $s \in \mathscr{H}$ defines by $s_{n}=U^{n} s, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, a stationary sequence $\boldsymbol{s}=\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathscr{H}$.

Moreover, two stationary sequence $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are stationary correlated if and only if they are generated by the same unitary operator $U$; that is, $s_{n}=U^{n}$ s and $w_{n}=U^{n} w$ for some $s, w \in \mathscr{H}$.

The autocovariance $R_{\mathrm{s}}$ and the cross-covariance $R_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}}$ functions admit a spectral representation which is related to the integral representation of the unitary operator $U$ (see [19]).

Theorem 2. For every stationary sequence $\mathbf{s}=\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, the autocovariance function $R_{\mathrm{s}}$ admits a spectral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{s}}(k)=\left\langle s_{k}, s_{0}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta} d \mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\theta), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the form of an integral with respect to a (positive) spectral measure $\mu_{\mathrm{s}}$.

For every two stationary correlated sequences $\mathbf{s}=\left\{s_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathbf{w}=\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, the cross-covariance function admits a spectral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}}(k)=\left\langle s_{k}, w_{0}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta} d \mu_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}}(\theta), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the form of an integral with respect to a (complex) spectral measure $\mu_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}}$.
2.1.1. The $U$-Invariant Subspace $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. For a fixed $a \in \mathscr{H}$, we consider the subspace of $\mathscr{H}$ given by $\mathscr{A}_{a}:=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{U^{n} a, n \in\right.$ $\mathbb{Z}\}$. In case that the sequence $\left\{U^{n} a\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{a}=\left\{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} U^{n} a:\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition in order for the sequence $\mathbf{a}=\left\{U^{n} a\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be a Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$ can be stated in terms of the Lebesgue decomposition of the spectral measure $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}$ into an absolute and a singular part as $d \mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)=$ $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta+d \mu_{\mathbf{a}}^{s}(\theta)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathbf{a}:=\left\{U^{n} a\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence obtained from an unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ with spectral measure $d \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\theta)=\phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta+d \mu_{\mathrm{a}}^{s}(\theta)$, and let $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ be the closed subspace spanned by $\mathbf{a}$. Then, $\mathbf{a}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}^{s} \equiv 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<A_{\mathbf{a}}:=\underset{\zeta \in \mathbb{I}}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) \leq B_{\mathbf{a}}:=\underset{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta)<\infty, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{T}:=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}: \theta \in[-\pi, \pi)\right\}$.
Proof. For a fixed $\ell^{2}$-sequence $c:=\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} U^{k} a\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m} c_{m} \overline{c_{n}}\left\langle U^{m} a, U^{n} a\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m} c_{m} \overline{c_{n}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(m-n) \theta} d \mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m} c_{m} \overline{c_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(m-n) \theta} d \mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right|^{2} d \mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta),
\end{align*}
$$

if $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure $\lambda$, Lemma 4 below implies that there exists
a bounded sequence $\left\{c^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \quad \subset \quad \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k}^{N} U^{k} a\right\|^{2}$ tends to infinity with $N$, so a cannot be a Bessel sequence, therefore, not a Riesz basis. Assume now that $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}^{s} \equiv 0$ and $\mathbf{a}$ is a Riesz basis, this implies that there exists $B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} U^{k} a\right\|^{2} \leq B\|c\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $c=\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\Omega_{n}:=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}: \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\zeta) \geq n\right\}$, assume that $B_{\mathbf{a}}=\infty$, this implies that $\lambda\left(\Omega_{n}\right)>0$ for all $n$. Now, (13), (14), and Parseval's theorem imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right|^{2} \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta \leq \frac{B}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right|^{2} d \theta \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $c=\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Introducing the Fourier expansion of the characteristic function $\chi_{\Omega_{n}} \in L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ in (15), we obtain $n \leq B$ for all $n$, which contradicts $B<\infty$; thus, the assumption $B_{\mathrm{a}}=\infty$ is false. In a similar way, it can be proved that $0<A_{\mathbf{a}}$.

For the sufficient condition, assume now that $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}^{s} \equiv 0$; then, (13) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} U^{k} a\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right|^{2} \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

if, in addition, condition (12) is satisfied, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{A_{\mathrm{a}}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right|^{2} d \theta & \leq\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} U^{k} a\right\|^{2}  \tag{17}\\
& \leq \frac{B_{\mathrm{a}}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right|^{2} d \theta,
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathbf{a}}\|c\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} U^{k} a\right\|^{2} \leq B_{\mathbf{a}}\|c\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \quad \text { for each } c \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the sequence $\mathbf{a}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$.
Lemma 4. Let $\mu$ be a finite positive measure on $(-\pi, \pi)$ which is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure $\lambda$. Then, there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{c^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty} \subset \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\sum_{k} c_{k}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right\|_{L_{\mu}^{2}(-\pi, \pi)}^{2}=\infty . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If the measure $\mu$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, then $\mu(M)>0$ for a Lebesgue measurable set $M \subset(-\pi, \pi)$ of Lebesgue measure zero. Thus, there exists a Borel set $B$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that $M \subset B \subset(-\pi, \pi)$. In fact, the set $B$ is an intersection
of a countable collection of open sets (see [20, page 63]). Therefore, $\mu(B)>0$ and $\lambda(B)=0$. On the other hand, every finite Borel measure on $(-\pi, \pi)$ is inner regular (see [20, page 340]); that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(B)=\sup \{\mu(C): C \subset B, C \text { compact }\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a compact set $C \subset(-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(C)>0, \quad \lambda(C)=0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a sequence of disjoint open intervals $I_{j} \subset(-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(I_{j}\right) \leq \lambda(C)+\varepsilon=\varepsilon \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [20, pages 58 and 42 ]). Since $C$ is compact, we may take the sequence to be finite. Hence, for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist open disjoint intervals $I_{1}^{N}, I_{2}^{N}, \ldots, I_{i_{N}}^{N}$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_{N}} I_{i}^{N}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{i_{N}} \lambda\left(I_{i}^{N}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3^{N}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, $\sum_{i=1}^{i_{N}} \mu\left(I_{i}^{N}\right) \geq \mu(C)$.
Consider the function $g_{N}:(-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $g_{N}=$ $2^{N / 2} \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{i N} I_{i}^{N}}$, that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2}=2^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{i_{N}} \lambda\left(I_{i}^{N}\right) \leq \frac{2^{N}}{3^{N}}<1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We modify and extend each $g_{N}$ to obtain a $2 \pi$-periodic function $f_{N}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{N}$ and its derivative are continuous on $\mathbb{R},\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 1$ and $f_{N}(\theta)=g_{N}(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{i_{N}} I_{i}^{N}$. Let $\sum_{k} c_{k}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}$ be the Fourier series of $f_{N}$. First, by using Parseval's identity, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|c_{k}^{N}\right\|_{l^{2}}^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\|f_{N}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \quad \text { for every } N \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\left\{c^{N}\right\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Besides, the regularity of each $f_{N}$ ensures that each Fourier series converges uniformly to $f_{N}$. Therefore, each series $\sum_{k} c_{k}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}$ converges to $f_{N}$ in $L_{\mu}^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ and consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{k} c_{k}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right\|_{L_{\mu}^{2}(-\pi, \pi)}^{2} & =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f_{N}\right|^{2} d \mu \geq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|g_{N}\right|^{2} d \mu  \tag{26}\\
& =2^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{i_{N}} \mu\left(I_{i}^{N}\right) \geq 2^{N} \mu(C)
\end{align*}
$$

from which we obtain the desired result.
The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to that of Theorem 6 in [16], except we do not exclude the case in which the singular measure is atomless. Recently, we have been aware that Theorem 3 was exposed in [21].
2.2. Studying the Sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, \text {, s }}$ in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. For $b_{j} \in$ $\mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, consider the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. For every $j=1,2, \ldots, s$, the spectral measure $\mu_{\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{,}}}$ in the integral representation of the cross-covariance function of the sequences $\mathbf{a}:=\left\{U^{k} a\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{j}:=\left\{U^{k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has no singular part. Indeed, according to Theorem 3, the spectral measure associated with the autocovariance function of the sequence $\left\{U^{k} a\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ has no singular part; then by using the CauchySchwarz type inequality in [22, page 125] we get the result. In the sequel, we will use the abridged notation $b_{k, j}:=U^{r k} b_{j}$; our goal in this section is to study the sequence $\left\{b_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2 \ldots, \ldots, s}$ in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ in terms of an $s \times r$ matrix $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ which is introduced below. For the sake of completeness, we include some needed calculations which appear in [14].

First of all, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle U^{k} a, b_{n, j}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-r n) \theta} \phi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}_{j}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}_{j}}$ stands for the cross spectral density of the stationary correlated sequences $\mathbf{a}:=\left\{U^{k} a\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{j}:=\left\{U^{k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\left(\phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \phi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \ldots, \phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}_{s}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right)^{\top} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we will use the left-shift operator $S$ defined as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S: \quad & L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta} \longmapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k+1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}, \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

or equivalently, by $(S f)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}$, where $\mathbb{T}:=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right.$ : $\theta \in[-\pi, \pi)\}$ denotes the unidimensional torus. Also, we will consider the decimation operator $D_{r}, r$ is a positive integer, defined as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{r}: \quad & L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \\
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta} \longmapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{r k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}, \tag{30}
\end{array}
$$

which can equivalently be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{r} f\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}((\theta+2 k \pi) / r)}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $l=0,1, \ldots, r-1$, set the $s \times 1$ matrix of functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{l}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\left(D_{r} S^{-l} \Phi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define the $s \times r$ matrix of functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\left(\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}^{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \cdots \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}^{r-1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth to mention that the matrix $\Psi_{a, b}$ was explicitly computed in [14] for the translation and modulation cases in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Next, for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, we obtain an expression for the inner products $\alpha_{n, j}:=\left\langle x, U^{r n} b_{j}\right\rangle, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, s$. Indeed, writing $x=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} U^{k} a$ where $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{n, j}=\left\langle x, U^{r n} b_{j}\right\rangle & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k}\left\langle U^{k} a, U^{r n} b_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-r n) \theta} \phi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}_{j}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta} \phi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}_{j}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} r n \theta} d \theta \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} & :=\left(\alpha_{n, 1}, \alpha_{n, 2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n, s}\right)^{\top} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) X\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} r n \theta} d \theta \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}$.
Now, for $l=0,1, \ldots, r-1$, define the sequence $x^{(l)}:=$ $\left\{x_{k}^{(l)}:=x_{k r+l}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k r+l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k r+l) \theta}=\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} l \theta} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k}^{(l)} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}$.
Using (36) in (35), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}=\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} l \theta} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} r n \theta} d \theta \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

After some easy calculations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n} & =\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S^{-l} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} r n \theta} d \theta \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-r \pi}^{r \pi} \frac{S^{-l} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta / r)}\right)}{r} X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} d \theta \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \int_{2 \pi k}^{2 \pi(k+1)} \frac{S^{-l} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta / r)}\right)}{2 \pi r} X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} d \theta  \tag{38}\\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{S^{-l} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}((\theta+2 \pi k) / r)}\right)}{2 \pi r} X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1}\left(D_{r} S^{-l} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} d \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

Defining $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}$, (38) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{r-1}\left(D_{r} S^{-l} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) X^{(l)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{k, 1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{k, 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}, \ldots, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{k, s} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right)^{\top} \\
& =\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\left(X^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), X^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \ldots, X^{(r-1)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right)^{\top} \\
& =\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \\
& =\left(L_{\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}}: L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ denotes the multiplication operator by $\Psi_{a, b}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\left(X^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), X^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \ldots, X^{(r-1)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right)^{\top} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ (resp., $L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ ), we denote the product space $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \times \cdots \times L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ for $r$ times (resp., $s$ times). Besides,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\right\|_{L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{r}} d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left\langle\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{r}} d \theta . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The above calculations let us prove the following result.
Theorem 5. Let $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots$, s and let $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ be the associated matrix given in (33). Then, the following results hold.
(a) The sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, \ldots, s}$ is a complete system in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if the rank of the matrix $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)$ is $r$ a.e. $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{T}$.
(b) The sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if there exists a constant $B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}^{*}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}(\zeta) \leq B \rrbracket_{r} \quad \text { a.e. } \zeta \text { in } \mathbb{T} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) The sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if there exist constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \rrbracket_{r} \leq \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}^{*}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}(\zeta) \leq B \square_{r} \quad \text { a.e. } \zeta \text { in } \mathbb{T} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) The sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if it is a frame and $s=r$.

Proof. To prove (a), assume that there exists a set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ with positive measure such that $\operatorname{rank}\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)\right]<r$ for each $\zeta \in \Omega$. Then, there exists a measurable function $v(\zeta), \zeta \in \Omega$, such that $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta) v(\zeta)=0$ and $\|v(\zeta)\|_{L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}=1$ in $\Omega$. This function
can be constructed as in [23, Lemma 2.4]. Define $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}} \in L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(\zeta)=v(\zeta)$ if $\zeta \in \Omega$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(\zeta)=0$ if $\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \backslash \Omega$. Hence, from (40), we obtain that the system is not complete. Conversely, if the system is not complete, by using (40), we obtain a $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(\zeta)$ different from 0 in a set with positive measure such that $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta) \widetilde{\mathbf{V}}(\zeta)=0$. Thus, $\operatorname{rank} \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)<r$ on a set with positive measure.

To prove (b), we keep in mind that $\left\{U^{k} a\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, the mapping $T: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{a}$, given by $T\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}=x=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} U^{k} a$ is bijective and there exist two constants $0<m_{a} \leq M_{a}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a}\left\|\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|T\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} \leq M_{a}\left\|\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right\|_{l^{2}}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that (43) is satisfied. It follows from (40) and (42) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\right\|_{L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2} \leq B\|\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction $\left\|\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\right\|_{L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, b_{k, j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$ and $\|\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2}=\left\|\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}$, using (45), it follows from (46) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, b_{k, j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq \frac{B}{m_{a}}\|x\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, assume that $\left\{b_{k j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, then there exists $0<B^{\prime}<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, b_{k, j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B^{\prime}\|x\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (45), this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\right\|_{L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2} \leq B^{\prime} M_{a}\|\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\|_{L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \in L_{r}^{2}(\mathbb{T})$. Inserting the right hand side of (42) for $\left\|\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\right\|_{L_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{T})}^{2}$, it is straightforward to see that (43) holds with $B=B^{\prime} M_{a}$. The proof of (c) is completed proceeding as in (b).

To prove (d), consider the following mapping:

$$
\begin{align*}
S: & \mathscr{A}_{a} \longrightarrow \ell_{s}^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \\
& x \longmapsto\left\{\left\langle x, b_{k, j}\right\rangle\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (40), the mapping $S$ is isometric equivalent to $L_{\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}}$, and assuming that $\left\{b_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame, it is a Riesz basis if and only if $S$ is surjective.

First, if $\left\{b_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Riesz basis, then it is a frame and $S$ is surjective. Applying (a) yields that $L_{\Psi_{\text {a,b }}}$ is bijective, and therefore $L_{\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}}^{*}=L_{\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}^{*}}$ is bijective. Hence, $\operatorname{rank}\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}(\zeta)\right]$ is $s$ for almost every $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{T}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\operatorname{rank}\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)\right]=\operatorname{rank}\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}(\zeta)\right]=s \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally $s=r$.

Conversely, if $\left\{b_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame and $s=r$, (a) implies that $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)$ is invertible for almost every $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{T}$, which implies that $L_{\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}}$ is surjective; then $S$ is surjective and $\left\{b_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Riesz basis.

The following lemma will allow us to restate Theorem 5.
Lemma 6. Let $\mathbf{G}(\zeta)$ be an $s \times r$ matrix with entries in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$, and consider the following constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\mathbf{G}}:=\underset{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{essinf}} \lambda_{\min }\left[\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right], \\
& B_{\mathbf{G}}:=\underset{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \lambda_{\max }\left[G^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right], \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\text {min }}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\lambda_{\text {max }}\right)$ denotes the smallest (resp., the largest) eigenvalue of the positive semidefinite matrix $\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)$. Then,
(a) the matrix $\mathbf{G}(\zeta)$ has essentially bounded entries on $\mathbb{T}$ if and only if $B_{\mathrm{G}}<\infty$;
(b) there exist constants $0<A \leq B<\infty$ such that $A \square_{r} \leq$ $\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) \leq B \square_{r}$, a.e., $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ if and only if $0<A_{\mathbf{G}} \leq$ $B_{\mathrm{G}}<\infty$.

Proof. The first part of lemma follows from that $\lambda_{\max }\left[\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right]=\|\mathbf{G}(\zeta)\|_{2}^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max _{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right| \leq\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{m n} \max _{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right| \\
\text { for any matrix } \mathbf{A}=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{\substack{i=1,2 \ldots, m \\
j=1, \ldots \ldots, n}} \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2}$ denotes the spectral norm of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ (see, for instance, [24]).

Now, we prove the second part of the lemma. Since $\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) \leq B \square_{r}$ means that $\left\langle B x-\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) x, x\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^{r}$, in particular, taking an eigenvector $x$ associated with the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max }$ of $\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)$ such that $\|x\|=1$, one has that $B \geq \lambda_{\max }\left(\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right)$. Hence, $B \geq$ ess $\sup _{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \lambda_{\text {max }}\left[\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right]$. In a similar way, $A \square_{r} \leq$ $\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)$ implies that $A \leq \operatorname{ess}^{\inf } \zeta_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \lambda_{\text {min }}\left[\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right]$.

Conversely, Rayleigh-Ritz theorem [24, page 176] yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\max }\left[\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right] & =\max _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{r}} \frac{x^{*} \mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)}{x^{*} x} \\
& =\max _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{r}} \frac{\left\langle\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) x, x\right\rangle}{\langle x, x\rangle} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, ess $\sup _{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \lambda_{\max }\left[\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)\right]=B_{\mathbf{G}}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in \mathbb{C}^{r}} \frac{\left\langle\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) x, x\right\rangle}{\langle x, x\rangle} \leq B_{\mathbf{G}}, \quad \text { a.e. } \zeta \in \mathbb{T} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $B_{\mathbf{G}} \square_{r} \geq \mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta)$; analogously, $\mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) \geq A_{\mathbf{G}} \square_{r}$.

It is easy to deduce from the proof that $A_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $B_{\mathbf{G}}$ are the optimal constants $A>0$ and $B<\infty$ satisfying the inequalities $A \mathbb{D}_{r} \leq \mathbf{G}^{*}(\zeta) \mathbf{G}(\zeta) \leq B \square_{r}$, a.e., $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$.

As a consequence of Lemma 6, statements (b) and (c) in Theorem 5 can be restated in terms of the following constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\Psi}:=\underset{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{essinf}} \lambda_{\min }\left[\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)\right] ; \\
& B_{\Psi}:=\underset{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \lambda_{\max }\left[\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}(\zeta) \Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)\right], \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

as follows.
Theorem 7. Let $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, s$, and let $\Psi_{a, b}$ be the associated matrix given in (33) and its related constants (56). Then, the following results hold.
(i) The sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if the constant $B_{\Psi}<\infty$.
(ii) The sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if the constants $A_{\Psi}$ and $B_{\Psi}$ satisfy $0<A_{\Psi} \leq$ $B_{\Psi}<\infty$. In this case, $A_{\Psi}$ and $B_{\Psi}$ are the optimal frame bounds for $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$.
2.3. The Frame Expansion. Define the $r \times s$ matrix of functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}=\left[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following expansion involving the inner products $\alpha_{n, j}=$ $\left\langle x, U^{r n} b_{j}\right\rangle$ of $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ holds.

Lemma 8. Assume that the matrix $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\zeta)$ has essentially bounded entries on $\mathbb{T}$. For any $x=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} U^{k} a \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{n}}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-k}, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ denotes the nth Fourier coefficient of the function $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ defined in (41), and the sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is given in (35).

Proof. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{n}} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta} d \theta  \tag{59}\\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(n-k) \theta} d \theta \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-k} .
\end{align*}
$$

At this point, we are ready to prove the following expansion result.

Theorem 9. Let $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, s$, and assume that the associated matrix $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ given in (33) has essentially bounded entries on $\mathbb{T}$, that is, $B_{\Psi}<\infty$. The following statements are equivalent.
(i) The constant $A_{\Psi}>0$.
(ii) There exist $c_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, such that the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, yielding, for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, the following expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle U^{r k} c_{j} \quad \text { in } \mathscr{H} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case the equivalent conditions hold, $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ and $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$, form a pair of dual frames in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$.

Proof. First, we prove that (i) implies (ii). Observe that $x=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_{k} U^{k} a$ can be written as $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ where $\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}}=$ $\left(U^{n r} a, U^{n r+1} a, \ldots, U^{n r+r-1} a\right)^{\top}$. Next,

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-k}\right)^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{k}}  \tag{61}\\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\top}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{k}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, s$ define $c_{l, j}:=U^{r l} c_{j}$, where $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, c_{s}\right)^{\top}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}$, and $b_{l, j}:=U^{r l} b_{j}$. Then, (61) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\top}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{k}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\top} U^{n r}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)  \tag{62}\\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{s} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, b_{n, l}\right\rangle c_{n, l} \quad \text { in } \mathscr{H} .
\end{align*}
$$

In order to be allowed to use [1, Lemma 5.6.2], we have to prove that the above constructed sequence $\left\{c_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. To this end, we compute the corresponding $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}$ matrix for $\mathbf{c}:=\left\{c_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. Setting $\left[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}^{\top}\right]_{i j}=a_{i j}^{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle U^{k} a, c_{n, j}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} i=1}^{r} \sum^{r}\left\langle U^{k} a, U^{n r}\left(a_{j i}^{l} U^{l r+i r+i-1} a\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} i=1}^{r} \sum_{j i}^{l}\left\langle U^{k-n r-l r-i+1} a, a\right\rangle  \tag{63}\\
& \quad=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} i=1}^{r} \sum^{r} \bar{a}_{j i}^{l} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r-l r-i+1) \theta} \phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Now, } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle U^{k} a, c_{n, 1}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle U^{k} a, c_{n, 2}\right\rangle \\
\vdots \\
\left\langle U^{k} a, c_{n, s}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}_{l}^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r-l r) \theta} \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r-l r-1) \theta} \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r-l r-r+1) \theta} \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta
\end{array}\right)  \tag{64}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Gamma}_{l}^{\top} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} l r \theta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r) \theta} \\
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r-1) \theta} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r-r+1) \theta}
\end{array}\right) \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(k-n r) \theta} \Gamma^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) d \theta,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\left(1, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(r-1) \theta}\right)^{\top}$. Hence, we have deduced that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \phi_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for $l=0,1, \ldots, r-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}^{l}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=D_{r} S^{-l}\left[\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right] \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently, the $s \times r$ matrix $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \quad:=$ $\left(\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}^{1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{c}}^{r-1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=D_{r}\left[\phi_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \Gamma^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right] \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} & \cdots & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(r-1) \theta}  \tag{68}\\
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta} & 1 & \cdots & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(r-2) \theta} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(r-1) \theta} & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(r-2) \theta} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

As a consequence of Theorem 7, the proof ends if we prove that the matrix $\Psi_{a, c}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ has essentially bounded entries. Clearly, the decimation operator $D_{r}$ sends bounded functions into bounded functions; Theorem 3 implies that $\phi_{\mathrm{a}}$ is bounded so, taking into account (67), it remains to check that the matrix $\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right)$ has essentially bounded entries.

Now, $\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right)=\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right)\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right)\right]^{-1}$, the lower bound condition (c) in Theorem 5 and Lemma 6 imply that $\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right)\right]^{-1}$ has bounded entries, and therefore the matrix $\Gamma^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} r \theta}\right)$ has bounded entries. We have shown that $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ has bounded entries; then Theorem 7, part (a) and Lemma 6 guarantee that the sequence $\left\{c_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is
a Bessel sequence; then, the sequences $\left\{b_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ and $\left\{c_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ form a pair of dual frames in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ (see [1, Lemma 5.6.2]).

Finally, condition (ii) implies condition (i). According to [1, Lemma 5.6.2], the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ since it is a Bessel sequence and the expansion in (ii) holds. By using Theorem 7 we obtain that $A_{\Psi}>0$.

It is worth to observe that the analysis done in Theorem 9 provides a whole family of dual frames for the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. In fact, everything works if we replace $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ in (57) by any matrix of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{U}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\dagger}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)+\mathbb{U}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\left[\mathbb{a}_{s}-\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\dagger}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right] \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{U}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ is any $r \times s$ matrix with entries in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, and $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\dagger}$ denotes the Moore-Penrose left-inverse $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\dagger}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right):=$ $\left[\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right) \Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)\right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$. Note that we need essentially bounded entries in the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\mathbb{U}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)$ since the multiplication operator $M_{F}: f \mapsto F f$ in $L^{2}(\mathbb{T})$ is well-defined (and consequently bounded) if and only if $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$.

Notice that if $s=r$, we have $\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{\dagger}=\Psi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}^{-1}$ which implies a unique $\Gamma_{\mathbb{U}}$, and we are in the presence of a pair of dual Riesz bases. In fact, the following result holds.

Corollary 10. Let $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, r$; that $i s, r=s$ in Theorem 9. Assume that the square matrix $\Psi_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ given in (33) has entries essentially bounded on $\mathbb{T}$, that is, $B_{\Psi}<\infty$. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) The constant $A_{\Psi}>0$.
(b) There exists a Riesz basis $\left\{C_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ such that for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle C_{k, j} \quad \text { in } \mathscr{H} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
In case the equivalent conditions are satisfied, necessarily, there exist $c_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, r$, such that $C_{k, j}=U^{r k} c_{j}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Moreover, the sequences $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ and $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ are dual Riesz bases in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, and the interpolation property $\left\langle c_{j}, U^{r k} b_{j^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{j, j^{\prime}} \delta_{k, 0}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j, j^{\prime}=1,2, \ldots, r$, holds.

Proof. To prove (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b), we use Theorem 9; whenever $0<$ $A_{\Psi} \leq B_{\Psi}<\infty$, there exist $c_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, such that the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ and for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle U^{r k} c_{j} \quad \text { in } \mathscr{H}, \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Actually, from Theorem 5, we get that $r=s$ implies that $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Riesz basis, and consequently, $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is indeed its dual Riesz basis.

The converse follows easily from the fact that if $\left\{C_{k, j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Riesz basis, then (b) implies that $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is its dual Riesz basis; hence, Theorem 5 provides $A_{\Psi}>0$. The interpolation property comes out from the biorthogonal condition of a pair of dual Riesz bases.

Closing this section it is worth to mention that the results stated and proved in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 mathematically enrich some of the remarkable results concerning regular sampling contained in the interesting [14]. Here, we have assumed only one generator $a \in \mathscr{H}$ and that $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, s$. If $b_{j} \notin \mathscr{A}_{a}$ for some $j$, see the additional remarks in Section 4. The case of several generators $a_{l} \in \mathscr{H}$, $l=1,2, \ldots, L$, can be essentially treated in the same way.

## 3. Some Perturbation Results

In Section 2, we have only used the discrete group of unitary operators $\left\{U^{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is completely determined by the unitary operator $U$. In order to carry out the study of some perturbation results stated below, we need the availability of a continuous group of unitary operators $\left\{U^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ which includes the unitary operator $U$, say, for instance, that $U:=$ $U^{1}$.
3.1. On Continuous Groups of Unitary Operators. Let $\left\{U^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denote a continuous group of unitary operators in $\mathscr{H}$ such that $U:=U^{1}$. Recall that $\left\{U^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a family of unitary operators in $\mathscr{H}$ satisfying (see [25, vol. 2; page 29]) the following:
(1) $U^{t} U^{t^{\prime}}=U^{t+t^{\prime}}$,
(2) $U^{0}=I_{\mathscr{H}}$,
(3) $\left\langle U^{t} x, y\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$ is a continuous function of $t$ for any $x, y \in$ $\mathscr{H}$.
Note that $\left(U^{t}\right)^{-1}=U^{-t}$, and since $\left(U^{t}\right)^{*}=\left(U^{t}\right)^{-1}$, we have $\left(U^{t}\right)^{*}=U^{-t}$.

Classical Stone's theorem [26] assures us the existence of a self-adjoint operator $T$ (possibly unbounded) such that $U^{t} \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t T}$. This self-adjoint operator $T$, defined on the dense domain of $\mathscr{H}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{T}:=\left\{x \in \mathscr{H} \text { such that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2} d\left\|E_{w} x\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits the spectral representation $T=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w d E_{w}$ which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x, y\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w d\left\langle E_{w} x, y\right\rangle \quad \text { for any } x \in D_{T}, y \in \mathscr{H} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{E_{w}\right\}_{w \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the corresponding resolution of the identity, that is, a one-parameter family of projection operators $E_{w}$ in $\mathscr{H}$ such that
(i) $E_{-\infty}:=\lim _{w \rightarrow-\infty} E_{w}=O_{\mathscr{H}}, E_{\infty}:=\lim _{w \rightarrow \infty} E_{w}=$ $I_{\mathscr{H}}$,
(ii) $E_{w^{-}}=E_{w}$ for every $-\infty<w<\infty$,
(iii) $E_{u} E_{v}=E_{w}$ where $w=\min \{u, v\}$.

Recall that $\left\|E_{w} x\right\|^{2}$ and $\left\langle E_{w} x, y\right\rangle$, as functions of $w$, have bounded variation and define, respectively, a positive and a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, for any $x \in D_{T}$, we have that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\left(U^{t} x-\right.\right.$ $x) / t)=\mathrm{i} T x$ and the operator $\mathrm{i} T$ is said to be the infinitesimal generator of the group $\left\{U^{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. For each $x \in D_{T}, U^{t} x$ is a continuous differentiable function of $t$. Notice that, whenever the self-adjoint operator $T$ is bounded, $D_{T}=\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it} T}$ can be defined as the usual exponential series; in any case, $U^{t} \equiv$ $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it} T}$ means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle U^{t} x, y\right\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} w t} d\left\langle E_{w} x, y\right\rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in D_{T}$ and $y \in \mathscr{H}$. A comment on the continuity of a group of unitary operators is in order: The group is said to be strongly continuous if, for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$ and $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, $U^{t} x \rightarrow U^{t_{0}} x$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0}$. If $\mathscr{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space, strong continuity can be deduced from continuity and even from weak measurability; that is, $\left\langle U^{t} x, y\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}$ is a Lebesgue measurable function of $t$ for any $x, y \in \mathscr{H}$.

The following result taken from [25, vol. 2; page 24] will be used later. For $x \in D_{T}$ and $y \in \mathscr{H}$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(w) d\left\langle E_{w} x, y\right\rangle\right| \leq\|y\| \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\varphi(w)|^{2} d\left\langle E_{w} x, x\right\rangle} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\varphi$ is a real or complex function which is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$ with the possible exception of a finite number of points.

For the details on the theory of continuous groups of unitary operators, see [22, 25, 27, 28].
3.2. Studying the Perturbed Sequence $\left\{U^{r k+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots . .}$. Given an error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{k j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$, consider the corresponding perturbed sequence $\left\{U^{r k+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. Consider the following perturbation result (see [1, page 354] for the proof).

Lemma 11. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame for the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ with frame bounds $A, B$, and let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathscr{H}$. If there exists a constant $R<A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle x_{n}-y_{n}, x\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq R\|x\|^{2} \quad \text { for each } x \in \mathscr{H} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also a frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with bounds $A(1-\sqrt{R / A})^{2}$ and $B(1+\sqrt{R / B})^{2}$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis, then $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis.

Note that it cannot be directly applied to the sequences $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ and $\left\{U^{r k+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ since the first one is not a frame for the entire Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, and its perturbed sequence does not necessarily belong to the subspace $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. However, something can be said in case $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots r}$ is a Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$.

Theorem 12. Assume that for some $b_{j} \in D_{T}$; that is, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2}<\infty$ for each $1 \leq j \leq r$, the sequence $\left\{U^{k r} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, r}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ with Riesz bounds $0<A_{\Psi} \leq B_{\Psi}<\infty$. For a sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{k j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2, \ldots, r}$ of errors, let $R$ be the constant given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R:=\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|^{2} \max _{j=1,2, \ldots, r}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2}\right\} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\|$ denotes the $\ell_{r}^{2}$-norm of the sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.
If $R<A_{\Psi}$, then the sequence $\left\{U^{k r+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, r}$ is a Riesz sequence in $\mathscr{H}$ with Riesz bounds $A_{\Psi}\left(1-\sqrt{R / A_{\Psi}}\right)^{2}$ and $B_{\Psi}\left(1+\sqrt{R / B_{\Psi}}\right)^{2}$.

Proof. By using (75), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left\langle x, U^{k r} b_{j}-U^{k r+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k r w} d\left\langle E_{w} x, b_{j}\right\rangle-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k r w-\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{k j} w} d\left\langle E_{w} x, b_{j}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k r w}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{k j} w}\right) d\left\langle E_{w} x, b_{j}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k r w}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{k j} w}\right) d\left\langle E_{w} b_{j}, x\right\rangle\right| \\
& \quad \leq\|x\| \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{k j} w}\right|^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \quad \leq\|x\| \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2}\left|\epsilon_{k j}\right|^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \quad=\left|\epsilon_{k j}\right|\|x\| \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2} .} \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, U^{k r} b_{j}-U^{k r+\epsilon_{k j}} b_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\|x\|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)\left|\epsilon_{k j}\right|^{2}  \tag{79}\\
& \quad \leq\|x\|^{2} \max _{j=1,2, \ldots, r}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^{2} d\left\|E_{w} b_{j}\right\|^{2}\right\} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\epsilon_{k j}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, Lemma 11 and Theorem 15.3.2 in [1, page 356] give the desired results.
3.3. On the Perturbed Frame Expansion. Next, we deal with the problem of the recovery of any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ in a stable way from the following perturbed sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, \ldots,}, \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{m j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ denotes a sequence of real errors. In order to face this problem, we propose a possible strategy. Let $\mathscr{T}_{U, a}: L^{2}(0,1) \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{a}$ be the isomorphism which maps the orthonormal basis $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} n w}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ onto the Riesz basis $\left\{U^{n} a\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. In other words,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{T}_{U, a}: & L^{2}(0,1) \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}_{a} \\
& \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{in} w} \longmapsto x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} U^{n} a . \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x, U^{t} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} & =\left\langle\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n} U^{n} a, U^{t} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{n}{\overline{\left\langle U^{t} b_{j}, U^{n} a\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}}}  \tag{82}\\
& =\left\langle F, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle U^{t} b_{j}, U^{n} a\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i n w}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \\
& =\left\langle F, K_{j}^{t}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{T}_{U, a} F=x$, and the function $K_{j}^{t}(w):=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle U^{t} b_{j}\right.$, $\left.U^{n} a\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} n \omega}$ belongs to $L^{2}(0,1)$ since the sequence $\left\{\left\langle U^{t} b\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.U^{n} a\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence, for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, we have the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x, U^{r m} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} & =\left\langle F, \overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i r m w}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)^{\prime}} \\
\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} & =\left\langle F, \overline{g_{m, j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i r m w}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)^{\prime}} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{j}(w) & :=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle a, U^{k} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k w}, \\
g_{m, j}(w) & :=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle a, U^{k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k w} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

belong to $L^{2}(0,1)$. Therefore, we can see the sequence $\left\{\overline{g_{m, j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ as a perturbation of the sequence $\left\{\overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. From [6, Lemma 3], we know that this sequence is a frame for $L^{2}(0,1)$ if and only if $0<\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq$ $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}<\infty$ where the constants $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}:=\underset{w \in(0,1 / r)}{\operatorname{ess} \inf } \lambda_{\min }\left[\mathbb{G}^{*}(w) \mathbb{G}(w)\right], \\
& \beta_{\mathbb{G}}:=\underset{w \in(0,1 / r)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup _{\max }} \lambda_{\left.\mathbb{G}^{*}(w) \mathbb{G}(w)\right],}, \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathbb{G}(w)$ is the $s \times r$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{G}(w):=\left[g_{j}\left(w+\frac{k-1}{r}\right)\right]_{\substack{j=1,2, \ldots, s \\ k=1,2, \ldots, r}} . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, the optimal frame bounds for $\left\{\overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ are $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} / r$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} / r$.

Given an error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{m j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, . ., s}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{m, k}^{(j)}:=\left\langle a, U^{r m-k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle a, U^{r m-k} b_{j}\right\rangle . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any sequence $c=\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{m, k}^{(j)} c_{k}\right|^{2} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} l, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m, l}\left|d_{l}^{(j)} \bar{q}_{m, k}^{(j)} \bar{c}_{k}\right| \\
& =\sum_{j=1 l, k \in \mathbb{Z}}^{s}\left|c_{1}\right|\left|c_{k}\right| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|d_{m, l}^{(j)} d_{m, k}^{(j)}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1, l, k \in \mathbb{Z}}^{s} \frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|d_{m, l}^{(j)} l_{m, k}^{(j)}\right|  \tag{88}\\
& =\sum_{j=1 l \in \mathbb{Z}}^{s} \sum_{|c|}| |^{2} \sum_{k, m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|d_{m, l}^{(j)} d_{m, k}^{(j)}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for $|\gamma|<1 / 2$, define the following functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{a, b_{j}}(\gamma):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{t \in[-\gamma, \gamma]}} \max \left|\left\langle a, U^{k+t} b_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle a, U^{k} b_{j}\right\rangle\right|, \\
& N_{a, b_{j}}(\gamma) \\
&:= \max _{k=0,1, \ldots, r-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{t \in[-\gamma, \gamma]}\left|\left\langle a, U^{r m+k+t} b_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle a, U^{r m+k} b_{j}\right\rangle\right| . \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $N_{a, b_{j}}(\gamma) \leq M_{a, b_{j}}(\gamma)$ and for $r=1$, the equality holds. Moreover, assuming that the continuous functions $\varphi_{j}(t):=\left\langle a, U^{t} b_{j}\right\rangle, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, satisfy a decay condition as $\varphi_{j}(t)=O\left(|t|^{-\left(1+\eta_{j}\right)}\right)$ when $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\eta_{j}>0$, we may deduce that the functions $N_{a, b_{j}}(\gamma)$ and $M_{a, b_{j}}(\gamma)$ are continuous near to 0 .

Theorem 13. Assume that for the functions $g_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, given in (84) one has $0<\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}}<\infty$. For an error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{m j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1, \ldots, s}$, define the constant $\gamma_{j}:=\sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\epsilon_{m j}\right|$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, s$. Then the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{s} M_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right) N_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)<\frac{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}{r} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that there exists a frame $\left\{C_{m, j}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ such that, for any $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, the following sampling expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} C_{m, j}^{\epsilon} \quad \text { in } \mathscr{H} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Moreover, when $r=s$, the sequence $\left\{C_{m, j}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a Riesz basis for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, and the interpolation property $\left\langle C_{n, l}^{\epsilon}, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=\delta_{l, j} \delta_{n, m}$ holds.

Proof. The sequence $\left\{\overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame (a Riesz basis if $r=s$ ) for $L^{2}(0,1)$ with frame (Riesz) bounds $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$. For any $F(w)=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{l} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} l w}$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left|\left\langle\overline{g_{m, j}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}-\overline{g_{j}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}, F(\cdot)\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \mid\left\langle\sum _ { k \in \mathbb { Z } } \left(\overline{\left\langle a, U^{k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\overline{\left\langle a, U^{k} b_{j}\right\rangle}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(r m-k)}, F(\cdot)\right\rangle\left._{L^{2}(0,1)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \mid\left\langle\sum _ { k \in \mathbb { Z } } \left(\overline{\left\langle a, U^{r m-k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad-\overline{\left\langle a, U^{r m-k} b_{j}\right\rangle}\right) \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}, F(\cdot)\right\rangle\right\rangle\left._{L^{2}(0,1)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\overline{\left\langle a, U^{\left.r m-k+\epsilon_{m j} b_{j}\right\rangle}\right.}-\overline{\left\langle a, U^{r m-k} b_{j}\right\rangle}\right) \bar{a}_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& =  \tag{92}\\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{m, k}^{(j)} a_{k}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (88) and the definition of the functions $M_{a, b_{j}}$ and $N_{a, b_{j}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left|\left\langle\overline{g_{m, j}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}-\overline{g_{j}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}, F(\cdot)\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} M_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right) N_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\left\|\left\{a_{l}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\right\|^{2}  \tag{93}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} M_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right) N_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)\|F\|_{L^{2}(0,1)}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By using Lemma 11, we obtain that the sequence $\left\{\overline{g_{m, j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{irmw}}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $L^{2}(0,1)$ (a Riesz basis if $r=s)$. Let $\left\{h_{m, j}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ be its canonical dual frame. Hence, for any $F \in L^{2}(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\langle F(\cdot), \overline{g_{m, j}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} h_{m, j}^{\epsilon}  \tag{94}\\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{s}\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} h_{m, j}^{\epsilon} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the isomorphism $\mathscr{T}_{U, a}$, one gets (91), where $C_{m, j}^{\epsilon}=\mathscr{T}_{U, a}\left(h_{m, j}^{\epsilon}\right)$. Since $\mathscr{T}_{U, a}$ is an isomorphism between $L^{2}(0,1)$ and $\mathscr{A}_{a}$; the sequence $\left\{C_{m, j}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for
$\mathscr{A}_{a}$ (a Riesz basis if $\left.r=s\right)$. The interpolatory property in the case $r=s$ follows from the uniqueness of the coefficients with respect to a Riesz basis.
3.4. A Frame Algorithm in $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Sampling formula (91) is useless from a practical point of view, it is impossible to determine the involved frame $\left\{C_{m, j}^{\epsilon}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$. As a consequence, in order to recover $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ from the sequence of inner products $\left\{\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$, we should implement a frame algorithm in $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Another possibility is given in the recent reference [29].

Next we are going to implement a frame algorithm in the $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ setting. To this end, consider the canonical isometry

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow L^{2}(0,1) \quad \text { such that } \widetilde{\mathscr{U}}_{c}:=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{k} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k w}  \tag{95}\\
\text { for } c=\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})
\end{array}
$$

For $x=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n} U^{n} a \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, denote by $\mathbb{F}$ the following sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F}:=\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}^{-1} \mathscr{T}_{U, a}^{-1} x=\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) . \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner products $\left\{\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} & =\left\langle\mathscr{T}_{U, a}^{-1} x, \overline{g_{m, j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i r m w}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)} \\
& =\left\langle\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{L}_{m, j}\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})}, \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for $j=1,2, \ldots, s$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{L}_{m, j} & :=\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\overline{g_{m, j}(\cdot)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\overline{\left.\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle a, U^{k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m \cdot}\right)}\right. \\
& =\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\left\langle a, U^{k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(r m-k) \cdot}\right)  \tag{98}\\
& =\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\left\langle a, U^{r m-k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle} \mathrm{e}_{\mathscr{H}}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}\right) \\
& =\left\{\overline{\left\langle a, U^{r m-k+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The sequence $\left\{\mathbb{L}_{m, j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Indeed, assume that the error sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}:=\left\{\epsilon_{m, j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1, \ldots, s}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 13; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\epsilon}:=\sum_{j=1}^{s} M_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right) N_{a, b_{j}}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)<\frac{\alpha_{\mathscr{G}}}{r}, \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{j}:=\sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\epsilon_{m j}\right|$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, s$. As a consequence, the sequence $\left\{\overline{g_{m, j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $L^{2}(0,1)$ with bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\epsilon}:=\frac{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}{r}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{r K_{\epsilon}}{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}}\right)^{2}, \quad B_{\epsilon}:=\frac{\beta_{\mathbb{G}}}{r}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{r K_{\epsilon}}{\beta_{\mathbb{G}}}}\right)^{2} . \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{U}}^{-1}$ is an isometry, the sequence $\left\{\mathbb{L}_{m, j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with the same bounds. Hence, the recovery of the element $x=\mathscr{T}_{U, a}(\widetilde{\mathscr{U}} \mathbb{F}) \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$ from the samples $\left\{\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s, s}$ is reduced to recover $\mathbb{F} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ from the following sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left\langle\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{L}_{m, j}\right\rangle_{\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, ., s} . \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

In doing so, the classical frame algorithm reads (see, for instance, [1]), let $\mathcal{S}$ be the frame operator in $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ of $\left\{\mathbb{\square}_{m, j}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$, and define

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{F}_{0} & :=\frac{2 \mathcal{S}}{A_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}+B_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}} \mathbb{F} \\
& =\frac{2}{A_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}+B_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{L}_{m, j}\right\rangle \mathbb{L}_{m, j}  \tag{102}\\
& =\frac{2}{A_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}+B_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left\langle x, U^{r m+\epsilon_{m j}} b_{j}\right\rangle \mathbb{L}_{m, j},
\end{align*}
$$

and recursively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F}_{k+1}=\mathbb{F}_{k}+\frac{2 \mathcal{S}}{A_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}+B_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}}\left(\mathbb{F}-\mathbb{F}_{k}\right) \quad \text { for each } k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ given by $x_{n}$ := $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{n}\right)_{k} U^{k} a$, satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}} & \leq\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}\right\|\left\|\mathbb{F}-\mathbb{F}_{k}\right\|_{l^{2}(\mathbb{Z})} \\
& \leq\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}\right\| \gamma_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\|\mathbb{F}\|_{e^{2}(\mathbb{Z})}  \tag{104}\\
& \leq\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}\right\|\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}^{-1}\right\| \gamma_{\epsilon}^{k+1}\|x\|_{\mathscr{H}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}:=\left(B_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}-A_{\epsilon}\right) /\left(B_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}+A_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\right)$.

## 4. Some Additional Remarks

Given $s$ vectors $b_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$ with $s \geq r$, we have proved in Section 2 that the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ if and only if the constants $A_{\Psi}$ and $B_{\Psi}$ defined in (56) satisfy $0<A_{\Psi} \leq B_{\Psi}<\infty$. Furthermore, we have obtained a family of dual frames having the same form. As it was mentioned in the introduction, now we deal with the case that some $b_{j} \notin \mathscr{A}_{a}$.
(i) We have assumed in Theorems 5 and 7 that $b_{j}$ belongs to $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, s$ since we required the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ to be contained in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. In case that some $b_{j} \notin \mathscr{A}_{a}$, the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is not necessarily contained in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$. However, a close look into the proof of Theorem 5 shows that whenever $0<A_{\Psi} \leq B_{\Psi}<\infty$, the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\Psi}\|x\|^{2} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}  \tag{105}\\
& \leq B_{\Psi}\|x\|^{2} \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}
\end{align*}
$$

hold, and conversely. Hence, the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a pseudoframe for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ (see [17, 18]).

Denoting by $P_{\mathscr{A}_{a}}$ the orthogonal projection onto $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, since for each $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle x, P_{\mathscr{A}_{a}}\left(U^{r k} b_{j}\right)\right\rangle, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2, \ldots, s \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorems 5 and 7 can be reformulated in terms of $\left\{P_{\mathscr{A}_{a}}\left(U^{r k} b_{j}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ which is a sequence in $\mathscr{A}_{a}$.
(ii) An analysis of the proof of Theorem 9 shows that, even if not all of the $b_{j}$ belong to $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, there exist $c_{j} \in \mathscr{A}_{a}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$, such that the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$, and the expansion (60) holds for each $x \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$. Therefore, in case that some $b_{j} \notin \mathscr{A}_{a}$, the sequence $\left\{U^{r k} b_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a pseudodual frame of the frame $\left\{U^{r k} c_{j}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ for $\mathscr{A}_{a}$ (see [17]).
(iii) In Section 3.3, having in mind the isomorphism $\mathscr{T}_{U, a}$, for $x=\mathscr{T}_{U, \mathrm{a}} F \in \mathscr{A}_{a}$, we have obtained the following expressions:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle x, U^{r m} b_{j}\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}=\left\langle F, \overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i r m w}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)},  \tag{107}\\
\text { where } m \in \mathbb{Z}, j=1,2, \ldots, s .
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, we know that the sequence $\left\{\overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ is a frame for $L^{2}(0,1)$ if and only if the constants $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}$ given in (85) satisfy $0<\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}}<\infty$; besides, the optimal frame bounds for $\left\{\overline{g_{j}(w)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} r m w}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s}$ are $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} / r$ and $\beta_{\mathfrak{G}} / r$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}{r}\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}\right\|^{-2}\|x\|^{2} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle x, U^{r k} b_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}  \tag{108}\\
& \leq \frac{\beta_{\circlearrowleft}}{r}\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}^{-1}\right\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}, \quad x \in \mathscr{A}_{a} .
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, since we are dealing with optimal frame bounds, from (105) and (108), we derive the following equalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\Psi}=\frac{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}{r}\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}\right\|^{-2}, \quad B_{\Psi}=\frac{\beta_{\mathbb{G}}}{r}\left\|\mathscr{T}_{U, a}^{-1}\right\|^{2} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$
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