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#### Abstract

We study a class of nonperiodic damped vibration systems with asymptotically quadratic terms at infinity. We obtain infinitely many nontrivial homoclinic orbits by a variant fountain theorem developed recently by Zou. To the best of our knowledge, there is no result published concerning the existence (or multiplicity) of nontrivial homoclinic orbits for this class of non-periodic damped vibration systems with asymptotically quadratic terms at infinity.


## 1. Introduction and Main Results

In the end of 19th century, Poincare recognized the importance of homoclinic orbits for dynamical systems. Since then the existence and multiplicity of homoclinic solutions have become one of the most important problems in the research of dynamical systems. In this paper, we consider the following nonperiodic damped vibration system (NDVS):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}(t)+M \dot{u}(t)-L(t) u(t)+H_{u}(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is an antisymmetric $N \times N$ constant matrix, $L(t) \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix, $H(t, u) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $H_{u}(t, u)$ denotes its gradient with respect to the $u$ variable. We say that a solution $u(t)$ of $(1)$ is homoclinic (to $0)$ if $u(t) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \dot{u}(t) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|t| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u(t) \not \equiv 0$, then $u(t)$ is called a nontrivial homoclinic solution.

If $M=0$ (zero matrix), then (1) reduces to the following second-order Hamiltonian system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}(t)-L(t) u(t)+H_{u}(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a classical equation which can describe many mechanical systems, such as a pendulum. In the past decades,
the existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions and homoclinic orbits for (3) have been studied by many authors via variational methods; see [1-17] and the references therein. The periodic assumptions are very important in the study of homoclinic orbits for (3) since periodicity is used to control the lack of compactness due to the fact that (3) is set on all $\mathbb{R}$.

Nonperiodic problems are quite different from the ones described in periodic cases. Rabinowitz and Tanaka [10] introduced a type of coercivity condition on the matrix $L(t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(t):=\inf _{|u|=1}(L(t) u, u) \longrightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as }|t| \longrightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtained the existence of homoclinic orbit for nonperiodic (3) under the usual Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz $(A R)$ superquadratic condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu H(t, u) \leq\left(H_{u}(t, u), u\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu>2$ is a constant, $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and the associated norm is denoted by $|\cdot|$.

As usual, we say that $H$ satisfies the subquadratic (or superquadratic) growth condition at infinity if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(t, u)}{|u|^{2}}=0 \quad\left(\text { or } \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(t, u)}{|u|^{2}}=+\infty\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M \neq 0$, that is, the damped vibration system (1), there are only a few authors who have studied homoclinic orbits of
the NDVS (1), see [18-23]. Zhu [18] considered the periodic case of (1) (i.e., $L(t)$ and $H(t, u)$ are $T$-periodic in $t$ with $T>0$ ) and obtained the existence of nontrivial homoclinic solutions of (1). The authors [19-23] considered the nonperiodic case of (1): Zhang and Yuan [19] obtained the existence of at least one homoclinic orbit for (1) when $H$ satisfies the subquadratic condition at infinity by using a standard minimizing argument. By a symmetric mountain pass theorem and a generalized mountain pass theorem, Wu and Zhang [20] obtained the existence and multiplicity of homoclinic orbits for (1) when $H$ satisfies the local $(A R)$ superquadratic growth condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu H(t, u) \leq\left(H_{u}(t, u), u\right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall|u| \geq r \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu>2$ and $r>0$ are two constants. We should notice that the matrix $L(t)$ in (1) is required to satisfy condition (4) in the Previously mentioned two papers [19, 20]. Later, Sun et al. [21] obtained the existence of at least one homoclinic orbit for (1) when $H$ satisfies the superquadratic condition at infinity by using the following conditions which are weaker than condition (4).
$\left(L_{1}\right)$ There exists a constant $\beta>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { meas }\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:|t|^{-\beta} L(t)<b I_{N}\right\}<+\infty, \quad \forall b>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(L_{2}\right)$ There exists a constant $\gamma \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(t):=\inf _{|u|=1}(L(t) u, u) \geq-\gamma, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, by using conditions $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$, Chen [22, 23] obtained infinitely many nontrivial homoclinic orbits of (1) when $H$ satisfies the subquadratic [22] (or superquadratic [23]) growth condition at infinity. In fact, conditions $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$ are first used in [14]. As mentioned in [21], there are some matrix-valued functions $L(t)$ satisfying $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$ but not satisfying (4). For example, $L(t):=\left(t^{4} \sin ^{2} t+1\right) I_{N}$. That is, conditions $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$ are weaker than condition (4).

Remark 1. To the best of our knowledge, there is no result published concerning the existence (or multiplicity) of nontrivial homoclinic orbits for the NDVS (1) when $H$ satisfies the asymptotically quadratic condition at infinity (see the following condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ ).

Let $\widetilde{H}(t, u):=H(t, u)-(1 / 2)\left(H_{u}(t, u), u\right)$. We assume the following.
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ There are constants $\mu \in(1,2)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}|u|^{\mu} \leq|H(t, u)| \leq c_{1}|u|, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},|u| \leq c_{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right) H(t, u) \geq(1 / 2)\left(H_{u}(t, u), u\right) \geq 0$ for all $(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
$\left(H_{3}\right) \lim _{|u| \rightarrow \infty}\left((H(t, u)) /|u|^{2}\right)=V(t)$ uniformly in $t$, where $0<\inf _{t \in \mathbb{R}} V(t) \leq \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} V(t)<+\infty$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(H_{4}\right) \widetilde{H}(t, u) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }|u| \rightarrow \infty \text { and } \\
& \limsup _{|u| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|H_{u}(t, u)\right|^{\mu /(\mu-1)}}{\widetilde{H}(t, u)}  \tag{11}\\
& =P(t) \text { uniformly in } t, \quad|P(t)|<\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

We should mention that the coercive-type assumption (see $\left(H_{4}\right)$ ) of the function $\widetilde{H}$ was first observed and used by Costa and Magalhães [24].

Now, our main result reads as follows.
Theorem 2. If $\left(L_{1}\right),\left(L_{2}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$, and $H(t, u)$ are even in $u$ hold, then (1) possesses infinitely many nontrivial homoclinic orbits.

Example 3. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t, u):=V(t)|u|^{2}+|u|^{\mu}, \quad \mu \in(1,2), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(t)$ is defined in $\left(H_{3}\right)$. It is not hard to check that it satisfies conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ with $P(t)=(2[2 V(t)+$ $\left.\mu]^{\mu /(\mu-1)}\right) /(2-\mu)$ in $\left(H_{4}\right)$.

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the variational framework associated with (1) and give some preliminary lemmas, which are useful in the proof of our result, and then we give the detailed proof of our main result.

## 2. Variational Frameworks and the Proof of Our Main Result

In this section, we always assume that $\left(L_{1}\right),\left(L_{2}\right),\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$, and $H(t, u)$ are even in $u$ hold.

In the following, we will use $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ to denote the norm of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for any $p \in[1, \infty]$. Let $E:=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a Hilbert space with the inner product and the norm given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle u, v\rangle_{E}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}[(\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t))+(u(t), v(t))] d t,  \tag{13}\\
\|u\|_{E}=\langle u, u\rangle_{E}^{1 / 2}, \quad \forall u, v \in E .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is well known that $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for $p \in[2, \infty)$. We define an operator $J: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J u, v):=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(M u(t), \dot{v}(t)) d t, \quad \forall u, v \in E \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M$ is an antisymmetric $N \times N$ constant matrix, $J$ is self-adjoint on $E$. Moreover, we denote by $\chi$ the self-adjoint extension of the operator $-d^{2} / d t^{2}+L(t)+J$ with the domain $\mathscr{D}(\chi) \subset L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Let $W:=\mathscr{D}\left(|\chi|^{1 / 2}\right)$, the domain of $|\chi|^{1 / 2}$. We define, respectively, on $W$ the inner product and the norm

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle u, v\rangle_{W}:=\left(|\chi|^{1 / 2} u,|\chi|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{2}+(u, v)_{2}  \tag{15}\\
\|u\|_{W}=\langle u, u\rangle_{W}^{1 / 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2}$ denotes the inner product in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Lemma 4 ([21], Lemma 4). If conditions $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$ hold, then $W$ is compactly embedded into $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq$ $+\infty$.

By Lemma 4, it is easy to prove that the spectrum $\sigma(\chi)$ has a sequence of eigenvalues (counted with their multiplicities)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k} \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding system of eigenfunctions $\left\{e_{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ $\left(\chi e_{k}=\lambda_{k} e_{k}\right)$ forms an orthogonal basis in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{1}:=\sharp\left\{j: \lambda_{j}<0\right\}, \quad k_{0}:=\sharp\left\{j: \lambda_{j}=0\right\}, \\
k_{2}:=k_{0}+k_{1}, \\
W^{-}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k_{1}}\right\},  \tag{17}\\
W^{0}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{k_{2}}\right\}, \\
W^{+}:=\mathrm{cl}_{W}\left(\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{k_{2}+1}, \ldots\right\}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, one has the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W^{-} \oplus W^{0} \oplus W^{+} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{W}$.
Now, we introduce, respectively, on $W$ the following new inner product and norm:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle u, v\rangle:=\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)_{2}+\left(|\chi|^{1 / 2} u,|\chi|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{2}  \tag{19}\\
\|u\|=\langle u, u\rangle^{1 / 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u, v \in W=W^{-} \oplus W^{0} \oplus W^{+}$with $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+}$, and $v=v^{-}+v^{0}+v^{+}$. Clearly, the two norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W}$ are equivalent (see [3]), and the decomposition $W=W^{-} \oplus W^{0} \oplus$ $W^{+}$is also orthogonal with respect to both inner products $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2}$.

For problem (1), we consider the following functional: $\Phi(u)$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[|\dot{u}(t)|^{2}+(M u(t), \dot{u}(t))+(L(t) u(t), u(t))\right] d t \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t, \quad u \in W . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $\Phi$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t  \tag{21}\\
u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+} \in W
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $I(u):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t$. By the assumptions of $H$, we know that $\Phi, I \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ and the derivatives are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
I^{\prime}(u) v=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(H_{u}(t, u), v\right) d t,  \tag{22}\\
\Phi^{\prime}(u) v=\left\langle u^{+}, v^{+}\right\rangle-\left\langle u^{-}, v^{-}\right\rangle-I^{\prime}(u) v,
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $u, v \in W=W^{-} \oplus W^{0} \oplus W^{+}$with $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+}$and $v=v^{-}+v^{0}+v^{+}$. By the discussion of [25], the (weak) solutions of system (1) are the critical points of the $C^{1}$ functional $\Phi$ : $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, it is easy to verify that if $u \not \equiv 0$ is a solution of (1), then $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $\dot{u}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ (see Lemma 3.1 in [26]).

Let $W$ be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $W$ := $\overline{\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} X_{m}}$ with $\operatorname{dim} X_{m}<\infty$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{k}:=\bigoplus_{m=1}^{k} X_{m}, \quad Z_{k}:=\overline{\bigoplus_{m=k}^{\infty} X_{m}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following $C^{1}$-functional $\Phi_{\lambda}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u)=A(u)-\lambda B(u), \quad \lambda \in[1,2] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To continue the discussion, we give the following variant fountain theorem.

Lemma 5 (see [27]). Assume that the functional $\Phi_{\lambda}$ defined previously satisfies
$\left(T_{1}\right) \Phi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in[1,2]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(-u)=\Phi_{\lambda}(u) \quad \forall(\lambda, u) \in[1,2] \times W \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(T_{2}\right) B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in W$ and $B(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow$ $\infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of $W$;
$\left(T_{3}\right)$ there exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{k}(\lambda) & :=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq 0>\beta_{k}(\lambda) \\
: & =\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in[1,2]  \tag{26}\\
\xi_{k}(\lambda):= & \inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty  \tag{27}\\
& \text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2] .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, there exist $0<\lambda_{j} \rightarrow 1$ and $u_{\lambda_{j}} \in Y_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)=0 \\
\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{k} \in\left[\xi_{k}(2), \beta_{k}(1)\right] \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Particularly, if $\left\{u_{\lambda_{j}}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence for every $k$, then $\Phi_{1}$ has infinitely many nontrivial critical points $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset$ $W \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $\Phi_{1}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0^{-}$as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_{m}:=\mathbb{R} e_{m}$ (the sequence $\left\{e_{m}\right\}$ is defined in Section 2 just below Lemma 4); then $Z_{k}$ and $Y_{k}$ can be defined as before. In order to apply the previously mentioned
variant fountain theorem to prove our main result, we define the functionals $A, B$, and $\Phi_{\lambda}$ on $W$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(u):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}, \quad B(u):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t \\
& \Phi_{\lambda}(u):=A(u)-\lambda B(u) \\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u=u^{0}+u^{-}+u^{+} \in W=W^{0} \oplus W^{-} \oplus W^{+}$and $\lambda \in[1,2]$. Obviously, $\Phi_{\lambda} \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\lambda \in[1,2]$.

Next, we will prove that conditions $\left(T_{2}\right)$ and $\left(T_{3}\right)$ of Lemma 5 hold, that is, the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6. $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in W$ and $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finite-dimensional subspace of $W$.

Proof. Obviously, condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and the definition of $B$ imply that $B(u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in W$. We claim that for any finite-dimensional subspace $X \subset W$, there exists a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\{t \in \mathbb{R}:|u| \geq \epsilon\|u\|\}) \geq \epsilon, \quad \forall u \in X \backslash\{0\}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$. In fact, the detailed proof of (30) has been given by Chen (Lemma 2.3 in [22]).

For the $\epsilon$ given in (30), let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{u}:=\{t \in \mathbb{R}:|u| \geq \epsilon\|u\|\}, \quad \forall u \in X \backslash\{0\} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (30),

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) \geq \epsilon, \quad \forall u \in X \backslash\{0\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(H_{3}\right)$, there exist constants $R_{1}, R_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t, u) \geq R_{1}|u|^{2}, \quad \forall(t, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \quad \text { with }|u| \geq R_{2} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition of $\Lambda_{u}$ implies that for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\| \geq$ $R_{2} / \epsilon$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u| \geq R_{2}, \quad \forall t \in \Lambda_{u} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining $\left(H_{2}\right),(32)-(34)$, and the definition of $\Lambda_{u}$, for any $u \in X$ with $\|u\| \geq R_{2} / \epsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t \\
& \geq \int_{\Lambda_{u}} H(t, u) d t \\
& \geq \int_{\Lambda_{u}} R_{1}|u|^{2} d t  \tag{35}\\
& \geq R_{1} \epsilon^{2}\|u\|^{2} \cdot m\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) \\
& \geq R_{1} \epsilon^{3}\|u\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

It implies that $B(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ on any finitedimensional subspace $X \subset W$. The proof is finished.

Lemma 7. There exist a positive integer $l_{0}$ and two sequences $0<r_{k}<\rho_{k} \rightarrow 0$ ask $\rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)>0, \quad \forall k \geq l_{0}, \\
\xi_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \\
\text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2], \\
\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u)<0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{38}
\end{array}
$$

where $Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{m=1}^{k} X_{m}$ and $Z_{k}=\overline{\bigoplus_{m=k}^{\infty} X_{m}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. (a) First, we show that (36) holds. Note that $Z_{k} \subset W^{+}$ for all $k \geq k_{2}+1$, where $k_{2}$ is the integer defined in (17) just below Lemma 4. By Lemma 4, there is a constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon_{0}\|u\|$ for any $u \in W$. It follows that for any $u \in W$ with $\|u\| \leq c_{2} / \varepsilon_{0}$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u| \leq\|u\|_{\infty} \leq c_{2}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is the constant in $\left(H_{1}\right)$. It follows from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and the definition of $\Phi_{\lambda}$ that for any $k \geq k_{2}+1$ and $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq c_{2} / \varepsilon_{0}$ there holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-2 c_{1}\|u\|_{1}, \quad \forall \lambda \in[1,2] . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k}:=\sup _{u \in Z_{k} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{1}}{\|u\|}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 4 and the Rellich embedding theorem (see [28]). Consequently, (40) and (41) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-2 c_{1} l_{k}\|u\| \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $k \geq k_{2}+1$ and $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq c_{2} / \varepsilon_{0}$. For any $k \in$ $\mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k}:=8 c_{1} l_{k} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (42), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho_{k} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, (45) implies that there exists a positive integer $l_{0}>$ $k_{2}+1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k} \leq \frac{c_{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}}, \quad \forall k \geq l_{0} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(43) together with (44) and (46) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{k}(\lambda) & :=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \\
& \geq \frac{\rho_{k}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\rho_{k}^{2}}{4}=\frac{\rho_{k}^{2}}{4}>0, \quad \forall k \geq l_{0} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, (36) holds.
(b) Second, we show that (37) holds. By (43), for any $k \geq$ $l_{0}$ and $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq-2 c_{1} l_{k} \rho_{k} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observing that $\Phi_{\lambda}(0)=0$ by $\left(H_{1}\right)$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \geq-2 c_{1} l_{k} \rho_{k}, \quad \forall k \geq l_{0} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (42) and (45) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\xi_{k}(\lambda):=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty  \tag{50}\\
\\
\text { uniformly for } \lambda \in[1,2]
\end{array}
$$

That is, (37) holds.
(c) Last, we show that (38) holds. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in$ $Y_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq c_{2} / \varepsilon_{0}$ ( $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the constant above (39)), similar to (39), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u| \leq c_{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (51) and $\left(H_{1}\right)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in Y_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq c_{2} / \varepsilon_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\lambda}(u) & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(t, u) d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{3}\|u\|_{\mu}^{\mu}  \tag{52}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-C_{k}\|u\|^{\mu}, \quad \forall \lambda \in[1,2]
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows by the equivalence of the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mu}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ on finite dimensional space $Y_{k}$, and $C_{k}>$ 0 is a constant depending on $Y_{k}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r_{k}<\min \left\{\rho_{k}, C_{k}^{1 /(2-\mu)}, \frac{c_{2}}{\varepsilon_{0}}\right\} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (52), direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}(\lambda):=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} \Phi_{\lambda}(u) \leq-\frac{r_{k}^{2}}{2}<0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, (38) holds.
Therefore, the proof is finished by (a), (b), and (c).
Proof of Theorem 2. By the assumptions of $H$ and the definition of $\Phi_{\lambda}$, we easily get that $\Phi_{\lambda}$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for $\lambda \in[1,2]$. Note that $H(t,-u)=$ $H(t, u)$, so we have $\Phi_{\lambda}(-u)=\Phi_{\lambda}(u)$ for all $(\lambda, u) \in[1,2] \times W$.

Thus, the condition $\left(T_{1}\right)$ of Lemma 5 holds. Lemma 6 shows that the condition $\left(T_{2}\right)$ of Lemma 5 holds. Lemma 7 implies that the condition $\left(T_{3}\right)$ of Lemma 5 holds for all $k \geq l_{0}$, where $l_{0}$ is given in Lemma 7. Therefore, by Lemma 5, for each $k \geq l_{0}$, there exist $0<\lambda_{j} \rightarrow 1, u_{\lambda_{j}} \in Y_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)=0 \\
\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) \longrightarrow \eta_{k} \in\left[\xi_{k}(2), \beta_{k}(1)\right] \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty \tag{55}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, we only need to prove the following two claims to complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Claim 1. $\left\{u_{\lambda_{j}}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$.
Proof of Claim 1. By (55), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left.(1 / 2) \Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) u_{\lambda_{j}}-\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)}{\lambda_{j}} \leq C_{1} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{1}>0$. It follows from the definitions of $\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{H}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) d t=\frac{\left.(1 / 2) \Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) u_{\lambda_{j}}-\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)}{\lambda_{j}} \leq C_{1} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(H_{4}\right)$ implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{H}(t, u) d t \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow+\infty$, it follows from (57) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\lambda_{j}}\right| \leq C_{2}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C_{2}>0$. Note that $H(t, u) \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R} \times$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$; it follows from $\left(H_{4}\right)$ that there is a constant $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{u}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)\right|^{\mu /(\mu-1)} \leq C_{3} \widetilde{H}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\left|u_{\lambda_{j}}\right| \leq C_{2} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (57)-(59), $\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}=0$, Hölder's inequality, and Lemma 4,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\|^{2} & =\lambda_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(H_{u}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right), u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right) d t \\
& \leq \lambda_{j}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|H_{u}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)\right|^{\mu /(\mu-1)} d t\right)^{(\mu-1) / \mu}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right|^{\mu} d t\right)^{1 / \mu} \\
& \leq C_{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} C_{3} \widetilde{H}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) d t\right)^{(\mu-1) / \mu}\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\| \\
& \leq C_{5}\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\| \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{4}$ and $C_{5}$. It implies that $\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\| \leq$ $C_{5}$. On the other hand, $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) u_{\lambda_{j}}=0$ imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{j}\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{-}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\lambda_{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(H_{u}\left(t, u_{\lambda_{j}}\right), u_{\lambda_{j}}\right) d t \geq 0 ; \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{-}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\|^{2} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\left\|u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}\right\| \leq C_{5}$ that $\left\{u_{\lambda_{j}}\right\}$ is bounded in $W$. Therefore, Claim 1 is true.

Claim 2. $\left\{u_{\lambda_{j}}\right\}$ has a strongly convergent subsequence in $W$.
Proof of Claim 2. Note that $\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{0} \oplus W^{-}\right)<\infty$. By Claim 1, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\lambda_{j}}^{-} \longrightarrow u^{-}, \quad u_{\lambda_{j}}^{0} \longrightarrow u^{0}, \quad u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+} \rightharpoonup u^{+}  \tag{63}\\
u_{\lambda_{j}} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { as } j \longrightarrow \infty
\end{gather*}
$$

for some $u=u^{0}+u^{-}+u^{+} \in W=W^{0} \oplus W^{-} \oplus W^{+}$. By virtue of the Riesz Representation Theorem, $\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}: Y_{j} \rightarrow Y_{j}^{*}$ and $I^{\prime}: W \rightarrow W^{*}$ can be viewed as $\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}: Y_{j} \rightarrow Y_{j}$ and $I^{\prime}: W \rightarrow W$, respectively, where $Y_{j}^{*}$ and $W^{*}$ are the dual spaces of $Y_{j}$ and $W$, respectively. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left.\Phi_{\lambda_{j}}^{\prime}\right|_{Y_{j}}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)=u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}-\lambda_{j}\left[u_{\lambda_{j}}^{-}+\chi_{j} I^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)\right], \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{j}: W \rightarrow Y_{j}$ is the orthogonal projection for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+}=\lambda_{j}\left[u_{\lambda_{j}}^{-}+\chi_{j} I^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda_{j}}\right)\right], \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumptions of $H$ and the standard argument (see [29, 30]), we know $I^{\prime}: W \rightarrow W^{*}$ is compact. Therefore, $I^{\prime}:$ $W \rightarrow W$ is also compact. Due to the compactness of $I^{\prime}$ and (63), the right-hand side of (65) converges strongly in $W$ and hence $u_{\lambda_{j}}^{+} \rightarrow u^{+}$in $W$. Combining this with (63), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda_{j}} \longrightarrow u \text { in } W, \quad j \longrightarrow \infty \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Claim 2 is true.
Now, from the last assertion of Lemma 5, we know that $\Phi=\Phi_{1}$ has infinitely many nontrivial critical points. Therefore, (1) possesses infinitely many nontrivial homoclinic orbits.
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