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The object of the present paper is to study $(\operatorname{LCS})_{n}$-manifolds with vanishing quasi-conformal curvature tensor. $(\operatorname{LCS})_{n}$-manifolds satisfying Ricci-symmetric condition are also characterized.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, in [1], Shaikh introduced and studied Lorentzian concircular structure manifolds (briefly (LCS)-manifold) which generalizes the notion of LP-Sasakian manifolds, introduced by Matsumoto [2].

Generalizing the notion of LP-Sasakian manifold in 2003 [1], Shaikh introduced the notion of (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifolds along with their existence and applications to the general theory of relativity and cosmology. Also, Shaikh and his coauthors studied various types of $(\mathrm{LCS})_{n}$-manifolds by imposing the curvature restrictions (see [3-6]). In [7, 8], the authors also studied (LCS) ${ }_{2 n+1}$-manifolds.

The submanifold of an (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifold is studied by Atceken and Hui [9, 10] and Shukla et al. [11]. In [12], Yano and Sawaki introduced the quasi-conformal curvature tensor, and later it was studied by many authors with curvature restrictions on various structures [13].

After then, the same author studied weakly symmetric (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifolds by several examples and obtain various results in such manifolds. In [7], authors shown that a pseudo projectively flat and pseudo projectively recurrent (LCS) $n$ manifolds are $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

On the other hand, in [5], authors proved the existence of $\phi$-recurrent (LCS) $)_{3}$ manifold which is neither locally symmetric nor locally $\phi$-symmetric by nontrivial examples. Furthermore, they also give the necessary and sufficient conditions for a (LCS) $)_{n}$-manifold to be locally $\phi$-recurrent.

In this study, we have investigated the quasi-conformal flat (LCS) $)_{n}$-manifolds satisfying properties such as Riccisymmetric, locally symmetric, and $\eta$-Einstein. Finally, we give an example for $\eta$-Einstein manifolds.

## 2. Preliminaries

An $n$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $M$ is a smooth connected paracompact Hausdorff manifold with a Lorentzian metric tensor $g$, that is, $M$ admits a smooth symmetric tensor field $g$ of the type $(2,0)$ such that, for each $p \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}: T_{M}(p) \times T_{M}(p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nondegenerate inner product of signature $(-,+,+, \ldots,+)$. In such a manifold, a nonzero vector $X_{p} \in T_{M}(p)$ is said to be timelike (resp., nonspacelike, null, and spacelike) if it satisfies the condition $g_{p}\left(X_{p}, X_{p}\right)<0$ (resp., $\leq 0,=0,>0$ ). These cases are called casual character of the vectors.

Definition 1. In a Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$, a vector field $P$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, P)=A(X) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$ is said to be a concircular vector field if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y=\alpha\{g(X, Y)+w(X) A(Y)\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, where $\alpha$ is a nonzero scalar function, $A$ is a 1 -form, $w$ is also closed 1-form, and $\nabla$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on $M$ [7].

Let $M$ be a Lorentzian manifold admitting a unit timelike concircular vector field $\xi$, called the characteristic vector field of the manifold. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi, \xi)=-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi$ is a unit concircular unit vector field, there exists a nonzero 1-form $\eta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, \xi)=\eta(X) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of the following form holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y=\alpha\{g(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y)\}, \quad \alpha \neq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, where $\alpha$ is a nonzero scalar function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \alpha=X(\alpha)=d \alpha(X)=\rho \eta(X) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho$ being a certain scalar function given by $\rho=-\xi(\alpha)$.
Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \xi=\alpha \phi X \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (6) and (8), we can derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi X=X+\eta(X) \xi \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which tell us that $\phi$ is a symmetric $(1,1)$-tensor. Thus the Lorentzian manifold $M$ together with the unit timelike concircular vector field $\xi$, its associated 1 -form $\eta$, and $(1,1)$ type tensor field $\phi$ is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold.

A differentiable manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ is called (LCS)-manifold if it admits a (1,1)-type tensor field $\phi$, a covariant vector field $\eta$, and a Lorentzian metric $g$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta(\xi)=g(\xi, \xi)=-1  \tag{10}\\
\phi^{2} X=X+\eta(X) \xi  \tag{11}\\
g(X, \xi)=\eta(X)  \tag{12}\\
\phi \xi=0, \quad \eta \circ \phi=0 \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(T M)$. Particularly, if we take $\alpha=1$, then we can obtain the $L P$-Sasakian structure of Matsumoto [2].

Also, in an (LCS) ${ }_{n}$-manifold $M$, the following relations are satisfied (see [3-6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(R(X, Y) Z)=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)[g(Y, Z) \eta(X)-g(X, Z) \eta(Y)], \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\xi, X) Y=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)[g(X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) X] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) \xi=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)[\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=\alpha[g(X, Y) \xi+2 \eta(X) \eta(Y) \xi+\eta(Y) X] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, \xi)=(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\phi X, \phi Y)=S(X, Y)+(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$ on $M$, where $R$ and $S$ denote the Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci curvature, respectively, Q is also the Ricci operator given by $S(X, Y)=$ $g(Q X, Y)$.

Now let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold; then the concircular curvature tensor $\widetilde{C}$, the Weyl conformal curvature tensor $C$, and the pseudo projective curvature tensor $\widetilde{P}$ are, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{C}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z \\
& -\frac{\tau}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y]  \tag{20}\\
C(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z-\frac{1}{n-2} \\
& \times[S(Y, Z) X-S(X, Z) Y \\
& +g(Y, Z) Q X-g(X, Z) Q Y] \\
& +\frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)}[g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{P}(X, Y) Z= & a R(X, Y) Z \\
& +b[S(Y, Z) X-S(X, Z) Y] \\
& -\frac{\tau}{n}\left[\frac{a}{n-1}+b\right][g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constants such that $a, b \neq 0$, and $\tau$ is also the scalar curvature of $M$ [7].

For an $n$-dimensional (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifold the quasiconformal curvature tensor $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y) Z= & a R(X, Y) Z \\
& +b[S(Y, Z) X-S(X, Z) Y \\
& +g(Y, Z) Q X-g(X, Z) Q Y] \\
& -\frac{\tau}{n}\left[\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right][g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T M)$ [14].
The notion of quasi-conformal curvature tensor was defined by Yano and Swaki [12]. If $a=1$ and $b=-1 /(n-1)$, then quasi-conformal curvature tensor reduces to conformal curvature tensor.

## 3. Quasi-Conformally Flat (LCS) $)_{n}$-Manifolds and Some of Their Properties

For an $n$-dimensional quasi-conformally flat (LCS) ${ }_{n}$-manifold, we know for $Z=\xi$ from (23),

$$
\begin{align*}
& a R(X, Y) \xi+b[S(Y, \xi) X-S(X, \xi) Y \\
& \quad+g(Y, \xi) Q X-g(X, \xi) Q Y]  \tag{24}\\
& \quad-\frac{\tau}{n}\left[\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right][g(Y, \xi) X-g(X, \xi) Y]=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, taking into account of (16), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y]\left[a\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)+b(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right.} \\
\left.\quad-\frac{\tau}{n}\left(\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right)\right]  \tag{25}\\
+b[\eta(Y] Q X-\eta(X) Q Y]=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $Y=\xi$ be in (25); then also by using (18) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[-X-\eta(X) \xi]\left[a\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{\tau}{n}\left(\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right)\right.} \\
\left.+b(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right]  \tag{26}\\
+b\left[-Q X-\eta(X)(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \xi\right]=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the inner product on both sides of the last equation by $Y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[g(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y)]\left[a\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)+b(n-1)\right.} \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{\tau}{n}\left(\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right)\right] \\
& +b\left[S(X, Y)+\eta(X) \eta(Y)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)(n-1)\right]=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(X, Y)=g(X, Y) \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
& \times\left[\frac{\tau}{n b}\left(\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right)-\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left(\frac{a}{b}+(n-1)\right)\right] \\
& +\eta(X) \eta(Y)\left[\frac{\tau}{n b}\left(\frac{a}{n-1}+2 b\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left(\frac{a}{b}+2(n-1)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we are in a proposition to state the following.
Theorem 2. If an $n$-dimensional $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M$ is quasiconformally flat, then $M$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.

Now, let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, \xi\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold. Then putting $X=$ $Y=e_{i}, \xi$ in (28), and taking summation for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=n(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \quad \text { if } a+(n-2) b \neq 0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (28) and (29), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) g(X, Y) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q X=(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) X \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$.
By using (29) and (31) in (23) for a quasi-conformally flat $(\operatorname{LCS})_{n}$-manifold $M$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) Z=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T M)$. If we consider Schur's Theorem, we can give the following the theorem.

Theorem 3. A quasi-conformally flat $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M(n>$ 1) is a manifold of constant curvature $\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)$ provided that $a+b(n-2) \neq 0$.

Now let us consider an (LCS) $n_{n}$-manifold $M$ which is conformally flat. Thus we have from (21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & \frac{1}{n-2}\{
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& S(Y, Z) X-S(X, Z) Y \\
& \\
&  \tag{33}\\
& \\
& \\
& -\frac{\tau}{(n-1)(n-2)}\{g(Y, Z) X X-g(X, Z) Q Y\}
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $M$. Setting $Z=\xi$ in (33) and using (16), (18) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{\tau}{n-1}-\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right][\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y]}  \tag{34}\\
& \quad=[\eta(Y) Q X-\eta(X) Q Y]
\end{align*}
$$

If we put $Y=\xi$ in (34) and also using (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q X=\left[\frac{\tau}{n-1}-\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right] X+\left[\frac{\tau}{n-1}-n\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right] \eta(X) \xi \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4. A conformally flat $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold is an $\eta$ Einstein manifold.

Generalizing the notion of a manifold of constant curvature, Chen and Yano [15] introduced the notion of a manifold of quasi-constant curvature which can be defined as follows:

Definition 5. A Riemannian manifold is said to be a manifold of quasi-constant curvature if it is conformally flat and its curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of type $(0,4)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) \\
& =a\{g(Y, Z) g(X, W)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)\} \\
& \quad+b\{g(Y, Z) A(X) A(W)-g(X, Z) A(Y) A(W) \\
&  \tag{36}\\
& \quad+g(X, W) A(Y) A(Z)-g(Y, W) A(X) A(Z)\},
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(T M)$, where $a, b$ are scalars of which $b \neq 0$ and $A$ is a nonzero 1 -form (for more details, we refer to [13, 16]).

Thus we have the following theorem for (LCS) ${ }_{n}$-conformally flat manifolds.

Theorem 6. A conformally flat $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold is a manifold of quasi-constant curvature.

Proof. From (33) and (35), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) \\
&=\left(\frac{\tau-2(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right) \\
& \times\{g(X, W) g(Y, Z)-g(Y, W) g(X, Z)\} \\
&+\left(\frac{\tau-n(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right) \\
& \times\{g(X, W) \eta(Y) \eta(Z)-g(Y, W) \eta(X) \eta(Z) \\
&\quad+g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \eta(W)-g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \eta(W)\} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies (36) for

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{\tau-2(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \\
& b=\frac{\tau-n(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \quad A=\eta . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves our assertion.

Next, differentiating the (19) covariantly with respect to $W$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{W} S(\phi X, \phi Y)= & \nabla_{W} S(X, Y)+(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \\
& +(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) W[\eta(X) \eta(Y)] \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. Making use of the definition of $\nabla S$ and (8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{W} S\right)(\phi X, \phi Y)+S\left(\nabla_{W} \phi X, \phi Y\right)+S\left(\phi X, \nabla_{W} \phi Y\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\nabla_{W} S\right)(X, Y)+S\left(\nabla_{W} X, Y\right)+S\left(X, \nabla_{W} Y\right) \\
& \quad+(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \\
& \quad+(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(Y)\left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right)+\alpha g(X, \phi W)\right\} \\
& \quad+(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X)\left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} Y\right)+\alpha g(Y, \phi W)\right\} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{W} S\right. & (\phi X, \phi Y)-\left(\nabla_{W} S\right)(X, Y) \\
= & -S\left(\left(\nabla_{W} \phi\right) X+\phi \nabla_{W} X, \phi Y\right) \\
& -S\left(\phi X,\left(\nabla_{W} \phi\right) Y+\phi \nabla_{W} Y\right)+S\left(\nabla_{W} X, Y\right) \\
& +S\left(X, \nabla_{W} Y\right)+(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \\
& +(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(Y)\left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right)+\alpha g(X, \phi W)\right\} \\
& +(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X)\left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} Y\right)+\alpha g(Y, \phi W)\right\} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Here taking account of (17), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{W} S\right. & (\phi X, \phi Y)-\left(\nabla_{W} S\right)(X, Y) \\
= & -S(\alpha\{g(X, W) \xi+2 \eta(X) \eta(\mathrm{W}) \xi+\eta(X) W\}, \phi Y) \\
& -S(\phi X, \alpha\{g(Y, W) \xi+2 \eta(Y) \eta(W) \xi+\eta(Y) W\}) \\
- & S\left(\phi X, \phi \nabla_{W} Y\right)+S\left(\nabla_{W} X, Y\right) \\
+ & S\left(X, \nabla_{W} Y\right)+(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \\
- & S\left(\phi \nabla_{W} X, \phi Y\right)+(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(Y) \\
\times & \left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right)+\alpha g(X, \phi W)\right\}+(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \\
\times & \left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} Y\right)+\alpha g(Y, \phi W)\right\} \\
= & -\alpha\{g(X, W) S(\xi, \phi Y)+2 \eta(X) \eta(W) S(\xi, \phi Y) \\
& +\eta(X) S(W, \phi Y)\} \\
- & \alpha\{g(Y, W) S(\phi X, \xi)+2 \eta(Y) \eta(W) S(\phi X, \xi) \\
& +\eta(Y) S(\phi X, W)\} \\
- & S\left(\phi X, \phi \nabla_{W} Y\right)+S\left(\nabla_{W} X, Y\right)+S\left(X, \nabla_{W} Y\right) \\
- & S\left(\phi \nabla_{W} X, \phi Y\right)+(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \\
+ & (n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(Y)\left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right)+\alpha g(X, \phi W)\right\} \\
+ & (n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X)\left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} Y\right)+\alpha g(Y, \phi W)\right\} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, by using (13), (18), and (19), we reach

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{W} S\right. & )(\phi X, \phi Y)-\left(\nabla_{W} S\right)(X, Y) \\
= & -\alpha \eta(X) S(W, \phi Y)-\alpha \eta(Y) S(\phi X, W) \\
& -(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right) \\
& -(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(Y) \eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
+ & (n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \\
+ & (n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \\
\times & \left\{\eta\left(\nabla_{W} X\right) \eta(Y)+\alpha \eta(Y) g(X, \phi W)\right. \\
& \left.+\eta\left(\nabla_{W} Y\right) \eta(X)+\alpha \eta(X) g(Y, \phi W)\right\} \\
= & -\alpha \eta(X) S(W, \phi Y)-\alpha \eta(Y) S(\phi X, W) \\
+ & \alpha(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \\
\times & \{\eta(Y) g(X, \phi W)+\eta(X) g(Y, \phi W)\} \\
+ & (n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have the following theorem.
Theorem 7. If an (LCS) ${ }_{n}$-manifold $M$ is Ricci-symmetric; then $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ is constant.

Proof. If $n>1$-dimensional $(\mathrm{LCS})_{n}$-manifold $M$ is Riccisymmetric, then from (43) we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & (n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\{\eta(Y) g(X, \phi W)+\eta(X) g(Y, \phi W)\} \\
& +(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \eta(Y) \\
& -\alpha \eta(X) S(W, \phi Y)-\alpha \eta(Y) S(\phi X, W)=0 . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & (n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\{g(X, \phi W) \xi-\eta(X) \phi W\} \\
& +(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \xi  \tag{45}\\
& -\alpha \eta(X) \phi Q W-\alpha S(\phi X, W) \xi=0,
\end{align*}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\alpha(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) g(X, \phi W) \\
& \quad-(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X)+S(\phi X, W)=0 \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\alpha(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \phi W-(n-1) W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \xi  \tag{47}\\
& \quad+\alpha \phi Q W=0,
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves our assertion.
Since $\nabla R=0$ implies that $\nabla S=0$, we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 8. If an $n$-dimensional $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M$ is locally symmetric, then $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ is constant.

Now, taking the covariant derivation of the both sides of (18) with respect to $Y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y S(X, \xi)=(n-1) W\left[\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X)\right] \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the covariant derivation of Riccitensor, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{Y} S\right)(X, \xi)= & \nabla_{Y} S(X, \xi)-S\left(\nabla_{Y} X, \xi\right)-S\left(X, \nabla_{Y} \xi\right) \\
= & (n-1)\left\{Y\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X)+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\eta\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)+\alpha g(X, \phi Y)\right]\right\} \\
& -(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta\left(\nabla_{Y} X\right)-\alpha S(X, \phi Y) \\
= & (n-1) Y\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta(X) \\
& +\alpha(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) g(X, \phi Y)-\alpha S(X, \phi Y) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

If an $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M$ Ricci symmetric, then Theorem 7 and (43) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, \phi Y)=(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) g(\phi Y, X) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads us to state the following.
Theorem 9. If an $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M$ is Ricci symmetric, then it is an Einstein manifold.

Corollary 10. If an (LCS) $)_{n}$-manifold $M$ is locally symmetric, then it is an Einstein manifold.

In this section, an example is used to demonstrate that the method presented in this paper is effective. But this example is a special case of Example 6.1 of [6].

Example 11. Now, we consider the 3-dimensional manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, z \neq 0\right\} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x, y, z)$ denote the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The vector fields

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{1}=e^{z}\left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right), \quad e_{2}=e^{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}  \tag{53}\\
e_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z}
\end{gather*}
$$

are linearly independent of each point of $M$. Let $g$ be the Lorentzian metric tensor defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
g\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=g\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=-g\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=1, \\
g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=0, \quad i \neq j, \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $i, j=1,2,3$. Let $\eta$ be the 1 -form defined by $\eta(Z)=g\left(Z, e_{3}\right)$ for any $Z \in \Gamma(T M)$. Let $\phi$ be the (1,1)-tensor field defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi e_{1}=e_{1}, \quad \phi e_{2}=e_{2}, \quad \phi e_{3}=0 . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the linearity of $\phi$ and $g$, we have $\eta\left(e_{3}\right)=-1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{2} Z=Z+\eta(Z) e_{3}  \tag{56}\\
g(\phi Z, \phi W)=g(Z, W)+\eta(Z) \eta(W),
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $Z, W \in \Gamma(T M)$. Thus for $\xi=e_{3},(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on $M$.

Now, let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric $g$, and let $R$ be the Riemannian curvature tensor of $g$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=-e^{z} e_{2}, \quad\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{1}, \quad\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=-e_{2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the Koszul formulae for the Lorentzian metric tensor $g$, we can easily calculate the covariant derivations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{1}=-e_{3}, \quad \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{1}=e^{z} e_{2}, \quad \nabla_{e_{1}} e_{3}=-e_{1} \\
\nabla_{e_{2}} e_{3}=-e_{2}, \quad \nabla_{e_{2}} e_{2}=-e^{z} e_{1}-e_{3}  \tag{58}\\
\nabla_{e_{1}} e_{2}=\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{1}=\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{2}=\nabla_{e_{3}} e_{3}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

From the previously mentioned, it can be easily seen that $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an $(\mathrm{LCS})_{3}$-structure on $M$, that is, $M$ is an (LCS) ${ }_{3}$-manifold with $\alpha=-1$ and $\rho=0$. Using the previous relations, we can easily calculate the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{1}=\left(e^{2 z}-1\right) e_{2}, & R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}=\left(1-e^{2 z}\right) e_{1}, \\
R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=-e_{3}, & R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=-e_{1}, \\
R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}=-e_{3}, & R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}=-e_{2}, \\
R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}=R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}=R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=0 . \tag{59}
\end{array}
$$

By using the properties of $R$ and definition of the Ricci tensor, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
S\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=S\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=-e^{2 z}, \quad S\left(e_{3}, e_{3}\right)=-2,  \tag{60}\\
S\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=S\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)=S\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus the scalar curvature $\tau$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\sum_{i=1}^{3} g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) S\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=2\left(1-e^{2 z}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(T M), Z$ and $W$ can be written as $Z=\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i} e_{i}$ and $W=\sum_{j=1}^{3} g_{j} e_{j}$, where $f_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ are smooth functions on $M$. By direct calculations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S(Z, W) & =-e^{2 z}\left(f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}\right)-2 f_{3} g_{3} \\
& =-e^{2 z}\left(f_{1} g_{1}+f_{2} g_{2}-f_{3} g_{3}\right)-f_{3} g_{3}\left(e^{2 z}+2\right) \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\eta(Z)=-f_{3}$ and $\eta(W)=-g_{3}$ and $g(Z, W)=f_{1} g_{1}+$ $f_{2} g_{2}-f_{3} g_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(Z, W)=-e^{2 z} g(Z, W)-\left(e^{2 z}+2\right) \eta(Z) \eta(W) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

This tell us that $M$ is an $\eta$-Einstein manifold.
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