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Let $B_{X}$ be the unit ball in a complex Banach space $X$. Assume $B_{X}$ is homogeneous. The generalization of the Schwarz-Pick estimates of partial derivatives of arbitrary order is established for holomorphic mappings from the unit ball $B^{n}$ to $B_{X}$ associated with the Carathéodory metric, which extend the corresponding Chen and Liu, Dai et al. results.

## 1. Introduction

By the classical Pick's invariant form of Schwarz's lemma, a holomorphic function $f(z)$ which is bounded by one in the unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following inequlity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1-|f(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

at each point $z$ of D. Ruscheweyh in [1] firstly obtained best-possible estimates of higher order derivatives of bounded holomorphic functions on the unit disk in 1985. Recently, a lot of attention (see Ghatage et al. [2], MacCluer et al. [3], Avkhadiev and Wirths [4], Ghatage and Zheng [5], Dai and Pan [6]) has been paid to the Schwarz-Pick estimates of high-order derivative estimates in one complex variable. The best result is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right| \leq \frac{k!\left(1-|f(z)|^{2}\right)}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}}(1+|z|)^{k-1}, \quad z \in D, k \geq 1 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is natural to consider an extension of the above Schwarz-Pick estimates to higher dimensions. Anderson et al. [7] gave Schwarz-Pick estimates of derivatives of arbitrary order of functions in the Schur-Agler class on the unit polydisk and the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, respectively. Recently, Chen and Liu in [8] obtained estimates of high-order derivatives for all the bounded holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Later, Dai et al. in [9, 10] generalized the high order Schwarz-Pick estimates for holomorphic mappings between unit balls in complex Hilbert space. Their main result is expressed as follows.

Theorem A. Suppose $f(z)$ is holomorphic mapping from $B^{n}$ to $B^{m}$. Then for any multiindex $k \geq 1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{f(z)}\left(D^{k}(f, z, \beta), D^{k}(f, z, \beta)\right) \leq k!\left(1+\frac{(|\langle\beta, z\rangle|)}{\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\beta|^{2}+|\langle\beta, z\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{k-1}\left(H_{z}(\beta, \beta)\right)^{k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{k}(f, z, \beta)=\sum_{|\alpha|=k}(k!/ \alpha!)\left(\partial f^{k}(z) / \partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial z_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots \partial z_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}\right) \beta^{\alpha}$ and $H_{z}(\beta, \beta)$ is the Bergman metric on $B^{n}$.

In this paper, we will extend Theorem A to holomorphic mappings from the unit ball $B^{n}$ to $B_{X}$ associated with the Carathéodory metric. In particular, when $B_{X}=B^{m}$, our result coincides with Theorem A. Furthermore, our result shows that the high-order Schwarz-Pick estimates on the unit ball do depend on the geometric property of the image domain $B_{X}$.

Throughout this paper, the symbol $X$ is used to denote a complex Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and $B_{X}=\{z \in X:\|z\|<1\}$ is the unit ball in $X$. Let $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ be the space of $n$ complex variables $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ with the Euclidean inner product $\langle z, w\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} \overline{w_{j}}$, where the symbol ' stands for the transpose of vector or matrix. The unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is always written by $B^{n}$. It is well known that if $f$ is a holomorphic mapping from $B_{X}$ into $X$, then the following well-known expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} D^{n} f(x)\left((y-x)^{n}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $y$ in some neighborhood of $x \in B_{X}$, where $D^{n} f(x)$ means the $n$th Fréchet derivative of $f$ at the point $x$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{n} f(x)\left((y-x)^{n}\right)=D^{n} f(x)(y-x, y-x, \ldots, y-x) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $D^{n} f(x)$ is a bounded symmetric $n$-linear mapping from $\prod_{j=1}^{n} X$ into $X$. For a domain $\Omega \in X$, a mapping $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$ is called to be biholomorphic if $f(\Omega)$ is a domain; the inverse $f^{-1}$ exists and is holomorphic on $f(\Omega)$. Let Aut $(\Omega)$ denote the set of biholomorphic mappings of $\Omega$ onto itself. $\Omega$ is said to be homogeneous, if for each pair of points $x, y \in \Omega$, there is an $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Omega)$ such that $f(x)=y$.

In multiindex notation, $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is an $n$-tuple of nonnegative integers, $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}, \alpha!=\alpha_{1}!\cdots \alpha_{n}!, z^{\alpha}=z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$.

Let $K(z, z)$ be the Bergman kernel function. Then the Bergman metric $H_{z}(\beta, \beta)$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{z}(\beta, \beta)=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \log K(z, z)}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}} u_{j} \bar{u}_{k} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in \Omega, u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$. It is well known that $H_{z}(\beta, \beta)=\left(1-|z|^{2}+|\langle\beta, z\rangle|^{2}\right) /(1-$ $\left.|z|^{2}\right)^{2}$ in [9].

Let $F_{c}^{B_{X}}(z, \xi)$ be the infinitesimal form of Carathéodory metric of domain $B_{X}$. By the definition of the Carathéodory metric [11], we have for any $\xi \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}(z, \xi)=\sup \left\{|D f(z) \xi|: f \in H\left(B_{X}, B_{X}\right), f(z)=0\right\}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H\left(B_{X}, B_{X}\right)$ denotes the family of holomorphic mappings which map $B_{X}$ into $B_{X}$.

## 2. Some Lemmas

In order to prove the main results, we need the following lemmas. Let $B_{X}$ be the unit ball in a complex Banach space $X$, and $B_{X}$ is homogeneous.

Lemma 2.1 (see [11]). If $f \in H\left(B_{X}, B_{X}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}(f(z), D f(z) \xi) \leq F_{c}^{B_{X}}(z, \xi), \quad z \in B_{X}, \xi \in X \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, when $f$ is biholomorphic mapping, then $F_{c}^{B_{X}}(f(z), D f(z) \xi)=F_{c}^{B_{X}}(z, \xi)$.
Lemma 2.2 (see [12]). Consider the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}(0, \xi)=\|\xi\|, \quad \xi \in X . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $f \in H\left(D, B_{X}\right)$. Then $f$ can be written with the following $n$-variable power series given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}, \quad z \in D \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(a_{0}, a_{k}\right) \leq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integer $k \geq 0$.

Proof. For the fixed $k$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{f\left(e^{i(2 \pi j / k)} z\right)}{k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{k} \in H\left(D, B_{X}\right)$. It is clear that

$$
\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} e^{i(2 \pi j l / k)}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } l \equiv 0(\bmod k)  \tag{2.6}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

From the power series expansion of the holomorphic function $f$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{k}(z) & =\frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(a_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{i(2 \pi j l / k)} \sum_{|\alpha|=l} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}\right)\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& =a_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l k} z^{l k}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the homogeneity of $B_{X}$, we can take $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(B_{X}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(a_{0}\right)=0$, then $\Psi \circ f_{k} \in$ $H\left(D, B_{X}\right)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi \circ f_{k}(z) & =\Psi\left(a_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l k} z^{l k}\right) \\
& =\Psi\left(a_{0}\right)+D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l k} z^{l k}\right)+D^{2} \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_{l k} z^{l k}\right)+\cdots  \tag{2.8}\\
& =D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{k}\right) z^{k}+D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{2 k}\right) z^{2 k}+D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{3 k}\right) z^{3 k}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

By making use of the orthogonality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right) z^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\Psi \circ f_{k}\right)\left(z e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \alpha \theta} d \theta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right) z^{\alpha}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(\Psi \circ f_{k}\right)\left(z e^{i \theta}\right) e^{-i \alpha \theta}\right\| d \theta \leq 1 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right\||z|^{\alpha} \leq 1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z \in D$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}\right)\right\| \leq 1 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $z \in \bar{D}$. It means that $\left\|D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right\| \leq 1$.
By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(a_{0}, a_{\alpha}\right)=F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(0, D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\left\|D \Psi\left(a_{0}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right\| \leq 1, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired result.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $f: D \rightarrow B_{X}$ be a holomorphic mapping. Then the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(f(z), f^{(k)}(z)\right) \leq k!\frac{(1+|z|)^{k-1}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $k \geq 1$ and $z \in D$.
Proof. Let $g(\xi)$ be a holomorphic function on $D$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi)=f\left(\frac{z+\xi}{1+\bar{z} \xi}\right), \quad \xi \in D \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g$ can be written as a power series as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j} \xi^{j} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain Theorem 3.1, we need to prove the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}(z)=\frac{k!}{1-|z|^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k-1}{j} a_{k-j} \bar{z}^{|j|} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $0<r<1$ such that $D(z, r) \subset D$, the Cauchy integral formula shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} d w \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}(z)=\frac{k!}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{k+1}} d w \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w=(z+\xi) /(1+\bar{z} \xi)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d \xi}=\frac{1-|z|^{2}}{(1+\bar{z} \xi)^{2}}, \quad w-z=\xi \frac{1-|z|^{2}}{(1+\bar{z} \xi)^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.7) into (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{(k)}(z) & =\frac{k!}{2 \pi i\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}} \int_{|(z+\xi) /(1+z \bar{\xi})|=r} \frac{g(\xi)(1+\bar{z} \xi)^{k-1}}{\xi^{k+1}} d \xi \\
& =\frac{k!}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1}{j} a_{k-j} \bar{z}^{j} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

which prove the equality (3.4).
From Lemma 2.3, we have for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(a_{0}, a_{k}\right) \leq 1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(f(z), f^{(k)}(z)\right) & \leq F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(a_{0}, \frac{k!}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1}{j} a_{k-j}|z|^{j}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq \frac{k!}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}}(1+|z|)^{k-1}
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the desired result.
Remark 3.2. If $B_{X}=D$, then the inequality (3.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right| \leq k!\frac{1-|f(z)|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{k}}(1+|z|)^{k-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which coincides with the Theorem 1.1 of Dai and Pan [6] in one complex variable.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f: B^{n} \rightarrow B_{X}$ be a holomorphic mapping. Then the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(f(z), D^{k}(f, z, \beta)\right) \leq k!\left(1+\frac{|\langle\beta, z\rangle|}{\left(\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)|\beta|^{2}+|\langle\beta, z\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{k-1}\left[F_{c}^{B^{n}}(z, \beta)\right]^{k} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $k \geq 1, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ and $z \in B^{n}$.
Proof. For any fixed $k \geq 1, \beta \in \partial B^{n}$, and $\xi \in B^{n}$. Define the following disk:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\xi+\lambda \beta|^{2}<1\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\langle\beta, \xi-\langle\xi, \beta\rangle \beta\rangle=0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\xi+\lambda \beta|^{2} & =|(\lambda+\langle\xi, \beta\rangle) \beta+\xi-\langle\xi, \beta\rangle \beta|^{2} \\
& =|\lambda+\langle\xi, \beta\rangle|^{2}+|\xi-\langle\xi, \beta\rangle \beta|^{2}<1 . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda+\langle\xi, \beta\rangle|<\sqrt{1-|\xi-\langle\xi, \beta\rangle \beta|^{2}}=\sqrt{1-|\xi|^{2}+|\langle\xi, \beta\rangle|^{2}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\sigma=\sqrt{1-|\xi|^{2}+|\langle\xi, \beta\rangle|^{2}}$. For the fixed $\xi$ and $\beta$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\omega)=f(\xi+(\omega \sigma-\langle\xi, \beta\rangle) \beta), \quad \omega \in D \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g(\omega)$ is holomorphic mapping from the unit disk $D$ to the homogeneous domain $\Omega$.
According to Theorem 3.1 to the functions $g$ and $\omega^{\prime}=(\langle\xi, \beta\rangle) / \sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right), g^{(k)}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq k!\frac{\left(1+\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|\right)^{k-1}}{\left(1-\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{k}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for $k \geq 1$. Since $g\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=f(\xi)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|=\frac{|\langle\beta, \xi\rangle|}{\sqrt{1-|\xi|^{2}+|\langle\xi, \beta\rangle|^{2}}}, \quad 1-\left|\omega^{\prime}\right|^{2}=\frac{1-|\xi|^{2}}{1-|\xi|^{2}+|\langle\xi, \beta\rangle|^{2}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the chain rule, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(k)}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial f^{k}(\xi)}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial z_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots \partial z_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}}(\sigma \beta)^{\alpha}=\sigma^{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial f^{k}(\xi)}{\partial z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial z_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots \partial z_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}} \beta^{\alpha}=\sigma^{k} D^{k}(f, \xi, \beta) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{x}}\left(f(\xi), \sigma^{k} D^{k}(f, \xi, \beta)\right) \leq k!\left(1+\frac{|\langle\beta, \xi\rangle|}{\left(1-|\xi|^{2}+|\langle\beta, \xi\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{k-1}\left[\frac{\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)+|\langle\beta, \xi\rangle|^{2}}{\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]^{k} \sigma^{k} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the definition of Carathéodory metric and $F_{c}^{B^{n}}(z, \beta)=\left(1-|z|^{2}+|\langle\beta, z\rangle|^{2}\right) /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}$ in [11], we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{c}^{B_{X}}\left(f(z), D^{k}(f, z, \beta)\right) \leq k!\left(1+\frac{|\langle\beta, z\rangle|}{\left(1-|z|^{2}+|\langle\beta, z\rangle|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{k-1}\left[F_{c}^{B^{n}}(z, \beta)\right]^{k} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the proof of the case $z=\xi$ and $\beta \in \partial B_{n}$. For general vector $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, we may substitute $\beta /\|\beta\|$ for $\beta$. By the homogeneous of $\beta$ from the above inequality, we can obtain the same result, which completes the proof of the Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.4. If $B_{X}=B^{m}$, then $H_{f(z)}\left(D^{k}(f, z, \beta), D^{k}(f, z, \beta)\right)=F_{c}^{B^{m}}\left(f(z), D^{k}(f, z, \beta)\right)$ and $H_{z}(\beta, \beta)=F_{c}^{B^{m}}(z, \beta)$. Thus, the Theorem 3.3 reduces to Theorem A established by Dai et al. [9].
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