

Research Article

Fixed Point Theorems of Single-Valued Mapping for c -Distance in Cone Metric Spaces

**Zaid Mohammed Fadail,¹ Abd Ghafur Bin Ahmad,¹
and Zoran Golubović²**

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

² Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Beograd, Serbia

Correspondence should be addressed to Zaid Mohammed Fadail, zaid.fadail@yahoo.com

Received 29 February 2012; Accepted 29 March 2012

Academic Editor: Gerd Teschke

Copyright © 2012 Zaid Mohammed Fadail et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A new concept of the c -distance in cone metric space has been introduced recently in 2011. The aim of this paper is to extend and generalize some fixed point theorems on c -distance in cone metric space.

1. Introduction

The concept of cone metric spaces is a generalization of metric spaces, where each pair of points is assigned to a member of a real Banach space with a cone, for new results on cone metric spaces see [1–6]. This cone naturally induces a partial order in the Banach spaces. The concept of cone metric space was introduced in the work of Huang and Zhang [7], where they also established the Banach contraction mapping principle in this space. Then, several authors have studied fixed point problems in cone metric spaces. Some of these works are noted in [8–13].

In [14], Cho et al. introduced a new concept of the c -distance in cone metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems in ordered cone metric spaces. This is more general than the classical Banach contraction mapping principle.

In [15], Sintunavarat et al. extended and developed the Banach contraction theorem on c -distance of Cho et al. [14]. They gave some illustrative examples of the main results. Their results improve, generalize, and unify the results of Cho et al. [14] and some results of the fundamental metrical fixed point theorems in the literature.

In this paper we proved some fixed point theorems for c -distance in cone metric space. These theorems extend and develop some theorems in literature on c -distance of Cho et al. [14] in cone metric space.

The following theorems are the main results given in [7, 14, 16].

Theorem 1.1 (see [16]). *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space. Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y), \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then f has a unique fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Theorem 1.2 (see [7]). *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq k(d(fx, x) + d(fy, y)), \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1/2)$ is a constant. Then f has a unique fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Theorem 1.3 (see [7]). *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$d(fx, fy) \leq k(d(fx, y) + d(fy, x)), \quad (1.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1/2)$ is a constant. Then f has a unique fixed point in X and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point.

Theorem 1.4 (see [14]). *Let (X, \sqsubseteq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that (X, d) is a complete cone metric space. Let q is a c -distance on X and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous and nondecreasing mapping with respect to \sqsubseteq . Suppose that the following two assertions hold:*

(1) *there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that*

$$q(fx, fy) \leq \alpha q(x, y) + \beta q(x, fx) + \gamma q(y, fy), \quad (1.4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $y \sqsubseteq x$,

(2) *there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \sqsubseteq fx_0$.*

Then f has a fixed point $x^ \in X$. If $v = fv$ then $q(v, v) = \theta$.*

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space and θ denote to the zero element in E . A cone P is a subset of E such that

- (1) P is nonempty set closed and $P \neq \{\theta\}$,
- (2) if a, b are nonnegative real numbers and $x, y \in P$ then $ax + by \in P$,
- (3) $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$ imply that $x = \theta$.

For any cone $P \subset E$, the partial ordering \leq with respect to P is defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. The notation of $<$ stands for $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$. Also, we used $x \ll y$ to indicate that $y - x \in \text{int } P$, where $\text{int } P$ denotes the interior of P . A cone P is called normal if there exists a number K such that

$$\theta \leq x \leq y \implies \|x\| \leq K\|y\|, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in E$. The least positive number K satisfying the above condition is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 2.1 (see [7]). Let X be a nonempty set and E be a real Banach space equipped with the partial ordering \leq with respect to the cone P . Suppose that the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\theta < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

Definition 2.2 (see [7]). Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , and $x \in X$.

- (1) For all $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, if there exists a positive integer N such that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n > N$, then x_n is said to be convergent and x is the limit of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $x_n \rightarrow x$.
- (2) For all $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, if there exists a positive integer N such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n, m > N$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in X .
- (3) A cone metric space (X, d) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Lemma 2.3 (see [17]).

- (1) If E is a real Banach space with a cone P and $a \leq \lambda a$, where $a \in P$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $a = \theta$.
- (2) If $c \in \text{int } P$, $\theta \leq a_n$ and $a_n \rightarrow \theta$, then there exists a positive integer N such that $a_n \ll c$ for all $n \geq N$.

Next we give the notation of c -distance on a cone metric space which is a generalization of ω -distance of Kada et al. [18] with some properties.

Definition 2.4 (see [14]). Let (X, d) is a cone metric space. A function $q : X \times X \rightarrow E$ is called a c -distance on X if the following conditions hold:

- (q1) $\theta \leq q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (q2) $q(x, y) \leq q(x, z) + q(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (q3) for each $x \in X$ and $n \geq 1$, if $q(x, y_n) \leq u$ for some $u = u_x \in P$, then $q(x, y) \leq u$ whenever $\{y_n\}$ is a sequence in X converging to a point $y \in X$,
- (q4) for all $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $e \in E$ with $\theta \ll e$ such that $q(z, x) \ll e$ and $q(z, y) \ll e$ imply $d(x, y) \ll c$.

Example 2.5 (see [14]). Let $E = \mathbb{R}$ and $P = \{x \in E : x \geq 0\}$. let $X = [0, \infty)$ and define a mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. then (X, d) is a cone metric space. define a mapping $q : X \times X \rightarrow E$ by $q(x, y) = y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then q is a c -distance on X .

Lemma 2.6 (see [14]). *Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and q is a c -distance on X . Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X and $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that u_n is a sequences in P converging to θ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If $q(x_n, y) \leq u_n$ and $q(x_n, z) \leq u_n$, then $y = z$,*
- (2) *If $q(x_n, y_n) \leq u_n$ and $q(x_n, z) \leq u_n$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z ,*
- (3) *If $q(x_n, x_m) \leq u_n$ for $m > n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X ,*
- (4) *If $q(y, x_n) \leq u_n$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .*

Remark 2.7 (see [14]).

- (1) $q(x, y) = q(y, x)$ does not necessarily for all $x, y \in X$.
- (2) $q(x, y) = \theta$ is not necessarily equivalent to $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

3. Main Results

In this section we prove some fixed point theorems using c -distance in cone metric space. In whole paper cone metric space is over nonnormal cone with nonempty interior.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and q is a c -distance on X . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, y), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then f has a fixed point $x^ \in X$ and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point. If $v = fv$ then $q(v, v) = \theta$. The fixed point is unique.*

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in X$. Set $x_1 = fx_0, x_2 = fx_1 = f^2x_0, \dots, x_{n+1} = fx_n = f^{n+1}x_0$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\ &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq k^2q(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq k^nq(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Let $m > n \geq 1$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_m) &\leq q(x_n, x_{n+1}) + q(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + q(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (k^n + k^{n+1} + \cdots + k^{m-1})q(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k}q(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, Lemma 2.6 shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (q3) we have:

$$q(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k}q(x_0, x_1). \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, fx^*) &= q(fx_{n-1}, fx^*) \\ &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, x^*) \\ &\leq k \frac{k^{n-1}}{1-k}q(x_0, x_1) \\ &= \frac{k^n}{1-k}q(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By Lemma 2.6 part 1, (3.4) and (3.5), we have $x^* = fx^*$. Thus, x^* is a fixed point of f .

Suppose that $v = fv$, then we have the following: $q(v, v) = q(fv, fv) \leq kq(v, v)$. Since $k < 1$, Lemma 2.3 show that $q(v, v) = \theta$.

Finally suppose there is another fixed point y^* of f , then we have the following: $q(x^*, y^*) = q(fx^*, fy^*) \leq kq(x^*, y^*)$. Since $k < 1$, Lemma 2.3 show that $q(x^*, y^*) = \theta$ and also we have $q(x^*, x^*) = \theta$. Hence by Lemma 2.6 part 1, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore the fixed point is unique. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and q is a c -distance on X . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$q(f^n x, f^n y) \leq kd(x, y), \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ is a constant. Then f has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$. If $v = fv$ then $q(v, v) = \theta$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.1 f^n has a unique fixed point x^* . But $f^n(fx^*) = f(f^n x^*) = f(x^*)$, so $f(x^*)$ is also a fixed point of f^n . Hence $x^* = fx^*$. Thus, x^* is a fixed point of f . Since the fixed point of f is also fixed point of f^n , the fixed point of f is unique.

Suppose that $v = fv$. From above the fixed point of f is also fixed point of f^n , then we have the following: $q(v, v) = q(fv, fv) = q(f^n v, f^n v) \leq kq(v, v)$. Since $k < 1$, Lemma 2.3 show that $q(v, v) = \theta$. \square

The following result is generalized from Theorem 1.4. We prove a fixed point theorem and we do not require that X is a partially ordered set.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and q is a c -distance on X . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, y) + lq(x, fx) + rq(y, fy), \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where k, l, r are nonnegative real numbers such that $k + l + r < 1$. Then f has a fixed point $x^* \in X$ and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point. If $v = fv$ then $q(v, v) = \theta$. The fixed point is unique.

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in X$. Set $x_1 = fx_0, x_2 = fx_1 = f^2x_0, \dots, x_{n+1} = fx_n = f^{n+1}x_0$. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\ &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + lq(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + rq(x_n, fx_n) \\ &= kq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + lq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + rq(x_n, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

So

$$q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k+l}{1-r} q(x_{n-1}, x_n) = hq(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad (3.9)$$

where $h = (k+l)/(1-r) < 1$.

Let $m > n \geq 1$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} q(x_n, x_m) &\leq q(x_n, x_{n+1}) + q(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + q(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{m-1}) q(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} q(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, Lemma 2.6 shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is continuous, then $x^* = \lim x_{n+1} = \lim f(x_n) = f(\lim x_n) = f(x^*)$. Therefore x^* is a fixed point of f .

Suppose that $v = fv$, then we have

$$q(v, v) = q(fv, fv) \leq kq(v, v) + lq(v, fv) + rq(v, fv) = (k+l+r)q(v, v), \quad (3.11)$$

since $k+l+r < 1$, Lemma 2.3 show that $q(v, v) = \theta$.

Finally, suppose that, there is another fixed point y^* of f , then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x^*, y^*) &= q(fx^*, fy^*) \\
 &\leq kq(x^*, y^*) + lq(x^*, fx^*) + rq(y^*, fy^*) \\
 &= kq(x^*, y^*) + lq(x^*, x^*) + rq(y^*, y^*) \\
 &= kq(x^*, y^*) \\
 &\leq kq(x^*, y^*) + lq(x^*, y^*) + rq(x^*, y^*) \\
 &= (k + l + r)q(x^*, y^*).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Since $k + l + r < 1 < 1$, Lemma 2.3 shows that $q(x^*, y^*) = \theta$ and also we have $q(x^*, x^*) = \theta$. Hence by Lemma 2.6 part 1, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore the fixed point is unique. \square

If $k = 0$ and $r = l$, then we have the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and q is a c -distance on X . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$q(fx, fy) \leq l(q(x, fx) + q(y, fy)), \tag{3.13}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $l \in [0, 1/2)$ is a constant. Then f has a fixed point $x^* \in X$ and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point. If $v = fv$ then $q(v, v) = \theta$. The fixed point is unique.

Finally, we provide another result and we do not require that f is continuous.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and q is a c -distance on X . Suppose that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the contractive condition:*

$$(1 - r)q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, fy) + lq(x, fx), \tag{3.14}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where k, l, r are nonnegative real numbers such that $2k + l + r < 1$. Then f has a fixed point $x^* \in X$ and for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{f^n x\}$ converges to the fixed point. If $v = fv$ then $q(v, v) = \theta$. The fixed point is unique.

Proof. Choose $x_0 \in X$. Set $x_1 = fx_0, x_2 = fx_1 = f^2x_0, \dots, x_{n+1} = fx_n = f^{n+1}x_0$. Observe that

$$(1 - r)q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, fy) + lq(x, fx), \tag{3.15}$$

equivalently

$$q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, fy) + lq(x, fx) + rq(fx, fy). \tag{3.16}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= q(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\
 &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, fx_n) + lq(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + rq(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \\
 &= kq(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + lq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + rq(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + kq(x_n, x_{n+1}) + lq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + rq(x_n, x_{n+1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

So,

$$q(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k+l}{1-k-r} q(x_{n-1}, x_n) = hq(x_{n-1}, x_n), \tag{3.18}$$

where $h = (k+l)/(1-k-r) < 1$.

Let $m > n \geq 1$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x_n, x_m) &\leq q(x_n, x_{n+1}) + q(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + q(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
 &\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \cdots + h^{m-1}) q(x_0, x_1) \\
 &\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} q(x_0, x_1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, Lemma 2.6 shows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By (q3) we have:

$$q(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} q(x_0, x_1). \tag{3.20}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x_n, fx^*) &= q(fx_{n-1}, fx^*) \\
 &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, fx^*) + lq(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}) + rq(fx_{n-1}, fx^*) \\
 &= kq(x_{n-1}, fx^*) + lq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + rq(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq kq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + kq(x_n, fx^*) + lq(x_{n-1}, x_n) + rq(x_n, fx^*).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 q(x_n, fx^*) &\leq \frac{k+l}{1-k-r} q(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 &\leq \frac{k+l}{1-k-r} h^{n-1} q(x_0, x_1) \\
 &= hh^{n-1} q(x_0, x_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= h^n q(x_0, x_1) \\
&\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} q(x_0, x_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

By Lemma 2.6 part 1, (3.20) and (3.22), we have $x^* = fx^*$. Thus, x^* is a fixed point of f . Suppose that $v = fv$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q(v, v) &= q(fv, fv) \\
&\leq kq(v, fv) + lq(v, fv) + rq(v, fv) \\
&= kq(v, v) + lq(v, v) + rq(v, v) \\
&\leq kq(v, v) + kq(v, v) + lq(v, v) + rq(v, v) \\
&= (2k + l + r)q(v, v).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Since $2k + l + r < 1$, Lemma 2.3 shows that $q(v, v) = \theta$.

Finally, suppose that, there is another fixed point y^* of f , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
q(x^*, y^*) &= q(fx^*, fy^*) \\
&\leq kq(x^*, fy^*) + lq(x^*, fx^*) + rq(fx^*, fy^*) \\
&\leq kq(x^*, fy^*) + kq(x^*, fy^*) + lq(x^*, fx^*) + rq(fx^*, fy^*) \\
&= kq(x^*, y^*) + kq(x^*, y^*) + lq(x^*, x^*) + rq(x^*, y^*) \\
&= kq(x^*, y^*) + kq(x^*, y^*) + rq(x^*, y^*) \\
&\leq kq(x^*, y^*) + kq(x^*, y^*) + lq(x^*, y^*) + rq(x^*, y^*) \\
&= (2k + l + r)q(x^*, y^*).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Since $2k + l + r < 1$, Lemma 2.3 shows that $q(x^*, y^*) = \theta$ and also we have $q(x^*, x^*) = \theta$. Hence by Lemma 2.6 part 1, $x^* = y^*$. Therefore the fixed point is unique. \square

Example 3.6. Consider Example 2.5. Define the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(3/4) = 1/4$ and $fx = x/2$ for all $x \in X$ with $x \neq 3/4$. Since $d(f(1), f(3/4)) = d(1, 3/4)$, there is not $k \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y)$. Since Theorem 2.3 of Rezapour and Hambarani [16] cannot be applied to this example on cone metric space. To check this example on c -distance we have:

(1) If $x = y = 3/4$, then we have the following.

$$q\left(f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right), f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right) = f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \leq k\frac{3}{4} = kq\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \quad \text{with } k = \frac{2}{3}. \tag{3.25}$$

(2) If $x \neq y \neq 3/4$, then we have

$$q(fx, fy) = \frac{y}{2} \leq kq(x, y) \quad \text{with } k = \frac{2}{3}. \tag{3.26}$$

(3) If $x = 3/4, y \neq 3/4$, then we have

$$q\left(f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right), fy\right) = \frac{y}{2} \leq kq\left(\frac{3}{4}, y\right) \quad \text{with } k = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (3.27)$$

(4) If $x \neq 3/4, y = 3/4$, then we have

$$q\left(fx, f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right) = f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \leq k\frac{3}{4} = kq\left(x, \frac{3}{4}\right) \quad \text{with } k = \frac{2}{3}. \quad (3.28)$$

Hence $q(fx, fy) \leq kq(x, y)$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore, the condition of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and then f has a unique fixed point $x = 0, f(0) = 0$ with $q(0, 0) = 0$.

Acknowledgments

The authors Zaid Mohammed Fadail and Abd Ghafur Bin Ahmad would like to acknowledge the financial support received from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia under the research Grant ERGS/1/2011/STG/UKM/01/13. Zoran Golubović is thankful to the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of Serbia. The authors thank the referee for his/her careful reading of the paper and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Janković, Z. Kadelburg, and S. Radenović, "On cone metric spaces: a survey," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 7, pp. 2591–2601, 2011.
- [2] Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, and V. Rakočević, "Topological vector space-valued cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 170253, 17 pages, 2010.
- [3] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, "Coupled fixed point results under tvs-cone metric spaces and w-cone-distance," *Advances Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2012.
- [4] M. Đorđević, D. Đorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, and D. Spasić, "Fixed point results under c-distance in tvs-cone metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 29, 2011.
- [5] L. Ćirić, H. Lakzian, and V. Rakočević, "Fixed point theorems for w-cone distance contraction mappings in tvs-cone metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 3, 2012.
- [6] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, "Some common fixed point results in non-normal cone metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear Science and its Applications*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 193–202, 2010.
- [7] L.-G. Huang and X. Zhang, "Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 332, no. 2, pp. 1468–1476, 2007.
- [8] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, "Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 1, pp. 416–420, 2008.
- [9] M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, "Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 511–515, 2009.
- [10] A. Azam and M. Arshad, "Common fixed points of generalized contractive maps in cone metric spaces," *Bulletin Iranian Mathematical Society*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 255–264, 2009.
- [11] D. Ilić and V. Rakočević, "Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 341, no. 2, pp. 876–882, 2008.
- [12] D. Ilić and V. Rakočević, "Quasi-contraction on a cone metric space," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 728–731, 2009.
- [13] D. Wardowski, "Endpoints and fixed points of set-valued contractions in cone metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 1-2, pp. 512–516, 2009.

- [14] Y. J. Cho, R. Saadati, and S. H. Wang, "Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1254–1260, 2011.
- [15] W. Sintunavarat, Y. J. Cho, and P. Kumam, "Common fixed point theorems for c -distance in ordered cone metric spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1969–1978, 2011.
- [16] Sh. Rezapour and R. Hambarani, "Some notes on the paper cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 345, no. 2, pp. 719–724, 2008.
- [17] G. Jungck, S. Radenović, S. Radojević, and V. Rakočević, "Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 643840, 13 pages, 2009.
- [18] O. Kada, T. Suzuki, and W. Takahashi, "Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 381–391, 1996.