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Some inequalities of the Slater type for convex functions defined on general linear spaces are given. Applications for norm inequalities and $f$-divergence measures are also provided.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that $I$ is an interval of real numbers with interior ${ }^{\circ}$, and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on $I$. Then $f$ is continuous on I and has finite left and right derivatives at each point of $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{I}}$. Moreover, if $x, y \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{I}}$ and $x<y$, then $f_{-}^{\prime}(x) \leq f_{+}^{\prime}(x) \leq f_{-}^{\prime}(y) \leq f_{+}^{\prime}(y)$ which shows that both $f_{-}^{\prime}$ and $f_{+}^{\prime}$ are nondecreasing functions on I . It is also known that a convex function must be differentiable except for at most countably many points.

For a convex function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the subdifferential of $f$ denoted by $\partial f$ is the set of all functions $\varphi: I \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty]$ such that $\varphi(\mathrm{I}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq f(a)+(x-a) \varphi(a), \quad \text { for any } x, a \in I \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also well known that if $f$ is convex on $I$, then $\partial f$ is nonempty, $f_{-}^{\prime}, f_{+}^{\prime} \in \partial f$ and if $\varphi \in \partial f$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-}^{\prime}(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq f_{+}^{\prime}(x), \quad \text { for any } x \in \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{I}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\varphi$ is a nondecreasing function.
If $f$ is differentiable and convex on $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{I}}$, then $\partial f=\left\{f^{\prime}\right\}$.
The following result is well known in the literature as the Slater inequality.
Theorem 1.1 (Slater, 1981, [1]). If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonincreasing (nondecreasing) convex function, $x_{i} \in I, \quad p_{i} \geq 0$ with $P_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}>0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$, where $\varphi \in \partial f$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \leq f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As pointed out in [2] (see also [3, p. 64] and [4, p. 208]), the monotonicity assumption for the derivative $\varphi$ can be replaced with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \varphi\left(x_{i}\right)} \in I, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is more general and can hold for suitable points in $I$ and for not necessarily monotonic functions.

For recent works on Slater's inequality, see [5-7].
The main aim of the present paper is to extend Slater's inequality for convex functions defined on general linear spaces. A reverse of the Slater's inequality is also obtained. Natural applications for norm inequalities and $f$-divergence measures are provided as well.

## 2. Slater's Inequality for Functions Defined on Linear Spaces

Assume that $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on the real linear space $X$. Since for any vectors $x, y \in X$ the function $g_{x, y}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{g}_{x, y}(t):=f(x+t y)$ is convex, it follows that the following limits exist

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{+(-)} f(x)(y):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+(-)} \frac{f(x+t y)-f(x)}{t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they are called the right (left) Gateaux derivatives of the function $f$ in the point $x$ over the direction $y$.

It is obvious that for any $t>0>s$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f(x+t y)-f(x)}{t} & \geq \nabla_{+} f(x)(y)=\inf _{t>0}\left[\frac{f(x+t y)-f(x)}{t}\right] \\
& \geq \sup _{s<0}\left[\frac{f(x+s y)-f(x)}{s}\right]=\nabla_{-} f(x)(y) \geq \frac{f(x+s y)-f(x)}{s} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x, y \in X$ and, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{-} f(u)(u-v) \geq f(u)-f(v) \geq \nabla_{+} f(v)(u-v) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u, v \in X$. We call this the gradient inequality for the convex function $f$. It will be used frequently in the sequel in order to obtain various results related to Slater's inequality.

The following properties are also of importance:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{+} f(x)(-y) & =-\nabla_{-} f(x)(y),  \tag{2.4}\\
\nabla_{+(-)} f(x)(\alpha y) & =\alpha \nabla_{+(-)} f(x)(y), \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \geq 0$.
The right Gateaux derivative is subadditive while the left one is superadditive, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{+} f(x)(y+z) \leq \nabla_{+} f(x)(y)+\nabla_{+} f(x)(z) \\
& \nabla_{-} f(x)(y+z) \geq \nabla_{-} f(x)(y)+\nabla_{-} f(x)(z) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $x, y, z \in X$.
Some natural examples can be provided by the use of normed spaces.
Assume that $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a real normed linear space. The function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x):=$ $(1 / 2)\|x\|^{2}$ is a convex function which generates the superior and the inferior semi-inner products

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle y, x\rangle_{s(i)}:=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+(-)} \frac{\|x+t y\|^{2}-\|x\|^{2}}{2 t} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a comprehensive study of the properties of these mappings in the Geometry of Banach Spaces, see the monograph [8].

For the convex function $f_{p}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{p}(x):=\|x\|^{p}$ with $p>1$, we have

$$
\nabla_{+(-)} f_{p}(x)(y)= \begin{cases}p\|x\|^{p-2}\langle y, x\rangle_{s(i)} & \text { if } x \neq 0  \tag{2.8}\\ 0 & \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

for any $y \in X$.

If $p=1$, then we have

$$
\nabla_{+(-)} f_{1}(x)(y)= \begin{cases}\|x\|^{-1}\langle y, x\rangle_{s(i)} & \text { if } x \neq 0  \tag{2.9}\\ +(-)\|y\| & \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

for any $y \in X$.
For a given convex function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a given $n$-tuple of vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $X^{n}$, we consider the sets

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(f, \mathbf{x}):=\left\{v \in X \mid \nabla_{+(-)} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \geq 0 \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}):=\left\{v \in X \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+(-)} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \geq 0\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is a given probability distribution, that is, $p_{i} \geq 0$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$.

The following properties of these sets hold.
Lemma 2.1. For a given convex function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a given $n$-tuple of vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $X^{n}$, and a given probability distribution $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$, one has
(i) $\operatorname{Sla}_{-}(f, \mathbf{x}) \subset \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x})$ and $\operatorname{Sla}_{-}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \subset \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$;
(ii) $\operatorname{Sla}_{-}(f, \mathbf{x}) \subset \operatorname{Sla}_{-}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ and $\operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}) \subset \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ for all $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$;
(iii) the sets Sla- $(f, \mathbf{x})$ and Sla_ $_{-}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ are convex.

Proof. The properties (i) and (ii) follow from the definition and the fact that $\nabla_{+} f(x)(y) \geq$ $\nabla_{-} f(x)(y)$ for any $x, y$.
(iii) Let us only prove that Sla_ $(f, \mathbf{x})$ is convex.

If we assume that $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \operatorname{Sla}-(f, \mathbf{x})$ and $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$ with $\alpha+\beta=1$, then by the superadditivity and positive homogeneity of the Gâteaux derivative $\nabla_{-} f(\cdot)(\cdot)$ in the second variable we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{-} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2}-x_{i}\right) & =\nabla_{-} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left[\alpha\left(y_{1}-x_{i}\right)+\beta\left(y_{2}-x_{i}\right)\right]  \tag{2.11}\\
& \geq \alpha \nabla_{-} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(y_{1}-x_{i}\right)+\beta \nabla_{-} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(y_{2}-x_{i}\right) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, which shows that $\alpha y_{1}+\beta y_{2} \in \operatorname{Sla}_{-}(f, \mathbf{x})$
The proof for the convexity of Sla- $(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ is similar and the details are omitted.
For the convex function $f_{p}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{p}(x):=\|x\|^{p}$ with $p \geq 1$, defined on the normed linear space $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ and for the $n$-tuple of vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n} \backslash\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$ we have, by the well-known property of the semi-inner products,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle y+\alpha x, x\rangle_{s(i)}=\langle y, x\rangle_{s(i)}+\alpha\|x\|^{2}, \quad \text { for any } x, y \in X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}\left(\|\cdot\|^{p}, \mathbf{x}\right)=\operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(\|\cdot\|, \mathbf{x}):=\left\{v \in X \mid\left\langle v, x_{j}\right\rangle_{s(i)} \geq\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2} \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, as can be seen, does not depend on $p$. We observe, by the continuity of the semi-inner products in the first variable, that $\operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(\|\cdot\|, \mathbf{x})$ is closed in $(X,\|\cdot\|)$. Also, we should remark that if $v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(\|\cdot\|, \mathbf{x})$, then for any $\gamma \geq 1$ we also have that $\gamma v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(\|\cdot\|, \mathbf{x})$.

The larger classes, which are dependent on the probability distribution $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$, are described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}\left(\|\cdot\|^{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}\right):=\left\{v \in X \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left\langle v, x_{j}\right\rangle_{s(i)} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the normed space is smooth, that is, the norm is Gâteaux differentiable in any nonzero point, then the superior and inferior semi-inner products coincide with the Lumer-Giles semiinner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ that generates the norm and is linear in the first variable (see for instance [8]). In this situation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sla}(\|\cdot\|, \mathbf{x}) & =\left\{v \in X \mid\left[v, x_{j}\right] \geq\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2} \forall j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\}, \\
\operatorname{Sla}\left(\|\cdot\|^{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}\right) & =\left\{v \in X \mid \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left[v, x_{j}\right] \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}\right\} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

If $(X,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ is an inner product space, then $\operatorname{Sla}\left(\|\cdot\|^{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}\right)$ can be described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}\left(\|\cdot\|^{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}\right)=\left\{v \in X \mid\left\langle v, \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2} x_{j}\right\rangle \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if the family $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, n}$ is orthogonal, then obviously, by the Pythagoras theorem, we have that the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sla}(\|\cdot\|, \mathbf{x})$ and therefore to $\operatorname{Sla}\left(\|\cdot\|^{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}\right)$ for any $p \geq 1$ and any probability distribution $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$.

We can state now the following results that provide a generalization of Slater's inequality as well as a counterpart for it.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on the real linear space $X, \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $X^{n}$ an $n$-tuple of vectors, and $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ a probability distribution. Then for any $v \in$ Sla $_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$, one has the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{-} f(v)(v)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f(v)\left(x_{i}\right) \geq f(v)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If we write the gradient inequality for $v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ and $x_{i}$, then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{-} f(v)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \geq f(v)-f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
By multiplying (2.18) with $p_{i} \geq 0$ and summing over $i$ from 1 to $n$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f(v)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \geq f(v)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$, then the right hand side of (2.19) is nonnegative, which proves the second inequality in (2.17).

By the superadditivity of the Gâteaux derivative $\nabla_{-} f(\cdot)(\cdot)$ in the second variable, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{-} f(v)(v)-\nabla_{-} f(v)\left(x_{i}\right) \geq \nabla_{-} f(v)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by multiplying with $p_{i} \geq 0$ and summing over $i$ from 1 to $n$, produces the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{-} f(v)(v)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f(v)\left(x_{i}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f(v)\left(v-x_{i}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilising (2.19) and (2.21), we deduce the desired result (2.17).
Remark 2.3. The above result has the following form for normed linear spaces. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space, $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$ an $n$-tuple of vectors from $X$, and $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ a probability distribution. Then for any vector $v \in X$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left\langle v, x_{j}\right\rangle_{s} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}, \quad p \geq 1 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left[\|v\|^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left\langle v, x_{j}\right\rangle_{i}\right] \geq\|v\|^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p} \geq 0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging the first inequality in (2.23), we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1)\|v\|^{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p} \geq p \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left\langle v, x_{j}\right\rangle_{i} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the space is smooth, then the condition (2.22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left[v, x_{j}\right] \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}, \quad p \geq 1 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left[\|v\|^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left[v, x_{j}\right]\right] \geq\|v\|^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p} \geq 0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice also that the first inequality in (2.26) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1)\|v\|^{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p} \geq p \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p-2}\left[v, x_{j}\right]\left(\geq p \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p} \geq 0\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on the real linear space $X, x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$ an $n$-tuple of vectors, and $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ a probability distribution. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \geq(<) 0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a vector $s \in X$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+(-)} f\left(x_{i}\right)(s) \geq(\leq) 1 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{-} f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& \quad \geq f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0 . \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)(s) \geq 1$ and define $v:=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s$. We claim that $v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$.

By the subadditivity and positive homogeneity of the mapping $\nabla_{+} f(\cdot)(\cdot)$ in the second variable, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(v-x_{i}\right) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)(v)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)  \tag{2.31}\\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)(s)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)(s)-1\right] \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

as claimed. Applying Theorem 2.2 for this $v$, we get the desired result.
If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)<0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f\left(x_{i}\right)(s) \leq 1$, then for

$$
\begin{equation*}
w:=\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(w-x_{i}\right) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right) s\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)\right)(-s)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)(-s)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{i}\right)(-s)\right) \\
& =\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \nabla_{+} f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \nabla_{-} f\left(x_{i}\right)(s)\right) \geq 0, \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for the last equality, we have used the property (2.4). Therefore, $w \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ and by Theorem 2.2 we get the desired result.

It is natural to consider the case of normed spaces.

Remark 2.5. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space, $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n}$ an $n$-tuple of vectors from $X$, and $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ a probability distribution. Then for any vector $s \in X$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{p-2}\left\langle s, x_{i}\right\rangle_{s} \geq 1 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{p}\|s\|^{p-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}\right)^{p-1}\left(p\|s\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\langle x_{j}, s\right\rangle_{i}\right)  \tag{2.35}\\
& \quad \geq p^{p}\|s\|^{p}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p}\right)^{p}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{p} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

The case of smooth spaces can be easily derived from the above; however, the details are left to the interested reader.

## 3. The Case of Finite Dimensional Linear Spaces

Consider now the finite dimensional linear space $X=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and assume that $C$ is an open convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Assume also that the function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and convex on C. Obviously, if $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right) \in C$, then for any $y=\left(y^{1}, \ldots, y^{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla f(x)(y)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right)}{\partial x^{k}} \cdot y^{k} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the convex function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a given $n$-tuple of vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C^{n}$ with $x_{i}=\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right)$ with $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we consider the sets

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, C):= & \left\{v \in C \left\lvert\, \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right)}{\partial x_{i}^{k}} \cdot v^{k}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\geq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right)}{\partial x_{i}^{k}} \cdot x_{i}^{k} \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\}  \tag{3.2}\\
\operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, C):= & \left\{v \in C \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right)}{\partial x_{i}^{k}} \cdot v^{k}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right)}{\partial x_{i}^{k}} \cdot x_{i}^{k}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is a given probability distribution.

As in the previous section the sets, $\operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, C)$ and $\operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, C)$ are convex and closed subsets of $\operatorname{clo}(C)$, the closure of $C$. Also $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \subset \operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, C) \subset \operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, C)$ for any $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is a probability distribution.

Proposition 3.1. Let $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on the open convex set $C$ in the finite dimensional linear space $\mathbb{R}^{m},\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in C^{n}$ an $n$-tuple of vectors and $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n} a$ probability distribution. Then for any $v=\left(v^{1}, \ldots, v^{m}\right) \in \operatorname{Sla}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, C)$, one has the inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f\left(v^{1}, \ldots, v^{m}\right)}{\partial x^{k}} \cdot v^{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{i} \frac{\partial f\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right)}{\partial x_{i}^{k}} \cdot v^{k}  \tag{3.3}\\
\geq f\left(v^{1}, \ldots, v^{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{m}\right) \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The unidimensional case, that is, $m=1$ is of interest for applications. We will state this case with the general assumption that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on an open interval $I$. For a given $n$-tuple of vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in I^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(f, \mathbf{x}, I):=\left\{v \in I \mid f_{+(-)}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot\left(v-x_{i}\right) \geq 0 \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{Sla}_{+(-)}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{I}):=\left\{v \in I \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+(-)}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \cdot\left(v-x_{i}\right) \geq 0\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is a probability distribution. These sets inherit the general properties pointed out in Lemma 2.1. Moreover, if we make the assumption that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$, then for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{I})=\left\{v \in I \left\lvert\, v \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right.\right\}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)<0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{I})=\left\{v \in I \left\lvert\, v \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right.\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if we assume that $f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{s}:=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \in I, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the fact that $x_{i} \in I$ and $I$ is a convex set.

Proposition 3.2. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on an open interval I. For a given $n$-tuple of vectors $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in I^{n}$ and $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ a probability distribution, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-}^{\prime}(v)\left(v-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) \geq f(v)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{I})$.
In particular, if one assumes that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)} \in I \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{-}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right] \\
\geq f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, if $f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)>0$, then (3.10) holds true as well.

Remark 3.3. We remark that the first inequality in (3.10) provides a reverse inequality for the classical result due to Slater.

## 4. Some Applications for $f$-Divergences

Given a convex function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the $f$-divergence functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), \mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ are positive sequences, was introduced by Csiszár in [9], as a generalized measure of information, a "distance function" on the set of probability distributions $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. As in [9], we interpret undefined expressions by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} f(t), \quad 0 f\left(\frac{0}{0}\right)=0, \\
0 f\left(\frac{a}{0}\right)=\lim _{q \rightarrow 0+} q f\left(\frac{a}{q}\right)=a \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}, \quad a>0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The following results were essentially given by Csiszár and Körner [10]:
(i) if $f$ is convex, then $I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ is jointly convex in $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$;
(ii) for every $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j} f\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j}}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is strictly convex, equality holds in (4.3) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}=\frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}=\cdots=\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is normalized, that is, $f(1)=0$, then for every $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$, we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \geq 0 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$, then (4.5) holds. This is the well-known positivity property of the $f$-divergence.

It is obvious that the above definition of $I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ can be extended to any function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; however, the positivity condition will not generally hold for normalized functions and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$.

For a normalized convex function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and two probability distributions $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$, we define the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}):=\left\{v \in[0, \infty) \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \cdot\left(v-\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \geq 0\right.\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \cdot\left(v-\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \geq 0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)>0$, then (4.8) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore in this case

$$
\operatorname{Saa}_{+}(f, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})= \begin{cases}{[0, \infty)} & \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)<0  \tag{4.10}\\ {\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)}, \infty\right)} & \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right) \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)<0$, then (4.8) is equivalent with

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore

$$
\operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})= \begin{cases}{\left[0, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right)}\right]} & \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \leq 0  \tag{4.12}\\ \emptyset & \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f_{+}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)>0\end{cases}
$$

Utilising the extended $f$-divergences notation, we can state the following result.
Theorem 4.1. Let $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a normalized convex function and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ two probability distributions. If $v \in \operatorname{Sla}_{+}(f, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-}^{\prime}(v)(v-1) \geq f(v)-I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \geq 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if one assumes that $I_{f_{+}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{f_{+}^{\prime} \cdot(\cdot) \cdot \cdot}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})} \in[0, \infty) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{-}^{\prime}\left(\frac{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}(\cdot)(\cdot)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}\right)\left[\frac{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}(\cdot)(\cdot)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}-1\right] \geq f\left(\frac{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}(\cdot)(\cdot)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{I_{f_{+}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}\right)-I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \geq 0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $f_{+}^{\prime}\left(p_{i} / q_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $I_{f_{+}^{\prime}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})>0$, then (4.15) holds true as well.
The proof follows immediately from Proposition 3.2 and the details are omitted.

The K. Pearson $X^{2}$-divergence is obtained for the convex function $f(t)=(1-t)^{2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j}\left(\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}-1\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(p_{j}-q_{j}\right)^{2}}{q_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{q_{i}}-1 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kullback-Leibler divergence can be obtained for the convex function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(t)=t \ln t$ and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{j} \cdot \frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}} \ln \left(\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} \ln \left(\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider the convex function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=-\ln t$, then we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} f\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \ln \left(\frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}\right)=\mathrm{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the function $f(t)=-\ln t$, we will obviously have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sla}(-\ln , \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) & :=\left\{v \in[0, \infty) \left\lvert\,-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(v-\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \geq 0\right.\right\} \\
& =\left\{v \in[0, \infty) \left\lvert\, v \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_{i}^{2}}{p_{i}}-1 \leq 0\right.\right\}  \tag{4.19}\\
& =\left[0, \frac{1}{X^{2}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})+1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Utilising the first part of Theorem 4.1, we can state the following.
Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be two probability distributions. If $v \in\left[0,\left(1 /\left(X^{2}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})+1\right)\right)\right]$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-v}{v} \geq-\ln (v)-K L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \geq 0 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $v=1 /\left(x^{2}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})+1\right)$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \geq \ln \left[x^{2}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})+1\right]-\mathrm{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \geq 0 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider now the function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(t)=t \ln t$, then $f^{\prime}(t)=\ln t+1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sla}((\cdot) \ln (\cdot), \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) & :=\left\{v \in[0, \infty) \left\lvert\, \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)+1\right) \cdot\left(v-\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right) \geq 0\right.\right\} \\
& =\left\{v \in[0, \infty) \left\lvert\, v \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\left(\ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)+1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \cdot\left(\ln \left(\frac{p_{i}}{q_{i}}\right)+1\right) \geq 0\right.\right\}  \tag{4.22}\\
& =\{v \in[0, \infty) \mid v(1-\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})) \geq 1+\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We observe that if $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ are two probability distributions such that $0<K L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sla}((\cdot) \ln (\cdot), \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})=\left[\frac{1+\mathrm{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{1-\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}, \infty\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \geq 1$, then $\operatorname{Sla}((\cdot) \ln (\cdot), \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})=\emptyset$.
By the use of Theorem 4.1, we can state now the following.
Proposition 4.3. Let $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be two probability distributions such that $0<\mathrm{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})<1$. If $v \in[(1+\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})) /(1-\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})), \infty)$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\ln v+1)(v-1) \geq v \ln (v)-\mathrm{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \geq 0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $v=(1+\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})) /(1-\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}))$, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
(\ln & {\left.\left[\frac{1+K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{1-K L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}\right]+1\right)\left(\frac{1+K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{1-K L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}-1\right) } \\
& \geq \frac{1+K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{1-K L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})} \ln \left[\frac{1+K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})}{1-K L(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}\right]-\operatorname{KL}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \geq 0 \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar results can be obtained for other divergence measures of interest such as the Jeffreys divergence and Hellinger discrimination. However, the details are left to the interested reader.
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