

Research Article

Global Behavior of the Max-Type Difference Equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$

Taixiang Sun,¹ Bin Qin,² Hongjian Xi,² and Caihong Han¹

¹ College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

² Department of Mathematics, Guangxi College of Finance and Economics, Nanning 530003, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Taixiang Sun, stx1963@163.com

Received 4 February 2009; Revised 2 March 2009; Accepted 8 March 2009

Recommended by Stevo Stevic

We study global behavior of the following max-type difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers with $0 \leq \inf A_n \leq \sup A_n < 1$. The special case when $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a periodic sequence with period k and $A_n \in (0, 1)$ for every $n \geq 0$ has been completely investigated by Y. Chen. Here we extend his results to the general case.

Copyright © 2009 Taixiang Sun et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In the recent years, there has been a lot of interest in studying the global behavior of, the so-called, max-type difference equations; see, for example, [1–17] (see also references therein). In [1, 3–5, 7, 8], the second order max-type difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \max\left\{\frac{1}{x_n}, \frac{A_n}{x_{n-1}}\right\}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

has been studied for positive coefficients A_n , which are periodic with period k . The case $k = 1$ was studied in [1], the case $k = 2$ was studied in [3], the case $k = 3$ was studied in [4, 8], and the more difficult case $k = 4$ was studied in [7]. Chen [5] found that every positive solution of (1.1) is eventually periodic with period 2 when $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a periodic sequence of positive real numbers with period $k \geq 2$ and $A_n \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \geq 0$. These results were also included in the recent monograph [9] along with other related references. In this paper, we study global behavior of (1.1) when $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers with $0 \leq \inf A_n \leq \sup A_n < 1$.

2. Main Results

The main results of this paper are established through the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1.1), then

- (1) $x_{n+1}x_n \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$;
- (2) if $x_{k+1}x_k > 1$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $x_{k+2}x_{k+1} = 1$.

Proof. (1) is obvious since $x_{n+1} \geq 1/x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

- (2) If $x_{k+1}x_k > 1$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $x_{k+1}x_{k-1} = A_k$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $x_{k+2}x_{k+1} > 1$, then similarly we get $x_{k+2}x_k = A_{k+1}$ and

$$A_{k+1}A_k = x_{k+1}x_{k-1}x_{k+2}x_k \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

This is a contradiction since $A_{k+1} < 1$ and $A_k < 1$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1.1) and $P_n = \max\{x_n, x_{n-1}\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then

- (1) $x_{n+1} \leq P_n$ and P_n is nonincreasing;
- (2) x_n is bounded, and moreover $1/P_1 \leq x_n \leq P_1$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(1) and the assumption $A_n < 1$, we obtain that for any $n \geq 1$,

$$x_{n+1} = \max\left\{\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n x_{n-1}}, \frac{A_n x_n}{x_n x_{n-1}}\right\} \leq \max\{x_{n-1}, x_n\} = P_n. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence

$$P_{n+1} = \max\{x_{n+1}, x_n\} \leq P_n, \quad (2.3)$$

which implies that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$x_n \leq P_1. \quad (2.4)$$

Furthermore, it follows that for all $n \geq 1$,

$$x_{n+1} = \max\left\{\frac{1}{x_n}, \frac{A_n}{x_{n-1}}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{x_n} \geq \frac{1}{P_1}. \quad (2.5)$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.3. Note that from the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have that $P_1 \geq 1$.

Remark 2.4. Various sequences which satisfy inequality in Lemma 2.2(1), that is, $x_{n+1} \leq P_n$ have been studied, for example, in [18–24].

Lemma 2.5. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1.1) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = S$. Then $S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Proof. Since P_n is a subsequence of x_n , it follows that

$$S \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, by $x_{n+1} \leq P_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = S. \quad (2.7)$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.6. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1.1). By Lemma 2.2, we see that if $S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ and $x_N < S$ for some $N > 0$, then $x_{N-1}, x_{N+1} \in [S, +\infty)$. For example, if it were $x_{N-1} < S$, then it would be $P_N < S$, which would imply $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n < S$.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is a positive solution of (1.1) and $S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Write

$$\omega(x_n) = \left\{ x : \text{there exist } -1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n < \cdots \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_n} = x \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then $\omega(x_n) = \{S, 1/S\}$.

Proof. If $\omega(x_n)$ contains only one point, we may assume by taking a subsequence that $A_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu (< 1)$. By taking the limit in the following relationship:

$$x_{n_{k+1}} = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{x_{n_k}}, \frac{A_{n_k}}{x_{n_{k-1}}} \right\}, \quad (2.9)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$S = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{S}, \frac{\mu}{S} \right\} = \frac{1}{S}, \quad (2.10)$$

which implies that $S = 1$.

If $\omega(x_n)$ contains at least two points, let $L \in \omega(x_n) - \{S\}$, then there exists a subsequence x_{n_k} of x_n such that

$$x_{n_k} \rightarrow L < S. \quad (2.11)$$

By Remark 2.6, we see that there exists $N > 0$ such that for every $n_k > N$,

$$x_{n_k} < S, \quad x_{n_{k+1}}, x_{n_{k-1}} \in [S, +\infty), \quad (2.12)$$

from which it follows that

$$x_{n_k+1} \longrightarrow S, \quad x_{n_k-1} \longrightarrow S. \quad (2.13)$$

By taking a subsequence we may assume that $A_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu (< 1)$. By taking the limit in the following relationship:

$$x_{n_k+1} = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{x_{n_k}}, \frac{A_{n_k}}{x_{n_k-1}} \right\}, \quad (2.14)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$S = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{L}, \frac{\mu}{S} \right\} = \frac{1}{L}, \quad (2.15)$$

which implies

$$L = \frac{1}{S}. \quad (2.16)$$

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.8. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1.1) and $S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Then one of the following two statements is true.

- (1) If there exist infinitely many n such that $x_n \geq S$ and $x_{n+1} \geq S$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ is eventually equal to 1.
- (2) If there exists N such that $x_{N+2k} < S$ and $x_{N+2k-1} \geq S$ for all $k \geq 0$, then $x_{N+2k} \rightarrow 1/S$ and $x_{N+2k-1} \rightarrow S$.

Proof. (1) We assume that there exists an infinite sequence $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ such that

$$x_{n_k} \geq S, \quad x_{n_k+1} \geq S. \quad (2.17)$$

By taking a subsequence we may assume from Lemma 2.7 that

$$A_{n_k} \longrightarrow \mu < 1, \quad x_{n_k-1} \longrightarrow l \in \left\{ S, \frac{1}{S} \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

By taking the limit in the following relationship:

$$x_{n_k+1}x_{n_k} = \max \left\{ 1, \frac{A_{n_k}x_{n_k}}{x_{n_k-1}} \right\}, \quad (2.19)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$S^2 = \max\left\{1, \frac{S\mu}{l}\right\}. \quad (2.20)$$

Since $S\mu/l \in \{\mu, \mu S^2\}$ and $\mu < 1$, it follows that $S^2 = 1$ and $\omega(x_n) = \{1\}$.

In the following, we show that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is eventually equal to 1. It only needs to prove that there exists $N \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\frac{1}{x_n} > \frac{A_n}{x_{n-1}}. \quad (2.21)$$

Indeed, if there exist infinitely many n_k such that

$$x_{n_k+1} = \frac{A_{n_k}}{x_{n_k-1}}, \quad (2.22)$$

by taking a subsequence we may assume that $A_{n_k} \rightarrow \mu < 1$, then it follows that

$$1 = \frac{\mu}{1}, \quad \mu = 1, \quad (2.23)$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore there exists N such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n}. \quad (2.24)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= x_N, & \text{for } n = N + 2k, \\ x_n &= x_{N+1}, & \text{for } n = N + 2k + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow 1$, we have $x_{N+1} = x_N = 1$.

(2) If $S = 1$, then the result follows from Lemma 2.7. In the following, we assume $S \neq 1$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists a subsequence x_{N+2k_i} of x_{N+2k} such that

$$x_{N+2k_i} \rightarrow S. \quad (2.26)$$

By taking a subsequence we may assume that

$$A_{N+2k_i} \rightarrow \mu. \quad (2.27)$$

By taking the limit in the following relationship:

$$x_{N+2k_i+1} = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{x_{N+2k_i}}, \frac{A_{N+2k_i}}{x_{N+2k_i-1}} \right\}, \quad (2.28)$$

as $k_i \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$S = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{S}, \frac{\mu}{S} \right\}, \quad (2.29)$$

which implies

$$S = 1. \quad (2.30)$$

This is a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.9. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a periodic sequence of positive real numbers, then every positive solution of (1.1) is eventually periodic with period 2.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of (1.1) and $S = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. By Remark 2.6 and Theorem 2.8, we may assume without loss of generality that $x_{2k} < S$, $x_{2k-1} \geq S \geq 1$ for all $k \geq 0$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists a sequence $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots$ such that

- (1) $x_{m_k+1}x_{m_k-1} = A_{m_k}$, and $x_{m_k+1}x_{m_k} > 1$;
- (2) $x_{n+1}x_n = 1$, for $n \neq m_k$.

Then m_k is odd for every $k \geq 1$. Let $m_k = 2n_k + 1$, then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$x_{2n_k+2}x_{2n_k} = A_{2n_k+1} < 1 = x_{2n_k+1}x_{2n_k} < x_{2n_k+1}x_{2n_k+2}. \quad (2.31)$$

From this and by (2) it follows that

$$\frac{A_{2n_k+1}}{x_{2n_k+2}} = x_{2n_k} < x_{2n_k+2} = x_{2n_k+4} = \dots = x_{2n_k+1} < x_{2n_k+1+2} = \frac{A_{2n_k+1+1}}{x_{2n_k+1}}. \quad (2.32)$$

Therefore for every $k \geq 1$,

$$A_{2n_k+1} < x_{2n_k+2}^2 = x_{2n_k+1}^2 < A_{2n_k+1+1}, \quad (2.33)$$

which is a contradiction since $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a periodic sequence. The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.10. Corollary 2.9 is the main result of [5].

3. Example

In this section, we give an example for $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ to be no periodic sequence.

Example 3.1. Consider

$$x_{n+1} = \max\left\{\frac{1}{x_n}, \frac{A_n}{x_{n-1}}\right\}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.1)$$

where $A_{2n} = A_{2n+1} = (2 - 1/2^n)(2 - 1/2^{n+1})/16$ for any $n \geq 0$. Then solution $\{x_n\}_{n=-1}^\infty$ of (3.1) with the initial values $x_{-1} = 1/4$ and $x_0 = 4$ satisfies the following.

- (1) $x_{2p-1}x_{2p} = 1$, for any $p \geq 0$.
- (2) $x_{2p-1} < x_{2p+1} = \frac{A_{2p}}{x_{2p-1}} < \frac{1}{2} < 2 < x_{2p+2} < x_{2p}$, for any $p \geq 0$.

Proof. By simple computation, we have

$$A_{2p} = \frac{(2 - 1/2^p)(2 - 1/2^{p+1})}{16} > \begin{cases} x_{-1}^2, & \text{if } p = 0, \\ \left(\frac{A_0}{x_{-1}}\right)^2, & \text{if } p = 1, \\ \left(\frac{A_{2p-2}A_{2p-6} \cdots A_2}{A_{2p-4}A_{2p-8} \cdots A_0} x_{-1}\right)^2, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{A_{2p-2}A_{2p-6} \cdots A_4A_0}{A_{2p-4}A_{2p-8} \cdots A_2x_{-1}}\right)^2, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x_{-1} &= \max\left\{\frac{x_{-1}}{x_0}, A_0\right\} = \max\left\{x_{-1}^2, A_0\right\} = A_0, \\ x_2x_1 &= \max\left\{1, \frac{x_1A_1}{x_0}\right\} = \max\left\{1, \frac{A_0A_1}{x_{-1}x_0}\right\} = 1, \\ x_3x_1 &= \max\left\{\frac{x_1}{x_2}, A_2\right\} = \max\left\{\frac{x_1^2}{x_2x_1}, A_2\right\} = \max\left\{\left(\frac{A_0}{x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_2\right\} = A_2, \\ x_4x_3 &= \max\left\{1, \frac{x_3A_3}{x_2}\right\} = \max\left\{1, \frac{A_2A_3}{x_2x_1}\right\} = 1, \\ x_5x_3 &= \max\left\{\frac{x_3}{x_4}, A_4\right\} = \max\left\{\frac{x_3^2}{x_4x_3}, A_4\right\} = \max\left\{\left(\frac{x_3x_1}{x_1x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_4\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\left(\frac{A_2}{A_0}x_{-1}\right)^2, A_4\right\} = A_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x_6x_5 &= \max\left\{1, \frac{x_5A_5}{x_4}\right\} = \max\left\{1, \frac{A_4A_5}{x_4x_3}\right\} = 1, \\
x_7x_5 &= \max\left\{\frac{x_5}{x_6}, A_6\right\} = \max\left\{\frac{x_5^2}{x_6x_5}, A_6\right\} = \max\left\{\left(\frac{x_5x_3x_1x_{-1}}{x_3x_1x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_6\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\left(\frac{A_4A_0}{A_2x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_6\right\} = A_6, \\
x_8x_7 &= \max\left\{1, \frac{x_7A_7}{x_6}\right\} = \max\left\{1, \frac{A_6A_7}{x_6x_5}\right\} = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By induction, we have from (3.1) and (3.2) that for any $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{4p+1}x_{4p-1} &= \max\left\{\frac{x_{4p-1}}{x_{4p}}, A_{4p}\right\} = \max\left\{\frac{x_{4p-1}^2}{x_{4p}x_{4p-1}}, A_{4p}\right\} = \max\left\{x_{4p-1}^2, A_{4p}\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\left(\frac{x_{4p-1}x_{4p-3}x_{4p-5} \cdots x_1}{x_{4p-3}x_{4p-5}x_{4p-7} \cdots x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_{4p}\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\left(\frac{A_{4p-2}A_{4p-6} \cdots A_2}{A_{4p-4}A_{4p-8} \cdots A_0}x_{-1}\right)^2, A_{4p}\right\} = A_{4p}, \\
x_{4p+2}x_{4p+1} &= \max\left\{1, \frac{x_{4p+1}A_{4p+1}}{x_{4p}}\right\} = \max\left\{1, \frac{A_{4p}A_{4p+1}}{x_{4p}x_{4p-1}}\right\} = 1, \\
x_{4p+3}x_{4p+1} &= \max\left\{\frac{x_{4p+1}}{x_{4p+2}}, A_{4p+2}\right\} = \max\left\{\frac{x_{4p+1}^2}{x_{4p+2}x_{4p+1}}, A_{4p+2}\right\} = \max\left\{x_{4p+1}^2, A_{4p+2}\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\left(\frac{x_{4p+1}x_{4p-1}x_{4p-3}x_{4p-5} \cdots x_1x_{-1}}{x_{4p-1}x_{4p-3}x_{4p-5}x_{4p-7} \cdots x_1x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_{4p+2}\right\} \\
&= \max\left\{\left(\frac{A_{4p}A_{4p-4} \cdots A_4A_0}{A_{4p-2}A_{4p-6} \cdots A_2x_{-1}}\right)^2, A_{4p+2}\right\} = A_{4p+2}, \\
x_{4p+4}x_{4p+3} &= \max\left\{1, \frac{x_{4p+3}A_{4p+3}}{x_{4p+2}}\right\} = \max\left\{1, \frac{A_{4p+2}A_{4p+3}}{x_{4p+2}x_{4p+1}}\right\} = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

from which the result follows. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgments

The project is supported by NNSF of China(10861002), NSF of Guangxi (0640205,0728002), and Innovation Project of Guangxi Graduate Education(2008105930701M43).

References

- [1] A. M. Amleh, J. Hoag, and G. Ladas, "A difference equation with eventually periodic solutions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 36, no. 10–12, pp. 401–404, 1998.
- [2] K. S. Berenhaut, J. D. Foley, and S. Stević, "Boundedness character of positive solutions of a max difference equation," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 1193–1199, 2006.
- [3] W. J. Briden, E. A. Grove, G. Ladas, and L. C. McGrath, "On the nonautonomous equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{A_n/x_n, B_n/x_{n-1}\}$," in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Difference Equations*, pp. 49–73, Gordon and Breach, Taipei, Taiwan, September 1997.
- [4] W. J. Briden, E. A. Grove, G. Ladas, and C. M. Kent, "Eventually periodic solutions of $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$," *Communications on Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 31–43, 1999.
- [5] Y. Chen, "Eventual periodicity of $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with periodic coefficients," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 11, no. 15, pp. 1289–1294, 2005.
- [6] C. Çinar, S. Stević, and I. Yalçinkaya, "On positive solutions of a reciprocal difference equation with minimum," *Journal of Applied Mathematics & Computing*, vol. 17, no. 1-2, pp. 307–314, 2005.
- [7] J. Feuer, "On the eventual periodicity of $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with a period-four parameter," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 467–486, 2006.
- [8] E. A. Grove, C. Kent, G. Ladas, and M. A. Radin, "On $x_{n+1} = \max\{1/x_n, A_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with a period 3 parameter," *Fields Institute Communication*, vol. 29, pp. 161–180, 2001.
- [9] E. A. Grove and G. Ladas, *Periodicities in Nonlinear Difference Equations*, vol. 4 of *Advances in Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2005.
- [10] C. M. Kent and M. A. Radin, "On the boundedness nature of positive solutions of the difference equation $x_{n+1} = \max\{A_n/x_n, B_n/x_{n-1}\}$ with periodic parameters," *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete & Impulsive Systems. Series B*, supplement, pp. 11–15, 2003.
- [11] W. T. Patula and H. D. Voulov, "On a max type recurrence relation with periodic coefficients," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 329–338, 2004.
- [12] S. Stević, "On the recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = A + (x_n^p/x_{n-1}^r)$," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2007, Article ID 40963, 9 pages, 2007.
- [13] S. Stević, "On the recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{c, x_n^p/x_{n-1}^p\}$," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 791–796, 2008.
- [14] F. Sun, "On the asymptotic behavior of a difference equation with maximum," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2008, Article ID 243291, 6 pages, 2008.
- [15] I. Szalkai, "On the periodicity of the sequence $x_{n+1} = \max\{A_0/x_n, \dots, A_k/x_{n-k}\}$," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 1999.
- [16] H. D. Voulov, "Periodic solutions to a difference equation with maximum," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 131, no. 7, pp. 2155–2160, 2003.
- [17] H. D. Voulov, "On the periodic nature of the solutions of the reciprocal difference equation with maximum," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 296, no. 1, pp. 32–43, 2004.
- [18] J. Bibby, "Axiomatisations of the average and a further generalisation of monotonic sequences," *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, vol. 15, pp. 63–65, 1974.
- [19] E. T. Copson, "On a generalisation of monotonic sequences," *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. Series II*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 159–164, 1971.
- [20] S. Stević, "A note on bounded sequences satisfying linear inequalities," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 223–230, 2001.
- [21] S. Stević, "A generalization of the Copson's theorem concerning sequences which satisfy a linear inequality," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 277–282, 2001.
- [22] S. Stević, "A global convergence result," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 361–368, 2002.

- [23] S. Stević, "Asymptotic behavior of a sequence defined by iteration with applications," *Colloquium Mathematicum*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 267–276, 2002.
- [24] S. Stević, "Asymptotic behaviour of a nonlinear difference equation," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 34, no. 12, pp. 1681–1687, 2003.