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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the following initial value problem for the


 nonlinear equation,$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right), p, q \geq 1, c_{1} \geq 0, c_{2} \geq 0 \\
u(0)=u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We are interested in the properties of solutions of the above problem. We have found blow-up phenomena and obtained some results on blow-up rates, blow-up constants and life-spans.

## 0. Introduction

Consider the nonlinear equation

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime} & =u^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{q}\right) \\
u(0) & =u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $u^{p}$ and $\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{q}$ are well-definded functions. We are interested in the properties of solutions of the problem, particularly in phenomena on blow-up, blow-up rates, blow-up constants and life-spans for $p \geq 1, q \geq 1, c_{1}+c_{2}>0, c_{1} \geq 0, c_{2} \geq 0$.

To gain a rough estimate of the life-span of the solution for the initial value problem (0.1) below, we reconsider the existence of the solutions of the nonlinear equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right), p \geq 1, q \geq 1, c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \neq 0  \tag{0.1}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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For $p \in \mathbb{Q}$, we say that $p$ is odd (even, respectively) if $p=r / s, r \in \mathbb{N}, s \in$ $2 \mathbb{N}+1,(r, s)=1$ (common factor) and $r$ is odd (even, respectively). Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}^{*}=\min \left\{\frac{N-\left|u_{1}\right|}{K}, \frac{-\left|u_{1}\right|+\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}-4 K\left(\left|u_{0}\right|-M\right)}}{2 K}\right\}, \\
& T_{2}^{*}=\min \left\{T_{1}^{*}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_{1}+k_{2}}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N=\left|u_{1}\right|+1, M=\left|u_{0}\right|+1, K=M^{p}\left(\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right| N^{q}\right), k_{2}=q N^{q-1} M^{p}$, $k_{1}=p M^{p-1}\left(\left|c_{1}\right| M^{2}+\left|c_{2}\right| N^{q}\right)$ and $X_{T}=\left\{u \in H 2:\|u\|_{\infty} \leq M,\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right.$ $\leq N\}, H 2:=C^{2}[0, T]$.

By the standard arguments of existence of solutions to ordinary differential equations, one can easily prove the following result:

For any initial values $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$, there exists a constant $T$ given as above such that the problem (0.1) possesses exactly one solution $u$ in $X_{T}$.

In particular $c_{2}=0<c_{1}$ we have $u^{\prime \prime}=c_{1} u^{p}$ and $\left(c_{1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(c_{1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u\right)^{p}$. We make some notations

$$
E_{1, p}=c_{1}^{\frac{2}{p-1}}\left(u_{1}^{2}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}\right), \bar{a}(t)=c_{1}^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t)^{2}, v_{0}=c_{1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_{0}, v_{1}=c_{1}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u_{1} .
$$

To estimate the life-span of the solution to the equation (0.1), we separate this section into three parts, $E_{1, p}<0, E_{1, p}=0$ and $E_{1, p}>0$. Here the life-span $T^{*}$ of $u$ means that $u$ is the solution of problem (0.1) and $u$ exists only in $\left[0, T^{*}\right)$ so that the problem (0.1) possesses the solution $u \in H 2$ for $T<T^{*}$. We have considered the cases :
(i) $E_{1, p}<0, \bar{a}^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$
(ii) $E_{1, p}<0, \bar{a}^{\prime}(0)<0$
(iii) $E_{1, p}=0, \bar{a}^{\prime}(0)>0$
(iv) $E_{1, p}>0, \bar{a}^{\prime}(0)^{2}>4 \bar{a}(0) E_{1, p}$ (v) $E_{1, p}>0, \bar{a}^{\prime}(0)^{2}=4 \bar{a}(0) E_{1, p}$
and $u_{1}>0$ (vi) $E_{1, p}>0, \bar{a}^{\prime}(0)^{2}=4 \bar{a}(0) E_{1, p}, u_{1}<0$ and $p$ is odd and obtained some results on the blow-up time, blow-up rate and blow-up constant $[1,5]$. Here we discuss the problem (0.1) in two parts: " $c_{1}=0<c_{2}$ " and " $c_{1}>$ $0,0<c_{2}$ ".

$$
\text { Part I. } c_{1}=0<c_{2}
$$

In this part we study the following initial value problem for the nonlinear equation,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} u(t)^{p}, p, q \geq 1, c_{2}>0  \tag{0.2}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We are interested in properties of solutions of the problem, particularly in phenomena on blow-up, blow-up rates, blow-up constants and life-spans. In next section, we separate $q$ into three parts, $1 \leq q<2, q=2$ and $q>2$. And we find the blow-up time, blow-up rate and blow-up constant of $u$. Define

$$
T=\min \left\{\frac{1}{\left|u_{1}\right|}, \frac{1}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}, \frac{-\left|u_{1}\right|+\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+2\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}},-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{3}}}\right\},
$$

where $N=\left|u_{0}\right|+1, M=\left|u_{1}\right|+1, \alpha_{3}=\left|c_{2}\right| q N^{p} M^{q-1}$ and

$$
X_{T}=\left\{u \in H 2:\|u\|_{\infty} \leq N \text { and }\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq M\right\}
$$

By the standard arguments of existence of solutions to ordinary differential equations, one can easily prove the following result:

Theorem 0.1. For any initial values $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$, there exists a constant $T$ given as above such that the problem (1) possesses exactly one solution $u$ in $X_{T}$.

## 1. Fundamental Lemmas

For $u_{1}=0$, the solution $u$ of problem (1) must be constant. For $u_{1} \neq 0$ and $t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)$, where $T^{*}=\inf \left\{t>0: u^{\prime}(t)=0\right\}$, we have the relations between $u(t)$ and $u^{\prime}(t)$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}=(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right) \text { for } q \neq 2  \tag{1.1}\\
E(0)=\frac{u_{1}^{2-q}}{2-q}-\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ln \left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|=\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right) \text { for } q=2  \tag{1.2}\\
E_{1}(0)=\ln \left|u_{1}\right|-\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that $f \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \cap C^{2}\left(t_{0}, \infty\right), f\left(t_{0}\right)>0, f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t>t_{0}$. Then there exists a finite positive number $T>t_{0}$ such that $f(T)=0$.

Proof. Since $f \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t>t_{0}$, we obtain that $f^{\prime}(t)$ $\leq f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)<0$ and $f(t) \leq f\left(t_{0}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)$. Hence there exists $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such
that $f\left(t_{1}\right)<0$. By the continuity of $f$ in $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, there exists $T \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ such that $f(T)=0$.

Lemma 1.2. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of (1). If $u_{0} \geq 0, c_{2}>0, u_{1}>0$, then $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in[0, T)$, where $T$ is the life-span of $u$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a positive number $t_{0}$ such that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$. Since $u \in C^{2}$ and $u_{1}>0$, there exists a positive number $t_{1}$, defined by

$$
t_{1}=\inf \left\{t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]: u^{\prime}(t)=0\right\}
$$

such that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. For $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right], u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, we have $u(t)^{p} \geq 0, u^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$. Therefore, $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq u_{1}>0$. This result contradicts with $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$; thus we conclude that $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in[0, T)$. Together the equation (1) and the continuities of $u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$, the lemma follows.

By Theorem 0.1, there exists the unique solution to the $(1)$ on $[0, T)$, where $T$ depends on the initial values as follows
$T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\min \left\{\frac{1}{\left|u_{1}\right|}, \frac{1}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}, \frac{-\left|u_{1}\right|+\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+2\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}},-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{3}}}\right\}$
and $N=\left|u_{0}\right|+1, M=\left|u_{1}\right|+1, \alpha_{3}=\left|c_{2}\right| q N^{p} M^{q-1}$. The function $T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ has the following monotonicity property.

Lemma 1.3. If $u_{0} \leq u_{0}^{*}$ and $u_{1} \leq u_{1}^{*}$, then $T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \geq T\left(u_{0}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Let $N^{*}=\left|u_{0}^{*}\right|+1, M^{*}=\left|u_{1}^{*}\right|+1, \alpha_{3}^{*}=\left|c_{2}\right| q N^{* p} M^{* q-1}$.
(1) If $T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|u_{1}\right|}$, then by $u_{1} \leq u_{1}^{*}, T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\left|u_{1}^{*}\right|} \geq T\left(u_{0}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}\right)$.
(2) If $T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{3}}}$, using the fact that $u_{1} \leq u_{1}^{*}, p, q \geq 1$, we have $\alpha_{3}^{*} \geq \alpha_{3} \geq 0$,

$$
T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \geq-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{3}^{*}}} \geq T\left(u_{0}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}\right)
$$

(3) If $T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}$, then by the conditions $u_{0} \leq u_{0}^{*}, u_{1} \leq u_{1}^{*}$ and $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$, we obtain that $M^{* q} \geq M^{q}$ and $N^{* p} \geq N^{p}$. Thus

$$
T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{* q} N^{* p}} \geq T\left(u_{0}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}\right)
$$

(4) If $T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)=\frac{-\left|u_{1}\right|+\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+2\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}}{\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}$, then from $u_{0} \leq u_{0}^{*}$ and $u_{1} \leq u_{1}^{*}$, it follows that $M^{* q} \geq M^{q}, N^{* p} \geq N^{p}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right) & =\frac{2}{\left|u_{1}\right|+\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}+2\left|c_{2}\right| M^{q} N^{p}}} \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\left|u_{1}^{*}\right|+\sqrt{u_{1}^{* 2}+2\left|c_{2}\right| M^{* q} N^{* p}}} \geq T\left(u_{0}^{*}, u_{1}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.4. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of (1) for $q \in[1,2]$. If $u$ exists locally and $t_{1}^{*}$ is the life-span of $u$, then $u$ blows up at $t=t_{1}{ }^{*}$.

Proof. Assume that $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{1}^{*-}} u(t)=M<\infty$. By (1.1), (1.2) and $q \in[1,2]$, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{1}^{*}+} u^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left((2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} M^{p+1}+E(0)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}} \text { if } 1 \leq q<2 \\
\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} M^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right) \text { if } q=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we consider the following differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.v^{\prime \prime}(t)=c_{2} v^{\prime}(t)^{q} v(t)^{p}\right) \\
v(0)=u\left(t_{1}^{*-}\right), v^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{*-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $v(t)$ be the existing unique solution to the above equation on $\left[0, T_{v}\right)$. Since $u\left(t_{1}^{*-}\right)$ and $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}^{*-}\right)$ are finite, so $T_{v}>0$. Let

$$
U(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(t) \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{1}^{*-}\right), \\
v\left(t-t_{1}^{*-}\right) \text { if } t \in\left[t_{1}^{*-}, t_{1}^{*-}+T_{v}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

the problem $(1)$ can be solved beyond the time $t_{1}{ }^{*}$, this contradicts with the assumption of $t_{1}{ }^{*}$. Therefore, $u$ blows up at $t=t_{1}{ }^{*}$.

We would use the following two lemmas can be proved in a similar way as Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1, for the fluquency for the writting, we postpone the proofs to Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 1.5. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution of problem (1) and that $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0$. For $1 \leq q \leq 2, u(t)$ and $u^{\prime}(t)$ blow up simultaneously; and so does $u^{\prime \prime}$. For $q>2, u^{\prime}(t)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at the same time.

Lemma 1.6. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of (0.1). If $u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>$ 0 , then $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in[0, T)$, where $T$ is the life-span of $u$.

According to the similarity, the proof of the lemma 1.7 below will be postponed to Theorem 8.1.

Lemma 1.7. For $q>2$, if $u$ is the solution of (0.1) and $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>$ 0 , then $u^{p+1}$ is bounded by $u_{0}^{p+1}+(p+1) u_{1}^{2-q} /(q-2) c_{2}$.

## 2. Blow-up Phenomena of $u$

To discuss blow-up phenomena of $u$ with $u_{1} \neq 0$, we separate this subsection into three parts $1 \leq q<2, q>2$ and $q=2$. We have some blow-up results.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $u$ is the positive solution of (1) and $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \geq$ $0, u_{1}>0$. Then
(I) for $q \in[1,2)$, u blows up at finite time $t=T_{11}$ for some finite real number $T_{11}>0$; further, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}}(T-t)^{\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}} u(t)=\left(\frac{p+q-1}{2-q}\right)^{-\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}}\left((2-q) \frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p+q-1}}
$$

(II) for $q=2$, then $u$ blows up logarithmically at finite time $t=T_{12}$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{12}^{-}}\left(\frac{1}{-\ln \left(T_{12}-t\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} u(t)=\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p+1}}
$$

(III) for $q>2$, if $u$ is the positive solution of (1) and $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0$, then $u$ is bounded in $[0, T)$, where $T$ is the life span of $u$.

Remark 2. If we don't restrict ourself to the positiveness of the solution $u$ to the equation (1), then we also have the following blow-up results:
If $u$ is the solution of equation $(1), q \in[1,2]$ and one of the followings is valid:
(1) $p$ is even, $q$ is odd, $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \leq 0, u_{1}<0, u_{0}^{p} \geq 0$,
(2) $p$ is odd, $q$ is even, $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \leq 0, u_{1}<0, u_{0}^{p} \leq 0$,
(3) $p$ is even, $q$ is even, $c_{2}<0, u_{0} \leq 0, u_{1}<0, u_{0}^{p} \geq 0$,
(4) $p$ is odd, $q$ is odd, $c_{2}<0, u_{0} \leq 0, u_{1}<0, u_{0}^{p} \leq 0$,
then $u$ blows up in finite time.
For a given function $u$ in this work we use the following abbreviations

$$
a(t)=u(t)^{2}, J(t)=a(t)^{-m}, m=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2-q}-1\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $u$ is a global solution of equation (1). (I-1) For $q=1, u^{\prime \prime}(t)=c_{2} u^{\prime}(t) u(t)^{p}$, by (1.1) and lemma 1.6, we obtain that

$$
\int_{u_{0}}^{u(t)} \frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)} d r=t \text { for all } t>0
$$

and

$$
u(t)>u_{0} \text { for } t>0
$$

Using the fact that $\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)>0$ for $r \geq u_{0}$, we get

$$
\int_{u_{0}}^{u(t)} \frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)} d r \leq \int_{u_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)} d r \text { for all } t>0
$$

and then

$$
\int_{u_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)} d r \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{u_{0}}^{u(t)} \frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)} d r=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t
$$

Since the integral $\int_{u_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)} d r$ is finite, this leads to a contradictory conclusion with the above last estimate. Hence we can conclude that $u$ only exists on $\left[0, T_{11}\right.$ ), where $T_{11}$ is the life-span of $u$. By Lemma 1.4, we obtain that $u$ blows up at $t=T_{11}$.
(I-2) For $1<q<2, m=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2-q}-1\right)>0$, and we claim that there exists a finite time $T_{11}>0$ such that $J\left(T_{11}\right)=0$. According to Lemma 1.5, we find that $u^{\prime}$ and $u$ blow up simultaneously. Thus $u \in C^{2}[0, T)$, where $T$ is a blow-up time of $u$. By (1) and Lemma 1.6,

$$
u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}=(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right) \text { for all } t>0
$$

By direct computation, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime}(t) & =-m a(t)^{-(m+1)} a^{\prime}(t)=-m a(t)^{-(m+1)} 2 u(t) u^{\prime}(t) \\
a^{\prime \prime}(t) & =2 u^{\prime}(t)^{2}+2 c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} u(t)^{p+1} \\
& =2\left(1+\frac{1}{2-q}\right) a^{\prime}(t)^{2}+2 u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\left(\frac{p c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}-E(0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a(t) a^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2-q}\right) a^{\prime}(t)^{2}+2 a(t) u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\left(\frac{p c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}-E(0)\right)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{\prime \prime}(t) & =-m a(t)^{-(m+2)}\left(a(t) a^{\prime \prime}(t)-(m+1) a^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right) \\
& =-m a(t)^{-(m+2)} 2 a(t) u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\left(\frac{p c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}-E(0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With the help of Lemma 1.6, $u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for all $t>0$, and there exists a finite time $t_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{p c_{2}}{p+1} u\left(t_{1}\right)^{p+1}-E(0) \geq 0
$$

Herewith, $J\left(t_{1}\right)>0, J^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)<0$ and $J^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. These and Lemma 1.1 imply that there exists a finite positive number $T_{11}>t_{1}$ such that $J\left(T_{11}\right)=0$. Thus $u$ blows up in finite time. This leads to contradiction and we have shown that $u$ exists locally and by Lemma 1.4, $u$ blows up in finite time.
(I-3) We estimate the blow-up rate and blow-up constant. Set $i=\frac{p+q-1}{2-q}$. By some calculations on (1) using L. Hôpital's rule we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} \frac{u^{-i}}{T_{11}-t} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} i \frac{\left((2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}}{u(t)^{i+1}} \\
& =\frac{p+q-1}{2-q}\left((2-q) \frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}}(T-t)^{\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}} u(t)=\left(\frac{p+q-1}{2-q}\right)^{-\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}}\left((2-q) \frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p+q-1}}
$$

(II) For $q=2$, assume that $u$ is a global solution of (1). By (1.2) and Lemma 1.6,

$$
\ln \left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E_{1}(0) \text { for all } t>0
$$

Since $u(t), u^{\prime}(t)$ blow up simultaneously (by Lemma 1.5 ), $u \in C^{2}\left[0, T_{12}\right.$ ), where $T_{12}$ is blow-up time of $u$.

Let $K(t)=a(t)^{-1}$, then

$$
K^{\prime}(t)=-a(t)^{-2} a^{\prime}(t)=-2 a(t)^{-2} u(t) u^{\prime}(t)
$$

and
$K^{\prime \prime}(t)=-a(t)^{-3}\left(a(t) a^{\prime \prime}(t)-2 a^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)=-a(t)^{-3} a^{\prime}(t)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1+c_{2} u(t) u(t)^{p}\right)-2\right)$.

By Lemma 1.6, $u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$. Hence there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $u(t) \geq\left(\frac{3}{c_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+1$ for $t \geq t_{0}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+c_{2} u(t) u(t)^{p}\right)-2 \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. We conclude that

$$
K\left(t_{0}\right)>0, \quad K^{\prime}(t)<0 \text { and } K^{\prime \prime}(t)<0 \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

thus by Lemma 1.1 there exists positive number $T_{12}$ such that $K\left(T_{12}\right)=0$ and $u$ blows up at time $t=T_{12}$. This result contradicts with our assumption that $u$ is a global solution of problem (1). Therefore $u$ can exist only locally. By Lemma 1.4, $u$ blows up in finite time. After some computations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{12}^{-}}-\ln \left(T_{12}-t\right) u(t)^{-(p+1)} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{12}^{-}} \frac{u(t)^{-p} u^{\prime}(t)^{-1}}{(p+1)\left(T_{12}-t\right)} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{12}^{-}} \frac{p u(t)^{-(p+1)}+u(t)^{-p} u^{\prime}(t)^{-2} u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{p+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (1), we obtain $u^{\prime \prime}(t)=c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{2} u(t)^{p}$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{12}^{-}}-\ln \left(T_{12}-t\right) u(t)^{-(p+1)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{12}^{-}} \frac{p u(t)^{-(p+1)}+c_{2}}{p+1}=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}
$$

(III) For $q>2$, integrating the equation (1) from 0 to $t$,

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}}{2-q}-\frac{u_{1}^{2-q}}{2-q}=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}-\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}
$$

For $t \in[0, T)$, by Lemma 1.6, $u(t), u^{\prime}(t)>0$ and

$$
\frac{u_{1}^{2-q}}{q-2}>\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}-\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}
$$

Since that $c_{2}>0$ and $u(t)>0$ for $t \in[0, T), u$ is bounded in $[0, T)$.
Proof of Remark 2. The arguments are similar to the proof of Theorem 2, we only mention the case (1).
Let $v(t)=-u(t)$. By the fact that $p$ is even and $q$ is odd, we have $v(t)^{p}=u(t)^{p}$ and $v^{\prime}(t)^{q}=-u^{\prime}(t)^{q}$. We get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime \prime}(t)=-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=-c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} u(t)^{p}=c_{2} v^{\prime}(t)^{q} v(t)^{p} \\
v(0)=v_{0}=-u_{0}, v^{\prime}(0)=v_{1}=-u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $u_{0} \leq 0, u_{0}^{p} \geq 0, u_{1}<0$ and $p$ is even, we have $v_{0} \geq 0, v_{1}>0$ and $v_{0}^{p}=u_{0}^{p} \geq 0$. By Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 below, $v$ blows up, so does $u$.

## 3. Blow-up Phenomena of $u^{\prime}$

In this subsection we come back to the consideration of blow-up phenomena of $u^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3. For $q \geq 1$, if $u$ is a positive solution of (1) and $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \geq$ $0, u_{1}>0$, then $u^{\prime}$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$. Further, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}}\left(T_{21}-t\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+q-1}} u^{\prime}(t) \\
= & \left(\frac{c_{2}(p+q-1)}{p+1}\left(\frac{c_{2}(2-q)}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{-p}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{-(p+1)}{p+q-1}} \text { for } 1 \leq q<2, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{22}^{-}}\left[-\ln \left(T_{22}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{22}-t\right) u^{\prime}(t)=c_{2}^{\frac{-1}{p+1}}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \text { for } q=2, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{23}^{-}}\left(T_{23}-t\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u^{\prime}(t)=\left(c_{2}(q-1) u\left(T_{23}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \quad \text { for } q>2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We separate this proof into three parts: $1 \leq q<2, q=2$ and $q>2$.
(I) For $1 \leq q<2$, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 1.5, $u$ and $u$ blow up in finite time simultaneously. According to (1), L. Hôpital's rule and Theorem 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} \frac{u^{\prime}(t)^{\frac{1-p-q}{p+1}}}{\left(T_{21}-t\right)} & \left.=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} \frac{c_{2}(p+q-1)}{p+1}\left((2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{-p}{p+1}} u(t)^{p} \\
& =\frac{c_{2}(p+q-1)}{p+1}\left(\frac{c_{2}(2-q)}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{-p}{p+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}}\left(T_{21}-t\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+q-1}} u^{\prime}(t)=\left(\frac{c_{2}(p+q-1)}{p+1}\left(\frac{c_{2}(2-q)}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{-p}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{-(p+1)}{p+q-1}} .
$$

(II) For $q=2$, using Theorem 2 and Lemma 1.5, then $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ blow up in finite time simultaneously. By (1), L. Hôpital's rule and Theorem 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{22}^{-}}\left[-\ln \left(T_{22}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{22}-t\right) u^{\prime}(t) \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{22}^{-}} \frac{\frac{p}{p+1}\left[-\ln \left(T_{22}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{p+1}}\left(T_{22}-t\right)-\left[-\ln \left(T_{22}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p+1}}}{-c_{2} u(t)^{p}} \\
= & c_{2}^{\frac{-1}{p+1}}\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(III) In the case $q>2$, let

$$
b(t)=u^{\prime}(t)^{2}, L(t)=b(t)^{-\alpha}, \alpha=\frac{1}{2}(q-1),
$$

we have $L^{\prime}(t)=-\alpha b(t)^{-(\alpha+1)} b^{\prime}(t)=-2 \alpha b(t)^{-(\alpha+1)} u^{\prime}(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime \prime}(t) & =-\alpha b(t)^{-(\alpha+2)}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+q)-(\alpha+1)\right) b^{\prime}(t)^{2}+2 c_{2} p b(t) u(t)^{p-1} u^{\prime}(t)^{q+2}\right) \\
& =-2 p c_{2} \alpha b(t)^{-(\alpha+1)} u(t)^{p-1} u^{\prime}(t)^{q+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 1.6, $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$, we obtain that $L^{\prime}(t), L^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$. Now we need to check that $u$ doesn't blow up earlier than $u^{\prime}$. By Lemma 1.7, $u$ is bounded. Using Lemma 1.1, there exists a finite number $T_{21}$ such that $L\left(T_{21}\right)=0$. Since $q>2$, we $\alpha>0$, we obtain that $u^{\prime}$ blows up at finite time $t=T_{21}$.

For $q>2$, by (1) and L. Hôpital's rule we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{23}^{-}} \frac{u^{\prime}(t)^{1-q}}{\left(T_{23}-t\right)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{23}^{-}}(1-q) u^{\prime}(t)^{-q} u^{\prime \prime}(t)(-1)=c_{2}(q-1) u\left(T_{23}\right)^{p} .
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{23}^{-}}\left(T_{23}-t\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} u^{\prime}(t)=\left(c_{2}(q-1) u\left(T_{23}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} .
$$

## 3. Blow-up Phenomena of $u^{\prime \prime}$

We want to calculate blow-up rate and blow-up constant of $u^{\prime \prime}$ in the this subsection.

Theorem 4. Under the conditions in Theorem 3 suppose that $u$ is a positive solution of (1). For $q \geq 1$, then $u^{\prime \prime}$ blows up at time $t=T_{31}$ for some $T_{31}>0$. Furthermore, for
(I) $q \in[1,2)$, the blow-up rate of $u^{\prime \prime}$ is $\frac{q(p+1)}{p+q-1}+\frac{p(2-q)}{p+q-1}$ and the blow-up constant is

$$
c_{2}^{\frac{-1}{p+q-1}}(2-q)^{\frac{p}{p+q-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}}(p+q-1)^{\frac{-(2 p+q)}{p+q-1}} .
$$

(II) $q=2$, then $u^{\prime \prime}$ blows up logarithmically at time $t=T_{32}$ for some $T_{32}>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{-}}\left\{\left(-\ln \left(T_{32}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}-t\right)\right\}^{q}\left\{\left(-\ln \left(T_{32}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{-1}{p+1}}\right\}^{p} u^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& =c_{2}^{\frac{1-q}{p+1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p(1-q)}{p+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(III) $q>2$, then $u^{\prime \prime}$ blows up at time $t=T_{33}$ for some $T_{33}>0$, the blow-up rate of $u^{\prime \prime}$ is $\frac{q}{q-1}$ and the blow-up constant is

$$
(q-1)^{\frac{q}{1-q}}\left(c_{2} u\left(T_{33}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3 and Lemma 1.5, $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at the same time $t=T_{31}$.
(I) For $1 \leq q<2$, by Lemma 1.5, $u, u$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ possess the same blow-up time . Using (1.1), Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{-}}\left(T_{31}-t\right)^{\frac{q(p+1)}{p+q-1}+\frac{p(2-q)}{p+q-1}} u^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{-}} c_{2}\left(T_{31}-t\right)^{\frac{q(p+1)}{p+q-1}} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\left(T_{31}-t\right)^{\frac{p(2-q)}{p+q-1}} u(t)^{p} \\
& =c_{2}^{\frac{-1}{p+q-1}}(2-q)^{\frac{p}{p+q-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}}(p+q-1)^{\frac{-(2 p+q)}{p+q-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) For $q=2$, using Lemma $1.5, u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ have the same blow-up time. Thus $T_{3}$ is also blow-up time of $u$ and $u^{\prime}$. By (1.1), Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{-}}\left\{\left[-\ln \left(T_{32}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}-t\right)\right\}^{q}\left\{\left[-\ln \left(T_{32}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{p+1}}\right\}^{p} u^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{-}} c_{2}\left\{\left[-\ln \left(T_{32}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}-t\right)\right\}^{q} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\left\{\left[-\ln \left(T_{32}-t\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{p+1}}\right\}^{p} u(t)^{p} \\
& =c_{2}^{\frac{-q}{p+1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p(1-q)}{p+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(III) For $q>2$, by Lemma 1.5, $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime}$ blow up contemporaneously in finite time. Thanks to Lemma 1.6 we have $u(t)>0$ and $u(t)^{p} \geq 0$. Since $c_{2}>0$, $c_{2} u(t)^{p}>0$. By (1) and Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{-}}\left(T_{33}-t\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{-}} c_{2}\left(T_{33}-t\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} u(t)^{p} \\
& =(q-1)^{\frac{q}{1-q}}\left(c_{2} u\left(T_{33}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Estimations for the Life-SPans

To estimate the life-span of the solution of the equation (1), we separate this section into two parts, $1 \leq q<2$ and $q=2$. Here the life-span $T$ of $u$ means that $u$ is the solution of problem (1) and the existence interval of $u$ is contained only in $[0, T)$ so that the problem (1) has the solution $u \in C^{2}[0, T)$. We have the following results.

Lemma 5.1. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution of problem (1) and that $c_{2}>0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0$. For $1 \leq q \leq 2, u(t)$ and $u^{\prime}(t)$ blow up simultaneously; and so does $u^{\prime \prime}$. For $q>2, u^{\prime}(t)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at the same time.

Proof. (I) For $1 \leq q<2$, by (1) we have

$$
u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}=(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right)
$$

(1) First, we claim that if $u$ blows up in finite time, then so does $u^{\prime}$. According to Theorem 2.1, $u$ blows up at time $t=T_{11}$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} \frac{1}{u(t)}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} \frac{1}{u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} \frac{1}{(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right)} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} \frac{\frac{1}{u(t)^{p+1}}}{(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}+\frac{E(0)}{u(t)^{p+1}}\right)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{11}^{-}} \frac{1}{u^{\prime}(t)}=0$. Thus, $u^{\prime}$ blows up at the same finite time.
(2) We claim that if $u^{\prime}$ blows up in finite time, then so does $u$. With the help of Theorem 8.3 below, $u^{\prime}$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$. Assume that $u$ doesn't blow up at time $t=T_{21}$. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} u(t)=M<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}}(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right) \\
& =(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} M^{p+1}+E(0)\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This result contradicts with the fact that $u^{\prime}(t)$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$. It deduces that $u$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$. Combining 1) with 2 ), we conclude that $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ blow up simultaneously.
(II) For the case $q=2$, by (1.2), we have

$$
\ln \left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p}+E_{1}(0)
$$

(3) We claim that if $u$ blows up in finite time, then so does $u^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.2 and lemma 6.1 below, $u$ blows up at time $t=T_{12}$ and $u(t), u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $0 \leq t<T_{12}$. Since that $c_{2}>0$ and $u$ blows up toward positive direction, $\ln \left|u^{\prime}\right|$ also blows up toward positive direction. Thus $u^{\prime}$ blows up at time $t=T_{12}$.
(4) We now prove that $u^{\prime}$ blows up then so does $u$. Using Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 1.6, $u^{\prime}$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$ and $u(t), u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $0 \leq t<T_{12}$. Assume that $u$ doesn't blow up at time $t=T_{21}$. Set

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} u(t)=M<\infty
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} \ln \left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right) \\
& =(2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} M^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This result is contradictory to the fact that $u^{\prime}$ blows up in finite time. It deduces that $u$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$. Together 3) and 4), we conclude that $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ blow up simultaneously. Since that $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ blow up toward positive direction at the same time and $c_{2}>0, u^{\prime \prime}$ blows up toward positive direction.
(III) Under $q>2$, according to Theorem 8.3 below, $u$ blows up at time $t=T_{21}$. By Lemma 1.7, we obtain that $u$ is bounded in $\left[0, T_{21}\right)$, and, by Lemma 1.6, we have $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[0, T_{21}\right)$. Thus the limit exists, $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} c_{2} u(t)^{p}$. Since $u_{0} \geq 0$ and $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[0, T_{21}\right)$, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{21}^{-}} c_{2} u(t)^{p}>0$. From $u^{\prime \prime}(t)=c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} u(t)^{p}$, it deduces that $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up simultaneously.
We have the following estimates for the life-span of solution to the equation (1).
Theorem 5.2. Suppose that $u$ is the positive solution of $(1)$ and $T$ is life-span of $u$ and that $T_{11}^{*}$ is blow-up time of $u$. Under the same conditions as in Theorem 2.1, $T$ is bounded. For $1 \leq q<2$, we have the estimation

$$
T \leq T_{11}^{*}=(2-q)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} \int_{u_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{q-2}} d r
$$

For $q=2$, we have

$$
T \leq T_{12}^{*}:=\int_{u_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)} d r
$$

where $E_{1}(0)=\ln \left|u_{1}\right|-\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}$.
Proof. (I) For $1 \leq q<2$, using the fact

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\left((2-q)\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E(0)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}>0 \text { for } t \in\left[0, T_{11}^{*}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u_{0}}^{u(t)} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}} d r=(2-q)^{\frac{1}{2-q}} t . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $T_{11}^{*}<\infty$. By $u_{0} \geq 0$ and

$$
\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)=\frac{u_{1}^{2-q}}{2-q}+\int_{u_{0}}^{r}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} s^{p}\right) d s
$$

we obtain that $\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)>0$ for $r \geq u_{0}$. And it is continuous on $\left[u_{0}, a\right]$ for $a \geq u_{0}$. Therefore the function $\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E(0)\right)^{\frac{-1}{2-q}}$ is integrable and positive on $\left[u_{0}, a\right]$ for $a \geq u_{0}$. Thus $T_{11}^{*}$ is bounded and $T \leq T_{11}^{*}$.
(II) For $q=2$, by (1.2), $\ln |u(t)|=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)$. Seeing that $u^{\prime}(t)>$ 0 , we have

$$
\int_{u_{0}}^{u(t)} \frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)} d r=t
$$

We next claim that $T_{12}^{*}<\infty$. Set $f(r)=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)$. Then $f^{\prime}(r) \geq 0$ for $r^{p} \geq 0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(r) \geq 0$ for $r \geq 0$. So there exists $r_{0}>0, r_{0}^{p} \geq 0$, such that $f(r)>0$ for $r \geq r_{0}$. We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{u_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)} d r \\
= & \int_{u_{0}}^{r_{0}} \frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)} d r+\int_{r_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)}$ is a continuous function on $\left[u_{0}, r_{0}\right]$, the first integrand is bounded. From $\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)>\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)>0$ for $r \geq$ $r_{0}$, we obtain that $\frac{1}{\exp \left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)\right)}<\frac{1}{\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)}$ for $r \geq r_{0}$. By $\int_{r_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{p+1} r^{p+1}+E_{1}(0)} d r<\infty$ and the comparison test, the second integrand is bounded. Therefore, $T_{12}^{*}$ is bounded and $T \leq T_{12}^{*}$.

## Part II. $c_{1>0}, c_{2>0}$

6. Blow-up Phenomena for $1 \leq q<2$

In this section we study the blow-up phenomena of the solution to the initial value problem (0.1).

Lemma 6.1. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of (0.1). If $u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0, c_{1}>$ 0 and $c_{2}>0$, then $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in[0, T)$, where $T$ is the life-span of $u$.

Proof. We only prove lemma 6.1. Suppose that there exists a positive number $t_{0}$ such that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$. Since $u \in C^{2}$ and $u_{1}>0$, there exists a positive number $t_{1}$, defined by

$$
t_{1}=\inf \left\{t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right]: u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0\right\}
$$

then $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{1}\right)$. Therefore, $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right) \geq u_{1}>0$. This result contradicts with $u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$; thus we conclude that

$$
u^{\prime}(t)>0 \text { for } t \in[0, T)
$$

where $T$ is the life-span of $u$. Together the equation (0.1) and the continuities of $u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$, the lemma follows.

For a given function $u$ in this work we use the following abbreviations

$$
a(t)=u(t)^{2}, \bar{J}(t)=a(t)^{-k}, k=\frac{p-1}{4}
$$

Using lemma 6.1 one can easily obtain the following lemmas after some computations:

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of $(0.1)$ and that $T_{31}^{*}$ is the life-span of $u$, then for every $c \in R$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31^{*-}}} \frac{u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}}{u(t)^{p+1}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31^{*-}}} \frac{\left((u(t)+c)^{\prime}\right)^{2-q}}{(u(t)+c)^{p+1}}
$$

further, for $u_{0}>0, u_{1}>0$ and $c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31^{*-}}} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p} u^{\prime}(r)^{1-q} d r}{u(t)^{p+1}}$ $=0$ for $q>1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31^{*-}}} \frac{u^{\prime}(r)^{2-q}}{u(t)^{p+1}}=\frac{2-q}{p+1} c_{2} \quad \text { for } q \in(1,2) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.3. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of (0.1) and that $T_{31}^{*}$ is the life-span of $u$, then for $t \in\left[0, T_{31}{ }^{*}\right)$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}(t)^{2}=4 E_{2}(0) a(t)+\frac{8 c_{1}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+3}+8 c_{2} a \int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p} u^{\prime}(r)^{1+q} d r \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime \prime}(t)=2 E_{2}(0)+2 u(t)^{p+1}\left(\frac{p+3}{p+1} c_{1}+2 c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(t)=u^{\prime}(t)^{2}-\frac{2 c_{1}}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}-2 c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p} u^{\prime}(r)^{1+q} d r=E_{2}(0) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+4 c_{2} \int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p} u^{\prime}(r)^{1+q} d r
$$

$$
\bar{J}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{p^{2}-1}{4} E_{2}(0) a(t)^{-\frac{p+3}{4}}-\frac{p-1}{2} c_{2} a(t)^{-\frac{p+3}{4}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u(t)^{p+1} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}+(p+5) \int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p} u^{\prime}(r)^{1+q} d r\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To discuss blow-up phenomena of $u$ with $u_{1} \neq 0$, we separate this subsection into three parts $1 \leq q<2, q=2$ and $q>2$.
For $1 \leq q<2$, we have blow-up results.
Theorem 6.4. Suppose that $q \in[1,2)$ and $u$ is the solution of $(0.1)$ with $E_{2}(0) \leq 0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$, then $u$ blows up at finite time $T_{31}^{*} \leq$ $\frac{2 u_{0}}{(p-1) u_{1}}$ and the blow-up rate $\alpha_{1}$ and blow-up constant $\beta_{1}$ for $u$ are $\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}$ and $\left(\frac{p+q-1}{2-q}\left(\frac{2-q}{p+1} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{p+q-1}}$ respectively.

## Proof.

Step 1. We prove there exists a bounded positive real number $T$ such that $J(T)=0$.

By lemma 6.1, 6.3 and $E_{2}(0) \leq 0$, we get that $u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are all positive for $t \in\left[0, T_{1}^{*}\right)$, and

$$
\bar{J}^{\prime}(t)<0, \bar{J}^{\prime \prime}(t)<0 \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, T_{31}^{*}\right) .
$$

Using lemma 1.1, there exists $T$ such that $\bar{J}(T)=0$ and $\bar{J}(T) \leq \bar{J}(0)+$ $\bar{J}^{\prime}(0) T$,

$$
T_{31}^{*} \leq \frac{2 u_{0}}{(p-1) u_{1}}
$$

Step 2. We compute the blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u$.
For $q=1, u^{\prime \prime}(t)=u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u(t)\right), \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{p}$; using lemmas 6.2, 6.3, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T 3_{1}^{*-}}\left(T_{1}^{*}-t\right)^{-1} u(t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T 3_{1}^{*-}} \frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\alpha_{1} u(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)\right)}{\left(1+\alpha_{1}\right) u(t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}} u^{\prime}(t)}=\frac{p}{p+1} c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $q \neq 1, \alpha_{1}=\frac{2-q}{p+q-1}$, inducing lemma 6.2 , we conclude that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}}\left(T_{31}^{*}-t\right)^{-1} u(t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\alpha_{1} u(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{2-q}{p+1} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}
$$

This means,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}}\left(T_{31}^{*}-t\right)^{\alpha_{1}} u(t)=\left(\frac{p+q-1}{2-q}\left(\frac{2-q}{p+1} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}\right)^{\frac{q-2}{p+q-1}}
$$

To estimate the blow-up rate of $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$, we need the following lemma:
Lemma 6.5. Under the condition of Theorem 6.4 then $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at the same finite $T_{31}^{*-}$.

Proof. According to (6.3) and Theorem 6.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\frac{1}{u^{\prime}(t)^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{E_{2}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} c_{1} u(t)^{p+1}} \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T_{31}^{*}\right) \\
& 0 \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{1}{u^{\prime}(t)^{2}} \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{1}{E_{2}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} c_{1} u(t)^{p+1}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{1}{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}= & \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{1}{u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right)} \\
& \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{p+1} c_{1} u(t)^{p+1}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to this lemma, we have results concerning blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$.

Theorem 6.6. Under the condition of Theorem 6.4, then the blow-up rate $\alpha_{2}$ and blow-up constant $\beta_{2}$ of $u^{\prime}$ are $\frac{p+1}{p+q-1}$ and $\left(\frac{p+q-1}{p+1}\left(\frac{p+1}{2-q} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{-p-1}{p+q-1}}$; and the blow-up rate $\alpha_{3}$ and blow-up constant $\beta_{3}$ of $u^{\prime \prime}$ are $\frac{2 p+q}{p+q-1}$ and $c_{2}^{\frac{p q-p}{p+q-1}}(2-q)^{\frac{p}{p+q-1}}$ $(p+1)^{\frac{p+q}{p+q-1}}(p+q-1)^{\frac{-2 p-q}{p+q-1}}$ respectively.

Proof. By lemmas 6.2 and $6.5, u^{\prime}$ blows up at $T_{31}^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}}\left(T_{1}^{*}-t\right)^{-1} u^{\prime}(t)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\alpha_{2} u(t)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{2-q}{p+1} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{-p}{p+1}} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}}\left(T_{31}^{*}-t\right)^{\alpha_{2}} u^{\prime}(t)=\left(\frac{p+q-1}{p+1}\left(\frac{p+1}{2-q} c_{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{-p-1}{p+q-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using lemmas 6.3, 6.5 and Theorem 6.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}}\left(T_{31}^{*}-t\right)^{\alpha_{3}} u^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
& \quad=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{31}^{*-}} c_{2} u(t)^{p} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} \\
& \quad=\left(c_{2}^{p q-p}(2-q)^{p}(p+1)^{p+q}(p+q-1)^{-2 p-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+q-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 6.7. The life-span $T_{31}^{*}:=T_{31}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of the solution to equation (0.1) is still unknown under the condition of Theorem 6.4 and it would has the properties:
(i) $T_{31}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \leq \min \left\{T_{11}^{*}\left(q, c_{2}\right), T_{31}^{*}\left(p, 0,0, c_{1}\right)\right\}$
(ii) $T_{31}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \rightarrow T_{11}^{*}\left(q, c_{2}\right)$ as $c_{1} \rightarrow 0$.
(iii) $T_{31}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \rightarrow T_{31}^{*}\left(p, 0,0, c_{1}\right)$ as $c_{2} \rightarrow 0$.

## 7. Blow-up Phenomena for $q=2$

In the particular case of $q=2$, we obtain an interesting blow-up result and special blow-up constant.

Lemma 7.1. Suppose $q=2$ and $u$ is the solution of $(0.1)$ with $E_{2}(0) \leq$ $0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)^{2}=\frac{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}{c_{2}} e^{\frac{2 c_{2}}{p+1}\left(u^{p+1}-u_{0}^{p+1}\right)-\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at the same $T_{32}^{*}$; further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{32}^{*}=\int_{u_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{\frac{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}{c_{2}} e^{\frac{2 c_{2}}{p+1}\left(r^{p+1}-u_{0}^{p+1}\right)-\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}}}} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (0.5), then

$$
\ln \left|\frac{c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}\right|=\frac{2 c_{2}}{p+1}\left(u(t)^{p+1}-u_{0}^{p+1}\right)
$$

and (7.1) follows. Inducing lemma 6.1, $u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ are all large than 0 ; by (6.5) and (7.1), $u$ blows up in finite time and also

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{\sqrt{\frac{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}{c_{2}} e^{\frac{2 c_{2}}{p+1}\left(u^{p+1}-u_{0}^{p+1}\right)-\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}}}}=1
$$

and then (7.2) is obtained. Using (7.1) and (0.5) again, $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at the same $T_{32}^{*}$.

Theorem 7.2. Under the assumption of Lemma 10.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}}\left(\frac{-1}{\ln \left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} u(t)=\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{p+1}} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}}\left(-\ln \left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right) u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(7.5) $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}}\left(-\ln \left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\left(\frac{1}{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}$.

Proof. By lemma 6.1 and (7.1), $u, u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ are all large than 0 ; after some computations we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}}-\ln \left(T_{32}^{*-}-t\right) u(t)^{-(p+1)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}} \frac{u(t)^{-p} u^{\prime}(t)^{-1}}{(p+1)\left(T_{32}^{*-}-t\right)} \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}} \frac{p u(t)^{-(p+1)}+u(t)^{-p} u^{\prime}(t)^{-2} u^{\prime \prime}(t)}{p+1}=\frac{c_{2}}{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (7.3) and lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{2}^{*-}}\left(-\ln \left(T_{2}^{*}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{2}^{*}-t\right) u^{\prime}(t) \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{2}^{*-}} \frac{2\left(-\ln \left(T_{2}^{*}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}}{(p+1) u(t)^{p}} \frac{p+1}{2\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inducing (7.3) and (7.4), we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}}\left(-\ln \left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}(t) \\
= & \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{32}^{*-}}\left(-\ln \left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}}\left(T_{32}^{*}-t\right)^{2}\left(c_{1} u(t)^{p}+c_{2} u(t)^{p} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{c_{1}+c_{2} u_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8. Blow-up Phenomena for $q>2$

Under $q>2$ we have the boundedness. for the solution $u$ and estimate for the blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$.

Theorem 8.1. For $q>2$, if $u$ is the solution of (0.1) and $c_{1}>0, c_{2}>$ $0, u_{0} \geq 0, u_{1}>0$, then $u^{p+1}$ is bounded by $u_{0}^{p+1}+(p+1) u_{1}^{2-q} /(q-2) c_{2}$.

Proof. By lemma 6.1 and $c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0, u^{\prime}>0, u^{\prime \prime}>0$, we have

$$
\frac{u_{1}^{2-q}-u^{\prime}(t)^{2-q}}{(q-2) c_{2}}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime}(r) u^{\prime \prime}(r)}{c_{2} u^{\prime}(r)} d r \geq \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^{\prime}(r) u^{\prime \prime}(r)}{c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(r)} d r=\frac{u(t)^{p+1}-u_{0}^{p+1}}{p+1}
$$

and then

$$
\frac{u_{1}^{2-q}}{(q-2) c_{2}} \geq \frac{u(t)^{p+1}}{p+1}-\frac{u_{0}^{p+1}}{p+1} .
$$

After some computations one can easily obtain the following lemma used below to estimate the blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$.

Lemma 8.2. Suppose $u$ is the solution for the problem (1.1) and $b(t)=$ $u^{\prime}(t)^{2}, I(t)=b(t)^{-\frac{q-2}{4}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\prime}(t)^{2}=4 b(t) u(t)^{2 p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right)^{2} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
b^{\prime \prime}(t)= & 2 u(t)^{2 p}\left(c_{1}^{2}+(2+q) c_{1} c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}+c_{2}^{2}(1+q) u^{\prime}(t)^{2 q}\right) \\
& +p u(t)^{p-1} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right), \\
I^{\prime \prime}(t)= & -\frac{q-2}{4} b^{-\frac{q+2}{4}}  \tag{8.3}\\
& \left(q\left(c_{2}^{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}-c_{1}^{2}\right)+2 p u(t)^{p-1} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 8.3. Under the assumption of Theorem 8.1 and $c_{2} u_{1} \geq c_{1}$, then $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ blow up at some finite time $t=T_{33}^{*}$. Further, the blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u^{\prime}$ are $\frac{1}{q-1}$ and $\left((q-1) c_{2} u\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$ respectively; and the blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u^{\prime \prime}$ are $\frac{q}{q-1}$ and $\left((q-1)^{q} c_{2}^{q+1} u\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)^{p(1+q)}\right)^{\frac{q}{1-q}}$ respectively, where $u\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)$ is given by

$$
u\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)^{p+1}=u_{0}^{p+1}+(p+1) \int_{u_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{r d r}{c_{1}+c_{2} r^{q}}
$$

Proof. From lemma 6.1, $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t>0$. For $c_{2} u_{1} \geq c_{1}$, using (8.3) we obtain that $I^{\prime}(t), I^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$; thus there exists a finite number $T_{33}^{*}$ so that $I\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}} \frac{1}{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}} \frac{1}{u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right)} \\
& \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}} \frac{1}{c_{2} u(t)^{p} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}}=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}}\left(T_{33}^{*}-t\right) u^{\prime}(t)^{1-q} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}}(q-1) u^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t)^{-q} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}}(q-1) u(t)^{p} u^{\prime}(t)^{-q}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right)=(q-1) c_{2} u\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}}\left(T_{33}^{*}-t\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}}\left(T_{33}^{*}-t\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u(t)^{p}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)^{q}\right) \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{33}^{*-}} c_{2}\left(T_{33}^{*}-t\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} u(t)^{p} u^{\prime}(t)^{q} \\
& =\left((q-1)^{q} c_{2}^{q+1} u\left(T_{33}^{*}\right)^{p(1+q)}\right)^{\frac{q}{1-q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 8.4. The life-span $T_{33}^{*}:=T_{33}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ of $u^{\prime}$ is still unknown under the condition of Theorem 8.1 and it would has the properties:
(i) $T_{33}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \leq \min \left\{T_{21}^{*}\left(q, c_{2}\right), T_{33}^{*}\left(p, 0,0, c_{1}\right)\right\}$
(ii) $T_{33}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \rightarrow T_{21}^{*}\left(q, c_{2}\right)$ as $c_{1} \rightarrow 0$.
(iii) $T_{33}^{*}\left(p, q, c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \rightarrow T_{33}^{*}\left(p, 0,0, c_{1}\right)$ as $c_{2} \rightarrow 0$.
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