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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate one-sided unit-regular ideals of regular rings. Let $I$ be a purely infinite, simple and essential ideal of a regular ring $R$. It is shown that $R$ is one-sided unit-regular if and only if so is $R / I$. Also we prove that every square matrix over one-sided unit-regular ideals of regular rings admits a diagonal matrix with idempotent entries.


Let $R$ be an associative ring with identity. We say that $R$ is a regular ring provided that for every $x \in R$ there exists $y \in R$ such that $x=x y x$ (cf. [10]). We say that $R$ is an one-sided unit-regular ring provided that for every $x \in R$ there exists right or left invertible $u \in R$ such that $x=x u x$ (see [9]). In [6, Corollary 7], the author proved that one-sided unit-regularity is Morita invariant. In addition, the author proved that a regular ring is one-sided unit-regular if and only if for all finitely generated projective right $R$-modules $A, B$ and $C$, if $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$, then $B \lesssim C$ or $C \lesssim B$ (see [6, Theorem 8]). Also the author proved that every element in one-sided unit-regular rings is a product of an idempotent and a right or left invertible element of $R$ (see [5, Theorem 4]).

In this paper, we investigate one-sided unit-regular ideals of regular rings. Let $I$ be a purely infinite, simple and essential ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then $I$ is one-sided unit-regular. Furthermore, we show that $R$ is one-sided unit-regular if and only if so is $R / I$. Also we prove that every square matrix over one-sided unit-regular ideals of regular rings admits a diagonal matrix with idempotent entries.

Throughout this paper, We assume that all rings are associative with identity and all modules are right unital modules. We say that an element $u \in R$ is weakinvertible if there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $a u=1$ or $u b=1$. Let $R_{<}^{-1}$ denote the set of all weak-invertible elements of $R$. If $A$ and $B$ are $R$-modules, the notation $B \lesssim A$ means that $B$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $A$.

Lemma 1. Let $R$ be a ring with $u \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:

[^0](1) $u$ is weak-invertible.
(2) There exists $v \in R$ such that $u v=1$ or $v u=1$.

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is trivial.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Since $u$ is weak-invertible, there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $u a=1$ or $b u=1$. Set $v=a+b-b u a$. Then $v=b$ (if $b u=1$ ) or $v=a$ (if $u a=1$ ). Therefore either $v u=1$ or $u v=1$.

Let $u \in R$ be weak-invertible. Then we have some $v \in R$ such that $u v=1$ or $v u=1$. We denote $v$ by $u_{<}^{-1}$. We note that $u_{<}^{-1}$ is not unique. In fact, if we have a fixed weak-inverse $u_{<}^{-1}$, then $u v=1$ or $v u=1$ if and only if there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $v=u_{<}^{-1}+a\left(1-u u_{<}^{-1}\right)+\left(1-u_{<}^{-1} u\right) b$. In the sequel, we will always choose some fixed weak-inverse.

Suppose that $I$ is an ideal of a regular ring $R$. We say that $I$ is one-sided unit-regular in case $a R+b R=R$ with $a \in 1+I, b \in R$ implies that $a+b y \in R_{<}^{-1}$ for a $y \in R$. Obviously, The one-sided unit-regularity for ideals of regular rings is a nontrivial generalization of the one-sided unit-regular rings. In [7, Theorem 2.9], the author showed that an ideal $I$ of a regular ring $R$ is one-sided unit-regular if and only if $e R e$ is one-sided unit-regular for all idempotents $e \in I$. Also $I$ is one-sided unit-regular if and only if for every $x \in 1+I$, there exists $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $x=x u x$ (cf. [7, Theorem 2.3]).

We say that $a \approx b$ via $1+I$ provided that there exist $x, y, z \in 1+I$ such that $a=z b x, b=x a y, x=x y x=x z x$. We now extend [11, Theorem] and characterize one-sided unit-regularity for ideals of regular rings by pseudo-similarity.

Lemma 2. Let $R$ be a ring with $a, b \in R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $a \bar{\sim} b$ via $1+I$.
(2) There exist some $x, y \in 1+I$ such that $a=x b y, b=y a x, x=x y x$ and $y=y x y$.

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is trivial.
(1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. Since $a \approx b$ via $1+I$, there are $x, y, z \in 1+I$ such that $b=x a y, z b x=$ $a$ and $x=x y x=x z x$. By replacing $y$ with $y x y$ and $z$ with $z x z$, we can assume $y=y x y$ and $z=z x z$. Clearly, $x a z x y=x z b x z x y=x z b x y=x a y=b, z x y b x=$ $z x y x a y x=z x a y x=z b x=a, z x y=z x y x z x y$ and $x=x z x y x$. Obviously, $1+I$ is a submonoid of $(R, \cdot)$ and so $z x y \in 1+I$ which completes the proof.

Theorem 3. Let I be an ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is one-sided unit-regular.
(2) Whenever $a \approx b$ via $1+I$, there exists weak-invertible $u \in R$ such that $a=$ $u b u_{<}^{-1}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. Suppose that $a \approx b$ via $1+I$. By Lemma 2, there exist $x, y \in 1+I$ such that $a=x b y, b=y a x, x=x y x$ and $y=y x y$. Since $I$ is one-sided unit-regular, we have $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $y=y u y$. Set $w=y+(1-$ $y u) u_{<}^{-1}(1-u y)$. Then $y u w=y$. Clearly, $1-u w=(1-u y)\left(1-u u_{<}^{-1}\right)$ and $1-w u=\left(1-u_{<}^{-1} u\right)(1-y u)$. Set $k=(1-x y-u y) u(1-y x-y u), l=$ $(1-y x-y u) w(1-x y-u y)$. Then $1-k l=(1-x y-u y)(1-u w)(1-x y-u y)$ and $1-l k=(1-y x-y u)(1-w u)(1-x y-u y)$; hence, $l=k_{<}^{-1}$. Furthermore, $k b k_{<}^{-1}=(1-x y-u y) u(1-y x-y u) b(1-y x-y u) w(1-x y-u y)=(1-x y-$ $u y)(u-u y x-u y u) b y=x y u b y=x b y=a$, as required.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Given any $x \in 1+I$, there exists $y \in R$ such that $x=x y x$ and $y=y x y$. Clearly, we have $R=y x R \oplus(1-y x) R=x y R \oplus(1-x y) R$ and an isomorphism $\eta: x y R=x R \cong y x R$ given by $\eta(x r)=y x r$ for any $r \in R$. Clearly, $x y=x(y x) y, y x=y(x y) x, x=x y x, y=y x y$ and $x, y \in 1+I$. Hence $x y \sim y x$ via $1+I$, and then we have $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $y x=u x y u_{<}^{-1}$. Construct maps $\alpha:(1-x y) R \rightarrow(1-y x) R$ given by $(1-x y) r \rightarrow(1-y x) u(1-x y) r$ for any $r \in R$ and $\beta:(1-y x) R \rightarrow(1-x y) R$ given by $(1-y x) r \rightarrow(1-x y) u_{<}^{-1}(1-y x) r$ for any $r \in R$. Define $\phi: R=x R \oplus(1-x y) R \rightarrow y x R \oplus(1-y x) R$ given by $\phi\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=\eta\left(x_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(x_{2}\right)$ for any $x_{1} \in x R, x_{2} \in(1-x y) R$ and $\psi: R=$ $y x R \oplus(1-y x) R \rightarrow x R \oplus(1-x y) R=R$ given by $\psi\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\eta^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right)+\beta\left(y_{2}\right)$ for any $y_{1} \in y x R, y_{2} \in(1-y x) R$.

If $u u_{<}^{-1}=1$, then $(1-\phi \psi)\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=(1-y x)\left(1-u u_{<}^{-1}\right) y_{2}$ for any $y_{1} \in y x R$ and $y_{2} \in(1-y x) R$. So $\phi \psi=1$.

If $u_{<}^{-1} u=1$, then $(1-\psi \phi)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=(1-x y)\left(1-u_{<}^{-1} u\right) x_{2}$ for any $x_{1} \in x R$ and $x_{2} \in(1-x y) R$. So $\psi \phi=1$. Thus we see that $\phi \in R_{<}^{-1}$. One easily checks that $x=x \phi x$. Therefore $I$ is one-sided unit-regular by [7, Theorem 2.3].

Let $e, f \in R$ be idempotents. It is well known that $e R \cong f R$ if and only if there exist $a \in e R f, b \in f R e$ such that $e=a b$ and $f=b a$. If $a, b \in 1+I$, we say that $e R \cong f R$ via $1+I$.

Corollary 4. Let I be an ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is one-sided unit-regular.
(2) For any idempotents $e, f \in R$, $e R \cong f R$ via $1+I$ implies that there exists $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $e=u f u_{<}^{-1}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. Suppose that $e R \cong f R$ via $1+I$. Then there exist $a, b \in 1+I$ such that $e=a b$ and $f=b a$, where $a \in e R f, b \in f R e$. Clearly, $e=a f b, f=$
$b e a, a=a b a$ and $b=b a b$. That is, $e \bar{\sim} f$ via $1+I$. According to Theorem 3, we have $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $e=u f u_{<}^{-1}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ is obtained by the proof of " $(2) \Rightarrow(1) "$ in Theorem 3.
Corollary 5. Let $R$ be a regular ring. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $R$ is one-sided unit-regular.
(2) Whenever $a \approx b$ with $a, b \in R$, there exists weak-invertible $u \in R$ such that $a=u b u_{<}^{-1}$.
(3) Whenever $e R \cong f R$ with idempotents $e, f \in R$, there exists weak-invertible $u \in R$ such that $e=u f u_{<}^{-1}$.

Proof. We choose $I=R$. Then the result follows by Theorem 3 and Corollary 4.

In order to investigate the diagonal reduction of matrices over one-sided unitregular ideals over regular rings, we extend [12, Lemma 1.1] as follows.

Lemma 6. Let $I$ be an ideal of a regular ring $R$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m} \in I$. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in I$ such that $x_{i} \in e R e$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

Proof. Clearly there exist idempotents $u, v \in I$ such that $u R=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} R$ and $R v=\sum_{i=1}^{m} R x_{i}$. It is enough to show that there exists $e=e^{2} \in I$ with $e u=u=u e$ and $e v=v=v e$. Next, $f R=u R+v R$ for some $f=f^{2} \in I$. Clearly, $f u=u$ and $f v=v$. Set $g=f+u(1-f)$. Obviously, $g^{2}=g \in I$, $u g=u=g u$ and $g v=v$. It is enough to show that there exists $e=e^{2} \in I$ with $e g=g=g e$ and $e v=v=v e$. Pick an idempotent $h \in I$ with $R g+R v=R h$. Clearly, $g h=g$ and $v h=v$. Set $e=h+(1-h) g$. Obviously, $e=e^{2} \in I$, $e g=g=g e$ and $e v=v=v e$ because $g v=v$.

Theorem 7. Let I be an ideal of a regular ring $R$. If $I$ is one-sided unitregular, then $M_{n}(I)$ is one-sided unit-regular as an ideal of $M_{n}(R)$.

Proof. In view of [7, Theorem 2.9] it is enough to show that $W M_{n}(R) W$ is one-sided unit-regular for any idempotent $W=\left(w_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} \in M_{n}(I)$. By Lemma 6 there exists an idempotent $e \in I$ with $e w_{i j} e \in e R e$ for all $i, j$. Let $E$ be the idempotent of $M_{n}(R)$ whose diagonal entries are equal to $e$ while all the other ones are equal to 0 . Obviously, $W \in E M_{n}(R) E=M_{n}(e R e)$. Next, by [7, Theorem 2.9], $e R e$ is one-sided unit-regular and so [6, Corollary 7] yields that $M_{n}(e R e)$ is one-sided unit-regular. As $W M_{n}(R) W=W E M_{n}(R) E W=W M_{n}(e R e) W$, the result follows from [7, Theorem 2.9].

Corollary 8. Let I be an one-sided unit-regular ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then for any $A \in M_{n}(I)$, there exist idempotent matrix $E$ and weak-invertible matrix $U$ such that $A=E U$.

Proof. Given $A \in M_{n}(I)$, then we have $B \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $A=A B A$ and $B=B A B$. Since $\left(A+\left(I_{n}-A B\right)\right) B+\left(I_{n}-A B\right)\left(I_{n}-B\right)=I_{n}$, it follows by Theorem 7 that $A+\left(I_{n}-A B\right)+\left(I_{n}-A B\right)\left(I_{n}-B\right) Y=U \in M_{n}(R)_{<}^{-1}$ such that $A=A B A=A B\left(A+\left(I_{n}-A B\right)+\left(I_{n}-A B\right)\left(I_{n}-B\right) Y\right)=E U$, where $E=A B=E^{2} \in M_{n}(I)$.

Denote by $F P(I)$ the set of finitely generated projective right $R$-module $P$ such that $P=P I$.

Theorem 9. Let I be an ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) I is one-sided unit-regular.
(2) For all $A \in F P(I), A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ implies $B \lesssim C$ or $C \lesssim B$ for any right $R$-modules $B$ and $C$.
(3) For any $A, B, C \in F P(I), A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ implies $B \lesssim C$ or $C \lesssim B$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ Given $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ with $A, B, C \in F P(I)$, we have idempotents $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n} \in I$ such that $A \cong e_{1} R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{n} R \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) R^{n}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A) \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) M_{n}(R) \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$. By Theorem 7, $M_{n}(I)$ is one-sided unit-regular as an ideal of $M_{n}(R)$. According to [7, Theorem 2.9], $\operatorname{End}_{R}(A)$ is one-sided unit-regular. It follows by [6, Proposition 2] that either $B \lesssim C$ or $C \lesssim B$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ is trivial.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $e \in I$ be an idempotent. Suppose that $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ with $A, B, C \in F P(e R e)$. Then we have $A \bigotimes_{e R e} e R \oplus B \bigotimes_{e R e} e R \cong A \bigotimes_{e R e} e R \oplus C \bigotimes_{e R e} e R$. Clearly, $A \otimes_{e R e} e R, B \otimes_{e R e} e R, C \otimes_{e R e} e R \in F P(I)$. By our assumption either there exist an embedding of $R$-modules $f: B \otimes_{e R e} e R \rightarrow C \otimes_{e R e} e R$ or $f:$ $C \otimes_{e R e} e R \rightarrow B \otimes_{e R e} e R$. Say, $f: B \otimes_{e R e} e R \rightarrow C \otimes_{e R e} e R$. Then

$$
C \cong C \otimes_{e R e} e R e=\left(c \otimes_{e R e} e R\right) e \supseteq f\left(B \otimes_{e R e} e R\right) e=f\left(B \otimes_{e R e} e R e\right) \cong B
$$

and so $B$ can be embedded into $C$. According to [6, Theorem 8], $e R e$ is one-sided unit-regular. Therefore $I$ is one-sided unit-regular from [7, Theorem 2.9].

Set $\operatorname{cr}\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)=\{a \in R \mid$ If $a x+b=1$ in $R$, then there exists $y \in R$ such that $\left.a+b y \in R_{<}^{-1}\right\}$. An element $e \in I$ is infinite if there exist orthogonal idempotents
$f, g \in I$ such that $e=f+g$ while $e R \cong f R$ and $g \neq 0$. A simple ideal $I$ of a ring $R$ is said to be purely infinite if every nonzero right ideal of $I$ (as a ring without units) contains an infinite idempotent.

Lemma 10. Let I be a purely infinite, simple and essential ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then $I+R_{<}^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{cr}\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $a x+b=1$ with $a \in I+R_{<}^{-1}, x, b \in R$. Then we have $c \in R$ such that $a=a c a$. Assume that there exists $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $a-u \in I$. If $u v=1$ for a $v \in R$, then we have $a=a c a \in a c u+I$, so $a v-a c \in I$. Clearly, $1-a v \in I$. Thus $1-a c=(1-a v)+(a v-a c) \in I$. Assume that $1-a c \neq 0$ and $1-c a \neq 0$. Since $I$ is essential and simple, we have $(1-c a) I(1-c a) \neq 0$. As $I$ is purely infinite and simple, we can find an infinite idempotent $r \in R$ such that $(1-a c) R \cong r R \subseteq(1-c a) R$; hence, $(1-a c) R \lesssim(1-c a) R$. By the regularity of $R$, there is an injection $\psi:(1-a c) R \rightarrow(1-c a) R$. Clearly, $R=c a R \oplus(1-c a) R=a c R \oplus(1-a c) R$ with $\phi: a c R=a R \cong c a R$ given by $\phi($ are $)=c(a r)$ for any $a r \in a R$. Define $u \in \operatorname{End}_{R}(R)$ so that $u$ restricts to $\phi$ and $u$ restricts to $\psi$. Then $a=a u a$ with left invertible $u \in R$. Hence $a \in R$ is one-sided unit-regular.

If $v u=1$ for a $v \in R$, then $a=a c a \in u c a+I$, so $v a-c a \in I$. Clearly, $1-v a \in I$; hence, $1-c a=(1-v a)+(v a-c a) \in I$. Analogously to the discussion above, we have either $(1-c a) R \lesssim(1-a c) R$ or $a \in R_{<}^{-1}$. Consequently, there is a $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $a=a u a$. Therefore we always have $u \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $a=a u a$. Set $u a=e$. Then $e x+u b=u$, so $e(x+u b)+(1-e) u b=u$. Since $R$ is regular, we have a $d \in R$ such that $(1-e) u b=(1-e) u b d(1-e) u b$. Set $g=(1-e) u b d(1-e)$. Then $e=e^{2}, g=g^{2}$ and $e g=g e=0$. Thus $e(x+u b)+g u b=u$; hence $e(x+u b)=e u$ and $g u b=g u$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(a+ & b d(1-e))(1-\operatorname{eubd}(1-e)) u \\
& =(e(1-\operatorname{eubd}(1-e))+u b d(1-e)) u \\
& =(e+(1-e) u b d(1-e)) u \\
& =(e+g) u \\
& =u .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $u \in R_{<}^{-1}, a+b d(1-e) \in R_{<}^{-1}$. That is, $a \in \operatorname{cr}\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)$. so $I+R_{<}^{-1} \subseteq c r\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)$.
Let $I$ be a purely infinite, simple and essential ideal of a regular ring $R$. By Lemma $10,1+I \subseteq \operatorname{cr}\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)$; hence $I$ is one-sided unit-regular. Using Theorem 9, we conclude that for all $A, B, C \in F P(I), A \oplus B \cong A \oplus C$ implies $B \lesssim C$ or $C \lesssim B$.

Lemma 11. Let $I$ be an ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then $R$ is one-sided unit-regular if and only if the following hold:
(1) $R / I$ is one-sided unit-regular.
(2) $\left(I+R_{<}^{-1}\right) / I=(R / I)_{<}^{-1}$.
(3) $I+R_{<}^{-1} \subseteq c r\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. Assume that $R$ is one-sided unit-regular. It is easy to verify that $R / I$ is one-sided unit-regular too. Clearly, $\left(I+R_{<}^{-1}\right) / I \subseteq(R / I)_{<}^{-1}$. Let $\pi: R \rightarrow R / I$ be the quotient morphism. Given any $\pi(a) \in(R / I)_{<}^{-1}$, we have some $\pi(b) \in(R / I)_{<}^{-1}$ such that $\pi(a) \pi(b)=\pi(1)$ or $\pi(b) \pi(a)=\pi(1)$. Since $R$ is one-sided unit-regular, it follows from $a b+(1-a b)=1$ that $v=b+y(1-a b) \in R_{<}^{-1}$ for a $y \in R$. Assume that $u v=1$ or $v u=1$. Set $w=u+a(1-v u)+(1-u v) a$. We see that $w v=1$ or $v w=1$. That is, $w \in R_{<}^{-1}$. Since $\pi(a) \pi(b)=\pi(1)$ or $\pi(b) \pi(a)=\pi(1)$, we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(v) \pi(a) \pi(v) & =\pi((b+y(1-a b)) a(b+y(1-a b))) \\
& =\pi(b a(b+y(1-a b))) \\
& =\pi(b+y(1-a b)) \\
& =\pi(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\pi(w)=\pi(u)+\pi(a)(\pi(1)-\pi(v) \pi(u))+(\pi(1)-\pi(u) \pi(v)) \pi(a)$.
If $u v=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(v) \psi(w) & =\psi(v) \pi(u)+\psi(v) \pi(a)(\pi(1)-\pi(v) \pi(u)) \\
& =\psi(v) \pi(u)+\psi(v) \pi(a)-\psi(v) \pi(a) \pi(v) \pi(u) \\
& =\psi(v) \pi(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have $\psi(w)=\psi(a)$.
If $v u=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(w) \psi(v) & =\pi(u) \psi(v)+(\pi(1)-\pi(u) \pi(v)) \psi(a) \psi(v) \\
& =\psi(u) \pi(v)+\psi(a) \pi(v)-\psi(u) \pi(v) \pi(a) \pi(v) \\
& =\psi(a) \pi(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have $\psi(w)=\psi(a)$. Therefore $\left(I+R_{<}^{-1}\right) / I=(R / I)_{<}^{-1}$. Because $R$ is one-sided unit-regular, we easily get $I+R_{<}^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{cr}\left(R_{<}^{-1}\right)$.

Conversely, assume now that the three conditions are satisfied. Suppose that $a x+b=1$ in $R$. Then $\pi(a) \pi(x)+\pi(b)=\pi(1)$ in $R / I$. Since $R / I$ is one-sided
unit-regular, we have some $\pi(y) \in R / I$ such that $\pi(a)+\pi(b) \pi(y) \in(R / I)_{<}^{-1}$. Thus there exists $w \in R_{<}^{-1}$ such that $\pi(a)+\pi(b) \pi(y)=\pi(w)$. Hence $a+b y-w \in I$, and then $a+b y \in I+R_{<}^{-1}$. From $a x+b=1$, we have $(a+b y) x+b(1-y x)=1$. Therefore $a+b(y+(1-y x) z)=a+b y+b(1-y x) z \in R_{<}^{-1}$, as asserted.

In [4, Theorem 1.12], P. Ara et al. showed that if $I$ is a purely infinite, simple and essential exchange ideal, then $R$ is a $Q B$-ring if and only if $R / I$ is a $Q B$ ring and $(R / I)_{q}^{-1}=(R / I)_{r}^{-1} \cup(R / I)_{l}^{-1}$. We now extend this result to one-sided unit-regular rings as follows.

Theorem 12. Let I be a purely infinite, simple and essential ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then $R$ is one-sided unit-regular if and only if so is $R / I$.

Proof. One direction is clear. Conversely, assume now that $R / I$ is one-sided unit-regular. It suffices to to prove that one-sided invertible elements lift modulo $I$. Assume that $\overline{x y}=\overline{1}$ in $R / I$. Since $R$ is regular, we have a $z \in R$ such that $x=x z x$ and $z=z x z$. Clearly, $\overline{x z}=\overline{1}$; hence $1-x z \in I$. If $x z=1$ or $z x=1$, then $x \in R_{<}^{-1}$. So we assume that the idempotents $1-x z, 1-z x$ are both nonzero. As $I$ is essential and simple, $(1-z x) I(1-z x) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $I$ is purely infinite and simple, we can find an infinite idempotent $r \in R$ such that $(1-x z) R \cong r R \subseteq(1-z x) R$, whence $(1-x z) R \lesssim(1-z x) R$. By the regularity of $R$, we can find $s \in(1-x z) R(1-z x), t \in(1-z x) R(1-x z) R$ such that $1-x z=s t$. Clearly, $x t=s z=0$; hence, $(x+s)(t+z)=x z+s t=1$. That is, $x+s \in R$ is right invertible. Obviously, we have $s \in(1-x z) R(1-z x) \subseteq I$, and then $\bar{x}=\overline{x+s}$. That is, $x$ can be lifted by a right invertible element modulo $I$. Therefore we complete the proof by Lemma 10 and Lemma 11.

Corollary 13. Every purely infinite, simple regular ring is one-sided unitregular.

Proof. Since $R$ is a purely infinitely, simple ideal of $R$, we get the result by Theorem 12.

Lemma 14. Let I be an one-sided unit-regular ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then the following hold:
(1) For any $A, B \in M_{n}(I), A M_{n}(R)=B M_{n}(R)$ implies that there exists $U \in M_{n}(R)_{<}^{-1}$ such that $A=B U$.
(2) For any $A, B \in M_{n}(I), M_{n}(R) A=M_{n}(R) B$ implies that there exists $U \in M_{n}(R)_{<}^{-1}$ such that $A=U B$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $A M_{n}(R)=B M_{n}(R)$ with $A, B \in M_{n}(I)$. Then $A=B X$ and $B=A Y$ for $X, Y \in M_{n}(R)$. Since $R$ is regular, so is $M_{n}(R)$. Hence
$A$ and $B$ are both regular, so we may assume that $X, Y \in M_{n}(I)$. Furthermore, we have $B\left(X+\left(I_{n}-X Y\right)\right)=B X=A$. Thus we may assume that $X \in I_{n}+M_{n}(I)$. Likewise, we may assume that $Y \in I_{n}+M_{n}(I)$. Since $X Y+\left(I_{n}-X Y\right)=I_{n}$, by Theorem 7, we have $Z \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $X+\left(I_{n}-X Y\right) Z=U \in M_{n}(R)_{<}^{-1}$. Therefore $A=B X=B\left(X+\left(I_{n}-X Y\right) Z\right)=B U$, as asserted.
(2) Clearly, $I$ is one-sided unit-regular as an ideal of $R$ if and only if $I^{o p}$ is one-sided unit-regular as an ideal of the opposite ring $R^{o p}$. Thus we get the result by (1).

Theorem 15. Let I be an one-sided unit-regular ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then for any matrix $A \in M_{n}(I)$, there exist weak-invertible $U, V \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $U A V=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ for idempotents $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n} \in I$.

Proof. Let $A \in M_{n}(I)$. Since $R$ is regular, we have $E=E^{2} \in M_{n}(I)$ such that $A M_{n}(R)=E M_{n}(R)$. Clearly, $E R^{n}$ is a generated projective right $R$-module; hence, there are idempotents $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n} \in I$ such that $E R^{n} \cong e_{1} R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_{n} R \cong$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) R^{n}$ as right $R$-modules, so we have $E R^{n \times 1} \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ $R^{n \times 1}$, where $R^{n \times 1}=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in R\right\}$ is a right $R$-module and a left $M_{n}(R)$-module. Let $R^{1 \times n}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in R\right\}$. Then $R^{1 \times n}$ is a left $R$-module and a right $M_{n}(R)$-module; hence, $\left(E R^{n \times 1}\right) \bigotimes_{R} R^{1 \times n} \cong$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) R^{n \times 1} \bigotimes_{R} R^{1 \times n}$. One easily checks that $R^{n \times 1} \bigotimes R^{1 \times n} \cong M_{n}(R)$ as right $M_{n}(R)$-modules. So $\psi: A M_{n}(R) \cong \operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) M_{n}(R)$ with all $e_{i} \in$ $R$. Clearly, $M_{n}(R) A=M_{n}(R) \psi(A)$ and $\psi(A) M_{n}(R)=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) M_{n}(R)$. It follows by Lemma 14 that $U A=\psi(A)$ and $\psi(A) V=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ for some $U, V \in M_{n}(R)_{<}^{-1}$. Therefore $U A V=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$, as asserted.

Corollary 16. Let I be a purely infinite, simple and essential ideal of a regular ring $R$. Then for any $A \in M_{n}(I)$, there exist weak-invertible $U, V \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $U A V=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right)$ for idempotents $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n} \in I$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 10, $I$ is one-sided unit-regular. So the proof is true from Theorem 15.

Corollary 17. Let $R$ be an one-sided unit-regular ring. Then for any $A \in$ $M_{n}(R)$, there exist weak-invertible $U, V \in M_{n}(R)$ such that $U A V=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $e_{n}$ ) for idempotents $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n} \in R$.

Proof. Letting $I=R$, we get the result by Theorem 15 .
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