

MATRICES WITH MAXIMUM UPPER MULTIEXPONENTS  
IN THE CLASS OF PRIMITIVE,  
NEARLY REDUCIBLE MATRICES

Zhou Bo

**Abstract.** B. Liu has recently obtained the maximum value for the  $k$ th upper multiexponents of primitive, nearly reducible matrices of order  $n$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In this paper primitive, nearly reducible matrices whose  $k$ th upper multiexponents attain the maximum value are completely characterized.

1. INTRODUCTION

A square Boolean matrix  $A$  is *primitive* if one of its powers,  $A^k$ , is the matrix  $J$  of all 1's for some integer  $k \geq 1$ . The smallest such  $k$  is called the *primitive exponent* of  $A$ . The matrix  $A$  is *reducible* if there is a permutation matrix  $P$  such that

$$P^tAP = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ X & A_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are square and nonvacuous; otherwise  $A$  is *irreducible*. The matrix  $A$  is *nearly reducible* if  $A$  is irreducible but each matrix obtained from  $A$  by replacing any nonzero entry by zero is reducible.

It is well known that there is an obvious one-to-one correspondence between the set  $B_n$  of  $n$  by  $n$  Boolean matrices and the set of digraphs on  $n$  vertices. Given  $A = (a_{ij}) \in B_n$ , the associated digraph  $D(A)$  has vertex set  $V(D(A)) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and arc set  $E(D(A)) = \{(i, j) : a_{ij} = 1\}$ .  $A$  is primitive if and only if  $D(A)$  is strongly connected and the greatest common divisor (gcd for short) of all the distinct cycle lengths of  $D(A)$  is 1, and  $A$  is nearly reducible if and only if  $D(A)$  is a minimally strongly connected digraph. We say a digraph

---

Received May 9, 1996; revised September 10, 1997.

Communicated by G. J. Chang.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C50, 15A33.

*Key words and phrases*: Primitive matrix, nearly reducible matrix, upper multiexponent.

is *primitive* with primitive exponent  $\gamma$  if it is the associated digraph of some primitive matrix with primitive exponent  $\gamma$ .

Now we give the definition of the upper multiexponent for a primitive digraph, which was introduced by R. A. Brualdi and B. Liu [1].

Let  $D$  be a primitive digraph on  $n$  vertices. The *exponent* of a subset  $X \subseteq V(D)$  is the smallest integer  $p$  such that for each vertex  $i$  of  $D$  there exists a walk from at least one vertex in  $X$  to  $i$  of length  $p$  (and of course every length greater than  $p$ , since  $D$  is strongly connected). We denote it by  $\exp_D(X)$ . The number

$$F(D, k) = \max\{\exp_D(X) : X \subseteq V(D), |X| = k\}$$

is called the *kth upper multiexponent* of  $D$ .

Clearly  $F(D, 1)$  is the primitive exponent of  $D$ . Hence the *kth upper multiexponent* of a primitive digraph is a generalization of its primitive exponent.

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  primitive matrix, and let  $k$  be an integer with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . The *kth upper multiexponent* of  $A$  is the *kth upper multiexponent* of  $D(A)$ , denoted by  $F(A, k)$ . Thus  $F(A, k) = F(D(A), k)$ . Clearly  $F(A, k)$  is the smallest power of  $A$  for which no set of  $k$  rows has a column consisting of all zeros.

In [2] B. Liu obtained the maximum value for the *kth upper multiexponents* of primitive, nearly reducible matrices of order  $n$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In this paper, we provide a complete characterization of matrices in the class of  $n \times n$  primitive, nearly reducible matrices whose *kth upper multiexponents* for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  attain the maximum value.

Using the correspondence between matrices and digraphs, we express the results in the digraph version.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We first give several lemmas that will be used.

**Lemma 1.** [3]. *Let  $D$  be a primitive digraph on  $n$  vertices,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , and let  $h$  be the length of the shortest cycle of  $D$ . Then*

$$F(D, k) \leq n + h(n - k - 1).$$

Let

$$F(n, k) = \begin{cases} n^2 - 4n + 6, & k = 1; \\ (n - 1)^2 - k(n - 2), & 2 \leq k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma has been proved in [2] for  $n \geq 5$ . For  $n = 4$  it can be checked readily.

**Lemma 2.** [2].  $F(D_{n-2}, k) = F(n, k), n \geq 4$ , where  $D_{n-2}$  is the digraph given by Fig. 1.

**Lemma 3.** [1]. Let  $D$  be a primitive digraph with  $n$  vertices and let  $h$  and  $t$  be respectively the smallest and the largest cycle lengths of  $D$ . Then

$$F(D, n-1) \leq \max\{n-h, t\}.$$

Let  $PMD_n$  be the set of all primitive, minimally strongly connected digraphs with  $n$  vertices. The following theorem has recently been proved by B. Liu.

**Theorem 1.** [2].  $\text{Max}\{F(D, k) : D \in PMD_n\} = F(n, k), 1 \leq k \leq n$ .

A problem that deserves investigation is to characterize the extreme digraphs, or the digraphs in  $PMD_n$  whose  $k$ th upper multiexponents assume the maximum value  $F(n, k)$ .

Obviously, for any  $D \in PMD_n, F(D, n) = F(n, n) = 1$ . We are going to consider the case  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $D \in PMD_n, 1 \leq k \leq n-1, n \geq 4$ . Then for  $1 \leq k \leq n-2, F(D, k) = F(n, k)$  if and only if  $D \cong D_{n-2}$ , where  $D_{n-2}$  is the digraph given by Fig. 1;  $F(D, n-1) = F(n, n-1) = n-1$  if and only if  $D \cong D_{n,s}$  with  $1 \leq s \leq n-3$  and  $\text{gcd}(n-1, s+1) = 1$ , where  $D_{n,s}$  is the digraph given by Fig. 2.

FIG. 1.

FIG. 2.

**Remark.** When  $s = n - 3$ ,  $D_{n,s}$  is the digraph  $D_{n-2}$  for  $n \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* We begin the proof with the case  $k = n - 1$  first.

Suppose  $D \cong D_{n,s}$ . For  $i = 2, 3, \dots, s$  ( $s > 1$ ), any walk from the vertex  $i$  to the vertex  $n - 1$  has a length of the form  $n - 1 - i + a(n - 1) + b(s + 1)$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are non-negative integers. Consider the equation  $n - 1 - i + a(n - 1) + b(s + 1) = n - 2$ , i.e.,  $a(n - 1) + b(s + 1) = i - 1$ . Since  $i \leq s \leq -3$ , we have  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 0$ , which is impossible. Hence there is no walk of length  $n - 2$  from the vertex  $i$  to the vertex  $n - 1$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, s$ . For  $i = s + 1, \dots, n - 1$  ( $s \geq 1$ ), we have the same conclusion as above. Also it is easy to see that there is no walk of length  $n - 2$  from the vertex  $n$  to the vertex  $n - 1$ . Now take  $X_0 = V(D) \setminus \{1\}$ . There does not exist any walk from a vertex in  $X_0$  to the vertex  $n - 1$  of length  $n - 2$ . Hence  $\exp_{D_{n,s}}(X_0) \geq n - 1$ . By the definition of the  $(n - 1)$ th upper multiexponent and Theorem 1 it follows that

$$F(D, n - 1) = F(D_{n,s}, n - 1) = n - 1.$$

Conversely, suppose  $F(D, n - 1) = n - 1$ . Let  $h$  and  $t$  be respectively the smallest and the largest cycle lengths of  $D$ .  $D$  cannot have a cycle of length  $n$ , because, if so, the digraph is still strongly connected after the removal of any arc lying outside such cycle, contradicting the fact that  $D$  is minimally strongly connected. Similarly, we can show that  $D$  has no loops. So we have  $2 \leq h \leq n - 2, t \leq n - 1$ . By Lemma 3 we obtain

$$n - 1 = F(D, n - 1) \leq \max\{n - h, t\},$$

which implies  $t = n - 1$ . Suppose  $D$  contains a cycle of length  $n - 1$  whose arcs are  $(i, i + 1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2$ , and  $(n - 1, 1)$ . By the strong connectedness of  $D$  there exist  $u$  and  $v$  ( $u$  and  $v$  may be equal) in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that

$(u, n)$  and  $(n, v)$  are arcs in  $D$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $v = 1$ . Thus  $D$  contains a subdigraph  $D_{n,u}$  with  $1 \leq u \leq n - 3$ .

Since  $D$  is minimally strong, it is easy to see that  $D$  has no arcs other than those in  $D_{n,u}$ . It follows from the primitivity of  $D$  that  $\gcd(n - 1, u + 1) = 1$ .

Now we turn to the case  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ . The case  $k = 1$  is proved in [4]. Suppose  $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ . If  $D \cong D_{n-2}$ , by Lemma 2 we have  $F(D, k) = F(n, k)$ . Conversely, suppose  $F(D, k) = F(n, k)$  and let  $h$  be the length of the shortest cycle in  $D$ . Since  $D$  is primitive, it has at least two different cycle lengths. In addition,  $D$  has no cycles of length  $n$ , being a minimally strong connected digraph of order  $n$ . It follows that  $h \leq n - 2$ .

If  $h = n - 2$ , then the set of all distinct cycle lengths of  $D$  is  $\{n - 2, n - 1\}$ . By the minimally strong connectedness of  $D$ , it follows that  $D \cong D_{n-2}$ . We are going to show that it is impossible to have  $h \leq n - 3$ . We divide our argument into two cases.

**Case 1:**  $2 \leq k < n - 2$ .

If  $h \leq n - 3$ , applying Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(D, k) &\leq n + h(n - k - 1) \\ &\leq n + (n - 3)(n - k - 1) \\ &= (n - 1)^2 - k(n - 2) - (n - k - 2) \\ &< (n - 1)^2 - k(n - 2) = F(n, k), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

**Case 2:**  $k = n - 2$ .

If  $h \leq n - 4$ , by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} F(D, k) &\leq n + h(n - k - 1) \\ &\leq n + (n - 4)(n - k - 1) \\ &= 2n - 4 < 2n - 3 = F(n, k), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If  $h = n - 3$ , observing that  $D$  cannot have loops, we have  $h \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 5$ . If  $n = 5$ , then  $h = 2$ . Since  $D$  cannot have a cycle of length 5 and  $D$  is primitive,  $D$  must have a cycle of length 3. It follows from the fact that  $D$  is minimally strongly connected that  $D$  is isomorphic with  $D_1$  or  $D_2$  or  $D_3$  as displayed in Fig. 3. In all such cases, it is easy to verify that we have  $F(D, 1) \leq 6$ . Hence  $F(D, n - 2) = F(D, 3) \leq F(D, 1) \leq 6 < 7 = F(5, 3)$ , which is a contradiction.

Now suppose  $h = n - 3$  and  $n > 5$ . Since  $D$  cannot have a cycle of length  $n$ , by the primitivity of  $D$ ,  $D$  must contain a cycle of length of  $n - 2$  or  $n - 1$ .

FIG. 3.

If there is a walk of length  $t$  from vertex  $j$  to vertex  $i$ , we say that  $j$  is a  $t$ -in vertex of  $i$ . And the set of all  $t$ -in vertices of  $i$  in  $D$  is denoted by  $R_D(t, i)$ .

**Case 2.1:**  $D$  has no cycles of length  $n - 1$ . Then  $D$  must have a cycle of length  $n - 2$ . Take a cycle  $C$  of  $D$  of length  $n - 2$ . Then  $D$  has precisely two vertices, say,  $x, y$ , lying outside  $C$ . We divide this situation into the following two subcases.

(1)  $D$  contains one of the arcs  $(x, y)$  or  $(y, x)$ . Say,  $D$  contains the arc  $(x, y)$ . Then  $(y, x)$  cannot be an arc of  $D$ ; otherwise,  $n - 3 = h = 2$  and so  $n = 5$ , which is a contradiction. By the strong connectedness of  $D$ , there must exist vertices  $u, v$  of  $C$  (the cycle of length  $n - 2$ ) such that  $(u, x)$  and  $(y, v)$  are both arcs of  $D$ . If  $u = v$ , then  $n - 3 = h = 3$ , so we have  $n = 6$  and  $D$  is the digraph  $D_{6-3}^1$ . If  $u \neq v$ , then since  $D$  has precisely two cycles, of lengths  $n - 2$  and  $n - 3$  respectively, it will follow that  $D$  is isomorphic with  $D_{n-3}^1$  ( $n \geq 7$ ).  $D_{n-3}^1$  ( $n \geq 6$ ) is given by Fig. 4. Suppose  $D = D_{n-3}^1$ .

For  $n \geq 6$ , we describe  $R_D(2n - 5, i)$  explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} R_D(2n - 5, 1) &= \{n, 1, 2\}, \\ R_D(2n - 5, i) &= \{i - 1, i, i + 1\}, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 4, \\ R_D(2n - 5, n - 3) &= \{n - 4, n - 3, n - 2, n - 1\}, \\ R_D(2n - 5, n - 2) &= \{n - 2, n - 1, n, 1\}, \\ R_D(2n - 5, n - 1) &= \{n - 4, n - 3, n - 2, n - 1\}, \\ R_D(2n - 5, n) &= \{n - 2, n - 1, n, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

FIG. 4.

It is clear that each vertex has at least three  $(2n - 5)$ -in vertices in  $D$ , and so  $\exp_D(X) \leq 2n - 5$  for any set of  $n - 2$  vertices. It follows from the definition of the  $(n - 2)$ th upper multiexponent that  $F(D, n - 2) \leq 2n - 5 < 2n - 3 = F(D, n - 2)$ , which is a contradiction.

(2) Neither  $(x, y)$  nor  $(y, x)$  is an arc of  $D$ . By the strong connectedness of  $D$ , there must exist vertices  $u, v, u'$  and  $v'$  of  $C$  such that  $(u, x), (x, v), (u', y)$  and  $(y, v')$  are arcs of  $D$ . We have  $u \neq v$  and  $u' \neq v'$ ; otherwise,  $n - 3 = h = 2$  and so  $n = 5$ , which is a contradiction. Also neither  $(u, v)$  nor  $(u', v')$  is an arc of  $C$ ; otherwise  $D$  has a cycle of length  $n - 1$ , which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $uu_1u_2 \cdots u_rv$  and  $u'v_1v_2 \cdots v_tv'$  are two paths of  $C$ , of lengths  $r + 1$  and  $t + 1$  respectively, where  $r \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ . If  $r = t = 1$ , then by the minimally strong connectedness of  $D$ ,  $D$  has no cycles of length  $h = n - 3$ , which is a contradiction. If  $r \geq 3$  or  $t \geq 3$ , then there is a cycle with length less than  $h = n - 3$ , which is also a contradiction. Hence we have  $r = 2$  or  $t = 2$ . So  $D$  contains a subdigraph which is isomorphic with  $D_{(n-1)-2}$  (see Fig. 1 for  $D_{n-2}$ ). Assume  $D_{(n-1)-2}$  is a subdigraph of  $D$ . Note that  $V(D_{(n-1)-2}) = \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ . By the strong connectedness of  $D$ , there exists a vertex  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  such that  $(j, n)$  is an arc of  $D$ .

Let  $X \subseteq V(D)$  with  $|X| = n - 2$ . For each vertex  $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , there is a walk to the vertex  $n$  from a vertex in  $X \setminus \{n\}$  of length  $\exp_{D_{(n-1)-2}}(X \setminus \{n\})$  (and hence also every length greater). This is because, each such vertex belongs to the subgraph  $D_{(n-1)-2}$ . Note that

$$\exp_{D_{(n-1)-2}}(X \setminus \{n\}) \leq \begin{cases} F(D_{(n-1)-2}, n - 2) = n - 2, & n \notin X; \\ F(D_{(n-1)-2}, n - 3) = 2n - 5, & n \in X. \end{cases}$$

So  $\exp_{D_{(n-1)-2}}(X \setminus \{n\}) \leq 2n - 5$  whether  $n \in X$  or  $n \notin X$ . Thus for every integer  $t \geq 2n - 5$ , and for each vertex  $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , there is a walk to the vertex from a vertex in  $X \setminus \{n\}$  of length  $t$ . Since  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  and  $(j, n)$  is an arc of  $D$ , it follows that there is a walk to the vertex  $n$  from a vertex in  $X \setminus \{n\}$  of length  $t + 1$  for every integer  $t \geq 2n - 5$ . So we have proved that there is a walk to each vertex of  $D$  from a vertex in  $X \setminus \{n\}$  of length  $t + 1$  for every integer  $t \geq 2n - 5$ . This implies that

$$\exp_D(X) \leq \exp_D(X \setminus \{n\}) \leq 2n - 4 < 2n - 3.$$

By the definition of the  $(n - 2)$ th upper multiexponent, we have  $F(D, n - 2) < 2n - 3 = F(n, n - 2)$ , which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.2:**  $D$  has a cycle of length of  $n - 1$ . Since  $h = n - 3$ ,  $D$  also has a cycle of length  $n - 3$ . By the minimally strong connectedness of  $D$ , one can readily show that in this case  $D$  is composed of precisely two cycles, of lengths  $n - 1$  and  $n - 3$  respectively. But  $\gcd\{n - 1, n - 3\} = 1$ , so  $n$  is even, and  $D$  must be isomorphic with  $D_{n-3}^2$  ( $n \geq 6$ ), where  $D_{n-3}^2$  is given by Fig. 5.

Suppose  $D = D_{n-3}^2$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_D(2n - 4, 1) &= \{n, 1, 3\}, \\ R_D(2n - 4, 2) &= \{2, 4, n - 3, n - 1\}, \\ R_D(2n - 4, 3) &= \{n, 1, 3, 5\}, \\ R_D(2n - 4, i) &= \{i - 2, i, i + 2\}, i = 4, \dots, n - 3, \\ R_D(2n - 4, n - 2) &= \{n - 4, n - 3, n - 2, 1\}, \\ R_D(2n - 4, n - 1) &= \{n - 3, n - 1, 2\}, \\ R_D(2n - 4, n) &= \{n - 4, n, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By similar arguments as for the case  $D \cong D_{n-3}^1$ , we get  $F(D, n - 2) \leq 2n - 4 < 2n - 3 = F(n, n - 2)$ , which is also a contradiction.

Now we have proved that it is impossible to have  $h \leq n - 3$ . Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\blacksquare$

Theorem 2 gives complete characterizations of the extreme digraphs in the class of primitive, minimally strong digraphs of order  $n$  whose  $k$ th ( $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ) upper multiexponents assume the maximum value.

Note that there is not any digraph  $D$  in  $PMD_n$  with  $F(D, 1) = m$  if  $n^2 - 5n + 9 < m < F(n, 1)$ , or  $n^2 - 6n + 12 < m < n^2 - 5n + 9$  for  $n \geq 4$  (see [4]).

As a by-product of the proof of Theorem 2 we have a similar result.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $k$  and  $n$  be integers. If  $2 \leq k \leq n - 3$ , then for any integer  $m$  satisfying  $n + (n - 3)(n - k - 1) < m < F(n, k)$ , there is no digraph  $D \in PMD_n$  such that  $F(D, k) = m$ .*

FIG. 5.  $D_{n-3}^2$  ( $n$  is even,  $n \geq 6$ ).

This corollary tells us that there are gaps in the set of  $k$ th upper multiexponents of digraphs in  $PMD_n$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n - 3$ ).

**Corollary 2.** *The number of non-isomorphic extreme digraphs in  $PMD_n$  with the  $(n - 1)$ th upper multiexponent equal to  $n - 1$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) is  $\phi(n - 1) - 1$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function.*

Finally, we point out that the maximum value for the  $k$ -exponents of primitive, nearly reducible matrices is also obtained in [2], and we have characterized the corresponding extreme matrices in another paper.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor B. Liu and Professor Gerard J. Chang for help and encouragement, and the referees for their numerous suggestions, which have resulted in a great improvement in the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. A. Brualdi and B. Liu, Generalized exponents of primitive directed graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **14** (1990), 483-499.
2. B. Liu, Generalized exponents of primitive, nearly reducible matrices, *Ars Combin.* to appear.
3. B. Liu and Q. Li, On a conjecture about the generalized exponent of primitive matrices, *J. Graph Theory* **18** (1994), 177-179.
4. J. A. Ross, On the exponent of a primitive, nearly reducible matrix II, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **3** (1982), 395-410.

5. A. L. Dulmage and N. S. Mendelsohn, Gaps in the exponent set of primitive matrices, *Illinois J. Math.* **8** (1964), 642-656.

Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University  
Guangzhou 510631, China