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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce iterative schemes for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points for a left amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings, the set of solutions of the variational inequalities for a family of $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mappings and the set of solutions of a system of equilibrium problems in a Hilbert space. We establish weak and strong convergence theorems for the sequences generated by our proposed schemes. Moreover, we present various applications to the additive semigroup of nonnegative real numbers and families of strictly pseudocontractive mappings.


## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$. A mapping $T$ of $C$ into $C$ is called nonexpansive if

$$
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ the set of fixed points of $T$.
$T$ is strictly pseudocontractive if there exists $\kappa$ with $0 \leq \kappa<1$ such that

$$
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\kappa\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in C
$$

If $k=0$, then $T$ is nonexpansive.
Let $F: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. The equilibrium problem for $F$ is to determine its equilibrium points, i.e., the set

$$
E P(F):=\{x \in C: F(x, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}
$$

[^0]Let $\mathcal{G}=\left\{F_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of bifunctions from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The system of equilibrium problems for $\mathcal{G}=\left\{F_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is to determine common equilibrium points for $\mathcal{G}=\left\{F_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, i.e., the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E P(\mathcal{G}):=\left\{x \in C: F_{i}(x, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \forall i \in I\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Many problems in applied sciences, such as monotone inclusion problems, saddle point problems, variational inequality problems, minimization problems, Nash equilibria in noncooperative games, vector equilibrium problems, as well as certain fixed point problems reduce into finding some element of $E P(F)$; see $[3,10,11]$. The formulation (1.1), extends this formalism to systems of such problems, covering in particular various forms of feasibility problems [2, 9].

Recall that a mapping $A: C \rightarrow H$ is called $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone [4], if there exists a positive real number $\alpha$ such that

$$
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq \alpha\|A x-A y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in C .
$$

It is easy to see that if $A: C \rightarrow H$ is $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone, then it is a $\frac{1}{\alpha}$-Lipschitzian mapping.

Let $A: C \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. The classical variational inequality problem is to find $u \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A u, v-u\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions of variational inequality (1.2) is denoted by $\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$. Put $A=$ $I-T$, where $T: C \rightarrow H$ is a strictly pseudocontractive mapping with $\kappa$. It is known that $A$ is $\frac{1-\kappa}{2}$-inverse-strongly monotone and $A^{-1}(0)=F i x(T)=\{x \in C: T x=x\}$.

Recently, weak and strong convergence theorems for finding a common element of $E P(F), V I(C, A)$ and $F i x(T)$, have been studied by many authors (see e.g., [6, 7, $8,12,13,15,16,18,20,21,22,25]$ and references therein).

In this paper, motivated by [18, 20], we introduce iterative algorithms for finding a common element of the set of common fixed points for a left amenable semigroup of nonexpansive mappings on $C$, the set of solutions of a system of equilibrium problems $E P(\mathcal{G})$ for a family $\mathcal{G}=\left\{F_{i}: i=1, \ldots, M\right\}$ of bifunctions from $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ and the set of solutions of variational inequalities $V I\left(C, A_{j}\right)$ for a family $\left\{A_{j}: j=1 \ldots N\right\}$ of $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mappings from $C$ into $H$. We establish some weak and strong convergence theorems for the sequences generated by our proposed algorithms. We obtain our strong convergence results via the hybrid method; see [16]. Various applications to the additive semigroup of nonnegative real numbers and the families of strictly pseudocontractive mappings are also presented.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $F: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction. Given any $r>0$ and $x \in H$, the operator $J_{r}^{F}: H \rightarrow C$ defined by

$$
J_{r}^{F}(x):=\left\{z \in C: F(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

is called the resolvent of $F$.
Lemma 2.1. ([10]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $F: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy
(A1) $F(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2) $F$ is monotone, i.e. $F(x, y)+F(y, x) \leq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$;
(A3) for all $x, y, z \in C$,

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} F(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq F(x, y)
$$

(A4) for all $x \in C, y \longmapsto F(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
Then:
(1) $J_{r}^{F}$ is single-valued;
(2) $J_{r}^{F}$ is firmly nonexpansive, i.e.

$$
\left\|J_{r}^{F} x-J_{r}^{F} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle J_{r}^{F} x-J_{r}^{F} y, x-y\right\rangle, \forall x, y \in H
$$

(3) $F i x\left(J_{r}^{F}\right)=E P(F)$;
(4) $E P(F)$ is closed and convex.

Recall the metric (nearest point) projection $P_{C}$ from a Hilbert space $H$ onto a closed convex subset $C$ of $H$ is defined as follows: given $x \in H, P_{C} x$ is the only point in $C$ with the property

$$
\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\|=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in C\} .
$$

It is known that $P_{C}$ is a nonexpansive mapping and satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle P_{C} x-P_{C} y, x-y\right\rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{C}$ is characterized as follows:

$$
y=P_{C} x \Longleftrightarrow\langle x-y, y-z\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C .
$$

In the context of the variational inequality problem, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in V I(C, A) \Longleftrightarrow u=P_{C}(u-\lambda A u), \forall \lambda>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A set-valued mapping $T: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$ is said to be monotone, if for all $x, y \in H, f \in T x$, and $g \in T y$ imply that $\langle f-g, x-y\rangle \geq 0$. A monotone mapping $T: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$ is said to be maximal, if the graph $G(T)$ of $T$ is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is known that a monotone mapping is maximal, if and only
if for $(x, f) \in H \times H,\langle f-g, x-y\rangle \geq 0, \forall(y, g) \in G(T)$ imply that $f \in T x$. Let $A: C \rightarrow H$ be an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping and let $N_{C} v$ be the normal cone to $C$ at $v \in C$, i.e.,

$$
N_{C} v=\{w \in H:\langle v-u, w\rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in C\},
$$

and define

$$
T v=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
A v+N_{C} v, \quad v \in C \\
\varnothing, v \notin C .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $T$ is maximal monotone and $0 \in T v$ if and only if $v \in \operatorname{VI}(C, A)$ (see [13, 17]). It is easy to show that for given $\lambda \in[0,2 \alpha]$, the mapping $(I-\lambda A): C \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive.

The following lemma is well known; see, for instance, [24].
Lemma 2.2. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $H$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a nonexpansive mapping with $\operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \varnothing$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $C$ weakly converging to $x$ and if $\left\{(I-T) x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $y$, then $(I-T) x=y$.

Lemma 2.3. ([22]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $H$. Suppose that, for all $y \in C$,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-y\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|,
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\left\{P_{C}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges strongly to some $z \in C$.
Let $S$ be a semigroup. We denote by $l^{\infty}(S)$ the Banach space of all bounded real valued functions on $S$ with supremum norm. For each $s \in S$, we define $l_{s}$ and $r_{s}$ on $l^{\infty}(S)$ by $\left(l_{s} f\right)(t)=f(s t)$ and $\left(r_{s} f\right)(t)=f(t s)$ for each $t \in S$ and $f \in l^{\infty}(S)$. Let $X$ be a subspace of $l^{\infty}(S)$ containing 1 and let $X^{*}$ be its topological dual. An element $\mu$ of $X^{*}$ is said to be a mean on $X$ if $\|\mu\|=\mu(1)=1$. We often write $\mu_{t}(f(t))$ instead of $\mu(f)$ for $\mu \in X^{*}$ and $f \in X$. Let $X$ be left invariant (resp. right invariant), i.e. $l_{s}(X) \subset X$ (resp. $r_{s}(X) \subset X$ ) for each $s \in S$. A mean $\mu$ on $X$ is said to be left invariant (resp. right invariant) if $\mu\left(l_{s} f\right)=\mu(f)$ (resp. $\mu\left(r_{s} f\right)=\mu(f)$ ) for each $s \in S$ and $f \in X . X$ is said to be left (resp. right) amenable if $X$ has a left (resp. right) invariant mean. $X$ is amenable if $X$ is both left and right amenable. As is well known, $l^{\infty}(S)$ is amenable when $S$ is a commutative semigroup or a solvable group. A net $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}$ of means on $X$ is said to be left regular if $\lim _{\alpha}\left\|l_{s}^{*} \mu_{\alpha}-\mu_{\alpha}\right\|=0$ for each $s \in S$, where $l_{s}^{*}$ is the adjoint operator of $l_{s}$; see, for instance, [14].

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$. A family $\mathcal{S}=\{T(s): s \in S\}$ is called a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$ if for each $s \in S$ the mapping $T(s): C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive and $T(s t)=T(s) T(t)$ for each $s, t \in S$. We denote by $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S})$ the set of common fixed points of $\mathcal{S}$. For a nonexpansive mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$, we denote by $F_{\varepsilon}(T)$ the $\varepsilon$-approximate fixed points of $T$; i.e., $F_{\varepsilon}(T)=\{x \in C:\|x-T x\| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

If $C$ is bounded, then $F_{\varepsilon}(T) \neq \varnothing$, for each $\varepsilon>0$ (see [24]). For $D \subset C$, we denote $F_{\varepsilon}(T ; D)=F_{\varepsilon}(T) \cap D$.

The open ball of radius $r$ centered at 0 is denoted by $B_{r}$. For a subset $A$ of $H$, we denote by $\overline{c o} A$ the closed convex hull of $A$.

The following lemmas can be found in [23, 14, 19].
Lemma 2.4. Let $f$ be a function of semigroup $S$ into a Banach space $E$ such that the weak closure of $\{f(t): t \in S\}$ is weakly compact and let $X$ be a subspace of $l^{\infty}(S)$ containing 1 and all the functions $t \rightarrow\left\langle f(t), x^{*}\right\rangle$ with $x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Then, for any $\mu \in X^{*}$, there exists a unique element $f_{\mu}$ in $E$ such that

$$
\left\langle f_{\mu}, x^{*}\right\rangle=\mu_{t}\left\langle f(t), x^{*}\right\rangle
$$

for all $x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Moreover, if $\mu$ is a mean on $X$ then

$$
\int f(t) d \mu(t) \in \overline{c o}\{f(t): t \in S\}
$$

We can write $f_{\mu}$ by $\int f(t) d \mu(t)$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H, \mathcal{S}=\{T(s)$ : $s \in S\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup from $C$ into $C$ such that $\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S}) \neq \varnothing$ and let $X$ be a subspace of $l^{\infty}(S)$ such that $1 \in X$ and let the mapping $t \mapsto\langle T(t) x, y\rangle$ be an element of $X$ for each $x \in C$ and $y \in H$, and let $\mu$ be a mean on $X$.

If we write $T(\mu) x$ instead of $\int T_{t} x d \mu(t)$, then the following hold.
(i) $T(\mu)$ is a nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into $C$.
(ii) $T(\mu) x=x$ for each $x \in \operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S})$.
(iii) $T(\mu) x \in \overline{c o}\left\{T_{t} x: t \in S\right\}$ for each $x \in C$.
(iv) If $\mu$ is left invariant, then $T(\mu)$ is a nonexpansive retraction from $C$ onto Fix $(\mathcal{S})$.

## 3. Strong Convergence

The following is our main strong convergence result.
Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$, let $\mathcal{S}=\{T(t): t \in S\}$ be a nonexpansive semigroup on $C$, let $\mathcal{G}=\left\{F_{j}: j=1, \ldots, M\right\}$ be a finite family of bifunctions from $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfy (A1)-(A4), let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{k}: k=1 \ldots N\right\}$ be a finite family of $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mappings from $C$ into $H$, and let $\mathcal{F}:=\cap_{k=1}^{N} V I\left(C, A_{k}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S}) \cap E P(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$.

Let $X$ be a left invariant subspace of $l^{\infty}(S)$ such that $1 \in X$, and the function $t \longmapsto\langle T(t) x, y\rangle$ is an element of $X$ for each $x \in C$ and $y \in H$; and let $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ be a left regular sequence of means on $X$.

Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[a, 1]$ for some $a \in(0,1)$, let $\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ be sequences in $[c, d] \subset(0,2 \alpha)$ and let $\left\{r_{j, n}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ be sequences in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\liminf _{n} r_{j, n}>0$ for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, M\}$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_{1}=x \in H$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n} \\
v_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{N, n} A_{N}\right) \ldots P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{2, n} A_{2}\right) P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{1, n} A_{1}\right) u_{n} \\
u_{n}=J_{r_{M, n}}^{F_{M}} \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}} x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in H:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in H:\left\langle x_{n}-z, x-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}(x), \forall n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.
Proof. Take

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k}:=J_{r_{k, n}}^{F_{k}} \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}}, \forall k \in\{1, \ldots, M\} \\
\mathcal{J}_{n}^{0}:=I
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k}:=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{k, n} A_{k}\right) \ldots P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{2, n} A_{2}\right) P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{1, n} A_{1}\right), \forall k \in\{1, \ldots, N\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{n}^{0}:=I
\end{gathered}
$$

So, we can write

$$
y_{n}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left(\mu_{n}\right) \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_{n}
$$

We shall divide the proof into several steps.
Step 1. The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined.
Proof of Step 1. The sets $C_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ are closed and convex subsets of $H$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$; see [20]. So, $C_{n} \cap Q_{n}$ is a closed convex subset of $H$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Since, for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, M\}, J_{r_{k, n}}^{F_{k}}$ is nonexpansive, and from Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $A_{k}: C \rightarrow H$ is $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone and $\lambda_{k, n} \in$ $[c, d] \subset[0,2 \alpha], P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{k, n} A_{k}\right)$ is nonexpansive. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{N}$ is nonexpansive. From (2.2), we have $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} p=p$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}-p\right\|=\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} p\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right\|  \tag{3.3}\\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|v_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-v\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $p \in C_{n}$; thus, $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we show by induction that

$$
\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n} \cap Q_{n}
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{1}$ and $Q_{1}=H$, we get $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{1} \cap Q_{1}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset$ $C_{k} \cap Q_{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists $x_{k+1} \in C_{k} \cap Q_{k}$ such that $x_{k+1}=P_{C_{k} \cap Q_{k}}(x)$. Therefore, for each $z \in C_{k} \cap Q_{k}$, we have

$$
\left\langle x_{k+1}-z, x-x_{k+1}\right\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

So, we get

$$
\mathcal{F} \subset C_{k} \cap Q_{k} \subset Q_{k+1}
$$

From this and $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}(\forall n)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{F} \subset C_{k+1} \cap Q_{k+1} .
$$

This means that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined.
Step 2. The sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}\right\}_{k=1}^{M}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ are bounded and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=c, \text { for some } c \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Step 2. From $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}(x)$, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\| \leq\|z-x\|, \forall z \in C_{n} \cap Q_{n}
$$

Since $P_{\mathcal{F}}(x) \in \mathcal{F} \subset C_{n} \cap Q_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\| \leq\left\|P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)-x\right\|, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. So, from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), the sequences $\left\{\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}\right\}_{k=1}^{M},\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are also bounded.

It is easy to show that $x_{n}=P_{Q_{n}}(x)$. From this and $x_{n+1} \in Q_{n}$, we have

$$
\left\|x-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x-x_{n+1}\right\|,
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (3.4) holds.

Step 3. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0$.
Proof of Step 3. Since $x_{n}=P_{Q_{n}}(x), x_{n+1} \in Q_{n}$ and $\left(x_{n}+x_{n+1}\right) / 2 \in Q_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x-\frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\| \frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x-x_{n+1} \|^{2}\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we get

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|x-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|x-x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
$$

From (3.4), we obtain $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}=0$.
Step 4. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.
Proof of Step 4. From $x_{n+1} \in C_{n}$, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \leq 2\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| .
$$

Now, apply Step 3.
Step 5. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|=0, \forall k \in\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$.
Proof of Step 5. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$. Since $J_{r_{k+1, n}}^{F_{k+1}}$ is firmly nonexpansive, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|p-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|J_{F_{k+1, n}}^{F_{k+1}} p-J_{r_{k+1, n}}^{F_{k+1}} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\langle J_{r_{k+1, n}}^{F_{k+1}} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-p, \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|J_{r_{k+1, n}}^{F_{k+1}} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-J_{r_{k+1, n}}^{F_{k+1}} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Therefore, by the convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[a, 1]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and Step 4, we get the desired result.
Step 6. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|=0, \forall k \in\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$.
Proof of Step 6. Since $\left\{A_{k}: k=1 \ldots N\right\}$ are $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone, by the the choice of $\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}$ for given $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}\right) \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}\right) p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}\right) \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\left(I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}\right) p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\lambda_{k+1, n}\left(\lambda_{k+1, n}-2 \alpha\right)\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+c(d-2 \alpha)\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+c(d-2 \alpha)\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+c(d-2 \alpha) \alpha_{n}\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(2 \alpha-d) \alpha_{n}\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{n} \subset[a, 1]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\| \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and the fact that $I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}$ is nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}\right) \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1}\right) p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\langle\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}\right)-\left(p-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1} p\right), \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}\right)-\left(p-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1} p\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left\|\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}\right)-\left(p-\lambda_{k+1, n} A_{k+1} p\right)-\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-\lambda_{k+1, n}\left(A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right)\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}, A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\rangle \\
& \left.-\lambda_{k+1, n}^{2}\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}, A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\rangle \\
& -\lambda_{k+1, n}^{2}\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}, A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}, A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\rangle\right\} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows from Step 4 and (3.6) that $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.
Step 7. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T(t) x_{n}\right\|=0, \forall t \in S$.
Proof of Step 7. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and put

$$
M_{0}=\max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Set $D=\left\{y \in C:\|y-p\| \leq M_{0}\right\}$. We remark $D$ is a bounded closed convex set, $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subseteq D$ and it is invariant under the mappings $\left\{\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k}: k=1, \ldots, M\right.$ and $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k}: k=1, \ldots, N\right.$ and $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in D}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y-T(t) T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y\right\|=0, \quad \forall t \in S . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proof of (3.7) follows the lines of a proof in [1]. Let $\varepsilon>0$. By [5, Theorem 1.2], there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\overline{c o} F_{\delta}(T(t) ; D)+B_{\delta}\right\} \cap C \subseteq F_{\varepsilon}(T(t) ; C), \forall t \in S \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [5, Corollary 1.1], there also exists a natural number $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y-T(t)\left(\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y\right)\right\| \leq \delta \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, s \in S$ and $y \in D$. Let $t \in S$. Since $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is left regular, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|\mu_{n}-l_{t i}^{*} \mu_{n}\right\| \leq \delta /\left(M_{0}+\|p\|\right)$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ and $i=1, \ldots, N$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{y \in D}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y-\int \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y d \mu_{n}(s)\right\| \\
= & \sup _{y \in D} \sup _{\|z\|=1}\left|\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{s}\langle T(s) y, z\rangle-\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{s}\left\langle\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y, z\right\rangle\right|  \tag{3.10}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sup _{y \in D} \sup _{\|z\|=1}\left|\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{s}\langle T(s) y, z\rangle-\left(l_{t^{i}}^{*} \mu_{n}\right)_{s}\langle T(s) y, z\rangle\right| \\
\leq & \max _{i=1, \ldots, N}\left\|\mu_{n}-l_{t^{i}}^{*} \mu_{n}\right\|\left(M_{0}+\|p\|\right) \leq \delta, \forall n \geq n_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, noting Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\int \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y d \mu_{n}(s) \in \overline{c o}\left\{\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T(t)^{i}(T(s) y): s \in S\right\} .
$$

From (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and the above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y= & \int \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y d \mu_{n}(s)+\left(T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y-\int \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y d \mu_{n}(s)\right) \\
& \in\left\{\overline{c o}\left\{\frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{i=0}^{N} T\left(t^{i} s\right) y: s \in S\right\}+B_{\delta}\right\} \cap C \\
\subseteq & \left\{\overline{c o} F_{\delta}(T(t) ; D)+B_{\delta}\right\} \cap C \subseteq F_{\varepsilon}(T(t) ; C)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y \in D$ and $n \geq n_{0}$. Therefore

$$
\limsup _{n} \sup _{y \in D}\left\|T(t) T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y\right\| \leq \varepsilon .
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we get (3.7).
Now, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\| \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\| \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Let $t \in S$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $\delta>0$ which satisfies (3.8). From (3.7) and (3.11), there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T\left(\mu_{n}\right) y \in F_{\delta}(T(t) ; D), \forall y \in D$, and $\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|<\delta$ for all $n>k_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n}= & T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}+\left(x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right) \\
& \in\left\{F_{\delta}(T(t) ; D)+B_{\delta}\right\} \cap C \subseteq F_{\varepsilon}(T(t) ; C),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n>k_{0}$. This shows that $\lim \sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}-T(t) x_{n}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, and since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we get $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T(t) x_{n}\right\|=0$.

Step 8. The weak $\omega$-limit set of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, \omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$, is a subset of $\mathcal{F}$.
Proof of Step 8. Let $z_{0} \in \omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and let $\left\{x_{n_{m}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ weakly converging to $z_{0}$. From Steps 5 and 6 , we obtain also that

$$
\mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k} x_{n_{m}} \rightharpoonup z_{0},
$$

for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, M\}$, and

$$
\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}} \rightharpoonup z_{0},
$$

for all $k \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. In particular, $u_{n_{m}} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$ and $v_{n_{m}} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$. We need to show that $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$. First, we note from $x_{n_{m}} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$ and Lemma 2.2, $z_{0} \in \operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S})$.

Now, we prove $z_{0} \in \cap_{i=1}^{N} V I\left(C, A_{i}\right)$. For this purpose, let $k \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and $T_{k}$ be the maximal monotone mapping defined by

$$
T_{k} x= \begin{cases}A_{k} z+N_{C} z, & z \in C \\ \varnothing, & z \notin C\end{cases}
$$

For any given $(z, u) \in G\left(T_{k}\right)$, hence $u-A_{k} z \in N_{C} z$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n} \in C$, by the definition of $N_{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}, u-A_{k} z\right\rangle \geq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}=P_{C}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} u_{n}-\lambda_{k, n} A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} u_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}, \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} u_{n}-\lambda_{k, n} A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} u_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

So

$$
\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}, \frac{\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} u_{n}}{\lambda_{k, n}}+A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

By (3.12) and the $\alpha$-inverse monotonicity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, u\right\rangle \geq\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, A_{k} z\right\rangle \\
\geq & \left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, A_{k} z\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, \frac{\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k-1} u_{n_{m}}}{\lambda_{k_{2}, n_{m}}}+A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k-1} u_{n_{m}}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, A_{k} z-A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}-A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k-1} u_{n_{m}}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, \frac{\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k-1} u_{n_{m}}}{\lambda_{k, n_{m}}}\right\rangle \\
\geq & \left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}-A_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k-1} u_{n_{m}}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, \frac{\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k-1} u_{n_{m}}}{\lambda_{k, n_{m}}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$ and $\left\{A_{k}: k=1, \ldots, N\right\}$ are Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle z-\mathcal{P}_{n_{m}}^{k} u_{n_{m}}, u\right\rangle=\left\langle z-z_{0}, u\right\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

Again since $T_{k}$ is maximal monotone, hence $0 \in T_{k} z_{0}$. This shows that $z_{0} \in$ $V I\left(C, A_{k}\right)$. From this, it follows that

$$
z_{0} \in \cap_{i=1}^{N} V I\left(C, A_{i}\right) .
$$

Now, we note that by (A2) for given $y \in C$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{r_{k+1, n}}\left\langle y-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}, \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}\right\rangle \geq F_{k+1}\left(y, \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y-\mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k+1} x_{n_{m}}, \frac{\mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k+1} x_{n_{m}}-\mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k} x_{n_{m}}}{r_{k+1, n_{m}}}\right\rangle \geq F_{k+1}\left(y, \mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k+1} x_{n_{m}}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By condition (A4), $F_{i}(y,),. \forall i$, is lower semicontinuous and convex, and thus weakly semicontinuous. Step 5 and condition $\lim _{\inf }^{n} r_{j, n}>0$ imply that

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k+1} x_{n_{m}}-\mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k} x_{n_{m}}}{r_{k+1, n_{m}}} \rightarrow 0,
$$

in norm. Therefore, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.13) yields

$$
F_{k+1}\left(y, z_{0}\right) \leq \lim _{m} F_{k+1}\left(y, \mathcal{J}_{n_{m}}^{k+1} x_{n_{m}}\right) \leq 0,
$$

for all $y \in C$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$. Replacing $y$ with $y_{t}:=t y+(1-t) z_{0}$ with $t \in(0,1)$ and using (A1) and (A4), we obtain

$$
0=F_{k+1}\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right) \leq t F_{k+1}\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) F_{k+1}\left(y_{t}, z_{0}\right) \leq t F_{k+1}\left(y_{t}, y\right)
$$

Hence $F_{k+1}\left(t y+(1-t) z_{0}, y\right) \geq 0$, for all $t \in(0,1)$ and $y \in C$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$and using (A3), we conclude $F_{k+1}\left(z_{0}, y\right) \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots, M-1\}$. Therefore

$$
z_{0} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{M} E P\left(F_{k}\right)=E P(\mathcal{G})
$$

Step 9. The sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.
Proof of Step 9. Let $z_{0} \in \omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and let $\left\{x_{n_{m}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ weakly converging to $z_{0}$. From (3.5) and Step 8, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|x-P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right\| \leq\left\|x-z_{0}\right\| \leq \liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x_{n_{m}}\right\| \\
\leq \lim \sup _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x_{n_{m}}\right\| \leq\left\|x-P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right\|
\end{array}
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-x_{n_{m}}\right\|=\left\|x-z_{0}\right\|=\left\|x-P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)\right\|
$$

Since $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $H$ is a Hilbert space, we obtain

$$
x_{n_{m}} \longrightarrow z_{0}=P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)
$$

Since $z_{0} \in \omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ was arbitrary, we get $x_{n} \longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.

## 4. Weak Convergence

The following is a weak convergence theorem.
Theorem 4.1. Let $C, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}, X,\left\{\mu_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ and $\left\{r_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in(0,1)$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_{1}=x \in H$ and $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{n+1}=\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n} \\
v_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{N, n} A_{N}\right) \ldots P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{2, n} A_{2}\right) P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{1, n} A_{1}\right) u_{n} \\
u_{n}=J_{r_{M, n}}^{F_{M}} \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.

Proof. We will apply the notations used in proof of Theorem 3.1.
Step 1. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|$ exists for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$.
Proof of Step 1. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| & =\left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right\|  \tag{4.1}\\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|v_{n}-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

From this, we obtain that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \text { exists. } \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|=0, \forall k \in\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$.
Proof of Step 2. For $p \in \mathcal{F}$, as in Step 5 of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2},
$$

for all $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}$. Therefore, by (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|v_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-a\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left\|\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_{n}-\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we get the desired result.
Step 3. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|=0, \forall k \in\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$.
Proof of Step 3. For $p \in \mathcal{F}$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, N-1\}$, as in Step 6 of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+c(d-2 \alpha)\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} .
$$

From this and (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|v_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+c(d-2 \alpha)\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+c(d-2 \alpha) \alpha_{n}\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(2 \alpha-d) \alpha_{n}\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<a \leq \alpha_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, like that in Step 6 of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}, A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from this and (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}, A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\rangle\right\} \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-a\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{k+1, n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\|\left\|A_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-A_{k+1} p\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows from Step 1 and (4.3) that $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k} u_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1} u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.
Step 4. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|=0$.
Proof of Step 4. Note that for $p \in \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\alpha_{n}\left(T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|v_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, from (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(1-b)\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, from $a, b \in(0,1)$ we obtain

$$
\left\|x_{n}-T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Step 5. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T(t) x_{n}\right\|=0$, for all $t \in S$.
Proof of Step 5. The proof is the same as Step 7 of Theorem 3.1; the only difference is that the assertion (3.11) in that proof follows here from Step 4.

Step 6. $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
Proof of Step 6. Applying Steps 2, 3 and 5, by a proof similar to Step 8 of Theorem 3.1, we can show that the weak $\omega$-limit set of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, \omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$, is a subset of $\mathcal{F}$.

Now, (4.2) and the Opial's property of Hilbert space imply that $\omega_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is singleton. Therefore, $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$ for some $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $z_{n}=P_{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Since $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, z_{n}-z_{0}\right\rangle \geq 0 .
$$

Using $\left\|x_{n+1}-z_{0}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z_{0}\right\|(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ and Lemma 2.3, we have that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to some $y_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z_{0}$, we have

$$
\left\langle z_{0}-y_{0}, y_{0}-z_{0}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Therefore, we obtain $z_{0}=y_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.

## 5. Applications

In this section, we deduce algorithms for a finite family of (non-self) strictly pseudocontractive mappings, as an application of the proposed algorithms. Moreover, we present various applications to the additive semigroup of nonnegative real numbers.

Corollary 5.1. Let $C, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, X,\left\{\mu_{n}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{r_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $\psi=\left\{T_{j}: j=1 \ldots N\right\}$ be a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings with $0 \leq \kappa<1$ from $C$ into $C$ such that $\mathcal{F}:=\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S}) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\psi) \cap E P(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ be sequences in $[c, d] \subset(0,1-\kappa)$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_{1}=x \in H$ and $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}, \\
v_{n} & =\left(\left(1-\lambda_{N, n}\right) I+\lambda_{N, n} T_{N}\right) \ldots\left(\left(1-\lambda_{2, n}\right) I+\lambda_{2, n} T_{2}\right)\left(\left(1-\lambda_{1, n}\right) I+\lambda_{1, n} T_{1}\right) u_{n}, \\
u_{n} & =J_{r_{M, n}}^{F_{M}} \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}} x_{n}, \\
C_{n} & =\left\{z \in H:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}, \\
Q_{n} & =\left\{z \in H:\left\langle x_{n}-z, x-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\}, \\
x_{n+1} & =P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}(x),
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.
Proof. Put $A_{j}=I-T_{j}$ for every $j \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Then $A_{j}$ is $\frac{1-k}{2}$-inversestrongly monotone. We have that $\operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{j}\right)$ is the solution set of $\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{j}\right)$; i.e., $\operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{j}\right)=V I\left(C, A_{j}\right)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Fix}(\psi)=\cap_{k=1}^{N} V I\left(C, A_{k}\right)$ and it suffices to apply Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 5.2. Let $C, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{G}, X,\left\{\mu_{n}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{r_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Let $\psi=\left\{T_{j}: j=1 \ldots N\right\}$ be a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings with $0 \leq \kappa<1$ from $C$ into $C$ such that $\mathcal{F}:=\operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S}) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\psi) \cap E P(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ be sequences in $[c, d] \subset(0,1-\kappa)$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_{1}=x \in H$ and $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} T\left(\mu_{n}\right) v_{n}, \\
v_{n} & =\left(\left(1-\lambda_{N, n}\right) I+\lambda_{N, n} T_{N}\right) \ldots\left(\left(1-\lambda_{2, n}\right) I+\lambda_{2, n} T_{2}\right)\left(\left(1-\lambda_{1, n}\right) I+\lambda_{1, n} T_{1}\right) u_{n}, \\
u_{n} & =J_{r_{M, n}}^{F_{M}} \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}} x_{n},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.
Remark 5.3. We may put

$$
v_{n}=P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{N, n}\left(I-T_{N}\right)\right) \ldots P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{2, n}\left(I-T_{2}\right)\right) P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{1, n}\left(I-T_{1}\right)\right) u_{n},
$$

in the schemes of Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2, and obtain schemes for families of non-self strictly pseudocontractive mappings.

Corollary 5.4. Let $C, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A},\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{r_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{T(t): t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}$be a strongly continuous semigroup of nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $C$ and $\mathcal{F}:=\cap{ }_{k=1}^{N} V I\left(C, A_{k}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S}) \cap E P(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_{1}=x \in H$,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} \frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) v_{n} d s, \\
v_{n} & =P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{N, n} A_{N}\right) \ldots P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{2, n} A_{2}\right) P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{1, n} A_{1}\right) u_{n}, \\
u_{n} & =J_{r_{M, n}, \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}} x_{n},}^{C_{n}} \\
Q_{n} & =\left\{z \in H:\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}, \\
x_{n+1} & \left.\left.=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}}(x), \forall n \geq z, x-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$, then the sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ converge strongly to $P_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$.

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\mu_{n}(f)=\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} f(t) d t$ for each $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, where $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$denotes the space of all real valued bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$with supremum norm. Then, $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is a regular sequence of means [24]. Further, for each $x \in C$, we have $T\left(\mu_{n}\right) x=\frac{1}{t_{n}} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} T(s) x d s$. Now, apply Theorem 3.1 to conclude the result.

Corollary 5.5. Let $C, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A},\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{r_{n, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{k, n}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{S}=\left\{T(t): t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}$be a strongly continuous semigroup of nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $C$ and $\mathcal{F}:=\cap_{k=1}^{N} V I\left(C, A_{k}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(\mathcal{S}) \cap E P(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by $x_{1}=x \in H$,

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} a_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-a_{n} s\right) T(s) v_{n} d s \\
v_{n} & =P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{N, n} A_{N}\right) \ldots P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{2, n} A_{2}\right) P_{C}\left(I-\lambda_{1, n} A_{1}\right) u_{n} \\
u_{n} & =J_{r_{M, n}}^{F_{M}} \ldots J_{r_{2, n}}^{F_{2}} J_{r_{1, n}}^{F_{1}} x_{n}, \forall n \geq 1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$, where $z_{0}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathcal{F}}\left(x_{n}\right)$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\mu_{n}(f)=a_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-a_{n} t\right) f(t) d t$ for each $f \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Then, $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is a regular sequence of means [24]. Further, for each $x \in C$, we have $T\left(\mu_{n}\right) x=r_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-r_{n} t\right) T(t) x d t$. Now, apply Theorem 4.1 to conclude the result.
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