# MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS OF THE STEADY FLOWS IN A RECTANGULAR CHANNEL WITH SLIP EFFECT ON TWO EQUALLY POROUS WALLS 

Un-Un Kuo and Ching-An Wang


#### Abstract

We study the boundary layer equation $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)+R\left(\left(f^{\prime}(\eta)\right)^{2}-f(\eta) f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right)$ $=K$, subjects to the boundary conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, f(1)=1$ and $f^{\prime}(1)+\varphi f^{\prime \prime}(1)=0$. The given problem arises from the study of steady laminar flows in channels with two equally porous walls, where $R$ relates to the Reynold's number, and $K$ is an integration constant. We are able to obtain the homogeneity property and classify all types of solutions for the prescribed positive slip coefficient $\varphi$. In particular, the existence of the continuums in the $R-K$ plane has been verified, and this leads to the existence of multiple solutions for large $R$.


## 1. Introduction

The Navier-Stokes equation describes the two-dimensional flows in a rectangular channel with porous walls. By applying a similarity transformation [1], the governing equation for steady, incompressible, axis-symmetric laminar flow in a channel with two porous walls could be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)+R\left(\left(f^{\prime}(\eta)\right)^{2}-f(\eta) f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right)=K \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $K$ is an integration constant and $R$ corresponds to the cross flow Reynold number based on wall velocity (filtration Reynold number), while positive (negative) $R$ represents the suction (injection) through the walls. The function $f$ is related to the stream function, $\eta$ is the normalized transverse coordinate, namely, $\eta= \pm 1$ at the wall.

If the flow is assumed to be antisymmetric, then $f=f^{\prime \prime}=0$ should be imposed at the central line $\eta=0$. Therefore, the steady flows could be studied from the boundary value problem (BVP) of (1.1), subjects to the boundary conditions:

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad f(1)=1, \quad f^{\prime}(1)+\varphi f^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where positive $\varphi$ is the slip coefficient.
It is clear that $f(\eta)=\left[K \eta^{3}+(6-K) \eta\right] / 6$, with $K=-3 /(1+3 \varphi)$, is the solution of (BVP) when $R=0$. For nonzero $R$, as in [2, 4], suppose we set $f(\eta)=(b / R) g(\xi)$, $\xi=b \eta$ for some positive $b$ which is to be determined. Then, $g(\xi)$ satisfies the following associated boundary value problem $\left(\mathrm{BVP}_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+\left(g^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{2}-g(\xi) g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=R K / b^{4} \equiv \beta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(0)=g^{\prime \prime}(0)=0  \tag{1.4}\\
g(b)=R / b, \quad g^{\prime}(b)+\varphi b g^{\prime \prime}(b)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

By assigning values to $\alpha, \beta$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha, \quad g^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\beta-\alpha^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can integrate (1.3), with the initial values (1.4) and (1.6). Let $g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)$ be the solution of the initial value problem (1.3), (1.4) and (1.6). Suppose, given a prescribed positive $\varphi, g^{\prime}(b ; \alpha, \beta)+\varphi b g^{\prime \prime}(b ; \alpha, \beta)=0$ holds at $\xi=b^{*}$, then (BVP) will possess a solution with $R=b^{*} g\left(b^{*} ; \alpha, \beta\right)$ and $K=\left(b^{*}\right)^{4} \beta / R$.

Note that $g\left(\xi ; \alpha, \alpha^{2}\right)=\alpha \xi$ is not a solution of $\left(\mathrm{BVP}_{1}\right)$. Therefore, we will classify the type of solutions of $\left(\mathrm{BVP}_{1}\right)$ by assigning $\alpha, \beta$ from the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha \leq 0, \beta<\alpha^{2}\right\}, \\
& D_{2}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha>0, \beta<\alpha^{2}\right\}, \\
& D_{3}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha \geq 0, \beta>\alpha^{2}\right\}, \\
& D_{4}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \alpha<0, \beta>\alpha^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, based on the homogeneity of $g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)$, as in [4], the following main result will be verified:

## Main Result:

There exist two continuums $\Gamma_{*}$ and $\Gamma^{*}$ in the $R-K$ plane such that (BVP) has a solution if and only if the pair $(R, K)$ lies on $\Gamma_{*} \cup \Gamma^{*}$. For each $(R, K)$ lying on the branch $\Gamma_{*}$, (BVP) possesses non-negative and concave solutions, while non-negative and non-concave solution or non-monotone solutions exist when $(R, K)$ lies on $\Gamma^{*}$. In particular, when the slip effect is low, (BVP) possesses at least three suctive solutions of different type for sufficiently large $R$.

## 2. Classification

### 2.1. Preliminary results

For the convenience, we denote the problem of (1.3), (1.4) and (1.6) by (IVP). Note that the existence of solution $g\left(b^{*} ; \alpha, \beta\right)$ of (IVP) is independent of the choice of $\varphi$. Therefore, we recall some important properties of $g\left(b^{*} ; \alpha, \beta\right)$ from the study of $\left(\mathrm{BVP}_{1}\right)$ with $\varphi=0$ in [2].

Proposition 2.1. ([2]). Suppose $\beta \neq \alpha^{2}$. Then, the following properties hold:
(a) $g^{(i v)}(\xi)<0$ for all positive $\xi$;
(b) $g^{\prime}(\xi)$ has no positive zero for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{1}$;
(c) $g^{\prime}(\xi)$ has exactly one positive zero for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2}$;
(d) $g^{(k)}(\xi)$ has exactly one positive zero $d_{k}, k=1,2,3$, respectively, with $d_{3}<$ $d_{2}<d_{1}$, for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{3}$;
(e) $g^{\prime}(\xi)$ has exactly two positive zeros, $0<a_{1}<a_{2}$, and $g^{(k)}(\xi)$ has exactly one positive zero $d_{k}^{*}$ for $k=2,3$, if $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$. Furthermore, $a_{1}<d_{3}^{*}<d_{2}^{*}<a_{2}$.

From Proposition 2.1, the selected graphs of $g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)$ for some $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{i}$ 's are shown in Figure 2.1, respectively. Let $\psi(\xi):=\psi(\xi ; \varphi)=g^{\prime}(\xi)+\varphi \xi g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)$ and $c(\alpha, \beta):=c(\alpha, \beta ; \varphi)$ be a positive zero of $\psi(\xi)$ for a prescribed positive $\varphi$. We are in position to explore the existence of zeros of $\psi(\xi)=0$ and classify the types of solutions for (BVP) in the next section.

### 2.2. The roots of $\psi(\xi)=0$

By choosing $(\alpha, \beta)$ from $D_{i}, i=1, \cdots, 4$, the existence of roots of $\psi(\xi)=0$ will be discussed in the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{1}$, then $\psi(\xi)$ has no positive zero.
Proof. It is clear that $g(\xi), g^{\prime}(\xi), g^{\prime \prime}(\xi), g^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)$ are negative initially, since $g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha \leq 0$ and $g^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\beta-\alpha^{2}<0$. This implies that $\psi(0)=\alpha \leq 0$ and $\psi(\xi)=g^{\prime}(\xi)+\varphi \xi g^{\prime \prime}(\xi), \psi^{\prime}(\xi)=g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)(1+\varphi)+\varphi \xi$ are also negative initially. In fact, from Proposition 2.1(a), (b), it is clear that $g, g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime}$ and $g^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are negative for all $\xi>0$. This implies that $\psi(\xi)$ is strictly decreasing for $\xi>0$. Thus, for any prescribed positive $\varphi, \psi(\xi)$ has no positive zero.

Lemma 2.2. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2}$, then $\psi(\xi)$ has exactly one positive zero.


Fig. 2.1. The selected graphs of $g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)$ for some $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{i}^{\prime} s$
Proof. It is clear that $g(\xi), g^{\prime}(\xi)$ are positive, and $g^{\prime \prime}(\xi), g^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)$ are negative initially, since $g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha>0$ and $g^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\beta-\alpha^{2}<0$. This implies that $\psi(0)=$ $\alpha>0$ and $\psi^{\prime}(\xi)$ is negative initially. In fact, from Proposition 2.1(a), (c), it is clear that $g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)<0, g^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)<0$ for $\xi>0$, and this implies that $\psi^{\prime}(\xi)<0$ and $\psi(\xi)^{\prime \prime}=g^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)(1+2 \varphi)+\varphi \xi g^{(i v)}(\xi)<0$ for $\xi>0$. This implies that $\psi(\xi)$ is strictly decreasing and concave for $\xi>0$. Hence, for the prescribed positive $\varphi, \psi(\xi)$ has exactly one positive zero $c(\alpha, \beta)$. In particular, $c(\alpha, \beta)<d_{1}$ since $d_{1}$ is the unique zero of $g^{\prime}(\xi)$ and $\psi\left(d_{1}\right)<0$.

Remark 2.1. From Proposition 2.1(c) and Lemma 2.2, we have $g(c(\alpha, \beta))>0$, and it yields that $R=c(\alpha, \beta) g(c ; \alpha, \beta)$ is positive. Furthermore, $K=c^{4}(\alpha, \beta) \beta / R$ is positive if $\beta>0$, and negative if $\beta<0$. In fact, from $f(\eta)=c(\alpha, \beta) g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta) / R$ with $\xi=c \eta$, the corresponding solution $f(\eta)$ of (BVP) is nonnegative and concave for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2}$.

Lemma 2.3. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{3}$, then $\psi(\xi)$ has exactly one positive zero.
Proof. It is clear that $g, g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime}, g^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are positive on initially, since $g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha \geq 0$ and $g^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\beta-\alpha^{2}>0$. This yields that $\psi(0)=\alpha \geq 0$, and $\psi, \psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime}$ are also positive initially. Again, from Proposition $2.1(\mathrm{~d}), g^{(k)}$ has exactly one positive zero $d_{k}$,
$k=1,2,3$, with $d_{3}<d_{2}<d_{1}$. This implies that $\psi(\xi)>0$ on $\left(0, d_{2}\right)$, since on which $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are positive. Also, from the facts that $g^{(k)}<0, k=2,3,4$, on $\left(d_{2}, \infty\right)$, we get that $\psi^{\prime}<0$, and $\psi^{\prime \prime}<0$ for $\xi>d_{2}$. This implies that $\psi(\xi)$ is strictly decreasing and concave on $\left(d_{2}, \infty\right)$. It is clear that $\psi\left(d_{2}\right)>0$ and $\psi\left(d_{1}\right)<0$. Hence, for any positive $\varphi, \psi(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)$ has the unique zero $c(\alpha, \beta)$ with $d_{2}<c(\alpha, \beta)<d_{1}$.

Remark 2.2. From Proposition 2.1(d) and Lemma 2.3, we have $g(c(\alpha, \beta) ; \alpha, \beta)>$ 0 , and it leads to the corresponding $R(\alpha, \beta)>0$ and $K(\alpha, \beta)>0$. That is, the corresponding suctive solution $f(\eta)$ of (BVP) is also nonnegative, non-concave on ( 0 , 1) for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{3}$.

Lemma 2.4. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$, then $\psi(\xi)$ has exactly two positive zeros.
Proof. It is clear that $g, g^{\prime}$ are negative and $g^{\prime \prime}, g^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are positive initially, since $g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha<0$ and $g^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\beta-\alpha^{2}>0$. This yields that $\psi(\xi)$ is negative initially, since $\psi(0)=\alpha<0$ although $\psi^{\prime}(\xi)>0$ initially. In fact, $\psi^{\prime}(\xi)>0$ on $\left(0, a_{1}\right)$ and $\psi\left(a_{1}\right)>0$. This implies that there is the first zero $c_{1}(\alpha, \beta)$, which is lying on $\left(0, a_{1}\right)$.

Now, on $\left(a_{1}, d_{3}^{*}\right)$, we have $\psi^{\prime}(\xi)>0$ since $g^{\prime \prime}, g^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are positive. This implies $\psi(\xi)>0$ on $\left(a_{1}, d_{3}^{*}\right)$. Also, on $\left(d_{3}^{*}, d_{2}^{*}\right)$, we get $\psi(\xi)>0$ since on which $g^{\prime}, g^{\prime \prime}$ are positive.

Moreover, we have $\psi\left(d_{2}^{*}\right)>0, \psi\left(a_{2}\right)<0$, and this yields the second zero $c_{2}(\alpha, \beta)$ of $\psi(\xi)$ which is lying on $\left(d_{2}^{*}, a_{2}\right)$. Also, it is clear that $\psi(\xi)$ is strictly decreasing and concave for $\xi>a_{2}$. Hence, $\psi(\xi)$ has exactly two zeros $c_{1}, c_{2}$ satisfying $0<c_{1}<a_{1}$, $d_{2}^{*}<c_{2}<a_{2}$, respectively.

Remark 2.3. It is clear that the corresponding pair $(R, K)$ from $c_{1}(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies $R<0, K<0$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$. Also, from $f(\eta)=c_{1}(\alpha, \beta) g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta) / R$ with $\xi=c_{1} \eta$ and $0<c_{1}<a_{1}$, this implies that the corresponding injective solution of (BVP) is nonnegative, and concave for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$. Moreover, from (1.3), it is clear to obtain $g^{(i v)}\left(c_{2}\right)=g\left(c_{2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c_{2}\right)+\varphi c_{2}\left(g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{2}\right)\right)^{2}$. This implies that $g\left(c_{2}\right)>0$ since both $g^{(i v)}\left(c_{2}\right), g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(c_{2}\right)$ are negative. Therefore, the existence of $c_{2}$ 's will lead to the non-monotone suctive solutions $f(\eta)$ of (BVP) with $R>0, K>0$.

Note that the distribution of $c(\alpha, \beta)$ 's, the zeros of $g^{(k)}(\xi), k=1,2,3$, and the corresponding profiles of $f(\eta)$ are shown in Figure 2.2. Therefore, we conclude that (BVP) can only possess the following types of solutions:
(I) $f$ is a nonnegative and concave ;
(II) $f$ is nonnegative and non-concave, on which there exists an $\eta_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $\left(0, \eta_{1}\right)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}<0$ on ( $\left.\eta_{1}, 1\right)$;
(III) $f$ is non-monotone on which
(i) there exist an $\eta_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $\left(0, \eta_{1}\right)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}<0$ on $\left(\eta_{1}, 1\right)$;
(ii) there exist an $\eta_{2} \in\left(0, \eta_{1}\right)$ such that $f<0$ on $\left(0, \eta_{2}\right)$ and $f>0$ on $\left(\eta_{2}, 1\right)$.

Furthermore, also from Remarks 2.1 and 2.3, (BVP) possesses no nonnegative and concave solution for any pair $(R, K)$ when $R<0$ and $K>0$.


Fig. 2.2. The distribution of $c(\alpha, \beta)$ and the profile of the corresponding solutions of (BVP).

## 3. Solutions of (BVP)

As mentioned earlier, $\psi(\xi)$ possesses unique positive zero $c(\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2}$, $D_{3}$, or two positive zeros $c_{1}(\alpha, \beta)<c_{2}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$. All the zeros of $\psi(\xi)$ will lead to the solutions of (BVP) with the corresponding $R(\alpha, \beta), K(\alpha, \beta)$. For the convenience, define the solution sets for (BVP) in the $R-K$ plane by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{1}=\left\{\vec{x}(\alpha, \beta):(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2}\right\}, & \Gamma_{2}=\left\{\overrightarrow{x_{1}}(\alpha, \beta):(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}\right\} \\
\Gamma_{3}=\left\{\vec{x}(\alpha, \beta):(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{3}\right\}, & \Gamma_{4}=\left\{\overrightarrow{x_{2}}(\alpha, \beta):(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

where $\vec{x}(\alpha, \beta)=(R(\alpha, \beta), K(\alpha, \beta))$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2} \cup D_{3}$ and $\overrightarrow{x_{i}}(\alpha, \beta)=\left(R_{i}(\alpha, \beta)\right.$, $\left.K_{i}(\alpha, \beta)\right), i=1,2$, corresponding to $c_{1}(\alpha, \beta)<c_{2}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$. In order to verify that $\Gamma_{k}$ 's are connected, it is required to achieve the homogeneity property in the next section.

### 3.1. Homogeneity

As in [2], [4], let $h(\xi)=g(\xi / \lambda ; \alpha, \beta) / \lambda$, and $\lambda$ be a positive constant. Then, $h(\xi)$ satisfies the equation

$$
h^{\prime \prime \prime}(\xi)+\left(h^{\prime}(\xi)\right)^{2}-h(\xi) h^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=\beta / \lambda^{4}
$$

subjects to $h(0)=0, h^{\prime}(0)=\alpha / \lambda^{2}, h^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\left(\beta-\alpha^{2}\right) / \lambda^{4}$. This gives the homogeneity property of $g(\xi)$ as described below.

Lemma 3.5. For all $\lambda>0, g(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)=\lambda g\left(\lambda \xi ; \alpha / \lambda^{2}, \beta / \lambda^{4}\right)$.
Also, let $a(\alpha, \beta)$ be a positive zero of $g^{\prime}(\xi ; \alpha, \beta)$, if it does exist. Therefore, we have the following homogeneity properties for $c(\alpha, \beta), a(\alpha, \beta), R(\alpha, \beta)$ and $K(\alpha, \beta)$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
c(\alpha, \beta)=c\left(\alpha / \lambda^{2}, \beta / \lambda^{4}\right) / \lambda,  \tag{3.1}\\
a(\alpha, \beta)=a\left(\alpha / \lambda^{2}, \beta / \lambda^{4}\right) / \lambda,  \tag{3.2}\\
R(\alpha, \beta)=R\left(\alpha / \lambda^{2}, \beta / \lambda^{4}\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
K(\alpha, \beta)=K\left(\alpha / \lambda^{2}, \beta / \lambda^{4}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{i}, i=2,3,4$.
It should be pointed out that the obtained homogeneity yields that the corresponding $\left(R(\alpha, \beta), K(\alpha, \beta)\right.$ )'s are the same for any $(\alpha, \beta)$ lying on a given parabola $\beta=\gamma \alpha^{2}$ for some $\gamma \neq 1$ in $D_{2}, D_{3}$ or $D_{4}$. Therefore, $\Gamma_{k}$ 's can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{1}=\{\vec{x}(1, \gamma):-\infty<\gamma<1\}, \quad \Gamma_{2}=\left\{\overrightarrow{x_{1}}(\gamma, 1): \gamma \in(-1,0)\right\}, \\
& \Gamma_{3}=\{\vec{x}(\gamma, 1): \gamma \in[0,1)\}, \quad \Gamma_{4}=\left\{\overrightarrow{x_{1}}(\gamma, 1): \gamma \in(-1,0)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the homogeneity also yields an efficient numerical strategy in obtaining the graphs of $\Gamma_{k}$ 's. That is, instead of choosing $(\alpha, \beta)$ randomly, one may choose them along some simple curves on $D_{i}$ 's. Consequently, as shown in Figures 3.1, 3.2, the bifurcation diagrams of (BVP) do exhibit the existence of continuums of ( $R, K$ ), and it will be verified in the following sections.


Fig. 3.1. The bifurcation diagram of (BVP) for various positive $\varphi$ 's.


Fig. 3.2. The detail diagram of the branch $\Gamma_{4}$ of (BVP) for various $\varphi$ 's.

### 3.2. Nonnegative and concave solutions

It is clear that, from Remark 2.1, the corresponding $(R, K)$ 's for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{2}$ will lead to the type (I) solutions of (BVP). Therefore, we first have the following theorem for the suctive solutions.

Theorem 3.1. (BVP). Possesses a nonnegative and concave solution with suction if and only if $(R, K) \in \Gamma_{1}$.

Proof. We omit the proof of the sufficient condition, since it can be obtained directly from Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.1.

To verify the necessary condition, let $f(\eta)$ be a type (I) solution of (BVP) with $R>0$. It is clear that $f^{\prime}(0)$ is positive initially. Suppose $f^{\prime}(0)=\mu, b=\sqrt{\mu R}$ and $g(\xi)=R f(\xi / b) / b$, we get $g^{\prime}(\xi)=f^{\prime}(\xi / b) / \mu$, and it yields $\alpha=g^{\prime}(0)=f^{\prime}(0) / \mu=1$. Now, we need only to prove that $\beta<1$. To see this, from the facts that $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, $f^{\prime}(0)=\mu>0$ and $f(\eta)$ is a concave solution of (BVP), it yields $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)<0$. This implies that $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=K-R \mu^{2}<0$ and $\beta=K /\left(R \mu^{2}\right)<1$.

To explore the connected property of $\Gamma_{1}$, the limiting behavior of $(R, K)$ as $\gamma$ tends to $1^{-}$and $-\infty$ should be discussed.

Corollary 3.1. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} c(1, \gamma)=+\infty$; (b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-\infty} c(1, \gamma)=0$.

Proof. To prove assertion (a), we recall that $g^{\prime}(\xi ; 1,1)=1$, and $g^{\prime \prime}(\xi ; 1,1)=0$. Then, by the continuous dependence on initial data, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|1-g^{\prime}(c(1, \gamma) ; 1, \gamma)\right|<\varepsilon$ and $\left|0-g^{\prime \prime}(c(1, \gamma) ; 1, \gamma)\right|<\varepsilon$, whenever $\gamma \in$ $(1-\delta, 1)$. This implies that, for $\gamma \in(1-\delta, 1), c(1, \gamma)=-g^{\prime}(c(1, \gamma)) / \varphi g^{\prime \prime}(c(1, \gamma)) \geq$ $-(1-1 / \varepsilon) / \varphi$ and $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} c(1, \gamma)=+\infty$ is obtained.

We turn to verify assertion (b). From (3.1), $c(1, \gamma) \sqrt[4]{|\gamma|}=c(1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|},-1)$, if $\gamma<0$. Instead of study the limit of $c(1, \gamma)$ directly as $\gamma$ tends to $-\infty$, one can analyze the limit of $c(1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|},-1)$ if it does exist. By Lemma 2.2, we have $c(1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|},-1)<$ $a(1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|},-1)$, where $a$ is the zero of $g^{\prime}$. Then, we have $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-\infty} c(1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|},-1)=0$ since $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow \infty} a(1 / \sqrt{|\gamma|},-1)=0$ holds from Corollary 3.2 in [4]. Hence, the desired limit is obtained.

Now the limits of $(R, K)$, as $\gamma$ tends to $1^{-}$and $-\infty$, can be obtained from next corollary.

Corollary 3.2. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} R(1, \gamma)=+\infty, \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} K(1, \gamma)=+\infty$; (b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-\infty} R(1, \gamma)=0, \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-\infty} K(1, \gamma)=-3 /(1+3 \varphi)$.

Proof. To prove assertion (a), we also recall the results of Proposition 2.1(a), (c) and Lemma 2.2 that $g^{\prime}(\xi ; 1, \gamma)$ is concave and decreasing on $(0, c(1, \gamma))$. This yields $c(1, \gamma) \geq g(c(1, \gamma) ; 1, \gamma) \geq c(1, \gamma) / 2$, and, consequently, $R=c(1, \gamma) g(c(1, \gamma) ; 1, \gamma) \geq$ $c^{2}(1, \gamma) / 2$ and $K=\beta c^{4}(1, \gamma) / R \geq \gamma c^{2}(1, \gamma)$. Hence, we get the desired limits of $R$, $K$, as $\gamma$ tends to $1^{-}$.

To verify assertion (b), from the fact that $0<g(c(1, \gamma) ; 1, \gamma) \leq c(1, \gamma)$, we get

$$
0<R=c(1, \gamma) g(c(1, \gamma) ; 1, \gamma) \leq c(1, \gamma)^{2}
$$

and, then, the desired limit of $R$ is obtained, since $c(1, \gamma)$ tends to 0 as $\gamma$ tending to $-\infty$.

To obtain the desired limit of $K$, by integrating (1.3) and applying the initial conditions (1.4), (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
g^{\prime \prime}(c)=\beta c-\int_{0}^{c} G(\eta) d \eta  \tag{3.5}\\
g^{\prime}(c)=\frac{\beta c^{2}}{2}+\alpha-\int_{0}^{c} G(\eta)(c-\eta) d \eta  \tag{3.6}\\
g(c)=\frac{\beta c^{3}}{6}+\alpha c-\int_{0}^{c} G(\eta) \frac{(c-\eta)^{2}}{2} d \eta \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left.G(\eta)=\left(g^{\prime}(\eta)\right)^{2}-g(\eta) g^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right)$. Then, from (3.5)-(3.7), we further have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{c} G(\eta)\left(c^{2} \varphi+\frac{c^{2}-\eta^{2}}{2}\right) d \eta=g(c)[1+K(3 \varphi+1) / 3] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from Proposition 2.1(a), we have $G(0)=\alpha^{2}$ and $G(\eta)$ is increasing. This implies that $\alpha^{2} \leq G(\eta) \leq\left(g^{\prime}(c)^{2}-g(c) g^{\prime \prime}(c)\right)$ on $[0, c]$. Then, also from (3.8), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|1+K(3 \varphi+1) / 3| \leq \frac{c^{3}(\varphi+1 / 3)\left[g^{\prime}(c)^{2}-g(c) g^{\prime \prime}(c)\right]}{g(c)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (3.9) holds for $c=c(1, \gamma)$. Then, as $c$ tending to 0 , we get

$$
\left.G(\eta)=\left(g^{\prime}(\eta)\right)^{2}-g(\eta) g^{\prime \prime}(\eta)\right) \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{c}{g(c)} \rightarrow 1
$$

and the right-hand side of (3.9) tends to 0 , as $\gamma$ tending to $-\infty$. Thus, the desired limit of $K$ is obtained.

Note that, from the continuity, it is clear that $\Gamma_{1}$ is a continuum of one parameter in the half plane of $R>0, K \in \mathbb{R}$ connecting limit points $(0,-3 /(1+3 \varphi))$ and $(\infty, \infty)$. Moreover, (BVP) possesses at least one type (I) suctive solution for any positive $R$.

As in Theorem 3.1, the existence of type (I) injective solutions is obtained from the next theorem.

Theorem 3.2. (BVP). has a nonnegative and concave solution with injection if and only if $(R, K) \in \Gamma_{2}$.

Proof. As in Theorem 3.1, we omit the proof of the sufficient condition. To show the necessary condition, let $f(\eta)$ be a type (I) solution of (BVP). From the fact $R<0, K<0, \beta=1$ in (1.3) is trivial by setting $c=\sqrt[4]{R K}$. It remains to show $\alpha \in(-1,0)$. In fact, $f(\eta)$ is positive, concave initially, and therefore $f^{\prime}(0)=\mu>0$. From $f(\eta)=(c / R) g(\xi)$, we have $g^{\prime}(0)=\alpha=R \mu / c^{2}(\alpha, 1)<0$. Hence, $\alpha \in(-1,0)$ is obtained, since $g^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=1-\alpha^{2}>0$.

To explore the connected property of $\Gamma_{2}$, the limiting behavior of $(R, K)$ as $\gamma$ tends to $-1^{+}$and $0^{-}$should be discussed.

Corollary 3.3. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} c_{1}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$; (b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} c_{1}(\gamma, 1)=0$.
Proof. To prove assertion (a), we recall that $g^{\prime}(\xi ;-1,1)=-1, g^{\prime \prime}(\xi ;-1,1)=0$. Now from the continuous dependence on initial data, it is clear that for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|g^{\prime}\left(c_{1}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right)-(-1)\right|<\varepsilon$ and $\mid g^{\prime \prime}(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)-$ $0 \mid<\varepsilon$ for $\gamma \in(-1,-1+\delta)$. This yields that, for $\gamma \in(-1,-1+\delta), c_{1}(\gamma, 1)=$ $-g^{\prime}\left(c_{1}(\gamma, 1)\right) /\left[\varphi g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{1}(\gamma, 1)\right)\right] \geq(1-\varepsilon) /(\varphi \varepsilon)$, and, hence, $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1}+c_{1}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$.

To verify assertion (b), we recall again, from Proposition 2.1(d), that $d_{2}(0,1)<$ $d_{1}(0,1)$ where $d_{2}(0,1), d_{1}(0,1)$ are the roots of $g^{\prime \prime}(\xi ; 0,1)$ and $g^{\prime}(\xi ; 0,1)$ respectively. From $g^{\prime}(\varepsilon ; 0,1)>0$ for each $\varepsilon \in\left(0, d_{2}(0,1)\right)$ and the continuous dependence on initial data, we have that $g^{\prime}(\varepsilon ; \gamma, 1)>0$ when $\gamma$ is close to $0^{-}$. However, $g^{\prime}(\xi ; \gamma, 1)<0$ initially. This implies that $a_{1}(\gamma, 1) \in(0, \varepsilon)$ and $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} a_{1}(\gamma, 1)=0$. Thus, the desired limit is obtained since $c_{1}(\gamma, 1) \in\left(0, a_{1}(\gamma, 1)\right)$.

Now the limits of $R, K$ can be obtained easily by following the lines in Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} R_{1}(\gamma, 1)=-\infty, \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1+} K_{1}(\gamma, 1)=-\infty$; (b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} R_{1}(\gamma, 1)=0, \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} K_{1}(\gamma, 1)=-3 /(1+3 \varphi)$.

Note that $\Gamma_{2}$ is a continuum in the quadrant of $R<0, K<0$, connecting two limit points $(-\infty,-\infty)$ and $(0,-3 /(1+3 \varphi))$. Set $\Gamma_{*}=\Gamma_{1} \cup(0,-3 / 1+3 \varphi) \cup \Gamma_{2}$. This shows that (BVP) has at least one nonnegative, concave solution for every real $R$. In fact, our result of $\Gamma_{*}$ is consistent with the graph given in Figure 3.3.

### 3.3. Non-negative and non-concave solutions

By following the lines of Theorem 3.2, the existence of type (II) solutions can be obtained from the next theorem.

Theorem 3.3. (BVP) possesses a nonnegative and non-concave solution with suction if and only if $(R, K) \in \Gamma_{3}$.

Now the limits of $R, K$ can be obtained from the following corollaries.


Fig. 3.3. $\Gamma_{*}$ is a continuum in the $R-K$ plane connecting the limit points $(-\infty,-\infty)$, $(+\infty,+\infty)$.

Corollary 3.5. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} c(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$;
(b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{+}} c(\gamma, 1)=c(0,1)$, for some positive $c(0,1)$ varying in $\varphi$.

Proof. It is clear that $g^{\prime}(\xi ; 1,1) \equiv 1$ and $g^{\prime}(\xi ; 1,1) \equiv 0$ for all $\xi \geq 0$. By the continuous dependence, for $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\left|1-g^{\prime}(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)\right|<\varepsilon$ and $\left|0-g^{\prime \prime}(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)\right|<\varepsilon$ for $\gamma \in(1-\delta, 1)$, where $c(\gamma, 1) \in\left(d_{2}(\gamma, 1), d_{1}(\gamma, 1)\right)$ and $d_{i}(\gamma, 1)$ 's are defined in Proposition 2.1(d). This implies $c(\gamma, 1)=-g^{\prime}(c(\gamma, 1)) / \varphi g^{\prime \prime}$ $(c(\gamma, 1)) \geq(1-\varepsilon) / \varphi \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrarily small, thus $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} c(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$. Moreover, the assertion (b) is the direct consequence of the continuous dependence of $c(\alpha, \beta)$.

Corollary 3.6. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} R(\gamma, 1)=+\infty, \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} K(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$;
(b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{+}} R(\gamma, 1)=R(0,1)$, $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{+}} K(\gamma, 1)=K(0,1)$, for some positive $R(0,1), K(0,1)$ varying in $\varphi$.

Proof. To verify assertion (a), we first recall that $g^{\prime}(\xi ; 1,1) \equiv 1$, for all $\xi \geq 0$. By continuous dependence on initial data, given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $|g(\xi ; 1,1)-g(\xi ; \gamma, 1)|<\varepsilon$, for $\gamma \in(1-\delta, 1)$ and $|g(c(\gamma, 1) ; 1,1)-g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)|<\varepsilon$. Then, we get $|c(\gamma, 1)-g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)|<\varepsilon$, for $\gamma \in(1-\delta, 1)$. Now, from the expression of $R$, we get $R(\gamma, 1)=c(\gamma, 1) g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)>c^{2}(\gamma, 1)-\varepsilon c(\gamma, 1)$, for $\gamma \in(1-\delta, 1)$. This implies that $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} R(\gamma, 1) \geq \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} c^{2}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$.

The assertion of $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} K(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$ could be obtained by the continuous dependence. That is, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1) & =\int_{0}^{c(\gamma, 1)} g^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi \\
& \leq g^{\prime}\left(d_{2}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right) c(\gamma, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c(\gamma, 1)\left[\int_{0}^{d_{2}(\gamma, 1)} g^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+\gamma\right] \\
& <c(\gamma, 1)\left[c(\gamma, 1) g^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{3}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right)+\gamma\right] \\
& <c(\gamma, 1)\left[c^{2}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+\gamma\right] \\
& =c^{3}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+c(\gamma, 1) \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $1 / R>1 /\left[c^{4}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+c^{2}(\gamma, 1) \gamma\right]$, and, consequently,

$$
K=\frac{c^{4}(\gamma, 1)}{R}>\frac{c^{4}(\gamma, 1)}{c^{4}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+c^{2}(\gamma, 1) \gamma}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+\left[\gamma / c^{2}(\gamma, 1)\right]}
$$

when $\gamma$ sufficiently close to $1^{-}$. Thus, $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 1^{-}} K(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$.
To prove assertion (b), we recall from Lemma 2.3, $c(\gamma, 1)<a(\gamma, 1)$ with $g^{\prime}(a(\gamma, 1)$; $\gamma, 1)=0$ and $\gamma \in[0,1)$. Also from Corollary 4.2(b) in [4], Proposition 2.1(d) and Figure 2.1(3), it is clear that $c(\gamma, 1)$ and $g(c(0,1) ; 0,1)$ are bounded, for $\gamma$ sufficiently close to $0^{-}$. Now, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
|R(\gamma, 1)-R(0,1)|= & |c(\gamma, 1) g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)-c(0,1) g(c(0,1) ; 0,1)| \\
\leq & c(\gamma, 1)|g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1)-g(c(0,1) ; 0,1)| \\
& +g(c(0,1) ; 0,1)|c(\gamma, 1)-c(0,1)|
\end{aligned}
$$

and continuous property of $g(c(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1), c(\gamma, 1)$, we obtain $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{+}} R(\gamma, 1)=$ $R(0,1)$. The desired assertion of $K(0,1)$ could be obtained from the similar arguments.

It is clear from Corollary 3.5, 3.6 that $\Gamma_{3}$ is also a continuum in the quadrant of $R>0, K>0$ with the endpoint $(R(0,1), K(0.1))$ and the limit point $(\infty, \infty)$. The selected numerical data of $R(0,1), K(0,1)$ for various $\varphi$ are shown in Table 1 .

### 3.4. Non-monotone solutions

Recall that the second roots $c_{2}(\alpha, \beta)$ of $\psi(\xi)=0$, for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{4}$, will lead to the non-monotone solutions of (BVP) with positive $R, K$, since $g\left(c_{2}(\alpha, \beta)\right)>0$. In fact, by following the lines of Theorem 3.2, the existence of type (III) solutions can be obtained easily from the next theorem.

Theorem 3.4. (BVP). has a non-monotone solution with suction if and only if $(R, K) \in \Gamma_{4}$.

As in Sections 3.2, 3.4, the limits of $c_{2}(\gamma, 1)$, and the corresponding $R_{2}(\gamma, 1)$, $K_{2}(\gamma, 1)$ on $\Gamma_{4}$ can be obtained from next two corollaries.

Corollary 3.7. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} c_{2}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$; (b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} c_{2}(\gamma, 1)=c(0,1)$.
Proof. The assertion (a) is the direct consequence of the fact $c_{2}(\gamma, 1)>c_{1}(\gamma, 1)$ and $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} c_{1}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$. To prove the assertion (b), we again recall that $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} a_{2}(\gamma, 1)=a(0,1)$ with $g^{\prime}\left(a_{2}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right)=0$ for $\gamma \in(-1,0)$ from Corollary 5.2(b) in [2]. In fact, $c_{2}(\gamma, 1)<a_{2}(\gamma, 1)$ and $g\left(c_{2}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right)<g\left(a_{2}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right)$. We also have $c(0,1)<a(0,1), g(c(0,1) ; 0,1)<g(a(0,1) ; 0,1)$ with $g^{\prime}(a(0,1) ; 0,1)=0$. This implies that $g^{\prime}(c(0,1)), g^{\prime}\left(c_{2}(\gamma, 1)\right), g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{2}(\gamma, 1)\right)$ and $g^{\prime \prime}(c(0,1))$ are well-defined. Hence, from

$$
\left|c_{2}(\gamma, 1)-c(0,1)\right|=\frac{1}{\varphi}\left|\frac{g^{\prime}(c(\gamma, 1))}{g^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{2}(\gamma, 1)\right)}-\frac{g^{\prime}(c(0,1))}{g^{\prime \prime}(c(0,1))}\right|
$$

and the continuous dependence, the assertion (b) is obtained.
Now the limiting points $(R(0,1), K(0,1)),(+\infty,+\infty)$ of $\Gamma_{4}$ can be obtained from the next Corollary, where $(R(0,1), K(0,1))$ is defined in Corollary 3.6.

Corollary 3.8. (a). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} R_{2}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$, $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} K_{2}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$, for sufficiently small $\varphi$;
(b). $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} R_{2}(\gamma, 1)=R(0,1)$, $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0^{-}} K_{2}(\gamma, 1)=K(0,1)$, for some positive $R(0,1), K(0,1)$.

Proof. We omit the verification of the assertion (b), since it is similar to the ones in Corollary 3.6(b). To prove assertion (a), we recall the fact $g\left(a_{2}(\gamma, 1)\right)>1$ for $\gamma \in(-1,0)$, from Corollary 5.3(b) in [4]. It is clear that $\psi(\xi)=\psi(\xi, \varphi)$ and $\psi\left(a_{2}(\gamma, 1), 0\right)=0$. Then, by the implicit function theorem, for sufficiently small $\varphi$, $c_{2}(\gamma, 1):=c_{2}(\gamma, 1 ; \varphi)$ is a continuous function of $\varphi$ with $c_{2}(\gamma, 1 ; 0)=a_{2}(\gamma, 1)$. That is, for sufficiently small $\varphi, g\left(c_{2}(\gamma, 1 ; \varphi)\right)>1$ for $\gamma \in(-1,0)$. Then, the desired limit of $R_{2}(\gamma, 1)$ is obtained.

The assertion $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} K_{2}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$ is easily obtained by the continuous dependence, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(c_{2}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right) & =\int_{0}^{c_{2}(\gamma, 1)} g^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi \leq g^{\prime}\left(d_{2}^{*}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right) c_{2}(\gamma, 1) \\
& =c_{2}(\gamma, 1)\left[\int_{0}^{d_{2}^{*}(\gamma, 1)} g^{\prime \prime}(\xi) d \xi+\gamma\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq c_{2}(\gamma, 1)\left[d_{2}^{*}(\gamma, 1) g^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{3}^{*}(\gamma, 1) ; \gamma, 1\right)+\gamma\right] \\
& <c_{2}(\gamma, 1)\left[c_{2}^{2}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+\gamma\right] \\
& =c_{2}^{3}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)+c_{2}(\gamma, 1) \gamma \\
& \leq c_{2}^{3}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $1 / R_{2}>1 /\left[c_{2}^{4}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)\right]$, and, consequently,

$$
K_{2}=\frac{c_{2}^{4}(\gamma, 1)}{R_{2}}>\frac{c_{2}^{4}(\gamma, 1)}{c_{2}^{4}(\gamma, 1)\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)},
$$

when $\gamma$ is sufficiently closed to $-1^{+}$. Thus, $\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow-1^{+}} K_{2}(\gamma, 1)=+\infty$.
Note that for any given positive $\varphi$ the point $(R(0,1), K(0.1))$ on $\Gamma_{3}$ corresponds to a non-negative, non-concave, suctive solution, and therefore, it is a limit point of the continuum $\Gamma_{4}$. Moreover, $(+\infty,+\infty)$ is also a limit point of $\Gamma_{3}$. Furthermore, $\Gamma^{*}=\Gamma_{3} \cup \Gamma_{4}$ forms a continuum in the quadrant of $R>0, K>0$.

## 4. Concluding Remarks

In summary, (BVP) can only possess three different types of solutions, and there exist two continuums $\Gamma_{*}=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup(0,-3 /(1+3 \varphi)), \Gamma^{*}=\Gamma_{3} \cup \Gamma_{4}$, on which each point corresponds to one solution of certain type. Now, our main result can be easily obtained from the following theorems:

Theorem 4.1. Given any positive $\varphi$, (BVP) possesses at least one nonnegative and concave solution for every real $R$.

It should be pointed out that our numerical simulation has indicated that there exists a turning point $(R(\varphi), K(\varphi))$ on $\Gamma_{4}$, as shown in Figure 3.2, with $R(\varphi)<R(0,1)$ for various positive $\varphi$, and the selected data of $R(\varphi)$ are also shown in Table 1. Therefore, in addition to the type (I) suctive solutions, one can conjecture that (BVP) has at least two suctive solutions for $R \geq R(\varphi)$. However, our mathematical analysis for the existence of type (II), (III) solutions can only be concluded from the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Given any sufficiently small $\varphi>0$, (BVP) possesses at least one nonnegative, non-concave suctive solution, and at least one non-monotone suctive solution for $R \geq R(0,1)$, for some positive $R(0,1)$ varying in $\varphi$.

Theorem 4.3. Given any positive $\varphi>0$, (BVP) possesses no solution for $R \leq 0$, $K \geq 0$.

Also note that the slip coefficient $\varphi$ is in general small. Therefore, our result in Theorem 4.2 is indeed of physical interest. Furthermore, the classification in Section 2 also leads to the following nonexistence result:

Table 1. The selected data of $R(\varphi), R(0,1)$ and $K(0,1)$ for various $\varphi$

| slip coefficient $\varphi$ | $R(\varphi)$ | $R(0,1)$ | $K(0,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 10.76550192 | 11.79506983 | 8.15546349 |
| 0.5 | 7.29223018 | 9.47002532 | 7.32688514 |
| 1.0 | 5.81827450 | 8.60689265 | 7.12537175 |
| 1.5 | 4.97836919 | 8.25410685 | 7.05187182 |
| 2.0 | 4.38847257 | 7.98255317 | 6.99820150 |
| 3.0 | 3.80720381 | 7.78080318 | 6.95981675 |
| 10.0 | 2.71654423 | 7.44868627 | 6.89916457 |

It should be addressed that the verification of the precise multiplicity of the solutions of (BVP) is still open, although our numerical simulation has exhibited some monotone phenomena for the continuums.
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