TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 1183-1199, June 2011 This paper is available online at http://www.tjm.nsysu.edu.tw/

L_p RADIAL MINKOWSKI HOMOMORPHISMS

Wei Wang*, Lijuan Liu and Binwu He

Abstract. Intersection bodies define a continuous and GL(n) contravariant valuation which plays a crucial role in the solution of the Busemann-Petty problem. In this paper, we introduce the concept of L_p radial Minkowski homomorphisms and consider the Busemann-Petty type problem whether $\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L$ implies $V(K) \leq V(L)$, where Φ_p is a homogeneous of degree $\left(\frac{n}{p}-1\right)$, continuous operator on star bodies which is an SO(n) equivariant valuation. Previous results by Schuster are generalized to a large class of L_p radial valuations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $vol_k(K)$ denote the k-dimensional Lebesgue measure of a compact convex set K. Instead of vol_n we usually write V. Let B denote the Euclidean unit ball and S^{n-1} the Euclidean unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Let K be a body that is star-shaped with respect to the origin in \mathbb{R}^n . The radial function of K is given by

(1.1)
$$\rho_K(u) = max\{\lambda \ge 0 : \lambda u \in K\}, \ u \in S^{n-1}.$$

We call K a star body if $\rho_K(\cdot)$ is continuous on S^{n-1} and K contains the origin in its interior. The radial distance of star bodies K and L is defined by $\delta(K, L) = \max_{u \in S^{n-1}} |\rho_K(u) - \rho_L(u)|$. A compact, convex set in \mathbb{R}^n is said to be a convex body if it has non-empty interior.

The Busemann-Petty problem (see [5]) asks the following question: Suppose that K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$vol_{n-1}(K \cap u^{\perp}) \le vol_{n-1}(L \cap u^{\perp}), \ \forall u \in S^{n-1}.$$

*Corresponding author.

Received October 3, 2009, accepted December 25, 2009.

Communicated by J. C. Yao.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A40, 52A20.

Key words and phrases: Valuations, L p radial sum, Busemann-Petty problem.

Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, (Grant NO.11071156 and No. 10971128), Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (Project No. J50101) and the Innovation Funds for Graduates of Shanghai University (SHUCX092003).

Wei Wang, Lijuan Liu and Binwu He

Does it follow that

 $V(K) \le V(L)?$

The Busemann-Petty problem has an affirmative answer if $n \le 4$ and a negative answer if $n \ge 5$. The solution appeared as the result of a sequence of papers: $[20]n \ge 12$, $[3] n \ge 10$, [10] and $[4] n \ge 7$, [29] and $[6] n \ge 5$, [7] n = 3, [37] and [9] n = 4. For a detailed account of the interesting history of the Busemann-Petty problem, see the books by Gardner [8] and Koldobsky [19].

The key to the complete solution of the Busemann-Petty problem in all dimensions, a connection between the problem and intersection bodies, was discovered by Lutwak [25] in 1988. The intersection body IK of a star body K is defined by

$$\rho(IK, u) = vol_{n-1}(K \cap u^{\perp}), \ u \in S^{n-1}.$$

From (1.1) and the fact that star bodies K and L satisfy $K \subset L$ if and only if $\rho(K, \cdot) \leq \rho(L, \cdot)$, we see that the Busemann-Petty problem can be rephrased in the following way: Let K and L be origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Is there the implication

(1.2)
$$IK \subset IL \Rightarrow V(K) \leq V(L)?$$

If K is restricted to the class of intersection bodies, the Busemann-Petty problem has an affirmative answer. In addition, if L is a sufficiently smooth origin-symmetric star body with positive radial function which is not an intersection body, then there exists an origin-symmetric star body K such that $IK \subset IL$ but V(K) > V(L) (see [25]). It is well known that the intersection body operator is a radial valuation.

A function Φ defined on the space S^n of star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and taking values in an abelian semigroup is called a radial valuation if

(1.3)
$$\Phi(K \cup L) + \Phi(K \cap L) = \Phi K + \Phi L,$$

whenever $K, L, K \cup L, K \cap L \in S^n$, respectively.

The theory of real valued valuations is at the center of convex geometry. Blaschke started a systematic investigation in the 1930s and then Hadwiger focused on classifying valuations on compact convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n and obtained famous Hadwiger's Characterization Theorem. The survey [28] and the book[18] are an excellent source for the classical theory of valuations. For some of the more recent results, see [1, 2, 13-17, 20-24, 31-35].

First results on star body valued valuations were obtained by Klain [17] in 1996, where addition of star bodies is radial sum defined by $K + L = \{x + y : x \in K, y \in L\}$, where x + y is defined to be the usual vector sum of the points x and y, if both of them are contained in a line through origin, and 0 otherwise. Moreover, he obtained a classification theorem for homogeneous valuations on star-shaped bodies

which is a dual analogue of Hadwiger's Characterization Theorem of the elementary Minkowski mixed volumes.

A valuation Φ is called SO(n) equivariant, if for all $\vartheta \in SO(n)$ and all $K \in S^n$,

(1.4)
$$\Phi(\vartheta K) = \vartheta \Phi K.$$

A valuation Φ is called *p*-homogeneous, if for $K \in S^n$ and $\lambda \ge 0$,

(1.5)
$$\Phi(\lambda K) = \lambda^p \Phi K.$$

A map $\Phi : S^n \to S^n$ is called an (n-1)-homogeneous radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphism if it is continuous, SO(n) equivariant and satisfies $\Phi(K + n-1L) = \Phi K + \Phi L$. Here K + n-1L denotes the L_{n-1} radial sum of the star bodies K and L (see Section 2 for a precise definition). Obviously, a radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphism is a continuous radial valuation which is SO(n) equivariant and (n-1)-homogeneous. Schuster introduced radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphisms and studied the Busemann-Petty problem type problem for them.

Theorem A. ([34]). Let $\Phi : S^n \to S^n$ be a radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphism. If $K \in \Phi S^n$ and $L \in S^n$, then

$$\Phi K \subseteq \Phi L \Rightarrow vol_n(K) \le vol_n(L),$$

and V(K) = V(L), if and only if K = L.

Theorem B. ([34]). Let $\Phi : S^n \to S^n$ be a radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphism. If $S^n(\Phi)$ does not coincide with S^n , then there exist star bodies $K, L \in S^n$, such that

$$\Phi K \subseteq \Phi L,$$

but

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Here $S^n(\Phi)$ *denotes the injectivity set of* Φ *(see Section 3 for a precise definition).*

In recent years the investigations of convex body and star body valued valuations have received great attention from a series of articles by Ludwig[21-24], see also[14]. She started systematic studies and established complete classifications of convex and star body valued valuations with respect to L_p Minkowski sum and L_p radial which are compatible with the action of the group GL(n). Based on these results, we study in this article the Busemann-Petty type problem for L_p radial Minkowski homomorphisms. We generalize the results of Schucher as follows: **Theorem 1.1.** Let $0 and let <math>\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ be an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism. If $K \in \Phi_p S^n$ and $L \in S^n$, then

(1.6)
$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L \Rightarrow V(K) \le V(L),$$

and V(K) = V(L), if and only if K = L. If p > n, then

$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L \Rightarrow V(K) \ge V(L),$$

and V(K) = V(L), if and only if K = L.

Theorem 1.2. Let $0 and let <math>\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ be an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism. If $S^n(\Phi_p)$ does not coincide with S^n , then there exist star bodies $K, L \in S^n$, such that

(1.7)
$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L,$$

but

$$(1.8) V(K) > V(L).$$

If p > n, the inequality (1.8) is reverse.

2. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Let S^n be the space of star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and let S_e^n denote the subset of S^n that contains the origin-symmetric star bodies. We call a star body trivial if it contains only the origin. A star body $L \in S^n$ is defined by the values of its radial function $\rho(L, \cdot)$ on S^{n-1} . From the definition of $\rho(L, \cdot)$, it follows immediately that for $\lambda > 0$ and $\vartheta \in SO(n)$,

(2.1)
$$\rho(\lambda L, u) = \lambda \rho(L, u) \text{ and } \rho(\vartheta L, u) = \rho(L, \vartheta^{-1}u).$$

For $K, L \in S^n$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \neq 0$, the L_p radial sum $K + p\varepsilon \cdot L$ is the star body defined by

(2.2)
$$\rho(K +_p \varepsilon \cdot L, \cdot)^p = \rho(K, \cdot)^p + \varepsilon \rho(L, \cdot)^p,$$

where this addition and scalar multiplication are obviously dependent on p. The L_p dual mixed volume, $\tilde{V}_p(K, L)$, of K and L is defined by (see [27])

$$\frac{n}{p}\widetilde{V}_p(K,L) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{V(K+p\varepsilon \cdot L) - V(K)}{\varepsilon}.$$

The definition above and the polar coordinate formula for volume give the following integral representation of the dual mixed volume $\tilde{V}_p(K, L)$

(2.3)
$$\widetilde{V}_p(K,L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n-p}(v) \rho_L^p(v) dS(v),$$

where the integration is with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure S on S^{n-1} . From the formula (2.3), it follows immediately that for each $K \in S^n$,

(2.4)
$$\widetilde{V}_p(K,K) = V(K)$$

From an application of the Hölder inequality, one can get the Minkowski inequality for the L_p dual mixed volume (see [12]).

Lemma 2.1. For
$$K, L \in S^n$$
, if $0 , then$

(2.5)
$$\widetilde{V}_p(K,L) \le V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates; If p < 0 or p > n, then

(2.6)
$$\widetilde{V}_p(K,L) \ge V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

The quasi- L_p intersection body I_pK of a star body was introduced in [36]: Let K be a star body in \mathbb{R}^n , the quasi- L_p intersection body I_pK is defined by:

(2.7)
$$\rho(I_p K, u)^p = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp} \rho(K, u)^{n-p} dS(u).$$

It is easy to check that $I_1K = (n-1)IK$ and $I_nK = ((n-1)\omega_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{n}}B$.

Lemma 2.2. The operator $I_p : S^n \to S^n$ has the following properties:

- (a) I_p is continuous with respect to radial metric.
- (b) $I_p(K \stackrel{\sim}{+}_{n-p} L) = I_p K \stackrel{\sim}{+}_p I_p L$ for all $K, L \in S^n$.
- (c) I_p is SO(n) equivariant, i.e., $I_p(\vartheta K) = \vartheta I_p K$ for all $\vartheta \in SO(n)$.

Proof. Since the p-th power of a continuous function is still continuous, (a) holds. From (2.7) and (2.2), we have

$$\rho(I_p(K + n-p L), u)^p = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp} \rho(K + n-p L, u)^{n-p} dS(u)$$

=
$$\int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp} \rho(K, u)^{n-p} dS(u) + \int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^\perp} \rho(L, u)^{n-p} dS(u)$$

=
$$\rho(I_p K, u)^p + \rho(I_p L, u)^p$$

=
$$\rho(I_p K + p I_p L, u)^p.$$

Wei Wang, Lijuan Liu and Binwu He

It remains to prove (b).

Using definition (2.7) and noting (2.1), for any $\vartheta \in SO(n)$ and $u \in S^{n-1}$, $\vartheta u \in S^{n-1}$, and $u \cdot v = 0$ if and only if $\vartheta^t u \cdot \vartheta^{-1} v = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{split} \rho(I_p \vartheta K, u)^p &= \int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}} \rho(\vartheta K, u)^{n-p} dS(u) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1} \cap u^{\perp}} \rho(K, \vartheta^t u)^{n-p} dS(u) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1} \cap (\vartheta^t u)^{\perp}} \rho(K, u)^{n-p} dS(u) \\ &= \rho(I_p K, \vartheta^t u)^p = \rho(\vartheta^{-t} I_p K, u)^p \\ &= \rho(\vartheta I_p K, u)^p. \end{split}$$

This proves (c).

Some basic notions on spherical harmonics will be required. The background material on spherical harmonics is presented as in Schuster [34]. As usual, SO(n) and S^{n-1} will be equipped with the invariant probability measures. Let C(SO(n)), $C(S^{n-1})$ be the spaces of continuous functions on SO(n) and S^{n-1} with uniform topology and let $\mathcal{M}(SO(n)), \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$ denote their dual spaces of signed finite Borel measures with weak* topology. The group SO(n) acts on these spaces by left translation, i.e., for $f \in C(S^{n-1})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$, we have $\vartheta f(u) = f(\vartheta^{-1}u), \vartheta \in SO(n)$, and $\vartheta \mu$ is the image measure of μ under the rotation ϑ . If $\mu, \sigma \in \mathcal{M}(SO(n))$, the convolution $\mu * \sigma$ is defined by^[34]:

(2.8)
$$\int_{SO(n)} f(\vartheta) d(\mu * \sigma)(\vartheta) = \int_{SO(n)} \int_{SO(n)} f(\eta \tau) d\mu(\eta) d\sigma(\tau),$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(SO(n))$. The sphere S^{n-1} is identified with the homogeneous space SO(n)/SO(n-1), where SO(n-1) denotes the subgroup of rotations leaving the pole \hat{e} of S^{n-1} fixed. The projection from SO(n) onto S^{n-1} is $\vartheta \mapsto \hat{\vartheta} := \vartheta \hat{e}$. Right SO(n-1)-invariant functions on SO(n) are defined by $\check{f}(\vartheta) = f(\hat{\vartheta})$, for $f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$. In fact, $\mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ is isomorphic to the subspace of right SO(n-1)-invariant functions in $\mathcal{C}(SO(n))$ and this correspondence carries over to an identification of the space $\mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$ with right SO(n-1)-invariant measures in $\mathcal{M}(SO(n))$. It is easy to check that the Dirac measure $\delta_{\hat{e}}$ is the unique rightneutral element for the convolution on $\mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$.

The convolution $\mu * f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(SO(n))$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ is defined by ^[34]

(2.9)
$$(\mu * f)(u) = \int_{SO(n)} \vartheta f(u) d\mu(\vartheta).$$

The canonical pairing of $f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$ is defined by ^[34]

(2.10)
$$\langle \mu, f \rangle = \langle f, \mu \rangle = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) d\mu(u).$$

If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$, then

(2.11)
$$\langle \mu * \nu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, f * \nu \rangle.$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ is called zonal, if $\vartheta f = f$ for every $\vartheta \in SO(n-1)$. Zonal functions depend only on the value $u \cdot \hat{e}$. The set of continuous zonal functions on S^{n-1} will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ and the definition of $\mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ is analogous. A map $\Lambda : \mathcal{C}[-1, 1] \to \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ defined by

(2.12)
$$\Lambda f(u) = f(u \cdot \hat{e}), \ u \in S^{n-1}.$$

The map Λ is also an isomorphism between functions on [-1, 1] and zonal functions on S^{n-1} .

If
$$f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}), \mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$$
 and $\eta \in SO(n)$, then

(2.13)
$$(f * \mu)(\widehat{\eta}) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\eta u) d\mu(u).$$

If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$, for each $f \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ and every $\vartheta \in SO(n)$, then

(2.14)
$$(\vartheta f) * \mu = \vartheta (f * \mu).$$

We use \mathcal{H}_k^n to denote the finite dimensional vector space of spherical harmonics of dimension n and order k. Let N(n,k) denote the dimension of \mathcal{H}_k^n . The space of all finite sums of spherical harmonics of dimension n is denoted by \mathcal{H}^n . The spaces \mathcal{H}_k^n are pairwise orthogonal with respect to the usual inner product on $\mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$. Clearly, \mathcal{H}_k^n is invariant with respect to rotations.

Let $P_k^n \in \mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$ denote the Legendre polynomial of dimension n and order k. The zonal function ΛP_k^n is up to a multiplicative constant the unique zonal spherical harmonic in \mathcal{H}_k^n . In each space \mathcal{H}_k^n we choose an orthonormal basis $H_{k1}, \dots, H_{kN(n,k)}$. The collection $\{H_{k1}, \dots, H_{kN(n,k)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms a complete orthogonal system in $\mathcal{L}^2(S^{n-1})$. In particular, for every $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(S^{n-1})$, the series

$$f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k f$$

converges to f in the $\mathcal{L}^2(S^{n-1})$ -norm, where $\pi_k f \in \mathcal{H}_k^n$ is the orthogonal projection of f on the space \mathcal{H}_k^n . Using well-known properties of the Legendre polynomials, it is not hard to show that

(2.15)
$$\pi_k f = N(n,k)(f * \Lambda P_k^n).$$

This motivates the spherical expansion of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$,

(2.16)
$$\mu \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi_k \mu,$$

where $\pi_k \mu \in \mathcal{H}_k^n$ is defined by

(2.17)
$$\pi_k \mu = N(n,k)(\mu * \Lambda P_k^n)$$

From $P_0^n(t) = 1$, N(n, 0) = 1 and $P_1^n(t) = t$, N(n, 1) = n, we obtain, for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$, the following special cases of (2.17):

(2.18)
$$\pi_0 \mu = \mu(S^{n-1}) \text{ and } (\pi_1 \mu)(u) = n \int_{S^{n-1}} u \cdot v d\mu(v)$$

Let κ_n denote the volume of the Euclidean unit ball *B*. By definition (2.3) and (2.18), for every star body $K \in S^n$, it follows that

(2.19)
$$\kappa_n \pi_0 \rho(K, \cdot)^p = \widetilde{V}_p(B, K) \text{ and } \kappa_n \pi_0 \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} = \widetilde{V}_p(K, B).$$

A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$ is uniquely determined by its series expansion (2.16). Using the fact that ΛP_k^n is (essentially) the unique zonal function in \mathcal{H}_k^n , a simple calculation shows that for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ formula (2.17) becomes

(2.20)
$$\pi_k \mu = N(n,k) \langle \mu, \Lambda P_k^n \rangle \Lambda P_k^n.$$

Thus, a zonal measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ is defined by its so-called Legendre coefficients $\mu_k := \langle \mu, \Lambda P_k^n \rangle$. Using $\pi_k H = H$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_k^n$ and the fact that spherical convolution of zonal measures is commutative, we have the Funk-Hecke Theorem: If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_k^n$, then $H * \mu = \mu_k H$.

A map $\Phi: \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1})$. is called a multiplier transformation^[34] if there exist real numbers c_k , the multipliers of Φ , such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

(2.21)
$$\pi_k \Phi \mu = c_k \pi_k \mu, \ \forall \mu \in \mathcal{D}.$$

3. L_p Radial Minkowski Homomorphisms and Convolutions

A map $\Phi_p: \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{S}^n$ is called an L_p radial valuation^[14]: if

(3.1)
$$\Phi(K \cup L) +_p \Phi(K \cap L) = \Phi K +_p \Phi L,$$

whenever $K, L, K \cup L, K \cap L \in S^n$.

Definition 3.1. A map $\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ satisfying properties (a), (b) and (c) from Lemma 2.2 is called an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism.

It is easy to check that an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism is an L_p radial valuation.

In order to prove our results, we need to quote some lemmas. We call a map $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ monotone, if non-negative functions are mapped to non-negative ones.

Lemma 3.1. ([32]). A map $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ is a monotone, linear map that is SO(n) equivariant if and only if there is a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ such that

(3.2)
$$\Phi f = f * \mu.$$

Lemma 3.2. ([11]). Let $\mu_m, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(SO(n)), m = 1, 2, \cdots$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(SO(n))$. If $\mu_m \to \mu$ weakly, then $f * \mu_m \to f * \mu$ and $\mu_m * f \to \mu * f$ uniformly.

Theorem 3.3. A map $\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ is an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism if and only if there is a non-negative measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ such that

(3.3)
$$\rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p = \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu.$$

Proof. Suppose that a map $\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ satisfies $\rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p = \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu$, where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ is a nonnegative measure. The continuity of Φ_p follows from the fact that the radial function $\rho(K, \cdot)$ is continuous with respect to radial metric. From (2.1), for $\vartheta \in SO(n)$, we obtain

$$\rho(\Phi_p\vartheta K,\cdot)^p = \rho(\vartheta K,\cdot)^{n-p} * \mu = \rho(K,\vartheta^{-1}\cdot)^{n-p} * \mu = \rho(\Phi_pK,\vartheta^{-1}\cdot)^p = \rho(\vartheta\Phi_pK,\cdot)^p$$

Taking K = L in (3.3), we have

(3.4)
$$\rho(\Phi_p L, \cdot)^p = \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu.$$

Combining with (2.2) (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

(3.5)

$$\rho(\Phi_p K +_p \Phi_p L, \cdot)^p = \rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p + \rho(\Phi_p L, \cdot)^p$$

$$= \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu + \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu$$

$$= (\rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} + \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p}) * \mu$$

$$= \rho(K +_{n-p} L, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu$$

$$= \rho(\Phi_p(K +_{n-p} L), \cdot)^p.$$

Thus maps of the form of (3.3) are L_p radial Minkowski homomorphisms (satisfy the properties (a), (b)and (c) from Lemma 2.2). Thus, we have to show that for every such operator Φ_p , there is a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ such that (3.3) holds. Since every positive continuous function on S^{n-1} can be the radial function of some star body, the vector space $\{\rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p} : K, L \in S^n\}$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$. The operator $\overline{\Phi} : \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ is defined by

(3.6)
$$\bar{\Phi}f = \rho(\Phi_p K_1, \cdot)^p - \rho(\Phi_p K_2, \cdot)^p,$$

where $f = \rho(K_1, \cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(K_2, \cdot)^{n-p}$. The operator $\overline{\Phi}$ for $g = \rho(L_1, \cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(L_2, \cdot)^{n-p}$ immediately yields:

(3.7)
$$\bar{\Phi}g = \rho(\Phi_p L_1, \cdot)^p - \rho(\Phi_p L_2, \cdot)^p$$

Combining with (3.6), (3.7), (2.2), and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Phi}f + \bar{\Phi}g &= \rho(\Phi_p K_1, \cdot)^p - \rho(\Phi_p K_2, \cdot)^p + \rho(\Phi_p L_1, \cdot)^p - \rho(\Phi_p L_2, \cdot)^p \\ &= \rho(\Phi_p K_1 \widetilde{+}_p \Phi_p L_1, \cdot)^p - \rho(\Phi_p K_2 \widetilde{+}_p \Phi_p L_2, \cdot)^p \\ &= \rho(\Phi_p (K_1 \widetilde{+}_{n-p} L_1), \cdot)^p - \rho(\Phi_p (K_2 \widetilde{+}_{n-p} L_2), \cdot)^p \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(\rho(K_1 \widetilde{+}_{n-p} L_1, \cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(K_2 \widetilde{+}_{n-p} L_2, \cdot)^{n-p}) \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(\rho(K_1, \cdot)^{n-p} + \rho(L_1, \cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(K_2, \cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(L_2, \cdot)^{n-p}) \\ &= \bar{\Phi}(f + g) \end{split}$$

So the operator $\overline{\Phi}$ is linear.

Noting that Φ_p is an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism and $\vartheta f(u) = f(\vartheta^{-1}u)$, we obtain that the operator $\overline{\Phi}$ is SO(n) equivariant.

Since the cone of radial functions is invariant under $\overline{\Phi}$, it is also monotone. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there is a non-negative measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ such that $\overline{\Phi}f = f * \mu$. The statement now follows from $\overline{\Phi}\rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} = \rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p$. \Box

For example, the generating measure of the quasi- L_p intersection body operator $I_p: S^n \to S^n$ is the invariant measure $\mu_{S_0^{n-2}}$ concentrated on $S_0^{n-2} = S^{n-1} \cap \hat{e}^{\perp}$ with total mass $(n-1)\omega_{n-1}$:

$$\rho(I_pK,\cdot)^p = \rho(K,\cdot)^{n-p} * \mu_{S_0^{n-2}}.$$

Theorem 3.4. If $\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ is an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism, then, for $K, L \in S^n$,

(3.8)
$$V_p(K, \Phi_p L) = V_p(L, \Phi_p K).$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ be the generating measure of Φ_p . Applying

definition (2.3), Theorem 3.3 and (2.11), it follows that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{V}_p(K, \Phi_p L) &= \kappa_n \langle \rho(\Phi_p L, \cdot)^p, \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} \rangle \\ &= \kappa_n \langle \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu, \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} \rangle \\ &= \kappa_n \langle \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p}, \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu \rangle \\ &= \kappa_n \langle \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p}, \rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p \rangle \\ &= \widetilde{V}_p(L, \Phi_p K). \end{split}$$

Using Theorem 3.3 and the fact that spherical convolution operators are multiplier transformations, one obtains that

Lemma 3.5. If Φ_p is an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism which is generated by the zonal measure μ , then, for every star body $K \in S^n$,

(3.9)
$$\pi_k \rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p = \mu_k \pi_k \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p},$$

where the numbers μ_k are the Legendre coefficients of μ .

Definition 3.2. If Φ_p is an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism, generated by the zonal measure μ , then we call the subset $S^n(\Phi_p)$ of S^n , defined by

(3.10)
$$\mathcal{S}^n(\Phi_p) = \{ K \in \mathcal{S}^n : \pi_k \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} = o \text{ if } \mu_k = 0 \},$$

the injectivity set of Φ_p . It is easy to verify that for every L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism, the set $S^n(\Phi_p)$ is a non-empty rotation and dilatation invariant subset of which is closed under L_p radial sum. By Lemma 3.5, a star body $K \in S^n(\Phi_p)$ is uniquely determined by its image $\Phi_p K$.

Definition 3.3. A star body $K \in S^n$ is called *p*-polynomial if $\rho(K, \cdot)^p \in \mathcal{H}^n$. Clearly, the set of *p*-polynomial star bodies is dense in S^n and the set of all origin-symmetric polynomial star bodies is dense in S_e^n .

Theorem 3.6. If $\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ is an L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism such that $S_e^n \subseteq S^n(\Phi_p)$, then for every p-polynomial star body $L \in S_e^n$, there exist origin-symmetry star bodies $K_1, K_2 \in S_e^n$ such that

$$L+_p\Phi K_1 = \Phi K_2.$$

Proof. Let $L \in S^n$ be a *p*-polynomial star body. From definition (3.3) we have

(3.12)
$$\rho(L, \cdot)^p = \sum_{k=0}^m \pi_k \rho(L, \cdot)^p.$$

Since $L \in S_e^n$ and by the properties of the orthogonal projection of f on the space \mathcal{H}_k^n , we have $\pi_k \rho(L, \cdot)^p = 0$ for all odd $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \hat{e})$ be the generating measure of Φ_p and let μ_k denote the Legendre coefficients of μ . From $\mathcal{S}_e^n \subseteq \mathcal{S}^n(\Phi_p)$ and definition (3.2), it follows that $\mu_k \neq 0$ for every even $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

(3.13)
$$f := \sum_{k=0}^{m} c_k \pi_k \rho(L, \cdot)^p,$$

where $c_k = 0$ for odd and $c_k = \mu_k^{-1}$ if k is even. Clearly, f is an even continuous function on S^{n-1} and since spherical convolution operators are multiplier transformations, one can obtain

(3.14)
$$f * \mu = \sum_{k=0}^{m} c_k \mu_k \pi_k \rho(L, \cdot)^p = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \pi_k \rho(L, \cdot)^p = \rho(L, \cdot)^p.$$

Denote by f^+ and f^- the positive and negative parts of f and let K_1 and K_2 be the star bodies such that $\rho(K_1, \cdot)^{n-p} = f^-$ and $\rho(K_2, \cdot)^{n-p} = f^+$. Hence, (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$\rho(K_2, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu = \rho(K_1, \cdot)^{n-p} * \mu + \rho(L, \cdot)^p.$$

By Theorem 3.3, it follows that

$$L\widetilde{+}_p\Phi_pK_1 = \Phi_pK_2.$$

4. MAIN RESULTS

Let $\Phi_p : S^n \to S^n$ be a non-trivial L_p radial Minkowski homomorphism, i.e., Φ_p is a continuous and SO(n) equivariant map satisfying $\Phi_p(K + n-pL) = \Phi_p K + \Phi_p L$ and Φ_p does not map every star body to the origin. In this section, we study the Busemann-Petty type problem for L_p radial Minkowski homomorphisms.

Problem 4.1. Let K and L be star bodies in S^n , is there the implication: If 0 , then

(4.1)
$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L \Rightarrow V(K) \le V(L)?$$

If p > n, then

(4.2)
$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L \Rightarrow V(K) \ge V(L)?$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $K \in \Phi_p S^n$, there exists a star body K_0 such that $K = \Phi_p K_0$. Using Lemma (3.3) and the fact that if $0 , the <math>L_p$ dual mixed volume \widetilde{V}_p is monotone with respect to set inclusion, we can conclude

$$\widetilde{V}_p(L,K) = \widetilde{V}_p(L,\Phi_pK_0) = \widetilde{V}_p(K_0,\Phi_pL) \ge \widetilde{V}_p(K_0,\Phi_pK) = \widetilde{V}_p(K,\Phi_pK_0) = V(K).$$

Applying the Minkowski inequality (2.5), we obtain

$$V(K) \le V(L).$$

Note that if p > n, we only need to consider $\tilde{V}_p(K, L)$. And the same argument yields: if p > n,

$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L \implies V(K) \ge V(L).$$

Equality holds if and only if K and L are dilatations of each other. Clearly, star bodies of equal volume which are dilatations of each other must be equal.

Unfortunately, whether the set of L_p radial Minkowski homomorphisms coincides with the set of continuous radial valuations which are SO(n) equivariant and $(\frac{n}{n}-1)$ - homogeneous is not known.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ be the generating measure of Φ_p and μ_k denote its Legendre coefficients. Since $S^n(\Phi_p) \neq S^n$ and Φ_p is non-trivial, by definition (3.2) there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \ge 1$ such that $\mu_k = 0$. We can choose $\alpha > 0$ such that the function $f(u) = 1 + \alpha P_k^n(u \cdot \widehat{e}), u \in S^{n-1}$, is positive. Let $K \in S^n$ be the star body with $\rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} = f$. Since $\pi_k \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} =$ $\pi_k(1 + \alpha P_k^n(u \cdot \widehat{e})) \neq 0$, from definition (3.2) we have $K \notin S^n(\Phi_p)$.

From (2.19) and the properties of the orthogonal projection on the space \mathcal{H}_k^n , we have

(4.3)
$$\widetilde{V}_p(K,B) = \kappa_n \pi_0 \rho(K,\cdot)^{n-p} = \kappa_n = V(B).$$

Using the fact a star body $K \in S^n(\Phi_p)$ is uniquely determined by its image $\Phi_p K$, we see that $\Phi_p B = \Phi_p K$.

If 0 , noting that K is just a perturbation of B, we use (4.3) and the Minkowski inequality (2.5) to get

$$V(B) = \widetilde{V}_p(K, B) < V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(B)^{\frac{p}{n}}.$$

Hence

V(B) < V(K).

If p > n, the same argument yields:

$$V(B) > V(K).$$

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $S_e^n \subseteq S^n(\Phi_p)$ and $0 . If <math>L \in S_e^n$ is a *p*-polynomial star body whose radial function is positive, then, if $L \notin \Phi_p S^n$, there exists a star body $K \in S_e^n$, such that

$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L,$$

Wei Wang, Lijuan Liu and Binwu He

but

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(S^{n-1}, \widehat{e})$ be the generating measure of Φ_p . Since $L \in S_e^n$ is *p*-polynomial, it follows from the proof Theorem 3.6 that there exists an even function $f \in \mathcal{H}^n$, such that

(4.4)
$$\rho(L,\cdot)^p = f * \mu.$$

The function must assume negative values, otherwise, by Lemma 3.2 we have $L = \Phi_p L_0$, where L_0 is the star body with $\rho(L_0, \cdot)^{n-p} = f$. Let $F \in \mathcal{C}(S^{n-1})$ be a non-constant even function, such that $F(u) \ge 0$ if f(u) < 0, and F(u) = 0 if $f(u) \ge 0$. By suitable approximation of the function F with spherical harmonics, we can find a non-negative, even function $G \in \mathcal{H}^n$ and an even function $H \in \mathcal{H}^n$ such that

(4.5)
$$\langle f, G \rangle < 0, \text{ and } G = H * \mu$$

Since the radial function $\rho(L, \cdot)$ is positive, there exists a $\beta > 0$ and an origin-symmetric star body K such that

(4.6)
$$\rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p} = \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p} - \beta H.$$

From (4.4) and Theorem 3.3, we see that $\rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot)^p = \rho(\Phi_p L, \cdot)^p - \beta G$. Since $G \ge 0$, it follows that

(4.7)
$$\rho(\Phi_p K, \cdot) \le \rho(\Phi_p L, \cdot),$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi_p K \subseteq \Phi_p L.$$

On the other hand, applying (2.3) (4.4) (4.6) and (2.11), we obtain

(4.8)

$$V(L) - \widetilde{V}_{p}(K,L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(L,\cdot)^{p} (\rho(L,\cdot)^{n-p} - \rho(K,\cdot)^{n-p}) dS(u)$$

$$= \kappa_{n} \beta \langle f * \mu, H \rangle$$

$$= \kappa_{n} \beta \langle f, H * \mu \rangle$$

$$= \kappa_{n} \beta \langle f, G \rangle$$

$$< 0.$$

To complete the proof, we can use (2.5) to conclude

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Combining Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 4.1, we obtain

Corollary 4.2. For origin-symmetric star bodies in S^n , when 0 ,Problem 4.1 has an affirmative answer if and only if every polynomial star body $<math>L \in S_e^n$ with positive radial function is contained in $\Phi_p S^n$.

If we restrict to origin-symmetric convex bodies and p = 1, Problem 4.1 is just the well-known Busemann-Petty problem.

Remark. If p = 1, Ludwig completely characterized the intersection body operator: A map $\Phi : S^n \to S^n$ is a continuous GL(n) contravariant radial valuation if and only if there exists a constant $c \ge 0$ such that $\Phi = cI$. However, for radial valuations which are SO(n) equivariant, the following conjecture is still open (see [34]): The set of radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphisms coincides with the set of continuous radial valuations which are SO(n) equivariant and (n - 1)homogeneous.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the referee for the suggested improvements.

REFERENCES

- S. Alesker, Continuous rotation invariant valuations on convex sets, Ann. Math., 149 (1999), 977-1005.
- 2. S. Alesker, Description of translation invariant valuations on convex sets with solution of P. McMullen's conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **11** (2001), 244-272.
- 3. K. Ball, Cube slicing in \mathbb{R}^n , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (1986), 465-473.
- 4. J. Bourgain, On the Busemann-Petty problem for perturbations of the ball, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **1** (1991), 1-13.
- 5. H. Busemann, C. M. Petty, Problem on convex bodies, Math. Scand, 4 (1956), 88-94.
- 6. R. J. Gardner, Intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem, *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.*, **342** (1994), 435-445.
- 7. R. J. Gardner, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions, *Ann of Math.*, **140** (1994), 435-447.
- 8. R. J. Gardner, Geometric Tomography, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- R. J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky and T. Schlumprecht, An analytic solution of the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, *Ann. of Math.*, 149 (1999), 691-703.
- 10. A. Giannopoulos, A note on a problem of H. Busemann and C. M. Petty concerning sections of symmetric convex bodies, *Mathematika*, **37** (1990), 239-244.

- E. Grinberg and G. Zhang, Convolutions, transforms and convex bodies, *Proc. Lon*don Math. Soc., 78 (1999), 77-115.
- 12. C. Haberl, L_p intersection bodies, Adv. Math., 217(6) (2008), 2599-2624.
- 13. C. Haberl, Star body valued valuations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **58** (2009), 2253-2276.
- 14. C. Haberl and M. Ludwig, A characterization of L_p intersection bodies, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2006, Art. ID 10548, p. 29.
- 15. C. Haberl and F. E. Schuster, General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom., 83 (2009), 1-26.
- 16. M. Kiderlen, Blaschke- and Minkowski-endomorphisms of convex bodies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **358** (2006), 5539-5564.
- 17. D. A. Klain, Star valuations and dual mixed volumes, Adv. Math., 121 (1996), 80-101.
- 18. D. A. Klain and G. Rota, *Introduction to Geometric Probability*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- 19. A. Koldobsky, *Fourier Analysis in Convex Geometry*, Math. Surveys Monogr., Vol. 116, Amer. Math. Soc., 2005.
- 20. D. G. Larman and C. A. Rogers, The existence of a centrally symmetric convex body with central sections that are unexpectedly small, *Mathematika*, **22** (1975), 164-175.
- 21. M. Ludwig, Projection bodies and valuations, Adv. Math., 172(2) (2002), 158-168.
- 22. M. Ludwig and Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **357(10)** (2005), 4191-4213.
- 23. M. Ludwig, Intersection bodies and valuations, *Amer. J. Math.*, **128(6)** (2006), 1409-1428.
- 24. M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, A classification of SL(n) invariant valuations, Ann. Math., in press.
- 25. E. Lutwak, Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes, *Adv. Math.*, **71** (1988), 232-261.
- E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom., 38 (1993), 131-150.
- 27. E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: Affine and geominimal surface areas, *Adv. Math.*, **118** (1996), 244-294.
- 28. P. McMullen and R. Schneider, Valuations on convex Bodies, in: *Convexity and Its Applications*, P. M. Gruber, J. M. Wills (eds.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983, pp. 170-247.
- 29. M. Papadimitrakis, On the Busemann-Petty problem about convex, centrally symmetric bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , *Mathematika*, **39** (1992), 258-266.

- 30. R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- 31. R. Schneider and F. E. Schuster, *Rotation equivariant Minkowski valuations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 72894, p. 20.
- 32. F. E. Schuster, Volume inequalities and additive maps of convex bodies, *Mathematika*, **53(2)** (2006, 2007), 211-234.
- 33. F. E. Schuster, Convolutions and multiplier transformations of convex bodies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **359(11)** (2007), 5567-5591.
- 34. F. E. Schuster, Valuations and Busemann-Petty type problems, *Adv. Math.*, **219(1)** (2008), 344-368.
- 35. F. E. Schuster, Crofton measures and Minkowski valuations, Duke Math. J., in press.
- 36. W. Y. Yu, D. H. Wu and G. S. Leng, Quasi-*Lp* intersection bodies, *Acta Math. Sin.*, **23(11)** (2007), 1937-1948.
- 37. G. Zhang, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions, *Ann. Math.*, **149** (1999), 535-543.

Wei Wang and Lijuan Liu School of Mathematics and Computational Science Hunan University of Science and Technology Xiangtan 411201 P. R. China E-mail: wangwei0820@shu.edu.cn

Binwu He Department of Mathematics Shanghai University Shanghai 200444 P. R. China E-mail: hebinwu@shu.edu.cn