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#### Abstract

The initial boundary value problem for an integro-differential equation with nonlinear damping in a bounded domain is considered. The local existence and blow-up of solutions with positive initial energy are discussed under some conditions. Estimates of the lifespan of solutions are also given.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the initial boundary value problem for the following nonlinear integro-differential equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+h\left(u_{t}\right)=f(u) \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
& u(x, t)=0, x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $R^{N}, N \geq 1$, with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ so that the divergence theorem can be applied, $\nabla$ denotes the gradient operator and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator. Here, $g$ is a nonincreasing positive function, $h$ is a nonlinear function, $f$ is a nonlinear source term and $M(s)$ is a positive locally Lipschitz function with $M(s) \geq m_{0}>0$ for $s \geq 0$ like $M(s)=m_{0}+b s^{\gamma}, m_{0}>0, b \geq 0$, $\gamma>0$ and $s \geq 0$. The initial value functions $u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x)$ are given and subscript $t$ indicate the partial derivative with respect to $t$, and we denote $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ to be the norm of $L^{p}(\Omega)$.

[^0]When $g \equiv 0$, for the case that $M \equiv 1$, it is a nonlinear wave equation which has been extensively studied and several results concerning existence and nonexistence have been established $([2,5,6,7,18])$. When $M$ is not a constant function, the equation (1.1) without damping and the source terms is often called the Kirchhoff type equation; it was first introduced by Kirchhoff ([4]) in order to describe the nonlinear vibrations of an elastic string. In this regard, the existence and nonexistence of solutions have been discussed by many authors and the references cited therein $([11,12,13,19])$.

On the contrary, when $g$ is not trivial on $R$ and $M \equiv 1$, (1.1) becomes a semilinear viscoelastic wave equation. Messaoudi $([8,9])$ studied $(1.1)$ for $h\left(u_{t}\right)=$ $\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t}, m>2$ and $f(u)=|u|^{p-2} u, p>2$. Under suitable conditions, he proved that any solution blow-up in finite time if $p>m$ and he also showed the global existence for arbitrary initial data if $m \geq p$. Later, Wu and Tsai ([20]) extended Messaoudi's result to more general $h$ and $f$. In that paper, we obtained the blowup result with small positive initial energy if $p>m$ and we also discussed the global existence and energy decay without the relation between $m$ and $p$. In the event that $M$ is not a constant function, the equation (1.1) is the model to describe the motion of deformable solids as hereditary effect is incorporated. The equation (1.1) was first studied by Torrejon and Yong ([17]) who proved the existence of weakly asymptotic stable solution for large analytical datum. Later, Rivera ([10]) showed the existence of global solutions for small datum and the total energy decays to zero exponentially under some restrictions. Recently, Wu and Tsai ([21]) studied (1.1) for $h\left(u_{t}\right)=-\Delta u_{t}$ and $f$ is a power like function. The global existence, decay result and blowup properties had been proved.

In this paper we shall establish the result for blow-up properties of local solution for problem (1.1) with nonpositive as well as small positive initial energy by modifying the method in [18]. In this way, we can extend the result of ([14]) in which he considered (1.1) with $g \equiv 0$ and the result of $([8,20])$ to nonconstant $M(s)$. The content of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 , we present a lemma and some preliminaries, and state the local existence result. In section 3, we study the blow-up problem in cases of the initial energy being nonpositive and positive. Estimates of the blowup time are also given.

## 2. Preliminary and Local Existence Results

In this section, we shall discuss the local existence of solutions for problem (1.1). We first state a well-known lemma which will be used throughout this work.

Lemma 2.1. (Adam [1]). If $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2 N}{[N-2 m]^{+}}(1 \leq p<\infty$ if $N=2 m)$, then

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq B_{1}\left\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} u\right\|_{2}, \text { for } u \in D\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}}\right)
$$

holds with some positive constant $B_{1}$, where we put $[a]^{+}=\max \{0, a\}$ and $\frac{1}{[a]^{+}}=$ $\infty$ if $[a]^{+}=0$.

Assume that
(A1) $g: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$is a bounded $C^{1}$ function satisfying

$$
g(0)>0, g^{\prime}(s) \leq 0, m_{0}-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s=l>0
$$

here $l$ is any arbitrary number larger than 0 , less than $m_{0}$.
(A2) $h$ is a $C^{1}$ function defined on $R$ and there exist positive constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ such that

$$
(h(u)-h(v))(u-v) \geq k_{1}|u-v|^{m}
$$

and

$$
h(u) u \leq k_{2}\left(|u|^{\nu}+|u|^{m}\right),
$$

for $u, v \in R$ and $2<\nu \leq m \leq p^{*}$, here $p^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}(2<\nu \leq m<\infty$, if $N \leq 2$ ).
(A3) $f(0)=0$ and there is a positive constant $k_{3}$ such that

$$
|f(u)-f(v)| \leq k_{3}|u-v|\left(|u|^{p-2}+|v|^{p-2}\right)
$$

for $u, v \in R$ and $2<p \leq p_{1}^{*}$, here $p_{1}^{*}=\frac{2(N-3)}{N-4}(2<p<\infty$, if $N \leq 4)$.
Now, we are in a position to state the local existence result. For this purpose, we first take a related simpler problem into account. Then, we prove the existence of solutions to problem (1.1) by contraction mapping principle. Consider the following simpler problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}-\mu(t) \Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+h\left(u_{t}\right)=f_{1}(x, t) \text { on } \Omega \times(0, T) \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\
& u(x, t)=0, x \in \partial \Omega, t>0
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $T>0, f_{1}$ is a fixed forcing term on $\Omega \times(0, T)$ and $\mu$ is a positive locally Lipschitz function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\mu(t) \geq m_{0}>0$ for $t \geq 0$.

By means of Galerkin method as in [21], we have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that (A1) and (A2) hold, and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$, $u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $f_{1} \in L^{2}\left([0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Then the problem (2.1) admits a unique
solution $u$ such that $u \in H 1$ and $u_{t} \in L^{m}(\Omega \times(0, T))$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H 1= & C_{w}\left([0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \\
& \cap C_{w}^{1}\left([0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T), L^{2}(\Omega)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

here the subscript " $w$ " means the weak continuity with respect to $t([16])$.
Theorem 2.3. Assume that (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold, and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap$ $H^{2}(\Omega), u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, then there exists a unique solution $u$ of (1.1) satisfying $u \in H 1$ and $u_{t} \in L^{m}(\Omega \times(0, T))$, and at least one of the following statements is valid:
(i) $T=\infty$,
$(i i)\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T^{-}$.

Proof. For $T>0, R_{0}>0$, we define a class of functions $X_{T, R_{0}}$ which consists of functions $v$ in $H 1$ satisfying the initial conditions of (1.1) and $e(v(t)) \leq R_{0}^{2}$, $t \in[0, T)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(v(t))=\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $X_{T, R_{0}}$ is a complete metric space with the distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(y, z)=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left[\left\|(y-z)_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla(y-z)(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y, z \in X_{T, R_{0}}$. Given $v \in X_{T, R_{0}}$, we consider the following problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+h\left(u_{t}\right)=f(v) \\
& u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in \Omega  \tag{2.5}\\
& u(x, t)=0, x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

By (A3) and $\|\nabla f\|_{2} \leq k_{4}\|v\|_{N(p-2)}^{p-2}\|\nabla v\|_{\frac{2 N}{N-2}} \leq k_{4} B_{1}^{p-1}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{p-1}$, we see that $f \in L^{2}\left([0, T), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, where $k_{4}=k_{3}(p-1) \operatorname{vol}(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus, by lemma 2.2, we derive that problem (2.5) admits a unique solution $u \in H 1$ and $u_{t} \in L^{m}(\Omega \times(0, T))$. Then, we define the nonlinear mapping $S v=u$, and we would like to show that there exist $T>0$ and $R_{0}>0$ such that $S$ is a contraction mapping from $X_{T, R_{0}}$ into itself. For this, we multiply the first equation of (2.5) by $2 u_{t}$ and integrate it
over $\Omega$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right] \\
& +2 \int_{\Omega} h\left(u_{t}\right) u_{t} d x  \tag{2.6}\\
\leq & \left(\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+2 \int_{\Omega} f(v) u_{t} d x
\end{align*}
$$

The equality in (2.6) is obtained, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} g(t-\tau) \nabla u(\tau) \cdot \nabla u_{t}(t) d x d \tau= & \frac{d}{d t}\left[(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)-\int_{0}^{t} g(\tau)\|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{2}^{2} d \tau\right] \\
& -\left(g^{\prime} \diamond \nabla u\right)(t)+g(t)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(\tau)-\nabla u(t)|^{2} d x d \tau
$$

Next, multiplying the first equation of $(2.5)$ by $-2 \Delta u_{t}$ and integrating it over $\Omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+(g \diamond \Delta u)(t)\right] \\
& +2 \int_{\Omega} h^{\prime}\left(u_{t}\right)\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{2.7}\\
\leq & \left(\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}-2 \int_{\Omega} f(v) \Delta u_{t} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) together, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} e_{1}(t)+2 \int_{\Omega} h\left(u_{t}\right) u_{t} d x+2 \int_{\Omega} h^{\prime}\left(u_{t}\right)\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x \leq I_{1}+I_{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1}(t)= & \left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+(g \diamond \Delta u)(t), \\
I_{1}= & \left(\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right), \quad I_{2}=2 \int_{\Omega} f(v)\left(u_{t}-\Delta u_{t}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

To proceed further estimations, we note by (A1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq \frac{2 M_{1}}{l}\|\nabla v\|_{2}\left\|\nabla v_{t}\right\|_{2} e_{1}(t) \leq \frac{2 M_{1}}{l} R_{0}^{2} e_{1}(t) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (A3) and lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{2}\right| & \leq 2 k_{3}\left(\|v\|_{2(p-1)}^{p-1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}+(p-1)\|v\|_{N(p-2)}^{p-2}\|\nabla v\|_{\frac{2 N}{N-2}}\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}\right) \\
& \leq 2 k_{3} B_{1}^{p-1}\|\Delta v\|_{2}^{p-1}\left(\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}+(p-1)\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq c_{1} R_{0}^{p-1} e_{1}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{1}=\sup \left\{\left|M^{\prime}(s)\right| ; 0 \leq s \leq R_{0}^{2}\right\}$ and $c_{1}=2 k_{3} p B_{1}^{p-1}$. Thus, integrating (2.8) over $(0, t)$ and using (2.9)-(2.10), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1}(t)+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} h\left(u_{t}\right) u_{t} d x d t+2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} h^{\prime}\left(u_{t}\right)\left|\nabla u_{t}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{2.11}\\
\leq & e_{1}(0)+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{2 M_{1}}{l} R_{0}^{2} e_{1}(t)+c_{1} R_{0}^{p-1} e_{1}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by Gronwall's lemma and noting that $e_{1}(t) \geq c_{*}^{-1} e(u(t))$, here $c_{*}^{-1}=$ $\min (1, l)$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(u(t)) \leq \chi\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, R_{0}, T\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 M_{1} R_{0}^{2} T}{l}}, \text { for any } t \in(0, T], \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, R_{0}, T\right)=\left(\sqrt{e_{1}(0)}+\frac{c_{1}}{2} R_{0}^{p-1} T\right) c^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, we see that for parameters $T$ and $R_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, R_{0}, T\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 M_{1} R_{0}^{2} T}{l}} \leq R_{0}^{2}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $S$ maps $X_{T, R_{0}}$ into itself. On the other hand, by lemma 2.2, $u \in H 1$. Moreover, it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that $u_{t} \in L^{m}(\Omega \times(0, T))$.

Next, we will verify that $S$ is a contraction mapping. Let $v_{i} \in X_{T, R_{0}}$ and $u^{(i)} \in X_{T, R_{0}}, i=1,2$ be the corresponding solution to problem (2.5). Setting $w(t)=\left(u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}\right)(t)$, then $w$ satisfy the following system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{t t}-M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta w+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-\tau) \Delta w(\tau) d \tau+h\left(u_{t}^{(1)}\right)-h\left(u_{t}^{(2)}\right) \\
& =f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)+\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \Delta u^{(2)}  \tag{2.14}\\
& w(0)=0, w_{t}(0)=0, \\
& w(x, t)=0, x \in \partial \Omega, \text { and } t \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

We multiply the first equation of (2.14) by $2 w_{t}$ and integrate it over $\Omega$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} e_{2}^{*}(w(t))+2 \int_{\Omega}\left(h\left(u_{t}^{(1)}\right)-h\left(u_{t}^{(2)}\right)\right) w_{t} d x \\
& -\left(g^{\prime} \diamond \nabla w\right)(t)+g(t)\|\nabla w(t)\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
= & I_{3}+I_{4}+I_{5},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{2}^{*}(w(t)) \\
& =\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla w(t)\|_{2}^{2}+(g \diamond \nabla w)(t), \\
I_{3} & =2\left[M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)-M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \int_{\Omega} \Delta u^{(2)} w_{t} d x,  \tag{2.16}\\
I_{4} & =2 \int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(v_{1}\right)-f\left(v_{2}\right)\right) w_{t} d x \text { and } I_{5}=\left(\frac{d}{d t} M\left(\left\|\nabla v_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\|\nabla w(t)\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the similar arguments as in estimating $I_{i}, i=1,2$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{3}\right| \leq & 2 L\left(\left\|\nabla v_{1}\right\|_{2}+\left\|\nabla v_{2}\right\|_{2}\right)\left\|\nabla v_{1}-\nabla v_{2}\right\|_{2}\left\|\Delta u^{(2)}\right\|_{2}\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{2} \\
\leq & 4 L R_{0}^{2} e_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}^{*}(w(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
& \left|I_{4}\right| \leq 4 k_{3} B_{1}^{2(p-1)} R_{0}^{p-2} e_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}^{*}(w(t))^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{5}\right| \leq \frac{2 M_{1} R_{0}^{2}}{l} e_{2}^{*}(w(t)),
$$

where $e_{2}(v)=\left\|v_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}$, and $L=L\left(R_{0}\right)$ is the Lipschitz constant of $M(r)$ in $\left[0, R_{0}\right]$. Exploiting these inequalities in (2.15) and integrating it over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$
e_{2}^{*}(w(t)) \leq e_{2}^{*}(w(0))+\int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{2 M_{1} R_{0}^{2}}{l} e_{2}^{*}(w(s))+c_{2} e_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}^{*}(w(s))^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] d s
$$

where $c_{2}=4\left(L R_{0}^{2}+k_{3} B_{1}^{2(p-1)} R_{0}^{p-2}\right)$. Thus, applying Gronwall's lemma and noting that $e_{2}^{*}(w(0))=0$, we have

$$
e_{2}^{*}(w(t)) \leq \frac{c_{2}^{2} T^{2}}{4} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 M_{1} R_{0}^{2} T}{l}} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} e_{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, by (2.16), we note that $e_{2}^{*}(w(t)) \geq c_{*}^{-1} e_{2}(w)$. Hence, by (2.4), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}\right) \leq C\left(T, R_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(T, R_{0}\right)^{2}=\frac{c_{*} c_{2}^{2} T^{2}}{4} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 M_{1} R_{0}^{2} T}{l}}$. Therefore, under inequality $(2.13), S$ is a contraction mapping if $C\left(T, R_{0}\right)<1$. We choose $R_{0}$ sufficiently large and $T$ sufficiently small so that (2.13) and (2.17) are satisfied at the same time. By applying Banach fixed point theorem, we obtain the local existence result.

The second statement of the theorem is proved by a standard continuation argument $([15])$. Indeed, let $[0, T)$ be a maximal existence interval on which the solution of (1.1) exists. Suppose that $T<\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{-}}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{t}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|\Delta u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right)<$ $\infty$.Then, there are a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and a constant $K>0$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow T^{-}$as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left\|\nabla u_{t}\left(t_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\Delta u\left(t_{n}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq K, n=1,2, \ldots$. Since for all $n \in N$, there exists a unique solution of (1.1) with initial data $\left(u\left(t_{n}\right), u_{t}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ on $\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\tau\right]$, $\tau>0$ depending on $K$ and independent of $n \in N$. Thus, we can get $T<t_{n}+\tau$ for $n \in N$ large enough. It contradicts to the maximality of $T$. The proof of theorem 2.3 is now completed.

## 3. Blow-up Property

In this section, we shall discuss the blow up phenomena for a kind of problem (1.1):

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \Delta u(s) d s+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{\nu-2} u_{t} \\
+a\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t}=|u|^{p-2} u  \tag{3.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x), x \in \Omega \\
u(x, t)=0, x \in \partial \Omega, t \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M(s)=1+b s^{\gamma}, b \geq 0, \gamma>0$ and $s \geq 0, a>0,2<\nu \leq m \leq p^{*}$ and $2<p \leq p_{1}^{*}$. In order to state our results, we make an extra assumption on $g$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s<\min \left(\frac{2(p-2)}{2 p-3}, \frac{p\left(E_{1}-E(0)\right.}{2 \lambda_{1}^{2}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}$ are some positive constants given later. We first define the energy function associated with a solution $u$ of (3.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+J(t) \text { for } t \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(t)= & \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(g \diamond \nabla u)(t) \\
& +\frac{b}{2(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}-\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe, from (A1) and lemma 2.1, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E(t) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\left(l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right)-\frac{B_{1}^{p} l^{\frac{p}{2}}}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p}  \tag{3.4}\\
\geq & G\left[\left(l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$, where

$$
G(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2}-\frac{B_{1}^{p}}{p} \lambda^{p}, B_{1}=\frac{B}{\sqrt{l}}, l=1-\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s>0
$$

It is easy to verify that $G(\lambda)$ has a maximum at $\lambda_{1}=B_{1}^{-\frac{p}{p-2}}$ and the maximum value is $E_{1}=\frac{p-2}{2 p} \lambda_{1}^{2}$. Before we prove our main result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (A1) holds, and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$, $u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and let $u$ be a solution of (3.1). Then $E(t)$ is a nonincreasing function on $[0, T]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}(t)=-a \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{\nu}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m}\right) d x+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\prime} \diamond \nabla u\right)(t)-\frac{1}{2} g(t)\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying (3.1) by $u_{t}$ and integrating it over $\Omega$, and using integrating by parts, we obtain (3.5).

Lemma 3.2. [22]. Assume that (A1) holds, $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$ and $u_{1} \in$ $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Let $u$ be a solution of (3.1) with initial data satisfying $E(0)<E_{1}$ and $\left(l\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{\gamma+1}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}>\lambda_{1}$. Then there exists $\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{\gamma+1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t) \geq \lambda_{2}^{2}, \text { for } t>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3. (Nonexistence of global solutions). Let $p>m$ and $\gamma<\max \left(\frac{1-l}{4 l}\right.$, $\left.\frac{p-2}{2}\right)$. Assume that $(A 1)$ and (3.2) hold, and that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap H^{2}(\Omega), u_{1} \in$
$H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Then any solution of (3.1) with initial data satisfying $0 \leq E(0)<E_{1}$ and $\left(l\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{\gamma+1}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}>\lambda_{1}$ blows up at finite time in the sense of (2.2). We remark that the lifespan $T$ is estimated by $0<T \leq \frac{L(0)^{1-\theta_{1}}}{c_{12}\left(\theta_{1}-1\right)}$, where $L(t)$ and $c_{12}$ are given in (3.18) and (3.24) respectively, and $\theta_{1}$ is some positive constant given in the following proof.

Proof. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=E_{2}-E(t), t \geq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{2}=\frac{E_{1}+E(0)}{2}$. By $(3.4)$, we see that $H^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t) \geq H(0)=E_{2}-E(0)>0, t \geq 0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (3.9) and using (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(t)= & \left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}-b\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)} \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u(t) d s d x  \tag{3.10}\\
& -a \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{\nu-2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2}\right) u_{t} u d x+\|u\|_{p}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Exploiting Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(s) \cdot \nabla u(t) d s d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) \nabla u(t) \cdot(\nabla u(s)-\nabla u(t)) d s d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} g(t-s) d s\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
\geq & -(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)+\frac{3}{4} \int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (3.11) and using (3.3) to substitute for $\|u\|_{p}^{p}$, (3.10) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime}(t) \geq & a_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+a_{2}(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)+a_{3} \frac{b}{\gamma+1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+a_{4} l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2} \\
& -a \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{\mu-2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2}\right) u_{t} u d x+p H(t)-p E_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}=\frac{p+2}{2}, a_{2}=\frac{p-2}{2}, a_{3}=\frac{p-2(\gamma+1)}{2}$ and $a_{4}=\frac{1}{l}\left(\frac{p-2}{2}-\frac{2 p-3}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s\right)$. By (3.2), we observe that $a_{4}>0$ and by the restriction on $\gamma$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(t) \geq & a_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+a_{4}\left[(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)+\frac{b}{\gamma+1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right]  \tag{3.12}\\
& -a \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{\mu-2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2}\right) u_{t} u d x+p H(t)-p E_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{4}\left[l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right]-p E_{2} \\
= & a_{4} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}}{\lambda_{2}^{2}}\left[l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right] \\
& +a_{4} \lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\left[l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right]}{\lambda_{2}^{2}}-p E_{2} \\
\geq & c_{1}\left[l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right]+c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is obtained by lemma 3.2, $\lambda_{2}$ is given in lemma 3.2, $c_{1}=a_{4} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}}{\lambda_{2}^{2}}$ and $c_{2}=a_{4} \lambda_{1}^{2}-p E_{2}$. By lemma 3.2, we have $c_{1}>0$ and by (3.2), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2} & =\frac{1}{l}\left(\frac{p-2}{2}-\frac{2 p-3}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}-p E_{2} \\
& >\left(\frac{p-2}{2}-\frac{2 p-3}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}-p E_{2} \\
& =\frac{p\left(E_{1}-E(0)\right)}{2}-\frac{2 p-3}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s \lambda_{1}^{2}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (3.12) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\prime}(t) \geq & a_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{1}(g \diamond \nabla u)(t) \\
& +\frac{b c_{1}}{\gamma+1}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+c_{1} l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
& -a \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{t}\right|^{\mu-2}+\left|u_{t}\right|^{m-2}\right) u_{t} u d x+p H(t)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t} u d x \left\lvert\, \leq\|u\|_{m}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{m-1} \leq c_{3}\|u\|_{p}^{1-\frac{p}{m}}\|u\|_{p}^{\frac{p}{m}}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{m}^{m-1}\right. \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{3}=(\operatorname{vol}(\Omega))^{\frac{p-m}{m p}}$. Noting that, from (3.3) and lemma 3.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & \leq E_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{b}{\gamma+1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right)+\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq E_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p}<\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p} \text { for } t \geq 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Young's inequality and (3.5), (3.14) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{m-2} u_{t} u d x \mid  \tag{3.16}\\
\leq & c_{5}\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{m} H(0)^{-\alpha_{1}}\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\varepsilon_{1}^{-m^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{1}} H(t)^{-\alpha} H^{\prime}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{m}-\frac{1}{p}>0,0<\alpha<\alpha_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}>0, m^{\prime}=\frac{m}{m-1}, c_{4}=c_{3}(p)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{m}}$ and $c_{5}=c_{4} \max \left(1, \frac{1}{a}\right)$. Similarly, we also have the following inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u_{t}\right|^{\nu-2} u_{t} u d x \mid  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq c_{6}\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{\nu} H(0)^{-\alpha_{2}}\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\varepsilon_{2}^{-\nu^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{2}} H(t)^{-\alpha} H^{\prime}(t)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{\nu}-\frac{1}{p}>0, \varepsilon_{2}>0, \nu^{\prime}=\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}$ and $c_{6}=c_{3}(p)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\nu}} \max \left(1, \frac{1}{a}\right)$.
In order to satisfy both (3.16) and (3.17), we choose $0<\alpha<\min \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$.
Now, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)=H(t)^{1-\alpha}+\delta_{1} A(t), t \geq 0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{1}$ is a positive constant to be specified later. By differentiating (3.18), and then using (3.16), (3.17) and (3.13), we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{\prime}(t) \\
\geq & \left(1-\alpha-\delta_{1} a c_{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{-m^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{1}}-\delta_{1} a c_{6} \varepsilon_{2}^{-\nu^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{2}}\right) H(t)^{-\alpha} H^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\delta_{1}\left[a_{1}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+c_{1}(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)+\frac{b c_{1}}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+c_{1} l\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}\right]  \tag{3.19}\\
& +\delta_{1} p H(t)-\delta_{1} a\left(c_{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{m} H(0)^{-\alpha_{1}}+c_{6} \varepsilon_{2}^{\nu} H(0)^{-\alpha_{2}}\right)\|u\|_{p}^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $a_{5}=\min \left\{a_{1}, c_{1} l, \frac{(\gamma+1) c_{1}}{b}, \frac{p}{2}\right\}$ and decomposing $\delta_{1} p H(t)$ in (3.19) by $\delta_{1}$ $p H(t)=2 a_{5} \delta_{1} H(t)+\left(p-2 a_{5}\right) \delta_{1} H(t)$. Thus, by (3.7) and (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq & \left(1-\alpha-\delta_{1} a c_{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{-m^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{1} a c_{6} \varepsilon_{2}^{-\nu^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{2}}\right) H(t)^{-\alpha} H^{\prime}(t) \\
& +\delta_{1}\left[\frac{2 a_{5}}{p}-a\left(c_{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{m} H(0)^{-\alpha_{1}}+c_{6} \varepsilon_{2}^{\nu} H(0)^{-\alpha_{2}}\right)\right]\|u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& +\delta_{1}\left(a_{1}-a_{5}\right)\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\delta_{1}\left(c_{1} l-a_{5}\right)\|\nabla u(t)\|_{2}^{2}+\left(p-2 a_{5}\right) \delta_{1} H(t) \\
& +\delta_{1}\left(c_{1}-a_{5}\right)\left(\frac{b}{(\gamma+1)}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we choose $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}>0$ small enough such that $\frac{2 a_{5}}{p}-a\left(c_{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{m} H(0)^{-\alpha_{1}}+\right.$ $\left.c_{6} \varepsilon_{2}^{\nu} H(0)^{-\alpha_{2}}\right) \geq \frac{a_{5}}{2 p}$, and $0<\delta_{1}<\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\left(c_{5} a \varepsilon_{1}^{-m^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{1}}+c_{6} a \varepsilon_{2}^{-\nu^{\prime}} H(0)^{\alpha-\alpha_{2}}\right)^{-1}$. Then (3.20) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq c_{7} \delta_{1}\left(\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}+H(t)+(g \diamond \nabla u)(t)+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2(\gamma+1)}\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $c_{7}=\min \left\{\frac{a_{5}}{2 p}, a_{1}-a_{5}, c_{1} l-a_{5}, \frac{b\left(c_{1-}-a_{5}\right)}{\gamma+1}, p-2 a_{5}\right\}$. Thus $L(t)$ is a nondecreasing function on $t \geq 0$. Letting $\delta_{1}$ be small enough in (3.18), then we have $L(0)>0$. Hence $L(t)>0$, for $t \geq 0$. Now set $\theta_{1}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$. Since $\alpha<$ $\min \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}<1$, it is evident that $1<\theta_{1}<\frac{1}{1-\min \left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}}$. By Young's inequality and Hollder inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)^{\theta_{1}} \leq 2^{\theta_{1}-1}\left[H(t)+\left(\delta_{1} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x\right)^{\theta_{1}}\right] \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $p>2$ and using Hölder inequality and Young's inequality, we have

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} u_{t} u d x\right)^{\theta_{1}} \leq c_{8}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{\theta_{1}}\|u\|_{p}^{\theta_{1}} \leq c_{9}\left(\|u\|_{p}^{\theta_{1} \beta_{1}}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{\theta_{1} \beta_{2}}\right)
$$

where $c_{8}=(\operatorname{vol}(\Omega))^{\frac{\theta_{1}(p-2)}{2 p}}, \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}+\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}=1$, and $c_{9}=c_{9}\left(c_{8}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)>0$. Now choose $\alpha \in\left(0, \min \left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right)$ and take $\theta_{1} \beta_{2}=2$ to get $\theta_{1} \beta_{1}=\frac{2}{1-2 \alpha}<p$. Noting that from (3.15), we have

$$
\|u\|_{p}^{\theta_{1} \beta_{1}}=\left[\left(\frac{1}{p H(0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|_{p}\right]^{\theta_{1} \beta_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{p H(0)}\right)^{-\frac{\theta_{1} \beta_{1}}{p}} \leq c_{10}\|u\|_{p}^{p}
$$

where $c_{10}=\left(\frac{1}{p H(0)}\right)^{1-\frac{\theta_{1} \beta_{1}}{p}}$. Consequently, (3.22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)^{\theta_{1}} \leq c_{11}\left[H(t)+\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right], \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $c_{11}$ is some positive constant. Combining (3.22) and (3.23) together, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}(t) \geq c_{12} L(t)^{\theta_{1}}, t \geq 0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $c_{12}=\frac{c_{7} \delta_{1}}{c_{12}}$. An integration of (3.24) over $(0, t)$ then yields

$$
L(t) \geq\left(L(0)^{1-\theta_{1}}-c_{12}\left(\theta_{1}-1\right) t\right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_{1}-1}}
$$

Since $L(0)>0$, (3.24) shows that $L$ becomes infinite in a finite time $T \leq T^{*}=$ $\frac{L(0)^{1-\theta_{1}}}{c_{12}\left(\theta_{1}-1\right)}$. From (3.7) and (3.3), we have

$$
H(t) \leq E_{2}+\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Thus, by (3.23) and lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$
L(t)^{\theta_{1}} \leq c_{14}\left[c_{13}+\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\nabla u_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right]^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

here $c_{13}, c_{14}$ are some positive constants. Therefore, we complete the proof.
Remark. If $E(0)<0$, we replace the conditions of theorem 3.3 to be $p>$ $\max \{2(\gamma+1), m\}$, and $\int_{0}^{\infty} g(s) d s<\frac{2(p-2)}{2 p-3}$. Then we set $H(t)=-E(t)$, instead of (3.6). Applying the same arguments as in theorem 3.3, we have our result.
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