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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a field with $q$ elements of characteristic $p$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ be the field of formal power series over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $f$ be a quadratic formal power series of continued fraction expansion $\left[b_{0} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}}\right]$, we denote by $t=\operatorname{Per}(f)$ the period length of the partial quotients of $f$. The aim of this paper is to study the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ where $A$ is a polynomial $\in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. In particular we study the asymptotic behavior of the functions


$$
S(N, n)=\sup _{\operatorname{deg} A=N} \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} \operatorname{Per}(A f) \text { and } R(N)=\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{S(N, n)}{n}
$$

where $\Lambda_{n}$ is the set of quadratic formal power series of period $n$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$.

## 1. Introduction

In 1974, Cohen [1] studied the function $S(N, n)=\sup _{\operatorname{Per}(x)=n} \operatorname{Per}(N x)$ where $N$ is a positive integer, $x$ is a quadratic irrational and $\operatorname{Per}(N x)$ is the length of the period of the continued fraction expansion of $N x$. He made use of an algorithm for computing the continued fraction expansion of $N x$ and defined a projective space which permits to evaluate $S(N, n)$ and to study the function $R(N)=\sup _{n \geq 1} \frac{S(N, n)}{n}$. Later, Cusick [2] studied the length of the period of the product of a positive integer with a quadratic irrational by using Raney's algorithm (see [5]). The aim of this paper is to give a similar result to the one of Cohen in the case of formal power series over a finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by using Cohen's [1] and Mendès France's [3, 4] methods.

[^0]Let $p$ be a prime, and let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a field with $q$ elements of characteristic $p$. Moreover, let $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ be the ring of polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$ its field of fractions. The field $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ of formal power series over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined by

$$
\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)=\left\{\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} f_{n} X^{-n}: \quad f_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Let $f=\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} f_{n} X^{-n}$ where $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $[f]$ the polynomial part of $f$ and $\{f\}$ its fractional part. We define a non archimedean absolute value on $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ by $|f|=e^{-n_{0}}$, for any $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$. It is clear that, for any $P \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, $|P|=e^{\operatorname{deg} P}$ and, for any $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, such that $Q \neq 0,\left|\frac{P}{Q}\right|=e^{\operatorname{deg} P-\operatorname{deg} Q}$.

We can write the continued fraction expansion of an irrational $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ in the form

$$
f=a_{0}+\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\frac{1}{\ddots}}}=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]
$$

where $a_{i}$ is a polynomial of degree $\geq 1$ for each $i \geq 1$ and $a_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. The sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ is called the sequence of partial quotients of $f$.

We say that the formal power series $f$ has a $t$-periodic continued fraction expansion or the continued fraction expansion of $f$ is ultimately periodic of period $t$ if the sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ is ultimately periodic of period $t$. We denote by $\operatorname{Per}(f)=t$ and write $f=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}, \overline{a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_{s+t}}\right]$ for the continued fraction expansion of $f$. We say that the formal power series $f$ has a pure periodic continued fraction expansion of period $t$ if the sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ is purely periodic of period $t$ and write $f=\left[\overline{a_{1} ; \ldots, a_{t}}\right]$. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$, then $f$ is quadratic if and only if the continued fraction expansion of $f$ is periodic. We define the sequence of polynomials $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(Q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by :

$$
P_{-1}=1, P_{0}=a_{0} \text { and } P_{n+1}=a_{n+1} P_{n}+P_{n-1}
$$

and

$$
Q_{-1}=0, Q_{0}=1 \text { and } Q_{n+1}=a_{n+1} Q_{n}+Q_{n-1}
$$

The fraction $\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}$ is called $n$-th convergent of $f$. It is clear that $\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$.
Let $\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(Q_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequences associated to the periodic part of $f$, i.e. $\frac{P_{n}^{\prime}}{Q_{n}^{\prime}}=\left[\overline{a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_{s+t}}\right]$, we call $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}P_{t}^{\prime} & P_{t-1}^{\prime} \\ Q_{t}^{\prime} & Q_{t-1}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$ the matrix associated to the quadratic formal power series $f$.

Let $J, H$ be two polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ and $\left[b_{0} ; b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right]$ the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{J}{H}$, we denote by $\left[\frac{J}{H}\right]=b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}$ and $\psi\left(\frac{J}{H}\right)=s$ the length of continued fraction expansion of $\frac{J}{H}$ and

$$
\left[c_{0} ; \ldots, c_{i},\left[\frac{J}{H}\right], c_{i+1}, \ldots\right]=\left[c_{0} ; \ldots, c_{i}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}, c_{i+1}, \ldots\right],
$$

and $\left[\frac{J}{0}\right]$ the empty word. Note that $\psi\left(\left[\frac{J}{H}\right]\right)=\psi\left(\left[\frac{J}{H}\right]\right)+1$.
The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ where $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ and $A$ is a nonconstant polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the length of the period of the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given in section 2 where $f$ is quadratic. In section 4, we will construct a new space noted $P_{A}$ in order to study the functions $S(N, n)$ and $R(N)$ in section 5 .

## 2. Continued Fraction of the Product of a Polynomial with a Formal Power Series

We describe an algorithm which gives the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ where $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we write the continued fraction expansion of $f$ in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left[A b_{0}^{\prime}+h_{0} ; A b_{1}^{\prime}+h_{1}, \ldots, A b_{n}^{\prime}+h_{n}, \ldots\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b_{i}^{\prime}, h_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right)<\operatorname{deg}(A)$ for each $i \geq 0$. Define the sequences $\left(H_{i}\right)_{i \geq-1},\left(b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{i \geq 0},\left(j_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0},\left(Q^{(i)}\right)_{i \geq-1},\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \geq-1},\left(u_{i}\right)_{i \geq-1}$ and $\left(\delta_{i}\right)_{i \geq-1}$ by: $Q^{(-1)}=Q^{(0)}=0, t_{-1}=u_{-1}=0, \delta_{-1}=1, \delta_{0}=A$ and for each $i \geq 0$

- $H_{i}=\frac{A}{\delta_{i}}$,
- $b_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}=\frac{(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i} h_{i}-\delta_{i-1} Q^{(i-1)}}{H_{i}}$, where $b_{i}^{\prime \prime}, j_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X], \operatorname{deg}\left(j_{i}\right)<$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(H_{i}\right)$, for all $i \geq 1$ and $j_{0}=0$,
- $t_{i}+1=\psi\left(\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}\right)$ and $u_{i}=u_{i-1}+t_{i}$,
- $Q^{(i)}$ is the denominator of the last but one convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}$, for each $i \geq 1$,
- $\delta_{i+1}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(j_{i}, H_{i}\right)$.

Then,

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{i}}{j_{i}}\right], \ldots\right]
$$

Remark 2.2. We consider the expansion provided by Theorem 2.1 as a generalized continued fraction expansion of $A f$. However, we note that this algorithm does not give the usual continued fraction expansion of $A f$. In fact, the term $\lambda_{i}=(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ may be in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for some index $i \geq 1$. However, the usual continued fraction expansion of $A f$ can be deduced from $\lambda_{i}$. We deduce the usual continued fraction expansion of $A f$ as follows:

If $\lambda_{i}=0$ then $\left[c_{0}, \ldots, c_{i-1}, 0, c_{i+1}, \ldots\right]=\left[c_{0}, \ldots, c_{i-1}+c_{i+1}, \ldots\right]$.
If $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ then

$$
\left[c_{0}, \ldots, c_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}, c_{i+1}, \ldots\right]=\left[c_{0}, \ldots, c_{i-1}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}},-\lambda_{i}{ }^{2} c_{i+1}-\lambda_{i},-\frac{c_{i+2}}{\lambda_{i}{ }^{2}}, \ldots\right]
$$

because

$$
c_{i-1}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{\beta_{i+1}}}=c_{i-1}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{2} \beta_{i+1}+\lambda_{i}} \text { where } \beta_{i+1}=\left[c_{i+1}, \ldots\right]
$$

We notice that the length of usual continued fraction expansion of $A f$ is less or equal to the length of the generalized continued fraction expansion given by Theorem 2.1.

We need the following lemma in order to prove Theorem 2.1.
Lemma 2.3. Let $J$ and $H$ be two polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(J)<$ $\operatorname{deg}(H), \delta=\operatorname{gcd}(J, H)$ and $\frac{J}{H}=\left[0 ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right]$, then for all $Z \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{J}{H}+\frac{1}{H^{2} Z} & =\left[0 ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s},(-1)^{s} \delta^{2} Z-\frac{\delta Q_{s-1}}{H}\right] \\
& =\left[\left[\frac{J}{H}\right],(-1)^{s} \delta^{2} Z-\frac{\delta Q_{s-1}}{H}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q_{s-1}$ is the denominator of the last but one convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{J}{H}$.

Proof. Let $P_{s}$ be the numerator of the last convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{J}{H}$, then
$P_{s}=\frac{J}{\delta}$ and $Q_{s}=\frac{H}{\delta}$. Let $\gamma=(-1)^{s} \delta^{2} Z-\frac{\delta Q_{s-1}}{H}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[0, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}, \gamma\right] } & =\frac{P_{s} \gamma+P_{s-1}}{Q_{s} \gamma+Q_{s-1}} \\
& =\frac{P_{s}}{Q_{s}}+\frac{(-1)^{s}}{Q_{s}\left(Q_{s} \gamma+Q_{s-1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{J}{H}+\frac{1}{H^{2} Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We first prove by induction that $H_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. We have $H_{0}=1$, then the claim is true for $i=0$. Suppose that $H_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, then $H_{i} \mid A$. Since $\delta_{i+1} \mid H_{i}$, thus $\delta_{i+1} \mid A$, which implies $H_{i+1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. Secondly, we prove by induction that for $i \geq 2$

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{H_{i-1}}{j_{i-1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} x_{i}-\delta_{i} \frac{Q^{(i-1)}}{H_{i-1}}\right] .
$$

Let $x_{i}$ be the continued fraction defined for all $i \geq 0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x_{i}=\left[A b_{i}^{\prime}+h_{i}, \ldots, A b_{s}^{\prime}+h_{s}, \ldots\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first step of the algorithm is to combine the equations (2.1) and (2.2) for $i=1$. We obtain

$$
A f=A\left(A b_{0}^{\prime}+h_{0}+\frac{1}{A x_{1}}\right)=A^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+A h_{0}+\frac{1}{x_{1}} .
$$

Using the induction formula, for $b_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ given in the statement of Theorem 2.1, we obtain $b_{0}^{\prime \prime}=A h_{0}$. This implies that

$$
A f=(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x_{1}}=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], x_{1}\right],
$$

recall that $\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right]=\left[\frac{1}{0}\right]$ is the empty set. Moreover,

$$
b_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{1}}{H_{1}}=\frac{(-1)^{u_{0}} \delta_{1} h_{1}-\delta_{0} Q^{(0)}}{H_{1}}=\frac{h_{1}}{A},
$$

because $Q^{(0)}=0, H_{1}=A$, and $t_{0}=u_{0}=0$, which yields

$$
x_{1}=(-1)^{u_{0}} \delta_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{1}}{H_{1}}+\frac{1}{H_{1}^{2} x_{2}} .
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to the polynomials $j_{1}$ and $H_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{j_{1}}{H_{1}}+\frac{1}{H_{1}^{2} x_{2}}=\left[\left[\frac{j_{1}}{H_{1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{1}} \delta_{2}^{2} x_{2}-\delta_{2} \frac{Q^{(1)}}{H_{1}}\right]
$$

Since $\frac{H_{1}}{j_{1}}=\left[b_{1} ; \ldots, b_{t_{1}}\right]$, we have

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right],(-1)^{u_{0}} \delta_{1}^{2} b_{1}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{1}}{j_{1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{1}} \delta_{2}^{2} x_{2}-\delta_{2} \frac{Q^{(1)}}{H_{1}}\right]
$$

then the claim is true for $i=2$. Suppose that

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{H_{i-1}}{j_{i-1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} x_{i}-\delta_{i} \frac{Q^{(i-1)}}{H_{i-1}}\right]
$$

for $i>2$. Since $x_{i}=b_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{h_{i}}{A}+\frac{1}{A^{2} x_{i+1}}$ and $b_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}=(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \frac{\delta_{i}^{2} h_{i}}{A}-\frac{\delta_{i-1} Q^{(i-1)}}{H_{i}}$,
it is easy to verify that

$$
(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} x_{i}-\delta_{i} \frac{Q^{(i-1)}}{H_{i-1}}=(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}+\frac{(-1)^{u_{i-1}}}{H_{i}^{2} x_{i+1}}
$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}+\frac{(-1)^{u_{i-1}}}{H_{i}^{2} x_{i+1}}=\left[\left[\frac{j_{i}}{H_{i}}\right],(-1)^{u_{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+1}-\delta_{i+1} \frac{Q^{(i)}}{H_{i}}\right]
$$

Finally, we state that

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{H_{i}}{j_{i}}\right],(-1)^{u_{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{2} x_{i+1}-\delta_{i+1} \frac{Q^{(i)}}{H_{i}}\right]
$$

This process has to be stopped in the case where $f$ is rational, in other words the algorithm stops in the step $s$ if $f=\left[A b_{0}^{\prime}+h_{0}, A b_{1}^{\prime}+h_{1}, \ldots, A b_{s}^{\prime}+h_{s}\right]$. Consequently,

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{H_{s-1}}{j_{s-1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{s-1}} \delta_{s}^{2} x_{s}-\delta_{s} \frac{Q^{(s-1)}}{H_{s-1}}\right]
$$

As $x_{s}=b_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{h_{s}}{A}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{u_{s-1}} \delta_{s}^{2} x_{s}-\delta_{s} \frac{Q^{(s-1)}}{H_{s-1}} & =(-1)^{u_{s-1}} \delta_{s}^{2} b_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{(-1)^{u_{s-1}} \delta_{s} h_{s}-\delta_{s-1} Q^{(s-1)}}{H_{s}} \\
& =(-1)^{u_{s-1}} \delta_{s}^{2} b_{s}^{\prime}+b_{s}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{s}}{H_{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{H_{s-1}}{j_{s-1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{s-1}} \delta_{s}^{2} b_{s}^{\prime}+b_{s}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{s}}{j_{s}}\right]\right] .
$$

In the case where the continued fraction expansion of $f$ is infinite i.e. $f \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$, the algorithm never stops and

$$
A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{H_{i-1}}{j_{i-1}}\right],(-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{i}}{j_{i}}\right], \ldots\right]
$$

## 3. Length of the Period of the Continued Fraction of $A f$

Let $f$ be a quadratic formal power series. We prove that the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1 is periodic and we study the properties of the period of the continued fraction expansion of $A f$.

Notation. Let $f$ be a quadratic formal power series and $A$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. We denote by $P^{\prime}(A f)$ the period of the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 3.1. Let $f$ be a quadratic formal power series, then the series $A f$ is periodic and the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1 is also periodic. We have

$$
P^{\prime}(A f) \geq \operatorname{Per}(A f)
$$

Proof. Throughout the proof, we will use the notations of Theorem 2.1. Since $f$ is quadratic, it follows that the continued fraction expansion of $f$ is periodic. Let $\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, \overline{a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n}}\right]$ be the continued fraction expansion of $f$. Let $k$ be an integer greater than $m$ and $d=\sup _{1 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{deg} a_{m+i}$. We have $\operatorname{deg} H_{k}=$ $\operatorname{deg} A-\operatorname{deg} \delta_{k} \leq \operatorname{deg} A=N, \operatorname{deg} j_{k}<\operatorname{deg} H_{k} \leq N$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{k-1}} \delta_{k}^{2} b_{k}^{\prime}\right)=$ $2 \operatorname{deg} \delta_{k}+\operatorname{deg} b_{k}^{\prime} \leq 2 N+d$. Moreover, as $\operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{\delta_{k-1} Q^{(k-1)}}{H_{k}}\right)<0$,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{\delta_{k} h_{k}}{H_{k}}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{\delta_{k}^{2} h_{k}}{A}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(\delta_{k}^{2}\right) \leq 2 N
$$

Since there are only $n$ different values of $k(\bmod n)$, we conclude that the number of possible values of $\Delta_{k}=\left((-1)^{u_{k-1}} \delta_{k}^{2} b_{k}^{\prime}, b_{k}^{\prime \prime}, j_{k}, H_{k}, k(\bmod n)\right)$ is finite. Thus there exist two integers $l$ and $s$ such that $\Delta_{l}=\Delta_{s}$. Using the induction given in Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$$
\Delta_{l+i}=\Delta_{s+i}, \forall i \geq 1
$$

Therefore the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1 is periodic. We can write $A f$ in the form :

$$
A f=\left[a_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \overline{a_{m^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\right],
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} a_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n^{\prime}=P^{\prime}(A f)$. According to Remark 2.2, $\left[a_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \overline{a_{m^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\right]$ an be transformed to $\left[a_{0}^{\prime \prime} ; \ldots, a_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, \overline{a_{m^{\prime \prime}+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, a_{m^{\prime \prime}+n^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}}\right]$ where $n^{\prime \prime} \leq n^{\prime}, m^{\prime \prime} \leq m^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. We notice that this last continued fraction expansion is the usual one and $n^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Per}(A f)$. Consequently

$$
P^{\prime}(A f) \geq \operatorname{Per}(A f) .
$$

Applied with some conditions on the partial quotients of $f$, the algorithm given by Theorem 2.1 provides the usual continued fraction expansion of $A f$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ and let $\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}, \ldots\right]$ be its continued fraction expansion. If $a_{0} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)>\operatorname{deg}(A)$, for all $i \geq 1$, then the continued fraction expansion of Af given by Theorem 2.1 is the usual one.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$, for all $i \geq 0$ in order to show that the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1 is usual. For $i=0$, we have $(-1)^{u-1} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}=A^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. It is clear that if $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{0}\right)=0$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$. Otherwise, $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{0} A\right)>0$ and the result follows by distinguishing two cases:

Case 1. $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{0}\right)<\operatorname{deg}(A)$. It follows that $h_{0}=a_{0}$ and $b_{0}^{\prime}=0$. Since $b_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{j_{0}}{H_{0}}=A h_{0}$, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(A a_{0}\right)>0$ and thus

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)>0 .
$$

Case 2. $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{0}\right) \geq \operatorname{deg}(A)$. We observe that $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}\right)>0$ which yields $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(A h_{0}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(A^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(A^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}\right)>0$.

We remark that in all cases $\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)>0$.
Let $i \geq 1$. It is clear that $\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)>0$. According to Proposition 3.1, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{\delta_{i} h_{i}}{H_{i}}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(\delta_{i}^{2}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(\delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{i-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\delta_{i}^{2} b_{i}^{\prime}\right)>0 .
$$

Next we prove the following result.

Proposition 3.3. Let $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $S(N, n)$ exists then

$$
S(N, n)=\sup _{\operatorname{deg} A=N} \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} \operatorname{Per}(A f)=\sup _{\operatorname{deg} A=N} \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} P^{\prime}(A f) .
$$

Proof. Let $A$ be a polynomial of degree $N, f \in \Lambda_{n}$ and $\left[a_{0}(f) ; a_{1}(f), \ldots, a_{s}\right.$ $\left.(f), \overline{a_{s+1}(f), \ldots, a_{s+n}(f)}\right]$ its continued fraction expansion. Proposition 3.1 implies that $A f$ is periodic and $\operatorname{Per}(A f) \leq P^{\prime}(A f)$, therefore

$$
\sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} \operatorname{Per}(A f) \leq \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} P^{\prime}(A f) .
$$

On the other hand, suppose that $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{k}(f)\right)>N$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $a_{0}(f) \neq 0$ then Proposition 3.2 shows that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left((-1)^{u_{k-1}} \delta_{i}^{2} b_{k}^{\prime}+b_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)>0,
$$

and we state that the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1 is usual, therefore

$$
\operatorname{Per}(A f)=P^{\prime}(A f)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} \operatorname{Per}(A f) \geq \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} \quad \operatorname{Per}(A f) \\
& (\forall k)\left(\operatorname{deg} a_{k}>N\right) \\
& =\sup P^{\prime}(A f) \\
& f \in \Lambda_{n} \\
& (\forall k)\left(\operatorname{deg} a_{k}>N\right) \\
& =\sup P^{\prime}(A f) \text {, } \\
& f \in \Lambda_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

because the length of the period of the continued fraction expansion of $A f$ given by Theorem 2.1 depends only on the sequence $\left(a_{k}\right)$ having degree $\leq N$. Summarizing up, we get

$$
S(N, n)=\sup _{\operatorname{deg} A=N} \sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} P^{\prime}(A f) .
$$

Remark 3.4. For $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the calculation of $S(N, n)$ is finite because the period of the continued fraction expansion given by the Theorem 2.1 depends only on the coefficients taken $(\bmod A)$. But, for great values of $N$ and $n$, the calculation becomes difficult.

Example 3.5. In $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, for every value of $S(N, n)$, we denote by $(A, f)$ pairs such that $S(N, n)=\operatorname{Per}(A f), A \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[X]$ with $\operatorname{deg} A=N$ and $f \in \Lambda_{n}$ given by its continued fraction expansion.

Table 1.

| $N$ | $n$ | $S(N, n)$ | $(A, f)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | $(X+1,[\bar{X}])$ |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | $(X, \overline{X, X+1}])$ |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} (X+1,[\overline{X, X, X+1}]) \\ (X,[\overline{X, X+1, X]}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \left(X^{2}+X+1,\left[\overline{X^{2}}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{2}+X,\left[\overline{X^{2}}\right]\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \left(X^{2}+X+1,\left[\overline{X^{2}, X^{2}+1}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{2},\left[\overline{X^{2}, X+1}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{2}+X+1,\left[\overline{X^{2}+1, X}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{2},[\overline{X, X+1}]\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \left(X^{3},\left[\overline{X^{3}, X^{3}+X^{2}+1}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{3}+1,\left[\overline{X^{3}, X^{3}+X^{2}+1}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{3}+X^{2},\left[\overline{X^{3}, X^{3}+X^{2}+1}\right]\right) \\ \left(X^{3}+X^{2}+X,\left[\overline{X^{3}, X^{3}+X^{2}+1}\right]\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | 1 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \left(X^{3}+X^{2},[\bar{X}]\right) \\ \left(X^{3}+1,[\bar{X}]\right) \\ \left(X^{3},[\overline{X+1}]\right) \end{gathered}$ |

## 4. Properties of an Equivalence Relation

Now, we use the Cohen [1] method's, we construct a new space noted $P_{A}$. We prove some properties of the space $P_{A}$ which permits to evaluate $S(N, n)$ in the next section.

Let $E=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \times \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right.$ such that $\left.(a, b)=1\right\}$ and $R_{A}$ be an equivalence relation over $E \times E$ defined for all $(a, b) \in E$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in E$ by

$$
(a, b) R_{A}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \Longleftrightarrow a b^{\prime} \equiv a^{\prime} b(\bmod A)
$$

and

$$
P_{A}=E / R_{A}
$$

We give some notations and properties concerning the space $P_{A}$.

- We note by $\overline{(a, b)}$ the value of $(a, b)$ modulo $R_{A}$.
- Let $G L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)=\left\{M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right): \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right.$ and $\left.\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma= \pm 1\right\}$.

The elements of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$ operate on $P_{A}$ by quotient to $R_{A}$ as follows : for all $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right) \in G L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$ and $\overline{(a, b)} \in P_{A}$ we have

$$
M \overline{(a, b)}=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right)\binom{a}{b}}=\overline{(\alpha a+\beta b, \gamma a+\delta b)} .
$$

- Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ and $u \in P_{A}$, we note by $a+u^{-1}$ or $a+\frac{1}{u}$ the result of the action of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ to $u$ in $P_{A}$.
- Let $\Gamma(A)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right) \in G L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right) \quad \beta \equiv \gamma \equiv \alpha-\delta \equiv 0(\bmod A)\right\}$.

The following result gives the elementary properties of $P_{A}$.
Proposition 4.1. An element $\overline{(a, c)}$ of $P_{A}$ verifies the following conditions:

- $(a, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \times \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, c)=1$,
- c| $A$,
- a take all values modulo $\frac{A}{c}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, c)=1$.

We will need the following lemma to prove the above proposition.

Lemma 4.2. Let $a, b$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ such that $(a, b)=1$, then there is $a$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ such that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(a+\lambda b, c)=1
$$

Proof. We will treat two cases.
Case 1. All irreducible factors of $c$ are factors of $a$.
It is sufficient to take $\lambda=1$. In fact, if $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a+b, c)$ and $p$ is an irreducible factor of $d$, then $p \mid a+b$ and $p \mid c$. However $p \mid c$ implies $p \mid a$, hence, $p \mid \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.

Case 2. There exists an irreducible factor of $c$ which is not a factor of $a$.
Let

$$
\lambda=\prod_{\substack{p \text { irreducible } \\ p \mid c \text { and } p \vee a}} p
$$

$d=\operatorname{gcd}(a+\lambda b, c)$ and $p$ is an irreducible factor of $d$ then $p \mid a+\lambda b$ and $p \mid c$. If $p \mid a$, it gives $p \mid \lambda b$ and as $p \vee \lambda$, so $p \mid b$ and we are done. If $p \vee a$, from $p \mid c$, we deduce $p \mid \lambda$ which yields $p \mid a$, a contradiction.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Let $\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}$ be an element of $P_{A}$ and $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(b^{\prime}, A\right)$, then there exist two polynomials $P$ and $Q$ such that $P \frac{A}{d}+Q \frac{b^{\prime}}{d}=1 . \operatorname{As} \operatorname{gcd}\left(Q, \frac{A}{d}\right)$ $=1$, Lemma 4.2 implies that there exists a polynomial $\lambda \operatorname{such}$ that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(Q+\lambda \frac{A}{d}, A\right)$ $=1$. We can choose $Q$ so that $\operatorname{gcd}(Q, A)=1$ and $Q \frac{b^{\prime}}{d} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{A}{d}\right)$. Since it is easy to verify that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a^{\prime} Q, d\right)=1$, we get $\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}=\overline{\left(a^{\prime} Q, d\right)}$ and $d \mid A$.

For the second part of the proposition, let $\overline{(a, c)}$ and $\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}$ be two elements of $P_{A}$ verifying

$$
\overline{(a, c)}=\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}, c \mid A \text { and } c^{\prime} \mid A
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{(a, c)}=\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)} & \Longleftrightarrow(a, c) R_{A}\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a c^{\prime} \equiv a^{\prime} c(\bmod A) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a c^{\prime}=a^{\prime} c+\alpha A
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a not zero polynomial. As $c^{\prime} \mid A$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)=1$, we get $c^{\prime} \mid c$ and in the same way we see that $c \mid c^{\prime}$. Hence, $c=c^{\prime}$ and $a \equiv a^{\prime}\left(\bmod \frac{A}{c}\right)$.

Next we prove that the space $P_{A}$ is finite.
Theorem 4.3. Let $J=\left\{P \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] ; P\right.$ is monic and irreducible $\}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{card} P_{A} \leq(q-1)|A| \prod_{\substack{P \mid A \\ P \in J}}\left(1+\frac{1}{|P|}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $f: \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the map defined for all $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ by

$$
f(A)=\sum_{c \mid A} \frac{|A / c|}{|\operatorname{gcd}(c, A / c)|} \varphi(\operatorname{gcd}(c, A / c))
$$

where $\varphi$ is the Euler's function defined for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ by

$$
\varphi(\alpha)=\operatorname{card}\left\{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X], \text { monic }: \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} \alpha \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(r, \alpha)=1\right\}
$$

It is sufficient to prove that card $P_{A} \leq(q-1) f(A), f$ is multiplicative and $f\left(P^{l}\right)=|P|^{l}+|P|^{l-1}$, for all $P \in J$ and $l$ an integer, in order to prove this theorem.

We will prove that $\operatorname{card} P_{A} \leq(q-1) f(A)$. Let $\alpha=\operatorname{gcd}\left(c, \frac{A}{c}\right)$ and

$$
F_{c}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \text {, monic }: \operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg} \frac{A}{c} \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(a, c)=1\right\} .
$$

We can write $a$ in the form $r+K \alpha$ where $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} \alpha$. It is clear that $a \equiv r$ $(\bmod \alpha)$, which implies that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, \alpha)=\operatorname{gcd}(r, \alpha)=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{c}=\quad \bigcup \quad\{K \alpha+r, \text { monic }: \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} \alpha \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(K \alpha+r, c)=1\} . \\
& \operatorname{deg} K \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{A}{\alpha c}\right) \\
& K \text { monic }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K$ be a monic polynomial satisfying $\operatorname{deg} K \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{A}{\alpha c}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{K} & =\{K \alpha+r: \operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} \alpha \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(K \alpha+r, c)=1\} . \\
\operatorname{card}\left(G_{K}\right) & =\operatorname{card}\{\mathrm{K} \alpha+\mathrm{r}: \operatorname{deg} \mathrm{r}<\operatorname{deg} \alpha \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{K} \alpha+\mathrm{r}, \alpha)=1\} \\
& \leq \operatorname{card}\left\{\mathrm{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{q}}[\mathrm{X}]: \operatorname{deg} \mathrm{r}<\operatorname{deg} \alpha \text { and } \operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{r}, \alpha)=1\right\} \\
& \leq(q-1) \varphi(\alpha) \\
& \leq(q-1)|\alpha| \prod_{P \mid \alpha}\left(1-\frac{1}{|P|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As the sets $G_{K}$ form a partition of $F_{c}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{card} F_{c}=\left|\frac{A}{\alpha c}\right| \operatorname{card} G_{K} \leq(q-1)\left|\frac{A}{\alpha c}\right| \varphi(\alpha)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{card} P_{A} & =\sum_{c \mid A} \operatorname{card} F_{c} \\
& \leq(q-1) \sum_{c \mid A} \frac{|A / c|}{|\operatorname{gcd}(c, A / c)|} \varphi(\operatorname{gcd}(c, A / c)) \\
& \leq(q-1) f(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will now prove that $f$ is a multiplicative map by using that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1} c_{2}, \frac{M}{c_{1}} \frac{N}{c_{2}}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1}, \frac{M}{c_{1}}\right) \operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{2}, \frac{N}{c_{2}}\right)
$$

and $\varphi\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1} c_{2}, \frac{M}{c_{1}} \frac{N}{c_{2}}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{1}, \frac{M}{c_{1}}\right)\right) \varphi\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{2}, \frac{N}{c_{2}}\right)\right)$.
It is obvious that $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ implies $f(\beta A)=f(A)$.
Let $P \in J$ and $l$ be an integer. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(P^{l}\right) & =\sum_{d \mid P^{l}} \frac{\left|P^{l} / d\right|}{\left|\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, P^{l} / d\right)\right|} \varphi\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, P^{l} / d\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{l} \frac{\left|P^{l-j}\right|}{\left|\operatorname{gcd}\left(P^{j}, P^{l-j}\right)\right|} \varphi\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(P^{j}, P^{l-j}\right)\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{\left|P^{l-j}\right|}{\left|P^{\min (j, l-j)}\right|} \varphi\left(P^{\min (j, l-j)}\right)+|P|^{l} \\
& =1+|P|^{l}+\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\left(|P|^{l-j}-|P|^{l-j-1}\right) \\
& =|P|^{l}+|P|^{l-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, if we write $A$ in the form $\lambda P_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots P_{s}^{\alpha_{s}}$ where $P_{i} \in J$ for all $i=1, \ldots, s$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(A)=f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}\right) \\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{s} f\left(P_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}\right) \\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(\left|P_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}}+\left|P_{i}\right|^{\alpha_{i}-1}\right) \\
&=|A| \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(1+\frac{1}{\left|P_{i}\right|}\right) \\
&=|A| \prod_{P \mid A}^{P}\left(1+\frac{1}{|P|}\right) \\
& P \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

5. On the Functions $S(N, n)$ AND $R(N)$

We give an upper bound of $\operatorname{Per}(A f)$, which leads it to prove the existence of the functions $S(N, n)$ and $R(N)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$, we write $\alpha \equiv \beta\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$ if $\alpha-\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. Note that the relation $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation.

## Proposition 5.2. Consider the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{A}: & P_{A} \\
& \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}(X) \backslash \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] \\
(a, c) & \longmapsto \phi_{A}(\overline{(a, c)}) \equiv \frac{a c}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

this mapping is well-defined and

$$
\operatorname{Im} \phi_{A}=\left\{\frac{a}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right): \operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg} A\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $\overline{(a, c)}$ and $\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}$ be two elements of $P_{A}$ such that $\overline{(a, c)}=\overline{\left(a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}$, then the proof of Proposition 4.1 implies that $c=c^{\prime}$ and $a \equiv a^{\prime}(\bmod A / c)$, it yields that $\frac{a c}{A} \equiv \frac{a^{\prime} c}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$ and the $\operatorname{map} \phi_{A}$ is well-defined. We write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im} \phi_{A}= & \left\{\phi_{A}(\overline{(a, c)}): \overline{(a, c)} \in P_{A}\right\} \\
= & \left\{\frac{a c}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right): a\right. \text { takes all the values } \\
& \left.\left(\bmod \frac{A}{c}\right) \text { such that } \operatorname{gcd}(a, c)=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\left\{\frac{a}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right): \operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg} A\right\} \subset \operatorname{Im} \phi_{A}$. Conversely, let $\phi_{A}(\overline{(a, c)}) \equiv \frac{a c}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$ be an element of $\operatorname{Im} \phi_{A}$. Then $\operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{A}{c}\right)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(a c)<\operatorname{deg} A$. Now, if we take $a^{\prime}=a c$, we obtain

$$
\phi_{A}(\overline{(a, c)}) \equiv \frac{a^{\prime}}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right) \text { and } \operatorname{deg} a^{\prime}<\operatorname{deg} A .
$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Im} \phi_{A}=\left\{\frac{a}{A}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right): \operatorname{deg} a<\operatorname{deg} A\right\}
$$

Theorem 5.3. We use the same notations as in Theorem 2.1. Let $\left(v_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $P_{A}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=\overline{(1, A)} \text { and } v_{k+1}=a_{k+1}+v_{k}^{-1} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$
v_{k}=\overline{\left(e_{k}, \frac{A}{H_{k}}\right)}, \text { where } \operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{k}, \frac{A}{H_{k}}\right)=1, e_{k} \equiv(-1)^{u_{k-1}} J_{k}\left(\bmod H_{k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi_{A}\left(v_{k}\right) \equiv(-1)^{u_{k-1}} \frac{j_{k}}{H_{k}}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)
$$

Remark 5.4. Using the inductions (5.1), we can write $v_{k}$ for all $k \geq 0$ in the following form

$$
v_{k}=a_{k}+\frac{1}{a_{k-1}+\frac{1}{\ddots+\frac{1}{a_{1}(\bmod A)}}}
$$

in fact, we know that

$$
v_{k}=a_{k}+\frac{1}{a_{k-1}+\frac{1}{\ddots \cdot+\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{v_{0}}}}}
$$

and $a_{1}+\frac{1}{v_{0}}=\overline{\left(a_{1}+A, 1\right)} \equiv \overline{\left(a_{1}, 1\right)}(\bmod A)$ noted by $a_{1}(\bmod A)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. We prove this theorem by induction. We have $H_{0}=1$ and $j_{0}=0$. If we take $e_{0}=1$, we obtain

$$
v_{0}=\overline{(1, A)}=\overline{\left(e_{0}, \frac{A}{H_{0}}\right)}
$$

and the claim holds for $k=0$. Let $k$ be a positive integer and suppose that $v_{k}=\overline{\left(e_{k}, \frac{A}{H_{k}}\right)}, \operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{k}, \frac{A}{H_{k}}\right)=1$ and $e_{k} \equiv(-1)^{u_{k-1}} J_{k}\left(\bmod H_{k}\right)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{k+1} & =a_{k+1}+v_{k}^{-1} \\
& =\overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{k+1} & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{e_{k}}{A / H_{k}}} \\
& =\overline{\left(a_{k+1} e_{k}+\frac{A}{H_{k}}, e_{k}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we notice that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{k}, A\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{k}, \frac{A}{H_{k}}\right) \operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{k}, H_{k}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{k}, H_{k}\right)=\delta_{k+1}
$$

Thus there exists a polynomial $P^{\prime}$ such that $P^{\prime}\left(\frac{e_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}\right)$, which gives $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P^{\prime}, \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}\right)=1$. Applying Lemma 4.2, we see that there exists
a polynomial $\lambda$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P^{\prime}+\lambda \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}, A\right)=1$. Therefore there exists a polynomial $P$ such that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(A, P)=1 \text { and } P\left(\frac{e_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) .
$$

We remark that $P e_{k} \equiv \delta_{k+1}(\bmod A)$, which shows that
$v_{k+1}=\overline{\left(P\left(a_{k+1} e_{k}+\frac{A}{H_{k}}\right), \delta_{k+1}\right)}$ and $(-1)^{u_{k-1}} P\left(\frac{j_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{H_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right)$.
On the other hand,

$$
P_{t_{k}}^{(k)} Q^{(k)}-Q_{t_{k}}^{(k)} P^{(k)}=(-1)^{t_{k}-1}
$$

where $P_{t_{k}}^{(k)}=\frac{j_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}$ and $Q_{t_{k}}^{(k)}=\frac{H_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}$, then $(-1)^{t_{k}-1} Q^{(k)}\left(\frac{j_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) \equiv 1\left(\bmod \frac{H_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right)$.
Consequently

$$
P \equiv(-1)^{u_{k}-1} Q^{(k)}\left(\bmod \frac{H_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) .
$$

Thus there exists $T \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ such that $P=(-1)^{u_{k}-1} Q^{(k)}+T \frac{H_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(a_{k+1} e_{k}+\frac{A}{H_{k}}\right) & \equiv h_{k+1} \delta_{k+1}+\left((-1)^{u_{k}-1} Q^{(k)}+T \frac{H_{k}}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) \frac{A}{H_{k}}(\bmod A) \\
& \equiv h_{k+1} \delta_{k+1}-(-1)^{u_{k}} Q^{(k)} \delta_{k}+T \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}(\bmod A) \\
& \equiv h_{k+1} \delta_{k+1}-(-1)^{u_{k}} Q^{(k)} \delta_{k}\left(\bmod \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{u_{k}} j_{k+1} \\
& \equiv e_{k+1}\left(\bmod \frac{A}{\delta_{k+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
v_{k+1}=\overline{\left(e_{k+1}, \delta_{k+1}\right)}=\overline{\left(e_{k+1}, \frac{A}{H_{k+1}}\right)} .
$$

Next we prove the upper bound of $\operatorname{Per}(A f)$.
Theorem 5.5. Consider the quadratic formal power series $f=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right.$, $\left.\overline{a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n}}\right]$ and let $M$ be the matrix associated to $f$. Let $\left(v_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of elements of $P_{A}$ associated to $f$ as in Theorem $5.3\left(w_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the
sequence of elements of $P_{A}$ defined by $w_{k}=v_{m+k}$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{0}(M)=$ $\lambda_{0}(A, M)$ the least positive integer satisfying $M^{\lambda_{0}(A, M)} \in \Gamma(A)$. Then

$$
P^{\prime}(A f) \mid \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)}\left(\psi\left(\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)\right)+1\right)
$$

particularly,

$$
\operatorname{Per}(A f) \leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)}\left(\psi\left(\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)\right)+1\right)
$$

Proof. We first prove the existence of $\lambda_{0}(M)$. Let $M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ the matrix associated to $f$ and $\bar{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{c} & \bar{d}\end{array}\right)$ where $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}$ and $\bar{d}$ are the values of $a, b, c$ and $d$ taken $(\bmod A)$ respectively, $\bar{M}$ is an element of the finite group $G L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /<A>\right)$, therefore the subgroup $<\bar{M}>$ generated by $\bar{M}$ is finite. Thus there exists an integer $\lambda$ such that $\bar{M}^{\lambda}=\overline{I d}$ and $M^{\lambda} \in \Gamma(A)$. We conclude that there exists an integer $\lambda_{0}(M)$ which is the least integer verifying $M^{\lambda_{0}(M)} \in \Gamma(A)$.

Remark 5.6. Refereing to the later result, we remark that que

$$
\lambda_{0}(A, M) \leq\left|G L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /<A>\right)\right| \leq(\operatorname{deg} A)^{4}
$$

We will need the following lemma in order to complete the proof of this theorem.
Lemma 5.7. The following assertions are equivalent.
(i) $k_{0}$ is the least positive integer satisfying $w_{k_{0}}=w_{0}$ and $n \mid k_{0}$.
(ii) for all $p \geq 0, H_{k_{0}+m+p}=H_{m+p}$ and $e_{k_{0}+m+p} \equiv e_{m+p}\left(\bmod H_{m+p}\right)$.
(iii) The sequence $\left(w_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is purely periodic with period $k_{0}$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) A simple induction on $p$ gives the result.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Let $p \geq 0$ and $\alpha>0$, it is clear that $w_{p+\alpha k_{0}}=w_{0}$ and $\left(w_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is periodic of period $k_{0}$.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Suppose that $\left(w_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is periodic with period $k_{0}$. Thus $w_{k_{0}}=w_{0}$ and it remains to verify that $n \mid k_{0}$. If $w_{k}=w_{k^{\prime}}$ and $k \equiv k^{\prime}(\bmod n)$ then $w_{\left|k-k^{\prime}\right|}=w_{0}$. As $\left|k-k^{\prime}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod n)$, let $k_{0}$ be the least integer satisfying $k_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod n)$ and as $w_{k_{0}}=w_{0}$, it follows that $n \mid k_{0}$.

Now, we will prove that there exists an integer $k_{0}$ which is the least integer satisfying $w_{k_{0}}=w_{0}$ and $n \mid k_{0}$. Let $k$ be a given integer and

$$
\Gamma=\left\{w_{k^{\prime}} \in P_{A}: k \equiv k^{\prime}(\bmod n)\right\} \subset P_{A}
$$

As $\Gamma$ is finite, there exist two integers $l$ and $h$ such that $w_{l}=w_{h}$ and $l \equiv h(\bmod n)$, it implies that $w_{|l-h|}=w_{0}$ and $|l-h| \equiv 0(\bmod n)$. Consequently, there exists an integer $t$ such that $w_{t}=w_{0}$ and $n \mid t$. Let $k_{0}$ be the least positive integer satisfying $w_{k_{0}}=w_{0}$ and $n \mid k_{0}$. By using Lemma 5.7, we conclude that $k_{0}$ is a period of the sequences $\left(w_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(H_{m+k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $n \mid k_{0}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(A f) \mid k_{0} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we can verify that $w_{\lambda_{0}(M) n}=\left(t_{M}\right)^{\lambda_{0}(M)}\left(w_{0}\right)$. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{n} & =a_{n}+\frac{1}{w_{n-1}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{n} & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) w_{n-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{n} & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{n-1} & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) w_{0} \\
& =\left(a_{n}\right) \ldots\left(a_{1}\right) w_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(a_{i}\right)$ is the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{i} & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Since $t_{M}=\left(a_{n}\right) \ldots\left(a_{1}\right)$ and the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is periodic of period $n$, we conclude that

$$
w_{\lambda_{0}(M) n}=\left(a_{n}\right) \ldots\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots\left(a_{n}\right) \ldots\left(a_{1}\right) w_{0}=\left(t_{M}\right)^{\lambda_{0}(M)}\left(w_{0}\right)
$$

As $\lambda_{0}(M)$ is the least integer satisfying $M^{\lambda_{0}(M)} \in \Gamma(A),\left(t_{M}\right)^{\lambda_{0}(M)} \in \Gamma(A)$, implies that $w_{\lambda_{0}(M) n}=w_{0}$ and $k_{0} \mid \lambda_{0}(M) n$. Finally, by using (5.2), we conclude that $P^{\prime}(A f) \mid \lambda_{0}(M) n$ and we can write $A f$ in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A f=\left[(-1)^{u_{-1}} \delta_{0}^{2} b_{0}^{\prime}+b_{0}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{0}}{j_{0}}\right], \ldots, \overline{(-1)^{u_{m}} \delta_{m+1}^{2} b_{m+1}^{\prime}+b_{m+1}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{m+1}}{j_{m+1}}\right]},\right. \\
& \ldots,(-1)^{u_{m+n \lambda_{0}(M)-1}} \delta_{m+n \lambda_{0}(M)}^{2} b_{m+n \lambda_{0}(M)}^{\prime}+b_{m+n \lambda_{0}(M)}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{m+n \lambda_{0}(M)}}{j_{m+n \lambda_{0}(M)}}\right]
\end{aligned} .
$$

From the above, it is clear that for $1 \leq i \leq n \lambda_{0}(M)$ the number of terms in $(-1)^{u_{m+i-1}} \delta_{m+i}^{2} b_{m+i}^{\prime}+b_{m+i}^{\prime \prime},\left[\frac{H_{m+i}}{j_{m+i}}\right]$ is equal to

$$
\left.2+\psi\left(\frac{H_{m+i}}{j_{m+i}}\right)=1+\psi\left(\frac{j_{m+i}}{H_{m+i}}\right)=\psi\left(\overleftarrow{\frac{j_{m+i}}{H_{m+i}}}\right]\right)
$$

We conclude that

$$
\left.P^{\prime}(A f) \left\lvert\, \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(M)} \psi\left(\overline{\frac{j_{m+k}}{H_{m+k}}}\right]\right.\right) .
$$

Finally, as $\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right) \equiv(-1)^{u_{m+k-1}} \frac{j_{m+k}}{H_{m+k}}\left(\bmod \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]\right)$, for all $k=1, \ldots, n \lambda_{0}(M)$, we arrive at

$$
P^{\prime}(A f) \mid \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right)
$$

## Corollary 5.8.

$$
S(N, n)=\sup _{M \in \mathcal{M}} \sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the family of the matrices associated to the formal power series belonging to $\Lambda_{n}$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a polynomial of degree $N$ and $f \in \Lambda_{n}$, then by applying Theorem 5.5, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Per}(A f) \leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left.\sup _{f \in \Lambda_{n}} \operatorname{Per}(A f) \leq \sup _{M \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)}} \psi\left(\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right),
$$

hence

$$
S(N, n) \leq \sup _{M \in \mathcal{M}} \sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right) .
$$

Remark 5.6 implies that if $M \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right)$ is an integer less than $\sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n N^{4}} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right)$, which gives that the set $\left\{\sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right), M \in \mathcal{M}\right\}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Thus there exists $M_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ of degree $N$ such that

$$
\sup _{M \in \mathcal{M}} \sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right)=\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0}\right)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right),
$$

where $M_{0}$ is the matrix associated to the quadratic and periodic formal power series $f_{0}$ of period $n$ with continued fraction expansion $\left[a_{0} ; \ldots, a_{m}, \overline{a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_{m+n}}\right]$. Let $f_{0, A}$ be the formal power series defined by

$$
f_{0, A}=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}(A+1), \ldots, a_{m}(A+1), \overline{a_{m+1}(A+1), \ldots, a_{m+n}(A+1)}\right]
$$

and let $M_{0, A}$ be the matrix associated to $f_{0, A}$. As $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}(A+1)\right)>\operatorname{deg} A$ for all $i \geq 1$, Proposition 3.2 implies that the continued fraction expansion of $A f_{0, A}$ given by Theorem 2.1 is the usual one. Therefore $\operatorname{Per}\left(A f_{0, A}\right)=P^{\prime}\left(A f_{0, A}\right)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\bar{M}_{0, A}=\bar{M}_{0}$. In fact,

$$
M_{0}^{\lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0}\right)} \in \Gamma(A) \Longleftrightarrow \bar{M}_{0}^{\lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0}\right)}=a I \Longleftrightarrow \bar{M}_{0, A}^{\lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0}\right)}=a I
$$

and thus $\lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0}\right)=\lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0, A}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0}\right)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right) & =\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}\left(A, M_{0, A}\right)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Per}\left(A f_{0, A}\right) \\
& \leq S(N, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.9. let $\Omega(N)=\sup _{\operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sum_{u \in P_{A}} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}(u)}\right]\right)$. The functions $S(N, n)$ and $R(N)$ exist and satisfy

$$
S(N, n) \leq n \Omega(N), R(N) \leq \Omega(N) .
$$

Proof. Corollary 5.8 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(N, n) & =\sup _{M \in \mathcal{M} \operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sup \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n \lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{k}\right)}\right]\right) \\
& =\sup _{M \in \mathcal{M} \operatorname{deg}} \sup _{(A)=N} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{0 \leq \lambda<\lambda_{0}(A, M)} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}\left(w_{\lambda n+k}\right)}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $w_{k}=w_{k^{\prime}}$ and $k \equiv k^{\prime}(\bmod n)$, then $w_{\left|k-k^{\prime}\right|}=w_{0}$, which yields that for a given integer $k$, the $w_{\lambda n+k}$ are a different elements of $P_{A}$ for all $0 \leq \lambda<\lambda_{0}(N, M)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(N, n) & \leq \sup _{M \in \mathcal{M} \operatorname{deg}(A)=N} \sup _{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{u \in P_{A}} \psi\left(\left[\overline{\Phi_{A}(u)}\right]\right) \\
& \leq n \Omega(N) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
R(N) \leq \Omega(N)
$$

Example 5.10. Let now $q=2$, we give some values of $\Omega(N)$.
Table 2.

| $N$ | n | $S(N, n)$ | $\Omega(N)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | 10 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 3 | 1 | 6 | 29 |

We conclude from Table 2 and Theorem 5.9 that $R(1)=2$.
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