TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 13, No. 6A, pp. 1837-1846, December 2009 This paper is available online at http://www.tjm.nsysu.edu.tw/

GAP FUNCTIONS FOR NONSMOOTH EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS

Marco Castellani and Massimo Pappalardo

Abstract. We consider equilibrium problems (EP) with directionally differentiable (not necessarily C^1) bifunctions which are convex with respect to the second variable and we use a gap function approach to solve them. In the first part of the paper we establish a condition under which any stationary point of the gap function solves (EP) and we propose a solution method which uses descent directions of the gap function. In the final section we study the problem when this condition is not satisfied. In this case we use a family of gap functions depending on a parameter α which allows us to overcome the trouble due to the lack of a descent direction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Different kinds of competitive situations (see for example [2, 5] and references therein) can be formulated via general equilibrium model of this type

$$(EP) \qquad \qquad \text{find} \ \ \bar{x}\in C \ \ \text{s.t.} \ \ f(\bar{x},y)\geq 0, \qquad \forall y\in C,$$

where $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact convex set and the equilibrium bifunction $f \in \mathcal{A}$ where

 $\mathcal{A} = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} : f(\cdot, y) \text{ is directionally differentiable for all } y \in C, \\ f(x, \cdot) \text{ is convex for all } x \in C, \ f(z, z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in C \}$

Recently an increasing effort has been made to develop algorithms for computing equilibrium solutions. Some of them are based on the fact that (EP) can be reformulated as an optimization problem via gap functions. This has been proposed also in [1, 3, 6, 7, 8]. In this paper we focus on (EP) in which the bifunction f is only directionally differentiable and not C^1 . The scheme proposed follows the same line of [1].

Received August 7, 2009.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 90C30, 49M37.

Key words and phrases: Equilibrium problems, Gap functions.

The following notation will be used in the paper. If $f \in A$ we denote the directional derivative of $f(\cdot, y)$ at x along the direction u by

$$D_x f(x, y; u) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(x + tu, y) - f(x, y)}{t}$$

Analogously $D_y f(x, y; v)$ indicates the directional derivative of $f(x, \cdot)$ at y along the direction v.

The following function

$$\varphi(x) = -\min_{y \in C} f(x, y)$$

was introduced in [7]. We prove, for the sake of completeness, that it is a gap function for (EP).

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in A$ be given; then

(i)
$$\varphi(x) \ge 0$$
 for all $x \in C$;

(ii) $\bar{x} \in C$ verifies $\varphi(\bar{x}) = 0$ if and only if \bar{x} solves (EP).

Proof. For all $x \in C$ we have

$$\varphi(x) = -\min_{y \in C} f(x, y) \ge -f(x, x) = 0$$

proving statement (i). If $0 = \varphi(\bar{x}) = -\min_{y \in C} f(\bar{x}, y)$ then $\bar{x} \in C$ solves (*EP*); the converse is trivial since f(z, z) = 0 for all $z \in C$ and this proves (ii).

Next sections are devoted to seek for descent directions of the gap function φ in order to minimize it.

2. STRICTLY CONVEX AND STRICTLY D-MONOTONE EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS

In this section we suppose that $f \in A$ is strictly convex with respect to y, for all $x \in C$. This assumption, together with the compactness of C, implies the existence of the unique minimizer $y(x) \in C$ such that

(1)
$$\varphi(x) = -f(x, y(x)).$$

The next result of Danskin [4] permits to compute the directional derivative of φ .

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in A$ be strictly convex with respect to y; the function $x \mapsto y(x)$ is continuous and φ is directionally differentiable with

$$D\varphi(x;v) = -D_x f(x, y(x); v)$$

for all $x \in C$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Since f(z, z) = 0, it is easy to show that the solution set of *(EP)* coincides with the set of the fixed points of the function $x \mapsto y(x)$, i.e. $\bar{x} \in C$ is a solution of *(EP)* if and only if $\bar{x} = y(\bar{x})$. When $\bar{x} \neq y(\bar{x})$, in order to establish whether $y(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}$ is a descent direction for φ , additional assumptions on f are usually assumed in literature (see for instance [3, 6, 8]). We will use the following.

Definition 2.1. A bifunction $g \in A$ is called *strictly D-monotone* on C if

(2)
$$D_x g(x, y; y - x) > D_y g(x, y; x - y), \quad \forall x, y \in C \text{ with } x \neq y.$$

If $g \in A$ is continuously differentiable, the concept of strict *D*-monotonicity collapses with the concept of strict ∇ -monotonicity introduced in [1]. It is easy to prove that the concept of strict *D*-monotonicity is not related to the classical concept of strict monotonicity. Several nice properties hold.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $f \in A$ is strictly convex with respect to y and strictly D-monotone on C, then

(3)
$$D\varphi(x; y(x) - x) < 0, \quad \forall x \in C \text{ with } x \neq y(x).$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 and the strict D-monotonicity of f we deduce

$$D\varphi(x;y(x) - x) = -D_x f(x,y(x);y(x) - x) < -D_y f(x,y(x);x - y(x));$$

since y(x) is a global minimum of $f(x, \cdot)$ over C, the first order necessary optimality condition implies

$$D_y f(x, y(x); x - y(x)) \ge 0$$

that concludes the proof.

Strict *D*-monotonicity guarantees also the following "stationarity property" for the reformulation of *(EP)* as optimization problem through φ .

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $f \in A$ is strictly convex with respect to y and strictly D-monotone on C; if \bar{x} is a stationary point of φ over C, i.e.

(4)
$$D\varphi(\bar{x}; y - \bar{x}) \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

then \bar{x} solves (EP).

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that \bar{x} does not solve (*EP*) and hence $y(\bar{x}) \neq \bar{x}$. Since $y(\bar{x})$ is a global minimum for the function $f(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ we deduce

(5)
$$D_y f(\bar{x}, y(\bar{x}); \bar{x} - y(\bar{x})) \ge 0.$$

Moreover, from (4) valued at $y(\bar{x})$ and Theorem 2.1 we obtain

(6)
$$D_x f(\bar{x}, y(\bar{x})); y(\bar{x}) - \bar{x}) \le 0.$$

But (5) and (6) contradict the assumption of strict D-monotonicity of f.

The results proved in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 give us a solution method for solving (EP). In fact, we have a descent direction (Theorem 2.2), a stopping rule (Theorem 2.3), and we can propose an exact linesearch rule to find the stepsize. The iterative sequence of the solution method is given

$$x^{k+1} = x^k + t_k d^k$$

where $d^k = y(x^k) - x^k$ and $t_k \in [0, 1]$ minimizes $\theta(t) = \varphi(x^k + td^k)$ over [0, 1]. Since d^k depends with continuity upon x^k , convergence to a stationary point of φ is achieved via Zangwill's Theorem.

3. CONVEX AND STRICTLY D-MONOTONE EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS

When $f(x, \cdot)$ is not strictly convex, we have not the uniqueness of the minimum point y(x). For this reason we consider a continuously differentiable bifunction $h: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (a) $h(x, y) \ge 0$ for all $x, y \in C$ and h(z, z) = 0 for all $z \in C$,
- (b) $h(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex for all $x \in C$.

As immediate consequence of (a) and (b) we have that $\nabla_{y}h(z, z) = 0$, for all $z \in C$.

We define the bifunction F = f + h and we introduce the following *auxiliary* equilibrium problem

$$(AEP) \qquad \qquad \text{find} \ \ \bar{x} \in C \ \ \text{s.t.} \ \ F(\bar{x}, y) \ge 0, \qquad \forall y \in C.$$

The next result shows the equivalence between (EP) and (AEP).

Theorem 3.1. The point \bar{x} solves (EP) if and only if \bar{x} solves (AEP).

Proof. Trivially, every solution of (*EP*) solves (*AEP*). Vice versa let \bar{x} be a solution of (*AEP*) and suppose, by contradiction, there exists $\bar{y} \in C$ such that $f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < 0$. Since h is continuously differentiable, $h(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = 0$ and $\nabla_y h(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = 0$, we have

$$h(\bar{x}, \bar{x} + t(\bar{y} - \bar{x})) = \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|t\omega(t), \qquad \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

where $\omega(t)$ tends to 0 for $t \to 0^+$. Therefore, from the convexity of $f(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ and since $f(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) = 0$, we deduce

$$0 \leq F(\bar{x}, \bar{x} + t(\bar{y} - \bar{x}))$$

= $f(\bar{x}, \bar{x} + t(\bar{y} - \bar{x})) + h(\bar{x}, \bar{x} + t(\bar{y} - \bar{x}))$
 $\leq (1 - t)f(\bar{x}, \bar{x}) + tf(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|t\omega(t)$
= $t[f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|\omega(t)].$

Since $f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + \|\bar{x} - \bar{y}\|\omega(t) < 0$ for t sufficiently small, we achieve the contradiction.

Theorem 3.1 allows us to apply the results of the previous section to the gap function associated to the bifunction F and for this we need the strict D-monotonicity of F.

Definition 3.1. A bifunction $g \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *D*-monotone on *C* if

(7)
$$D_x g(x, y; y - x) \ge D_y g(x, y; x - y), \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

If g is continuously differentiable the concept of D-monotonicity collapses with the concept of ∇ -monotonicity defined in [1], i.e.

$$\langle \nabla_x g(x,y) + \nabla_y g(x,y), y - x \rangle \ge 0, \qquad \forall x, y \in C.$$

All the usually used bifunctions h as, for instance, the square of the euclidean norm $h(x, y) = ||x - y||^2$ are *D*-monotone but not strictly *D*-monotone.

It is easy to show that if f is strictly D-monotone and h is D-monotone then F is strictly D-monotone. So we can adapt to this case the solution method presented at the end of Section 2.

4. A LARGER CLASS OF EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS

Since strict D-monotonicity is not always verified by the bifunction f, we now analyse the case when f doesn't satisfy this condition but it is only D-monotone. In this case (even if $f(x, \cdot)$ is strictly convex) Theorem 2.2 can not be applied. In fact,

if we substitute the assumption of strict *D*-monotonicity with *D*-monotonicity, it is possible to show that y(x) - x is not always a descent direction (see [1, Example 2.5]). For this reason we substitute the auxiliary bifunction *F* with a family of bifunctions $F_{\alpha} = f + \alpha h$, with $\alpha > 0$ and we denote by φ_{α} the associated gap function. We will show that the parameter α allows us to overcome the troubles due to the lack of the "stationarity property". Anyway, we will require the following additional assumption on f

(8)
$$f(x,y) + D_x f(x,y;y-x) \ge 0, \qquad \forall x, y \in C.$$

It is possible to prove that condition (8) is stronger than *D*-monotonicity.

Theorem 4.1. If the bifunction $f \in A$ satisfies (8) then it is D-monotone.

Proof. From the convexity of $f(x, \cdot)$ we have

$$0 = f(x, x) \ge f(x, y) + D_y f(x, y; x - y), \qquad \forall x, y \in C;$$

therefore, the above inequality and (8) guarantee

$$D_x f(x, y; y - x) - D_y f(x, y; x - y)$$

= $[f(x, y) + D_x f(x, y; y - x)] - [f(x, y) + D_y f(x, y; x - y)] \ge 0$

for all $x, y \in C$ and thus f is D-monotone.

Some examples presented in [1] show that no relationship exists between condition (8) and the strict *D*-monotonicity and, moreover, that the stationarity property is not guaranteed for a fixed gap function φ_{α} . When condition (8) holds, we can overcome the trouble of finding a descent direction by eventually modifying the parameter α and therefore by changing the considered gap function.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $f \in A$ satisfies (8) and assume that

(9)
$$\lim_{\substack{y' \to y \ t \to 0^+}} \frac{f(x + t(y' - x), y') - f(x, y')}{t} \\= \lim_{t \to 0^+} \lim_{y' \to y} \frac{f(x + t(y' - x), y') - f(x, y')}{t}$$

for all $x, y \in C$. If $x \in C$ is not a solution of (EP), then there exists $\bar{\alpha}$ such that $y_{\alpha}(x) - x$ is a descent direction at x for all positive $\alpha \leq \bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. Suppose, by contradiction, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_k\} \downarrow 0$ such that

(10)
$$D\varphi_{\alpha_k}(x; y_{\alpha_k}(x) - x) \ge 0$$

Since C is compact, we can suppose that the sequence $\{y_{\alpha_k}(x)\}$ converges to $y \in C$. By assumption

$$f_{\alpha_k}(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x)) = -\varphi_{\alpha_k}(x) < 0$$

and therefore, since $f(x,\cdot)$ is continuous, taking the limit for $k\to\infty,$ we deduce that

$$f(x,y) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_{\alpha_k}(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x)) \le 0.$$

On the other hand y_{α_k} minimizes $f_{\alpha_k}(x, \cdot)$ over C, then

$$D_y f_{\alpha_k}(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x); x - y_{\alpha_k}(x)) \ge 0.$$

Let $a > D_y f(x, y; x - y)$ then there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $y + t(x - y) \in C$ and

$$\frac{f(x, y + t(x - y)) - f(x, y)}{t} < c$$

for all $t \in (0, t_0)$. Moreover $f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x) + t(x - y_{\alpha_k}(x)))$ tends to f(x, y + t(x - y))and $f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x))$ tends to f(x, y) for $k \to \infty$. Hence, for k sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x) + t(x - y_{\alpha_k}(x))) - f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x))}{t} < a.$$

Since

$$D_y f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x); x - y_{\alpha_k}(x)) \le \frac{f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x) + t(x - y_{\alpha_k}(x))) - f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x))}{t}$$

it follows that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} D_y f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x); x - y_{\alpha_k}(x)) \le a$$

This is true for any $a > D_y f(x, y; x - y)$ and then

$$D_y f(x, y; x - y) \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} D_y f(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x); x - y_{\alpha_k}(x))$$

=
$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} D_y f_{\alpha_k}(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x); x - y_{\alpha_k}(x)) \ge 0.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 4.1, we deduce that

$$D_x f(x, y; y - x) \ge 0.$$

Condition (10) can be written

$$D_x f_{\alpha_k}(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x); y_{\alpha_k}(x) - x) \le 0$$

and taking the limit for $k \to \infty$ and using condition (9) we have the converse inequality

$$D_x f(x, y; y - x) \le 0$$

and therefore

$$D_x f(x, y; y - x) = 0.$$

Since condition (8) holds, we have $f(x, y) \ge 0$ and therefore we deduce f(x, y) = 0. Moreover $f_{\alpha_k}(x, y_{\alpha_k}(x)) \le f_{\alpha_k}(x, y')$ for all $y' \in C$, hence, taking the limit again,

$$0 = f(x, y) \le f(x, y'), \qquad \forall y' \in C.$$

This implies that x solves (*EP*) in contradiction with the assumption.

When f is continuously differentiable, condition (9) is trivially satisfied. The above result provides the key idea for the solution method for D-monotone bifunctions: decrease the value of α whenever $y_{\alpha}(x) - x$ isn't any longer a descent direction for φ_{α} and apply the scheme presented in Section 2.

Nevertheless, in order to device a new kind of solution method more efficient from the computational point of view, we have to implement an Armijo-type rule for the stepsize. If we adopt this kind of rule, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $f \in A$ satisfies condition (8) and h is ∇ -monotone then

(11)
$$D\varphi_{\alpha}(x; y_{\alpha}(x) - x) \\ \leq f(x, y_{\alpha}(x)) - \alpha \langle \nabla_{x} h(x, y_{\alpha}(x)), y_{\alpha}(x) - x \rangle \leq 0, \qquad \forall x \in C.$$

Proof. The first inequality in (11) descends immediately from condition (8) since

$$\begin{aligned} D\varphi_{\alpha}(x;y_{\alpha}(x)-x) &= -D_{x}f_{\alpha}(x,y_{\alpha}(x);y_{\alpha}(x)-x) \\ &= -D_{x}f(x,y_{\alpha}(x);y_{\alpha}(x)-x) - \alpha \langle \nabla_{x}h(x,y_{\alpha}(x)),y_{\alpha}(x)-x \rangle \\ &\leq f(x,y_{\alpha}(x)) - \alpha \langle \nabla_{x}h(x,y_{\alpha}(x)),y_{\alpha}(x)-x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the second inequality in (11), since $y_{\alpha}(x)$ is a global minimum for $f_{\alpha}(x, \cdot)$, the first order necessary optimality condition implies

$$0 \le D_y f_\alpha(x, y_\alpha(x); x - y_\alpha(x))$$

= $D_y f(x, y_\alpha(x); x - y_\alpha(x)) + \alpha \langle \nabla_y h(x, y_\alpha(x)), x - y_\alpha(x) \rangle.$

Moreover h is ∇ -monotone then

(12)
$$D_y f(x, y_\alpha(x); x - y_\alpha(x)) \\ \ge \alpha \langle \nabla_y h(x, y_\alpha(x)), y_\alpha(x) - x \rangle \ge -\alpha \langle \nabla_x h(x, y_\alpha(x)), y_\alpha(x) - x \rangle.$$

From the convexity of $f(x, \cdot)$ we obtain

$$0 = f(x, x) \ge f(x, y_{\alpha}(x)) + D_y f(x, y_{\alpha}(x); x - y_{\alpha}(x)),$$

and hence

(13)
$$D_y f(x, y_\alpha(x); x - y_\alpha(x)) \le -f(x, y_\alpha(x)).$$

Comparing (12) and (13) we deduce the required second inequality.

Theorem 4.3 gives us an upper estimate of the directional derivative of the gap function. This is a fundamental result in order to obtain a globally convergent algorithm as we have seen in the continuously differentiable case [1]. In fact exploiting (11) we can force the gap function to have a decrease which is large enough. In particular the direction will be accepted when the inequality

(14)
$$-\varphi_{\alpha}(x) - \alpha(\langle \nabla_x h(x, y_{\alpha}(x) - x) + h(x, y_{\alpha}(x))) < -\frac{1}{2}\varphi_{\alpha}(x)$$

holds. Naturally we can work decreasing the parameter α . In fact, if x is not a solution of *(EP)* condition (14) ensures that the direction is a descent direction (see Theorem 4.3).

References

- G. Bigi, M. Castellani and M. Pappalardo, A new solution method for equilibrium problems, *Optimization Methods and Software*, to appear. DOI: 10.1080/10556780902855620.
- E. Blum and W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, *The Mathematics Student*, 63 (1993), 1-23.
- 3. O. Chadli, I. V. Konnov and J. C. Yao, Descent methods for equilibrium problems in a Banach space, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **48** (2004), 609-616.
- 4. J. M. Danskin, The theory of min-max with applications, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, **14** (1966), 641-664.
- 5. A. Iusem and W. Sosa, New existence results for equilibrium problems, *Nonlinear Analisys*, **52** (2003), 621-635.
- 6. I. V. Konnov and M. S. S. Ali, Descent methods for monotone equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **188** (2006), 165-179.
- G. Mastroeni, On auxiliary principle for equilibrium problems, in: *Equilibrium problems and variational models*, P. Daniele, F. Giannessi and A. Maugeri (eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003, pp. 289-298.

Marco Castellani and Massimo Pappalardo

8. G. Mastroeni, Gap functions for equilibrium problems, *Journal of Global Optimization*, **27** (2003), 411-426.

Marco Castellani Department of "Sistemi ed Istituzioni per l'Economia", University of L'Aquila, Italy

Massimo Pappalardo Department of "Matematica Applicata", University of Pisa, Italy